

S3-Leitlinie "Screening, Diagnose und Behandlung alkoholbezogener Störungen"

AWMF-Register Nr. 076-001

Deutsche Gesellschaft für Psychiatrie und Psychotherapie, Psychosomatik und Nervenheilkunde (DGPPN)

Deutsche Gesellschaft für Suchtforschung und Suchttherapie e.V. (DG-SUCHT)

Tabellenband

(Aktualisierte Version 2020)



Inhalt

AMSTAR-2 Bewertungen der Systematischen Reviews	6
Evidenztabellen der Systematischen Reviews (Cochrane Library)	
Evidenztabellen der PubMed-Recherche	53
DELBI-Bewertung der internationalen Quell-Leitlinien	253
Leitlinien-Synopsen	261

Tabellenband

AMSTAR-2 Bewertungen der Systematischen Reviews

Agabio, R., Trogu, E., & Pani, P. P. (2018). Antidepressants for the treatment of people with co-occurring depression and alcohol dependence. Cochrane database of systematic reviews.

	YES	Partial YES	NO
Did the research question and inclusion criteria for the review include the components of PICO	X		
Did the report of the reviews contain an explicit statement that the review methods were established prior to the conduct of the review and did the report justify any significant deviations from the protocol?	x		
Did the review authors explain their selection of the study designs for inclusion in the review?	Х		
Did the review authors use a comprehensive literature search strategy?	Х		
Did the review authors perform study selection in duplicate?	Х		
Did the review authors perform data extraction in duplicate?	X		
Did the review authors provide a list of excluded studies and justify the exclusions?	X		
Did the review authors describe the included studies in adequate detail?	X		
Did the review authors us a satisfactory technique for assessing the risk of bias in individual studies that were included in the review?	X		
Did the review authors report on the sources of funding for the studies included in the review?	Х		
If meta-analysis was performed did the review authors use appropriate methods for statistical combination of results?	-		
If meta-analysis was performed did the review authors assess the potential impact of RoB in individual studies on the results of the meta-analysis or other evidence synthesis?	-		
Did the review authors account RoB in individual studies when interpreting/ discussing the results of the review?	х		
Did the review authors provide satisfactory explanation for, and discussion of, any heterogeneity observed in the results of the review?	x		
If they performed quantitive synthesis did the review authors carry out an adequate investigation of publication bias and discuss its likely impact on the results of the review?	-		
Did the review authors report any potential sources of conflict of interest, including any funding they received for conducting the review?	x		

Boffo, M., Zerhouni, O., Gronau, Q.F., van Beek, R.J., Nikolaou, K., Marsman, M. & Wiers, R.W. (2019). Cognitive bias modification for behavior change in alcohol and smoking addiction. Bayesiana meta-analysis of individual participant data. Neuropsychology Review, 29 (1), 52-78.

	YES	Partial YES	NO
Did the research question and inclusion criteria for the review include the components of PICO	X		
Did the report of the reviews contain an explicit statement that the review methods were established prior to the conduct of the review and did the report justify any significant deviations from the protocol?	x		
Did the review authors explain their selection of the study designs for inclusion in the review?	X		
Did the review authors use a comprehensive literature search strategy?	X		
Did the review authors perform study selection in duplicate?	X		
Did the review authors perform data extraction in duplicate?	X		
Did the review authors provide a list of excluded studies and justify the exclusions?		X	
Did the review authors describe the included studies in adequate detail?	X		
Did the review authors us a satisfactory technique for assessing the risk of bias in individual studies that were included in the review?	x		
Did the review authors report on the sources of funding for the studies included in the review?	X		
If meta-analysis was performed did the review authors use appropriate methods for statistical combination of results?	x		
If meta-analysis was performed did the review authors assess the potential impact of RoB in individual studies on the results of the meta-analysis or other evidence synthesis?		x	
Did the review authors account RoB in individual studies when interpreting/ discussing the results of the review?	x		
Did the review authors provide satisfactory explanation for, and discussion of, any heterogeneity observed in the results of the review?	x		

If they performed quantitive synthesis did the review authors carry out an adequate investigation of publication bias and discuss its likely impact on the results of the review?	x	
Did the review authors report any potential sources of conflict of interest, including any funding	v	
they received for conducting the review?	^	

Bonnet, U., & Scherbaum, N. (2017). How addictive are gabapentin and pregabalin? A systematic review. European neuropsychopharmacology, 27(12), 1185-1215.

	YES	Partial YES	NO
Did the research question and inclusion criteria for the review include the components of PICO	X		
Did the report of the reviews contain an explicit statement that the review methods were established prior to the conduct of the review and did the report justify any significant deviations from the protocol?	x		
Did the review authors explain their selection of the study designs for inclusion in the review?	X		
Did the review authors use a comprehensive literature search strategy?	X		
Did the review authors perform study selection in duplicate?	X		
Did the review authors perform data extraction in duplicate?		X	
Did the review authors provide a list of excluded studies and justify the exclusions?			X
Did the review authors describe the included studies in adequate detail?	X		
Did the review authors us a satisfactory technique for assessing the risk of bias in individual studies that were included in the review?	x		
Did the review authors report on the sources of funding for the studies included in the review?	Х		
If meta-analysis was performed did the review authors use appropriate methods for statistical combination of results?			
If meta-analysis was performed did the review authors assess the potential impact of RoB in individual studies on the results of the meta-analysis or other evidence synthesis?			
Did the review authors account RoB in individual studies when interpreting/ discussing the results of the review?	x		
Did the review authors provide satisfactory explanation for, and discussion of, any heterogeneity observed in the results of the review?	x		
If they performed quantitive synthesis did the review authors carry out an adequate investigation of publication bias and discuss its likely impact on the results of the review?	х		
Did the review authors report any potential sources of conflict of interest, including any funding they received for conducting the review?	х		

Cafferky, B. M., Mendez, M., Anderson, J. R., & Stith, S. M. (2018). Substance use and intimate partner violence: A meta-analytic review. Psychology of Violence, 8(1), 110-131.

	YES	Partial YES	NO
Did the research question and inclusion criteria for the review include the components of PICO	X		
Did the report of the reviews contain an explicit statement that the review methods were			
established prior to the conduct of the review and did the report justify any significant deviations from the protocol?	X		
Did the review authors explain their selection of the study designs for inclusion in the review?	Х		
Did the review authors use a comprehensive literature search strategy?	Х		
Did the review authors perform study selection in duplicate?	X		
Did the review authors perform data extraction in duplicate?	Х		
Did the review authors provide a list of excluded studies and justify the exclusions?	X		
Did the review authors describe the included studies in adequate detail?	X		
Did the review authors us a satisfactory technique for assessing the risk of bias in individual studies that were included in the review?	x		
Did the review authors report on the sources of funding for the studies included in the review?	Х		
If meta-analysis was performed did the review authors use appropriate methods for statistical combination of results?	x		
If meta-analysis was performed did the review authors assess the potential impact of RoB in	Х		

individual studies on the results of the meta-analysis or other evidence synthesis?		
Did the review authors account RoB in individual studies when interpreting/ discussing the results of the review?	x	
Did the review authors provide satisfactory explanation for, and discussion of, any heterogeneity observed in the results of the review?	x	
If they performed quantitive synthesis did the review authors carry out an adequate investigation of publication bias and discuss its likely impact on the results of the review?	х	
Did the review authors report any potential sources of conflict of interest, including any funding they received for conducting the review?	x	

Calabria, B., Shakeshaft, A. P., & Havard, A. (2011). A systematic and methodological review of interventions for young people experiencing alcohol-related harm. Addiction, 106(8), 1406-1418.

	YES	Partial YES	NO
Did the research question and inclusion criteria for the review include the components of PICO	X		
Did the report of the reviews contain an explicit statement that the review methods were established prior to the conduct of the review and did the report justify any significant deviations from the protocol?	x		
Did the review authors explain their selection of the study designs for inclusion in the review?	Х		
Did the review authors use a comprehensive literature search strategy?	Х		
Did the review authors perform study selection in duplicate?	X		
Did the review authors perform data extraction in duplicate?	X		
Did the review authors provide a list of excluded studies and justify the exclusions?			X
Did the review authors describe the included studies in adequate detail?	X		
Did the review authors us a satisfactory technique for assessing the risk of bias in individual studies that were included in the review?	x		
Did the review authors report on the sources of funding for the studies included in the review?	Х		
If meta-analysis was performed did the review authors use appropriate methods for statistical combination of results?			
If meta-analysis was performed did the review authors assess the potential impact of RoB in individual studies on the results of the meta-analysis or other evidence synthesis?			
Did the review authors account RoB in individual studies when interpreting/ discussing the results of the review?	x		
Did the review authors provide satisfactory explanation for, and discussion of, any heterogeneity observed in the results of the review?	x		
If they performed quantitive synthesis did the review authors carry out an adequate investigation of publication bias and discuss its likely impact on the results of the review?	x		
Did the review authors report any potential sources of conflict of interest, including any funding they received for conducting the review?	х		

Corrao, G., Bagnardi, V., Zambon, A., & La Vecchia, C. (2004). A meta-analysis of alcohol consumption and the risk of 15 diseases. Preventive medicine, 38(5), 613-619.

	YES	Partial YES	NO
Did the research question and inclusion criteria for the review include the components of PICO	X		
Did the report of the reviews contain an explicit statement that the review methods were established prior to the conduct of the review and did the report justify any significant deviations from the protocol?	x		
Did the review authors explain their selection of the study designs for inclusion in the review?	Х		
Did the review authors use a comprehensive literature search strategy?	Х		
Did the review authors perform study selection in duplicate?	X		
Did the review authors perform data extraction in duplicate?	Х		
Did the review authors provide a list of excluded studies and justify the exclusions?			Х
Did the review authors describe the included studies in adequate detail?	Х		
Did the review authors us a satisfactory technique for assessing the risk of bias in individual	Х		

studies that were included in the review?			
Did the review authors report on the sources of funding for the studies included in the review?	Х		
If meta-analysis was performed did the review authors use appropriate methods for statistical combination of results?	x		
If meta-analysis was performed did the review authors assess the potential impact of RoB in individual studies on the results of the meta-analysis or other evidence synthesis?		х	
Did the review authors account RoB in individual studies when interpreting/ discussing the results of the review?	х		
Did the review authors provide satisfactory explanation for, and discussion of, any heterogeneity observed in the results of the review?	x		
If they performed quantitive synthesis did the review authors carry out an adequate investigation of publication bias and discuss its likely impact on the results of the review?		х	
Did the review authors report any potential sources of conflict of interest, including any funding they received for conducting the review?	х		

Deady, M., Teesson, M., & J Kay-Lambkin, F. (2014). Treatments for co-occurring depression and substance use in young people: a systematic review. Current drug abuse reviews, 7(1), 3-17.

	YES	Partial YES	NO
Did the research question and inclusion criteria for the review include the components of PICO	X		
Did the report of the reviews contain an explicit statement that the review methods were established prior to the conduct of the review and did the report justify any significant deviations from the protocol?	x		
Did the review authors explain their selection of the study designs for inclusion in the review?	Х		
Did the review authors use a comprehensive literature search strategy?	Х		
Did the review authors perform study selection in duplicate?	X		
Did the review authors perform data extraction in duplicate?	Х		
Did the review authors provide a list of excluded studies and justify the exclusions?			Х
Did the review authors describe the included studies in adequate detail?	Х		
Did the review authors us a satisfactory technique for assessing the risk of bias in individual studies that were included in the review?	х		
Did the review authors report on the sources of funding for the studies included in the review?	Х		
If meta-analysis was performed did the review authors use appropriate methods for statistical combination of results?	-		
If meta-analysis was performed did the review authors assess the potential impact of RoB in individual studies on the results of the meta-analysis or other evidence synthesis?	-		
Did the review authors account RoB in individual studies when interpreting/ discussing the results of the review?	х		
Did the review authors provide satisfactory explanation for, and discussion of, any heterogeneity observed in the results of the review?	х		
If they performed quantitive synthesis did the review authors carry out an adequate investigation of publication bias and discuss its likely impact on the results of the review?	х		
Did the review authors report any potential sources of conflict of interest, including any funding they received for conducting the review?	х		

Devries, K. M., Child, J. C., Bacchus, L. J., Mak, J., Falder, G., Graham, K., ... & Heise, L. (2014). Intimate partner violence victimization and alcohol consumption in women: A systematic review and meta-analysis. Addiction, 109(3), 379-391.

YES	Partial YES	NO

X		
X		
X		
X		
X		
X		
	Х	
X		
v		
^		
X		
-		
<u> </u>		
v		
^		
v		
^		
v		
^		
×		
^		
	x x x x x	X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X

		Partial	
	YES	YES	NO
Did the research question and inclusion criteria for the review include the components of PICO	X		
Did the report of the reviews contain an explicit statement that the review methods were			
established prior to the conduct of the review and did the report justify any significant deviations	X		
from the protocol?			
Did the review authors explain their selection of the study designs for inclusion in the review?	X		
Did the review authors use a comprehensive literature search strategy?	X		
Did the review authors perform study selection in duplicate?	X		
Did the review authors perform data extraction in duplicate?	X		
Did the review authors provide a list of excluded studies and justify the exclusions?		X	
Did the review authors describe the included studies in adequate detail?	X		
Did the review authors us a satisfactory technique for assessing the risk of bias in individual	x		
studies that were included in the review?	^		
Did the review authors report on the sources of funding for the studies included in the review?	X		
If meta-analysis was performed did the review authors use appropriate methods for statistical	x		
combination of results?	^		
If meta-analysis was performed did the review authors assess the potential impact of RoB in	x		
individual studies on the results of the meta-analysis or other evidence synthesis?	^		
Did the review authors account RoB in individual studies when interpreting/ discussing the	x		
results of the review?	^		
Did the review authors provide satisfactory explanation for, and discussion of, any heterogeneity	x		
observed in the results of the review?	^		
If they performed quantitive synthesis did the review authors carry out an adequate	x		
investigation of publication bias and discuss its likely impact on the results of the review?	X		
Did the review authors report any potential sources of conflict of interest, including any funding	x		
they received for conducting the review?	^		

Finnerup, N. B., Attal, N., Haroutounian, S., McNicol, E., Baron, R., Dworkin, R. H., ... & Kamerman, P. R. (2015). Pharmacotherapy for neuropathic pain in adults: a systematic review and meta-analysis. The Lancet Neurology, 14(2), 162-173.

	YES	Partial YES	NO
Did the research question and inclusion criteria for the review include the components of PICO	X		
Did the report of the reviews contain an explicit statement that the review methods were established prior to the conduct of the review and did the report justify any significant deviations from the protocol?	x		
Did the review authors explain their selection of the study designs for inclusion in the review?	Х		
Did the review authors use a comprehensive literature search strategy?	Х		
Did the review authors perform study selection in duplicate?	Х		
Did the review authors perform data extraction in duplicate?	Х		
Did the review authors provide a list of excluded studies and justify the exclusions?	Х		
Did the review authors describe the included studies in adequate detail?	X		
Did the review authors us a satisfactory technique for assessing the risk of bias in individual studies that were included in the review?	x		
Did the review authors report on the sources of funding for the studies included in the review?	Х		
If meta-analysis was performed did the review authors use appropriate methods for statistical combination of results?	-		
If meta-analysis was performed did the review authors assess the potential impact of RoB in individual studies on the results of the meta-analysis or other evidence synthesis?	-		
Did the review authors account RoB in individual studies when interpreting/ discussing the results of the review?		x	
Did the review authors provide satisfactory explanation for, and discussion of, any heterogeneity observed in the results of the review?		x	
If they performed quantitive synthesis did the review authors carry out an adequate investigation of publication bias and discuss its likely impact on the results of the review?	-		
Did the review authors report any potential sources of conflict of interest, including any funding they received for conducting the review?	x		

Foran, H. M., & O'Leary, K. D. (2008). Alcohol and intimate partner violence: A meta-analytic review. Clinical psychology review, 28(7), 1222-1234.

	YES	Partial YES	NO
Did the research question and inclusion criteria for the review include the components of PICO	Х		
Did the report of the reviews contain an explicit statement that the review methods were			
established prior to the conduct of the review and did the report justify any significant deviations	X		
from the protocol?			
Did the review authors explain their selection of the study designs for inclusion in the review?	X		
Did the review authors use a comprehensive literature search strategy?	X		
Did the review authors perform study selection in duplicate?	X		
Did the review authors perform data extraction in duplicate?	X		
Did the review authors provide a list of excluded studies and justify the exclusions?		X	
Did the review authors describe the included studies in adequate detail?	X		
Did the review authors us a satisfactory technique for assessing the risk of bias in individual	x		
studies that were included in the review?	^		
Did the review authors report on the sources of funding for the studies included in the review?	X		
If meta-analysis was performed did the review authors use appropriate methods for statistical			
combination of results?	-		
If meta-analysis was performed did the review authors assess the potential impact of RoB in			
individual studies on the results of the meta-analysis or other evidence synthesis?	-		
Did the review authors account RoB in individual studies when interpreting/ discussing the	x		
results of the review?	^		
Did the review authors provide satisfactory explanation for, and discussion of, any heterogeneity	x		
observed in the results of the review?			

If they performed quantitive synthesis did the review authors carry out an adequate investigation of publication bias and discuss its likely impact on the results of the review?	х	
Did the review authors report any potential sources of conflict of interest, including any funding	x	
they received for conducting the review?	^	

Foulds, J. A., Adamson, S. J., Boden, J. M., Williman, J. A., & Mulder, R. T. (2015). Depression in patients with alcohol use disorders: systematic review and meta-analysis of outcomes for independent and substance-induced disorders. Journal of affective disorders, 185, 47-59.

	YES	Partial YES	NO
Did the research question and inclusion criteria for the review include the components of PICO	X		
Did the report of the reviews contain an explicit statement that the review methods were established prior to the conduct of the review and did the report justify any significant deviations from the protocol?	x		
Did the review authors explain their selection of the study designs for inclusion in the review?	X		
Did the review authors use a comprehensive literature search strategy?	Х		
Did the review authors perform study selection in duplicate?	X		
Did the review authors perform data extraction in duplicate?	X		
Did the review authors provide a list of excluded studies and justify the exclusions?	X		
Did the review authors describe the included studies in adequate detail?	X		
Did the review authors us a satisfactory technique for assessing the risk of bias in individual studies that were included in the review?	x		
Did the review authors report on the sources of funding for the studies included in the review?	Х		
If meta-analysis was performed did the review authors use appropriate methods for statistical combination of results?	x		
If meta-analysis was performed did the review authors assess the potential impact of RoB in individual studies on the results of the meta-analysis or other evidence synthesis?	х		
Did the review authors account RoB in individual studies when interpreting/ discussing the results of the review?		х	
Did the review authors provide satisfactory explanation for, and discussion of, any heterogeneity observed in the results of the review?		х	
If they performed quantitive synthesis did the review authors carry out an adequate investigation of publication bias and discuss its likely impact on the results of the review?	х		
Did the review authors report any potential sources of conflict of interest, including any funding they received for conducting the review?	x		

Hobbs, J. D., Kushner, M. G., Lee, S. S., Reardon, S. M., & Maurer, E. W. (2011). Meta-analysis of supplemental treatment for depressive and anxiety disorders in patients being treated for alcohol dependence. The American Journal on Addictions, 20(4), 319-329.

	YES	Partial YES	NO
Did the research question and inclusion criteria for the review include the components of PICO	X		
Did the report of the reviews contain an explicit statement that the review methods were			
established prior to the conduct of the review and did the report justify any significant deviations	X		
from the protocol?			
Did the review authors explain their selection of the study designs for inclusion in the review?	X		
Did the review authors use a comprehensive literature search strategy?	X		
Did the review authors perform study selection in duplicate?	X		
Did the review authors perform data extraction in duplicate?	X		
Did the review authors provide a list of excluded studies and justify the exclusions?		X	
Did the review authors describe the included studies in adequate detail?		X	
Did the review authors us a satisfactory technique for assessing the risk of bias in individual	x		
studies that were included in the review?	^		
Did the review authors report on the sources of funding for the studies included in the review?	X		
If meta-analysis was performed did the review authors use appropriate methods for statistical	-		
combination of results?			

If meta-analysis was performed did the review authors assess the potential impact of RoB in individual studies on the results of the meta-analysis or other evidence synthesis?	-	
Did the review authors account RoB in individual studies when interpreting/ discussing the results of the review?	х	
Did the review authors provide satisfactory explanation for, and discussion of, any heterogeneity observed in the results of the review?	х	
If they performed quantitive synthesis did the review authors carry out an adequate investigation of publication bias and discuss its likely impact on the results of the review?	x	
Did the review authors report any potential sources of conflict of interest, including any funding they received for conducting the review?	х	

Iovieno, N., Tedeschini, E., Bentley, K. H., Evins, A. E., & Papakostas, G. I. (2011). Antidepressants for major depressive disorder and dysthymic disorder in patients with comorbid alcohol use disorders: a meta-analysis of placebo-controlled randomized trials. The Journal of Clinical Psychiatry, 72(8), 1144-1151.

	YES	Partial YES	NO
Did the research question and inclusion criteria for the review include the components of PICO	X		
Did the report of the reviews contain an explicit statement that the review methods were			
established prior to the conduct of the review and did the report justify any significant deviations	X		
from the protocol?			
Did the review authors explain their selection of the study designs for inclusion in the review?	X		
Did the review authors use a comprehensive literature search strategy?	Х		
Did the review authors perform study selection in duplicate?	Х		
Did the review authors perform data extraction in duplicate?	Х		
Did the review authors provide a list of excluded studies and justify the exclusions?			Х
Did the review authors describe the included studies in adequate detail?	Х		
Did the review authors us a satisfactory technique for assessing the risk of bias in individual	х		
studies that were included in the review?	X		
Did the review authors report on the sources of funding for the studies included in the review?	Х		
If meta-analysis was performed did the review authors use appropriate methods for statistical			
combination of results?	-		
If meta-analysis was performed did the review authors assess the potential impact of RoB in			
individual studies on the results of the meta-analysis or other evidence synthesis?	-		
Did the review authors account RoB in individual studies when interpreting/ discussing the	x		
results of the review?	^		
Did the review authors provide satisfactory explanation for, and discussion of, any heterogeneity	x		
observed in the results of the review?	^		
If they performed quantitive synthesis did the review authors carry out an adequate	x		
investigation of publication bias and discuss its likely impact on the results of the review?	^		
Did the review authors report any potential sources of conflict of interest, including any funding	x		
they received for conducting the review?	^		

Jonas, D. E., Amick, H. R., Feltner, C., Bobashev, G., Thomas, K., Wines, R., ... & Garbutt, J. C. (2014). Pharmacotherapy for adults with alcohol use disorders in outpatient settings: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Jama, 311(18), 1889-1900.

	YES	Partial YES	NO
Did the research question and inclusion criteria for the review include the components of PICO	X		
Did the report of the reviews contain an explicit statement that the review methods were established prior to the conduct of the review and did the report justify any significant deviations from the protocol?	x		
Did the review authors explain their selection of the study designs for inclusion in the review?	X		
Did the review authors use a comprehensive literature search strategy?	X		
Did the review authors perform study selection in duplicate?	X		

Did the review authors perform data extraction in duplicate?	Х	
Did the review authors provide a list of excluded studies and justify the exclusions?	X	
Did the review authors describe the included studies in adequate detail?	Х	
Did the review authors us a satisfactory technique for assessing the risk of bias in individual studies that were included in the review?	x	
Did the review authors report on the sources of funding for the studies included in the review?	Х	
If meta-analysis was performed did the review authors use appropriate methods for statistical combination of results?	х	
If meta-analysis was performed did the review authors assess the potential impact of RoB in individual studies on the results of the meta-analysis or other evidence synthesis?	x	
Did the review authors account RoB in individual studies when interpreting/ discussing the results of the review?	x	
Did the review authors provide satisfactory explanation for, and discussion of, any heterogeneity observed in the results of the review?	х	
If they performed quantitive synthesis did the review authors carry out an adequate investigation of publication bias and discuss its likely impact on the results of the review?	х	
Did the review authors report any potential sources of conflict of interest, including any funding they received for conducting the review?	х	

	YES	Partial YES	NO
Did the research question and inclusion criteria for the review include the components of PICO	Х		
Did the report of the reviews contain an explicit statement that the review methods were			
established prior to the conduct of the review and did the report justify any significant deviations	X		
from the protocol?			
Did the review authors explain their selection of the study designs for inclusion in the review?	X		
Did the review authors use a comprehensive literature search strategy?	X		
Did the review authors perform study selection in duplicate?	X		
Did the review authors perform data extraction in duplicate?	X		
Did the review authors provide a list of excluded studies and justify the exclusions?			Х
Did the review authors describe the included studies in adequate detail?	Х		
Did the review authors us a satisfactory technique for assessing the risk of bias in individual	x		
studies that were included in the review?	^		
Did the review authors report on the sources of funding for the studies included in the review?	X		
If meta-analysis was performed did the review authors use appropriate methods for statistical			
combination of results?	-		
If meta-analysis was performed did the review authors assess the potential impact of RoB in			
individual studies on the results of the meta-analysis or other evidence synthesis?	-		
Did the review authors account RoB in individual studies when interpreting/ discussing the	x		
results of the review?	^		
Did the review authors provide satisfactory explanation for, and discussion of, any heterogeneity	x		
observed in the results of the review?	^		
If they performed quantitive synthesis did the review authors carry out an adequate	x		
investigation of publication bias and discuss its likely impact on the results of the review?	^		
Did the review authors report any potential sources of conflict of interest, including any funding	x		
they received for conducting the review?	^		

Julian, T., Glascow, N., Syeed, R., & Zis, P. (2018). Alcohol-related peripheral neuropathy: a systemeta-analysis. Journal of neurology 266(12), 2907-2919.	ematic	review a	and
	YES	Partial YES	NO
Did the research question and inclusion criteria for the review include the components of PICO	X		
Did the report of the reviews contain an explicit statement that the review methods were	X		

established prior to the conduct of the review and did the report justify any significant deviations	Τ		
from the protocol?			
Did the review authors explain their selection of the study designs for inclusion in the review?	Х		
Did the review authors use a comprehensive literature search strategy?	Х		
Did the review authors perform study selection in duplicate?	X		
Did the review authors perform data extraction in duplicate?		X	
Did the review authors provide a list of excluded studies and justify the exclusions?			X
Did the review authors describe the included studies in adequate detail?		X	
Did the review authors us a satisfactory technique for assessing the risk of bias in individual studies that were included in the review?	x		
Did the review authors report on the sources of funding for the studies included in the review?	Х		
If meta-analysis was performed did the review authors use appropriate methods for statistical combination of results?	-		
If meta-analysis was performed did the review authors assess the potential impact of RoB in individual studies on the results of the meta-analysis or other evidence synthesis?	-		
Did the review authors account RoB in individual studies when interpreting/ discussing the results of the review?	х		
Did the review authors provide satisfactory explanation for, and discussion of, any heterogeneity observed in the results of the review?	х		
If they performed quantitive synthesis did the review authors carry out an adequate investigation of publication bias and discuss its likely impact on the results of the review?	х		
Did the review authors report any potential sources of conflict of interest, including any funding they received for conducting the review?	х		

Kohler, S., & Hofmann, A. (2015). Can motivational interviewing in emergency care reduce alcohol consumption in young people? A systematic review and meta-analysis. Alcohol and Alcoholism, 50(2), 107-117.

	YES	Partial YES	NO
Did the research question and inclusion criteria for the review include the components of PICO	X		
Did the report of the reviews contain an explicit statement that the review methods were established prior to the conduct of the review and did the report justify any significant deviations from the protocol?	x		
Did the review authors explain their selection of the study designs for inclusion in the review?	X		
Did the review authors use a comprehensive literature search strategy?	X		
Did the review authors perform study selection in duplicate?	X		
Did the review authors perform data extraction in duplicate?	X		
Did the review authors provide a list of excluded studies and justify the exclusions?	X		
Did the review authors describe the included studies in adequate detail?	X		
Did the review authors us a satisfactory technique for assessing the risk of bias in individual studies that were included in the review?	x		
Did the review authors report on the sources of funding for the studies included in the review?	Х		
If meta-analysis was performed did the review authors use appropriate methods for statistical combination of results?	x		
If meta-analysis was performed did the review authors assess the potential impact of RoB in individual studies on the results of the meta-analysis or other evidence synthesis?	x		
Did the review authors account RoB in individual studies when interpreting/ discussing the results of the review?	x		
Did the review authors provide satisfactory explanation for, and discussion of, any heterogeneity observed in the results of the review?	x		
If they performed quantitive synthesis did the review authors carry out an adequate investigation of publication bias and discuss its likely impact on the results of the review?	x		
Did the review authors report any potential sources of conflict of interest, including any funding they received for conducting the review?	x		

Mdege, N. D., & Watson, J. (2013b). Predictors of study setting (primary care vs. hospital setting) among studies of the effectiveness of brief interventions among heavy alcohol users: A systematic review. Drug and alcohol review, 32(4), 368-380.

	YES	Partial YES	NO
Did the research question and inclusion criteria for the review include the components of PICO	X		
Did the report of the reviews contain an explicit statement that the review methods were			
established prior to the conduct of the review and did the report justify any significant deviations	X		
from the protocol?			
Did the review authors explain their selection of the study designs for inclusion in the review?	X		
Did the review authors use a comprehensive literature search strategy?	X		
Did the review authors perform study selection in duplicate?			Х
Did the review authors perform data extraction in duplicate?			Х
Did the review authors provide a list of excluded studies and justify the exclusions?			Х
Did the review authors describe the included studies in adequate detail?	X		
Did the review authors us a satisfactory technique for assessing the risk of bias in individual	x		
studies that were included in the review?	^		
Did the review authors report on the sources of funding for the studies included in the review?	X		
If meta-analysis was performed did the review authors use appropriate methods for statistical			
combination of results?			
If meta-analysis was performed did the review authors assess the potential impact of RoB in			
individual studies on the results of the meta-analysis or other evidence synthesis?	-		
Did the review authors account RoB in individual studies when interpreting/ discussing the			
results of the review?			
Did the review authors provide satisfactory explanation for, and discussion of, any heterogeneity	X		
observed in the results of the review?			
If they performed quantitive synthesis did the review authors carry out an adequate	x		
investigation of publication bias and discuss its likely impact on the results of the review?	^		
Did the review authors report any potential sources of conflict of interest, including any funding	x		
they received for conducting the review?			

Ntais, C., Pakos, E., Kyzas, P., & Ioannidis, J. P. (2005). Benzodiazepines for alcohol withdrawal. Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews, (3).

	YES	Partial YES	NO
Did the research question and inclusion criteria for the review include the components of PICO	X		
Did the report of the reviews contain an explicit statement that the review methods were established prior to the conduct of the review and did the report justify any significant deviations from the protocol?	x		
Did the review authors explain their selection of the study designs for inclusion in the review?	Х		
Did the review authors use a comprehensive literature search strategy?	Х		
Did the review authors perform study selection in duplicate?	Х		
Did the review authors perform data extraction in duplicate?	Х		
Did the review authors provide a list of excluded studies and justify the exclusions?	Х		
Did the review authors describe the included studies in adequate detail?	X		
Did the review authors us a satisfactory technique for assessing the risk of bias in individual studies that were included in the review?	х		
Did the review authors report on the sources of funding for the studies included in the review?	Х		
If meta-analysis was performed did the review authors use appropriate methods for statistical combination of results?	-		
If meta-analysis was performed did the review authors assess the potential impact of RoB in individual studies on the results of the meta-analysis or other evidence synthesis?	-		
Did the review authors account RoB in individual studies when interpreting/ discussing the results of the review?	x		
Did the review authors provide satisfactory explanation for, and discussion of, any heterogeneity observed in the results of the review?	х		

If they performed quantitive synthesis did the review authors carry out an adequate investigation of publication bias and discuss its likely impact on the results of the review?	x	
Did the review authors report any potential sources of conflict of interest, including any funding	v	
they received for conducting the review?	^	

Palpacuer, C., Duprez, R., Huneau, A., Locher, C., Boussageon, R., Laviolle, B., & Naudet, F. (2018). Pharmacologically controlled drinking in the treatment of alcohol dependence or alcohol use disorders: a systematic review with direct and network meta-analyses on nalmefene, naltrexone, acamprosate, baclofen and topiramate. Addiction, 113(2), 220-237.

	YES	Partial YES	NO
Did the research question and inclusion criteria for the review include the components of PICO	X		
Did the report of the reviews contain an explicit statement that the review methods were established prior to the conduct of the review and did the report justify any significant deviations from the protocol?	x		
Did the review authors explain their selection of the study designs for inclusion in the review?	X		
Did the review authors use a comprehensive literature search strategy?	X		
Did the review authors perform study selection in duplicate?	X		
Did the review authors perform data extraction in duplicate?	X		
Did the review authors provide a list of excluded studies and justify the exclusions?	Х		
Did the review authors describe the included studies in adequate detail?	Х		
Did the review authors us a satisfactory technique for assessing the risk of bias in individual studies that were included in the review?	х		
Did the review authors report on the sources of funding for the studies included in the review?	Х		
If meta-analysis was performed did the review authors use appropriate methods for statistical combination of results?	х		
If meta-analysis was performed did the review authors assess the potential impact of RoB in individual studies on the results of the meta-analysis or other evidence synthesis?	х		
Did the review authors account RoB in individual studies when interpreting/ discussing the results of the review?	х		
Did the review authors provide satisfactory explanation for, and discussion of, any heterogeneity observed in the results of the review?	x		
If they performed quantitive synthesis did the review authors carry out an adequate investigation of publication bias and discuss its likely impact on the results of the review?	х		
Did the review authors report any potential sources of conflict of interest, including any funding they received for conducting the review?	х		

Pennay, A., Cameron, J., Reichert, T., Strickland, H., Lee, N. K., Hall, K., & Lubman, D. I. (2011). A systematic review of interventions for co-occurring substance use disorder and borderline personality disorder. Journal of Substance Abuse Treatment, 41(4), 363-373

	YES	Partial YES	NO
Did the research question and inclusion criteria for the review include the components of PICO	Х		
Did the report of the reviews contain an explicit statement that the review methods were			
established prior to the conduct of the review and did the report justify any significant deviations	Х		
from the protocol?			
Did the review authors explain their selection of the study designs for inclusion in the review?	Х		
Did the review authors use a comprehensive literature search strategy?	Х		
Did the review authors perform study selection in duplicate?		X	
Did the review authors perform data extraction in duplicate?		X	
Did the review authors provide a list of excluded studies and justify the exclusions?			Х
Did the review authors describe the included studies in adequate detail?	Х		
Did the review authors us a satisfactory technique for assessing the risk of bias in individual studies	х		
that were included in the review?	^		
Did the review authors report on the sources of funding for the studies included in the review?	Х		

If meta-analysis was performed did the review authors use appropriate methods for statistical combination of results?	-	
If meta-analysis was performed did the review authors assess the potential impact of RoB in individual studies on the results of the meta-analysis or other evidence synthesis?	-	
Did the review authors account RoB in individual studies when interpreting/ discussing the results of the review?	х	
Did the review authors provide satisfactory explanation for, and discussion of, any heterogeneity observed in the results of the review?	х	
If they performed quantitive synthesis did the review authors carry out an adequate investigation of publication bias and discuss its likely impact on the results of the review?	х	
Did the review authors report any potential sources of conflict of interest, including any funding they received for conducting the review?	х	

Riper, H., Andersson, G., Hunter, S. B., de Wit, J., Berking, M., & Cuijpers, P. (2014). Treatment of comorbid alcohol use disorders and depression with cognitive-behavioural therapy and motivational interviewing: A meta-analysis. Addiction, 109(3), 394-406.

	YES	Partial YES	NO
Did the research question and inclusion criteria for the review include the components of PICO	Х		
Did the report of the reviews contain an explicit statement that the review methods were			
established prior to the conduct of the review and did the report justify any significant deviations	Х		
from the protocol?			
Did the review authors explain their selection of the study designs for inclusion in the review?	X		
Did the review authors use a comprehensive literature search strategy?	Х		
Did the review authors perform study selection in duplicate?			Х
Did the review authors perform data extraction in duplicate?			Х
Did the review authors provide a list of excluded studies and justify the exclusions?		X	
Did the review authors describe the included studies in adequate detail?	Х		
Did the review authors us a satisfactory technique for assessing the risk of bias in individual studies	х		
that were included in the review?			
Did the review authors report on the sources of funding for the studies included in the review?	Х		
If meta-analysis was performed did the review authors use appropriate methods for statistical combination of results?	x		
If meta-analysis was performed did the review authors assess the potential impact of RoB in	x		
individual studies on the results of the meta-analysis or other evidence synthesis?	^		
Did the review authors account RoB in individual studies when interpreting/ discussing the results of the review?	х		
Did the review authors provide satisfactory explanation for, and discussion of, any heterogeneity	x		
observed in the results of the review?	^		
If they performed quantitive synthesis did the review authors carry out an adequate investigation	×		
of publication bias and discuss its likely impact on the results of the review?			
Did the review authors report any potential sources of conflict of interest, including any funding they received for conducting the review?	x		

Riper, H., Hoogendoorn, A., Cuijpers, P., Karyotaki, E., Boumparis, N., Mira, A., ... & Blankers, M. (2018). Effectiveness and treatment moderators of internet interventions for adult problem drinking: An individual patient data meta-analysis of 19 randomised controlled trials. PLoS medicine, 15(12).

	YES	Partial YES	NO
Did the research question and inclusion criteria for the review include the components of PICO	X		
Did the report of the reviews contain an explicit statement that the review methods were established prior to the conduct of the review and did the report justify any significant deviations from the protocol?	x		
Did the review authors explain their selection of the study designs for inclusion in the review?	Х		
Did the review authors use a comprehensive literature search strategy?	Х		
Did the review authors perform study selection in duplicate?	X		

Did the review authors perform data extraction in duplicate?	X		
Did the review authors provide a list of excluded studies and justify the exclusions?		X	
Did the review authors describe the included studies in adequate detail?	Х		
Did the review authors us a satisfactory technique for assessing the risk of bias in individual studies that were included in the review?	x		
Did the review authors report on the sources of funding for the studies included in the review?	Х		
If meta-analysis was performed did the review authors use appropriate methods for statistical combination of results?	x		
If meta-analysis was performed did the review authors assess the potential impact of RoB in individual studies on the results of the meta-analysis or other evidence synthesis?	x		
Did the review authors account RoB in individual studies when interpreting/ discussing the results of the review?	x		
Did the review authors provide satisfactory explanation for, and discussion of, any heterogeneity observed in the results of the review?	х		
If they performed quantitive synthesis did the review authors carry out an adequate investigation of publication bias and discuss its likely impact on the results of the review?	x		
Did the review authors report any potential sources of conflict of interest, including any funding they received for conducting the review?	x		

Roberts, N. P., Roberts, P. A., Jones, N., & Bisson, J. I. (2015). Psychological interventions for post-traumatic stress disorder and comorbid substance use disorder: A systematic review and meta-analysis. Clinical psychology review, 38, 25-38.

	YES	Partial YES	NO
Did the research question and inclusion criteria for the review include the components of PICO	Х		
Did the report of the reviews contain an explicit statement that the review methods were established prior to the conduct of the review and did the report justify any significant deviations from the protocol?	x		
Did the review authors explain their selection of the study designs for inclusion in the review?	Х		
Did the review authors use a comprehensive literature search strategy?	Х		
Did the review authors perform study selection in duplicate?	Х		
Did the review authors perform data extraction in duplicate?	X		
Did the review authors provide a list of excluded studies and justify the exclusions?		X	
Did the review authors describe the included studies in adequate detail?	X		
Did the review authors us a satisfactory technique for assessing the risk of bias in individual studies that were included in the review?	x		
Did the review authors report on the sources of funding for the studies included in the review?	Х		
If meta-analysis was performed did the review authors use appropriate methods for statistical combination of results?	х		
If meta-analysis was performed did the review authors assess the potential impact of RoB in individual studies on the results of the meta-analysis or other evidence synthesis?	х		
Did the review authors account RoB in individual studies when interpreting/ discussing the results of the review?	х		
Did the review authors provide satisfactory explanation for, and discussion of, any heterogeneity observed in the results of the review?	х		
If they performed quantitive synthesis did the review authors carry out an adequate investigation of publication bias and discuss its likely impact on the results of the review?	х		
Did the review authors report any potential sources of conflict of interest, including any funding they received for conducting the review?	х		

Saarto, T., & Wiffen, P. J. (2010). Antidepressants for neuropathic pain: a Cochrane review. Journal of Neurology,
Neurosurgery & Psychiatry, 81(12), 1372-1373.

	YES	Partial YES	NO
Did the research question and inclusion criteria for the review include the components of PICO	X		

Did the report of the reviews contain an explicit statement that the review methods were		
established prior to the conduct of the review and did the report justify any significant deviations	X	
from the protocol?		
Did the review authors explain their selection of the study designs for inclusion in the review?	X	
Did the review authors use a comprehensive literature search strategy?	Х	
Did the review authors perform study selection in duplicate?	Х	
Did the review authors perform data extraction in duplicate?	Х	
Did the review authors provide a list of excluded studies and justify the exclusions?	Х	
Did the review authors describe the included studies in adequate detail?	Х	
Did the review authors us a satisfactory technique for assessing the risk of bias in individual studies		
that were included in the review?	X	
Did the review authors report on the sources of funding for the studies included in the review?	Х	
If meta-analysis was performed did the review authors use appropriate methods for statistical		
combination of results?	-	
If meta-analysis was performed did the review authors assess the potential impact of RoB in		
individual studies on the results of the meta-analysis or other evidence synthesis?	-	
Did the review authors account RoB in individual studies when interpreting/ discussing the results	x	
of the review?	^	
Did the review authors provide satisfactory explanation for, and discussion of, any heterogeneity	x	
observed in the results of the review?	^	
If they performed quantitive synthesis did the review authors carry out an adequate investigation	x	
of publication bias and discuss its likely impact on the results of the review?	^	
Did the review authors report any potential sources of conflict of interest, including any funding	x	
they received for conducting the review?	^	

Samson, J. E., & McHugh, R. M. (2019). Brief Alcohol Interventions for Adolescents and Young Adults in Emergency Department Settings: A Descriptive Review and Meta-analysis. Adolescent Research Review, 4(3), 313-327.

	YES	Partial YES	NO
Did the research question and inclusion criteria for the review include the components of PICO	Х		
Did the report of the reviews contain an explicit statement that the review methods were established prior to the conduct of the review and did the report justify any significant deviations from the protocol?	x		
Did the review authors explain their selection of the study designs for inclusion in the review?	Х		
Did the review authors use a comprehensive literature search strategy?	Х		
Did the review authors perform study selection in duplicate?	Х		
Did the review authors perform data extraction in duplicate?	Х		
Did the review authors provide a list of excluded studies and justify the exclusions?	X		
Did the review authors describe the included studies in adequate detail?	х		
Did the review authors us a satisfactory technique for assessing the risk of bias in individual studies that were included in the review?	x		
Did the review authors report on the sources of funding for the studies included in the review?	Х		
If meta-analysis was performed did the review authors use appropriate methods for statistical combination of results?	х		
If meta-analysis was performed did the review authors assess the potential impact of RoB in individual studies on the results of the meta-analysis or other evidence synthesis?	х		
Did the review authors account RoB in individual studies when interpreting/ discussing the results of the review?	х		
Did the review authors provide satisfactory explanation for, and discussion of, any heterogeneity observed in the results of the review?	х		
If they performed quantitive synthesis did the review authors carry out an adequate investigation of publication bias and discuss its likely impact on the results of the review?	х		
Did the review authors report any potential sources of conflict of interest, including any funding they received for conducting the review?	х		

Simioni, N., Cottencin, O., & Rolland, B. (2015). Interventions for increasing subsequent alcohol treatment utilisation among patients with alcohol use disorders from somatic inpatient settings: a systematic review. Alcohol and Alcoholism, 50(4), 420-429.

	YES	Partial YES	NO
Did the research question and inclusion criteria for the review include the components of PICO	Х		
Did the report of the reviews contain an explicit statement that the review methods were			
established prior to the conduct of the review and did the report justify any significant deviations	Х		
from the protocol?			
Did the review authors explain their selection of the study designs for inclusion in the review?	X		
Did the review authors use a comprehensive literature search strategy?	X		
Did the review authors perform study selection in duplicate?	Х		
Did the review authors perform data extraction in duplicate?	Х		
Did the review authors provide a list of excluded studies and justify the exclusions?		X	
Did the review authors describe the included studies in adequate detail?	Х		
Did the review authors us a satisfactory technique for assessing the risk of bias in individual studies	х		
that were included in the review?			
Did the review authors report on the sources of funding for the studies included in the review?	Х		
If meta-analysis was performed did the review authors use appropriate methods for statistical combination of results?	-		
If meta-analysis was performed did the review authors assess the potential impact of RoB in	_		
individual studies on the results of the meta-analysis or other evidence synthesis?			
Did the review authors account RoB in individual studies when interpreting/ discussing the results of the review?	x		
Did the review authors provide satisfactory explanation for, and discussion of, any heterogeneity	х		
observed in the results of the review?			
If they performed quantitive synthesis did the review authors carry out an adequate investigation	x		
of publication bias and discuss its likely impact on the results of the review?			
Did the review authors report any potential sources of conflict of interest, including any funding they received for conducting the review?	x		

Sundström, C., Blankers, M., & Khadjesari, Z. (2017). Computer-based interventions for problematic alcohol use: a review of systematic reviews. International journal of behavioral medicine, 24(5), 646-658.

	YES	Partial YES	NO
Did the research question and inclusion criteria for the review include the components of PICO	Х		
Did the report of the reviews contain an explicit statement that the review methods were established prior to the conduct of the review and did the report justify any significant deviations from the protocol?	x		
Did the review authors explain their selection of the study designs for inclusion in the review?	Х		
Did the review authors use a comprehensive literature search strategy?	Х		
Did the review authors perform study selection in duplicate?	X		
Did the review authors perform data extraction in duplicate?	Х		
Did the review authors provide a list of excluded studies and justify the exclusions?		X	
Did the review authors describe the included studies in adequate detail?	Х		
Did the review authors us a satisfactory technique for assessing the risk of bias in individual studies that were included in the review?		x	
Did the review authors report on the sources of funding for the studies included in the review?	Х		
If meta-analysis was performed did the review authors use appropriate methods for statistical combination of results?	-		
If meta-analysis was performed did the review authors assess the potential impact of RoB in individual studies on the results of the meta-analysis or other evidence synthesis?	-		
Did the review authors account RoB in individual studies when interpreting/ discussing the results of the review?	х		
Did the review authors provide satisfactory explanation for, and discussion of, any heterogeneity observed in the results of the review?	х		

If they performed quantitive synthesis did the review authors carry out an adequate investigation of publication bias and discuss its likely impact on the results of the review?	x	
Did the review authors report any potential sources of conflict of interest, including any funding	х	
they received for conducting the review?	^	

Tanner-Smith, E. E., & Lipsey, M. W. (2015). Brief alcohol interventions for adolescents and young systematic review and meta-analysis. Journal of substance abuse treatment, 51, 1-18.	adult	s: A	
	YES	Partial YES	NO
Did the research question and inclusion criteria for the review include the components of PICO	Х		
Did the report of the reviews contain an explicit statement that the review methods were			
established prior to the conduct of the review and did the report justify any significant deviations from the protocol?	X		
Did the review authors explain their selection of the study designs for inclusion in the review?	Х		
Did the review authors use a comprehensive literature search strategy?	Х		
Did the review authors perform study selection in duplicate?	Х		
Did the review authors perform data extraction in duplicate?	Х		
Did the review authors provide a list of excluded studies and justify the exclusions?		X	
Did the review authors describe the included studies in adequate detail?	Х		
Did the review authors us a satisfactory technique for assessing the risk of bias in individual studies that were included in the review?	х		
Did the review authors report on the sources of funding for the studies included in the review?	Х		
If meta-analysis was performed did the review authors use appropriate methods for statistical combination of results?	х		
If meta-analysis was performed did the review authors assess the potential impact of RoB in individual studies on the results of the meta-analysis or other evidence synthesis?	x		
Did the review authors account RoB in individual studies when interpreting/ discussing the results of the review?	х		
Did the review authors provide satisfactory explanation for, and discussion of, any heterogeneity observed in the results of the review?	х		
If they performed quantitive synthesis did the review authors carry out an adequate investigation of publication bias and discuss its likely impact on the results of the review?	х		
Did the review authors report any potential sources of conflict of interest, including any funding they received for conducting the review?	х		

	YES	Partial YES	NC
Did the research question and inclusion criteria for the review include the components of PICO	Х		
Did the report of the reviews contain an explicit statement that the review methods were established prior to the conduct of the review and did the report justify any significant deviations from the protocol?	x		
Did the review authors explain their selection of the study designs for inclusion in the review?	Х		
Did the review authors use a comprehensive literature search strategy?	Х		
Did the review authors perform study selection in duplicate?	X		
Did the review authors perform data extraction in duplicate?	Х		
Did the review authors provide a list of excluded studies and justify the exclusions?		Х	
Did the review authors describe the included studies in adequate detail?	Х		
Did the review authors us a satisfactory technique for assessing the risk of bias in individual studies that were included in the review?		x	
Did the review authors report on the sources of funding for the studies included in the review?		Х	
If meta-analysis was performed did the review authors use appropriate methods for statistical combination of results?	х		
If meta-analysis was performed did the review authors assess the potential impact of RoB in	Х		

individual studies on the results of the meta-analysis or other evidence synthesis?		
Did the review authors account RoB in individual studies when interpreting/ discussing the results of the review?	x	
Did the review authors provide satisfactory explanation for, and discussion of, any heterogeneity observed in the results of the review?	х	
If they performed quantitive synthesis did the review authors carry out an adequate investigation of publication bias and discuss its likely impact on the results of the review?	х	
Did the review authors report any potential sources of conflict of interest, including any funding they received for conducting the review?	х	

	YES	Partial YES	NO
Did the research question and inclusion criteria for the review include the components of PICO	Х		
Did the report of the reviews contain an explicit statement that the review methods were established prior to the conduct of the review and did the report justify any significant deviations from the protocol?	x		
Did the review authors explain their selection of the study designs for inclusion in the review?	X		
Did the review authors use a comprehensive literature search strategy?	X		
Did the review authors perform study selection in duplicate?	X		
Did the review authors perform data extraction in duplicate?	X		
Did the review authors provide a list of excluded studies and justify the exclusions?			X
Did the review authors describe the included studies in adequate detail?	X		
Did the review authors us a satisfactory technique for assessing the risk of bias in individual studies that were included in the review?	X		
Did the review authors report on the sources of funding for the studies included in the review?	Х		
If meta-analysis was performed did the review authors use appropriate methods for statistical combination of results?	-		
If meta-analysis was performed did the review authors assess the potential impact of RoB in individual studies on the results of the meta-analysis or other evidence synthesis?	-		
Did the review authors account RoB in individual studies when interpreting/ discussing the results of the review?	х		
Did the review authors provide satisfactory explanation for, and discussion of, any heterogeneity observed in the results of the review?	х		
If they performed quantitive synthesis did the review authors carry out an adequate investigation of publication bias and discuss its likely impact on the results of the review?	х		
Did the review authors report any potential sources of conflict of interest, including any funding they received for conducting the review?	х		

Torrens, M., Fonseca, F., Mateu, G., & Farré, M. (2005). Efficacy of antidepressants in substance use disorders with and without comorbid depression: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Drug and Alcohol Dependence,			
78(1), 1-22.	Срс	ac.i.c	-,
	YES	Partial YES	NO
Did the research question and inclusion criteria for the review include the components of PICO	X		
Did the report of the reviews contain an explicit statement that the review methods were established prior to the conduct of the review and did the report justify any significant deviations from the protocol?	x		
Did the review authors explain their selection of the study designs for inclusion in the review?	X		
Did the review authors use a comprehensive literature search strategy?	Х		
Did the review authors perform study selection in duplicate?	X		
Did the review authors perform data extraction in duplicate?	Х		
Did the review authors provide a list of excluded studies and justify the exclusions?		X	
Did the review authors describe the included studies in adequate detail?		X	

Did the review authors us a satisfactory technique for assessing the risk of bias in individual studies that were included in the review?	х		
Did the review authors report on the sources of funding for the studies included in the review?	Х		
If meta-analysis was performed did the review authors use appropriate methods for statistical combination of results?	x		
If meta-analysis was performed did the review authors assess the potential impact of RoB in individual studies on the results of the meta-analysis or other evidence synthesis?		x	
Did the review authors account RoB in individual studies when interpreting/ discussing the results of the review?	х		
Did the review authors provide satisfactory explanation for, and discussion of, any heterogeneity observed in the results of the review?	х		
If they performed quantitive synthesis did the review authors carry out an adequate investigation of publication bias and discuss its likely impact on the results of the review?	x		
Did the review authors report any potential sources of conflict of interest, including any funding they received for conducting the review?	х		

Tripodi, S. J., Bender, K., Litschge, C., & Vaughn, M. G. (2010). Interventions for reducing adolescent alcohol abuse: a meta-analytic review. Archives of pediatrics & adolescent medicine, 164(1), 85-91.				
	YES	Partial YES	NO	
Did the research question and inclusion criteria for the review include the components of PICO	Х			
Did the report of the reviews contain an explicit statement that the review methods were established prior to the conduct of the review and did the report justify any significant deviations from the protocol?	х			
Did the review authors explain their selection of the study designs for inclusion in the review?	Х			
Did the review authors use a comprehensive literature search strategy?	Х			
Did the review authors perform study selection in duplicate?	Х			
Did the review authors perform data extraction in duplicate?	Х			
Did the review authors provide a list of excluded studies and justify the exclusions?		Х		
Did the review authors describe the included studies in adequate detail?	Х			
Did the review authors us a satisfactory technique for assessing the risk of bias in individual studies that were included in the review?	х			
Did the review authors report on the sources of funding for the studies included in the review?	Х			
If meta-analysis was performed did the review authors use appropriate methods for statistical combination of results?	-			
If meta-analysis was performed did the review authors assess the potential impact of RoB in individual studies on the results of the meta-analysis or other evidence synthesis?	-			
Did the review authors account RoB in individual studies when interpreting/ discussing the results of the review?	x			
Did the review authors provide satisfactory explanation for, and discussion of, any heterogeneity observed in the results of the review?	х			
If they performed quantitive synthesis did the review authors carry out an adequate investigation of publication bias and discuss its likely impact on the results of the review?	х			
Did the review authors report any potential sources of conflict of interest, including any funding they received for conducting the review?	х			

Turnbull, C., & Osborn, D. A. (2012). Home visits during pregnancy and after birth for women with an alcohol or drug problem. Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews, (1).				
	YES	Partial YES	NO	
Did the research question and inclusion criteria for the review include the components of PICO	Х			
Did the report of the reviews contain an explicit statement that the review methods were established prior to the conduct of the review and did the report justify any significant deviations from the protocol?	x			
Did the review authors explain their selection of the study designs for inclusion in the review?	Х			

Did the review authors use a comprehensive literature search strategy?	X			
Did the review authors perform study selection in duplicate?				
Did the review authors perform data extraction in duplicate?				
Did the review authors provide a list of excluded studies and justify the exclusions?				
Did the review authors describe the included studies in adequate detail?				
Did the review authors us a satisfactory technique for assessing the risk of bias in individual studies that were included in the review?				
Did the review authors report on the sources of funding for the studies included in the review?	X			
If meta-analysis was performed did the review authors use appropriate methods for statistical combination of results?	-			
If meta-analysis was performed did the review authors assess the potential impact of RoB in individual studies on the results of the meta-analysis or other evidence synthesis?	-			
Did the review authors account RoB in individual studies when interpreting/ discussing the results of the review?	x			
Did the review authors provide satisfactory explanation for, and discussion of, any heterogeneity observed in the results of the review?	х			
If they performed quantitive synthesis did the review authors carry out an adequate investigation of publication bias and discuss its likely impact on the results of the review?	х			
Did the review authors report any potential sources of conflict of interest, including any funding they received for conducting the review?	х			

van Emmerik-van Oortmerssen, K., van de Glind, G., van den Brink, W., Smit, F., Crunelle, C. L., Swets, M., & Schoevers, R. A. (2012). Prevalence of attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder in substance use disorder patients: a meta-analysis and meta-regression analysis. Drug and Alcohol Dependence, 122(1-2), 11-19.

	YES	Partial YES	NO
Did the research question and inclusion criteria for the review include the components of PICO	X		
Did the report of the reviews contain an explicit statement that the review methods were			
established prior to the conduct of the review and did the report justify any significant deviations from the protocol?	X		
Did the review authors explain their selection of the study designs for inclusion in the review?	Х		
Did the review authors use a comprehensive literature search strategy?	Х		
Did the review authors perform study selection in duplicate?	Х		
Did the review authors perform data extraction in duplicate?	Х		
Did the review authors provide a list of excluded studies and justify the exclusions?	Х		
Did the review authors describe the included studies in adequate detail?	Х		
Did the review authors us a satisfactory technique for assessing the risk of bias in individual studies that were included in the review?	х		
Did the review authors report on the sources of funding for the studies included in the review?	Х		
If meta-analysis was performed did the review authors use appropriate methods for statistical combination of results?	х		
If meta-analysis was performed did the review authors assess the potential impact of RoB in individual studies on the results of the meta-analysis or other evidence synthesis?	х		
Did the review authors account RoB in individual studies when interpreting/ discussing the results of the review?	х		
Did the review authors provide satisfactory explanation for, and discussion of, any heterogeneity observed in the results of the review?	х		
If they performed quantitive synthesis did the review authors carry out an adequate investigation of publication bias and discuss its likely impact on the results of the review?	Х		
Did the review authors report any potential sources of conflict of interest, including any funding they received for conducting the review?	х		

Webb, G., Shakeshaft, A., Sanson-Fisher, R., & Havard, A. (2009). A systematic review of work-place interventions			ons
for alcohol-related problems. Addiction, 104(3), 365-377.			
	YES	Partial YES	NO

Did the research question and inclusion criteria for the review include the components of PICO	X		
Did the report of the reviews contain an explicit statement that the review methods were			
established prior to the conduct of the review and did the report justify any significant deviations	x		
from the protocol?			
Did the review authors explain their selection of the study designs for inclusion in the review?	Х		
Did the review authors use a comprehensive literature search strategy?	Х		
Did the review authors perform study selection in duplicate?	Х		
Did the review authors perform data extraction in duplicate?	Х		
Did the review authors provide a list of excluded studies and justify the exclusions?		Х	
Did the review authors describe the included studies in adequate detail?	Х		
Did the review authors us a satisfactory technique for assessing the risk of bias in individual studies	x		
that were included in the review?	^		
Did the review authors report on the sources of funding for the studies included in the review?	Х		
If meta-analysis was performed did the review authors use appropriate methods for statistical			
combination of results?	-		
If meta-analysis was performed did the review authors assess the potential impact of RoB in	_		
individual studies on the results of the meta-analysis or other evidence synthesis?			
Did the review authors account RoB in individual studies when interpreting/ discussing the results	x		
of the review?			
Did the review authors provide satisfactory explanation for, and discussion of, any heterogeneity	x		
observed in the results of the review?			
If they performed quantitive synthesis did the review authors carry out an adequate investigation	x		
of publication bias and discuss its likely impact on the results of the review?	^		
Did the review authors report any potential sources of conflict of interest, including any funding	x		
they received for conducting the review?	_^_		

	YES	Partial YES	NO
Did the research question and inclusion criteria for the review include the components of PICO	Х		
Did the report of the reviews contain an explicit statement that the review methods were established prior to the conduct of the review and did the report justify any significant deviations from the protocol?	x		
Did the review authors explain their selection of the study designs for inclusion in the review?	X		
Did the review authors use a comprehensive literature search strategy?	X		
Did the review authors perform study selection in duplicate?	X		
Did the review authors perform data extraction in duplicate?	X		
Did the review authors provide a list of excluded studies and justify the exclusions?		X	
Did the review authors describe the included studies in adequate detail?	Х		
Did the review authors us a satisfactory technique for assessing the risk of bias in individual studies that were included in the review?	х		
Did the review authors report on the sources of funding for the studies included in the review?	Х		
If meta-analysis was performed did the review authors use appropriate methods for statistical combination of results?	-		
If meta-analysis was performed did the review authors assess the potential impact of RoB in individual studies on the results of the meta-analysis or other evidence synthesis?	-		
Did the review authors account RoB in individual studies when interpreting/ discussing the results of the review?	х		
Did the review authors provide satisfactory explanation for, and discussion of, any heterogeneity observed in the results of the review?	х		
If they performed quantitive synthesis did the review authors carry out an adequate investigation of publication bias and discuss its likely impact on the results of the review?	х		
Did the review authors report any potential sources of conflict of interest, including any funding they received for conducting the review?	х		

Yuma-Guerrero, P. J., Lawson, K. A., Velasquez, M. M., Von Sternberg, K., Maxson, T., & Garcia, N. (2012). Screening, brief intervention, and referral for alcohol use in adolescents: a systematic review. Pediatrics, 130(1), 115-12

115-12	YES	Partial YES	NO
Did the research question and inclusion criteria for the review include the components of PICO	Х	TES	
Did the report of the reviews contain an explicit statement that the review methods were established prior to the conduct of the review and did the report justify any significant deviations from the protocol?	x		Г
Did the review authors explain their selection of the study designs for inclusion in the review?	X		
Did the review authors use a comprehensive literature search strategy?	X		
Did the review authors perform study selection in duplicate?	X		
Did the review authors perform data extraction in duplicate?	Х		
Did the review authors provide a list of excluded studies and justify the exclusions?			Х
Did the review authors describe the included studies in adequate detail?		Х	
Did the review authors us a satisfactory technique for assessing the risk of bias in individual studies that were included in the review?		х	
Did the review authors report on the sources of funding for the studies included in the review?		Х	
If meta-analysis was performed did the review authors use appropriate methods for statistical combination of results?	-		
If meta-analysis was performed did the review authors assess the potential impact of RoB in individual studies on the results of the meta-analysis or other evidence synthesis?	-		
Did the review authors account RoB in individual studies when interpreting/ discussing the results of the review?	х		
Did the review authors provide satisfactory explanation for, and discussion of, any heterogeneity observed in the results of the review?	x		
If they performed quantitive synthesis did the review authors carry out an adequate investigation of publication bias and discuss its likely impact on the results of the review?	x		
Did the review authors report any potential sources of conflict of interest, including any funding they received for conducting the review?	х		

Tabellenband
Evidenztabellen der Systematischen Reviews (Cochrane Library)

Title	Alcoholics Anonymous ar	nd other 12-step programs f	or alcohol dependence			
First Author	Ferri, M., 2006	Source	16856072			
Level of evidence	la	Study type	Systematic Review			
Study information	treatments or no treatme considered and separatel Search in the specialized I Cochrane Central Registel from1980, CINAHL from 1 Authors also inspected lis	ent. Where available observa y analysed. Register of Trials of the Coch r of Controlled Trials (CENTR 1982, PsychINFO from 1967.				
Intervention	Facilitation (TSF) program Motivational Enhancement Relapse Prevention Thera professionally led, lay led	•				
Outcome and effect size	I		patients in treatment more than			
	 with other interventions a Other studies reported a Three studies compared found few differences in t Severity of addiction an TSF versus comparison tred drop-out rates were reported. 	and should not be regarded a similar retention rates regard and AA combined with other interest and pend drinking consequence did reatment interventions, and reted.	dless of treatment group terventions against other treatments and			
	for reducing alcohol depe associated with intervent	endence or problems. One la	the effectiveness of AA or TSF approaches rge study focused on the prognostic factors e successful rather than on the ficacy studies are needed.			
Comments	Outcomes:					
	 reducing alcohol intake, 	,				
	achieving abstinence,					
		, f life of affected people and t ated accidents and health pro	•			
	Inpatient detoxification					
		r outpatient rehabilitation ([•			
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	ftercare (Cloud, 2004; MATC	H, 1998)			
	Inpatients (Kahler, 2004Men with alcohol proble	+). ems and their wives (McCrac	dy, 1996)			
	Work setting (Walsh, 19 Hospital-based program	991) n vs. community based 12-ste	en nrogram (Zemore, 2004)			
	Limitations:	1 13. Community basea 12-5tt	cp p. 50 am (2011010) 2004)			
	Studies were conducted	d in Canada and in the USA.				
	could not be assessed.	rocedures of randomization are not described in any report or publication an essed. Ealment was never mentioned in any report or publication of the included				
	studies.					
References	Brown 2002a, Brown 200 2004, Project MATCH rese		Kahler 2004, McCrady 1996, McCrady ATCH research group 1998a, Project 2004a, Zemore 2004b			

Title	Acamprosate for alcohol dependence				
First Author	Rösner, S., 2010 Source 20824837				
Level of evidence	la	Study type	Systematic Review		
Study information	24 RCTs with 6.915 participants Systematic search in: Cochrane Drugs and Alco unpublished Studies (until January 2009)	phol Group, Pul	bMed, EMBASE, CINAHL and		
Intervention	Experimental intervention: Acamprosate Control intervention: Placebo: 21 Studies Control intervention: Naltrexone: 3 Studies				
Outcome and effect	1.Acamprosate vs. Placebo:				
size	[6.66 14.28]) b) Significantly increased the cumulative abstic) Secondary outcomes (gammaglutamyltrans significance. d) Diarrhea was the only side effect that was replacebo RD=0.11 (95% CI [0.09 0.13]); NNTB=e) Effects of industry sponsored trials RR=0.88 from those of non-profit funded trials RR=0.88	posate vs. Placebo: antly reduced the risk of any drinking RR=0.86 (95% CI [0.81 0.91]); NNT=9.09 (95% CI [28]) antly increased the cumulative abstinence duration MD=10.94 (95% CI [5.08 16.81]) ary outcomes (gammaglutamyltransferase, heavy drinking) did not reach statistical se. a was the only side effect that was more frequently reported under acamprosate than D=0.11 (95% CI [0.09 0.13]); NNTB=9.09 (95% CI [7.69 11.11]). of industry sponsored trials RR=0.88 (95% CI [0.80 0.97]) did not significantly differ e of non-profit funded trials RR=0.88 (95% CI [0.81 0.96]). egression test did not indicate a significant risk of publication bias (p=0.861). osate versus naltrexone: s compared acamprosate and naltrexone and did not indicate a superiority of one or drug on return to any drinking, return to heavy drinking and cumulative abstinence s conclusions: ate appears to be an effective and safe treatment strategy for supporting continuous e after detoxification in alcohol dependent patients. Even though the sizes of effects appear to be rather moderate in their magnitude, they should be valued			
Comments	options currently available for its treatment. 23 studies were conducted in an outpatient setting, one study was conducted in an inpatient				
Comments	setting, 19 studies from Europe, 2 studies from USA, 1 study from South Korea, 1 study from Australia, 1 study from Brazil				
References	Anton 2006, Baltieri 2003, Barrias 1997, Besson 1998, Borg 2003, Chick 2000, Geerlings 1997, Gual 2001, Kiefer 2003, Ladewig 1993, Lhuintre 1985, Lhuintre 1990, Mason 2006, Morley 2006, Namkoong 2003, Niederhofer 2002, Paille 1995, Pelc 1992, Pelc 1997, Poldrugo 1997, Rousseaux 1996, Sass 1996, Tempesta 2000, Whitworth 1996				

Title	Alcohol and drug screening of occupational drivers for preventing injury			
First Author	Cashman, C. M., 2009	Source	19370641	
Level of evidence	IV	Study type	Systematic Review	
Study information	Two interrupted time-series studi	es		
	Study 1: From 1983-1996 (115.01	9 employees in five large inters	state transport companies)	
	Study 2: From 1984-1997 (Unclean	r number of truck drivers)		
	Searched in: MEDLINE, EMBASE, The Cochrane Library, Cochrane Occupational Health Field's			
	specialised register, DARE, PsychINFO, ERIC, ETOH, CISDOC, NIOSHTIC, TRANSPORT, Zetoc,			
	Science Citation Index and Social Science Citation index and HSELINE. (all to June 2007)			
Intervention	1. Experimental Intervention: Implementation of legislation for mandatory random drug testing			
	and mandatory random and for-cause alcohol testing.			
	2. Control Intervention No alcohol or drug testing			
	3. Experimental Intervention: Mandatory random drug testing (federal injury data that covered			
	all truck drivers of interstate carriers)			
Outcome and effect	In one study mandatory random and for-cause alcohol testing was associated with a significant			
size	decrease in the level of injuries im	nmediately following the interv	ention (-1.25 injuries/100	

	person years, 95% CI [-2.29 -0.21]) but did not significantly affect the existing long-term
	downward trend (-0.28 injuries/100 person years/year, 95% CI [-0.78 0.21]).
	Mandatory random drug testing was significantly associated with an immediate change in injury
	level following the intervention (1.26 injuries/100 person years, 95% CI [0.36 2.16]) in one
	study, and in the second study there was no significant effect (-1.36/injuries/100 person years, 95% CI [-1.69 0.41]).
	In the long term, random drug testing was associated with a significant increase in the
	downward trend (-0.19 injuries/100 person years/year, 95% CI [-0.30 -0.07]) in one study, the
	other study was also associated with a significant improvement in the long-term downward
	trend (-0.83 fatal accidents/100 million vehicle miles/year, 95% CI [-1.08 -0.58]).
	Authors' conclusions:
	There is insufficient evidence to advise for or against the use of drug and alcohol testing of
	occupational drivers for preventing injuries as a sole, effective, long-term solution in the
	context of workplace culture, peer interaction and other local factors. Cluster-randomised trials
	are needed to better address the effects of interventions for injury prevention in this
	occupational setting.
Comments	Only small number of time-series studies from USA.
	No randomized-controlled trials available
References	Swena 1999, Spicer 2005

Title	Alcohol ignition interlock programs for reducing drink driving recidivism			
First Author	Willis, C., 2004 Source 15495082			
Level of evidence	lb	Study type	Systematic Review	
Study information	One randomised controlled trial (RCT) and ten controlled trials were identified, and also three ongoing trials. Data regarding recidivism while the interlock is installed in the vehicle; after the interlock has been removed from the vehicle and total recidivism during the study were extracted and entered into analyses using RevMan. Searched in: The Cochrane Injuries Group's Specialised register (Sept 2002), MEDLINE (1966 to August 2002), PubMed (to Aug 2002), EMBASE (1980 to Sept 2002), TRANSPORT (1988 to 2002 issue 06), CENTRAL (The Cochrane Library 2002, Issue 3), The Science Citation Index (1980 to Sept 2002) National Research Register (2002, issue 3). Search in the Internet using various search engines.			
Intervention	1. Experimental Intervention: Int interventional program 2. Control Intervention Not clear	ly described	e as part of an educational /	
Outcome and effect size	1.Recidivism while the interlock is installed in the vehicle The RCT showed that the interlock program was effective while the device was installed in the vehicle; relative risk 0.36 (95% CI [0.21 0.63]). Controlled trials support this conclusion, with a general trend – in both first-time and repeat offenders – towards lower recidivism rates when the interlock device is installed. 2. Recidivism after the interlock has been removed from the vehicle: Neither the RCT nor the controlled trials provide evidence for any effectiveness of the program continuing once the device has been removed. Authors' conclusions: In order to eliminate potential selection bias, more RCTs need to be conducted in this area so that effectiveness, as well as efficacy, can be ascertained. The interlock program appears to be effective while the device is installed in the vehicle of the offender. Studies need to address ways of improving recidivism rates in the long term, as the major challenges are participation rates, compliance and durability.			
Comments	 Only small number of trials from USA. Studies are rather old The RCT is limited to those offenders who had demonstrated an ability to comply with prescribed treatments and were approved for relicensing by the state's Medical Advisory Board. Therefore, this study does not evaluate the effectiveness of the interlock on the less motivated repeat drink driver, as there is a selection bias towards those offenders who had overcome their drink driving habit. 			
References	Beck 1999, Dussault 2000, Frank	2002, Jones 1993, Lucke 2001	, Marine 2000, Marine 2001,	

Marques 1995, Morse 1992, Popkin, 1993, Raub 2001, The EMT group 1990, Tippetts 1998,
Vezina 2002, Voas 1999, Voas 2002

Title	Anticonvulsants for alcohol withdrawal.					
First Author	Minozzi, S., 2010 Source 20238337					
Level of evidence	la Study type Systematic Review					
Study information	56 RCT with 4.076 participants Systematic research in Cochrane Drugs and Alcohol Group, PubMed, EMBASE, CINAHL (to December 2009)					
Intervention			combination with other drugs interventions			
Outcome and effect size	2. Anticonvulsant versus placebo: No statistically significant differences for the six outcomes considered 2. Anticonvulsant versus other drug: 19 outcomes considered, results favour anticonvulsants only in the comparison carbamazepine versus benzodiazepine (oxazepam and lorazepam) for alcohol withdrawal symptoms (CIWA-Ar score): 3 studies, 262 participants, MD=-1.04 (95% CI [-1.89]-0.20]), none of the other comparisons reached statistical significance. 3. Comparing different anticonvulsants: No statistically significant differences in the two outcomes considered. 4. Comparing anticonvulsants plus other drugs versus other: drugs (3 outcomes considered), results from one study, 72 participants, favour paraldehyde plus chloral hydrate versus chlordiazepoxide, for the severe life-threatening side effects, RR=0.12 (95% CI [0.03 0.44]). 5. Conclusions: Results of this review do not provide sufficient evidence in favour of anticonvulsants for the treatment of AWS. There are some suggestions that carbamazepine may actually be more effective in treating some aspects of alcohol withdrawal when compared to benzodiazepines, the current first-line regimen for alcohol withdrawal syndrome. Anticonvulsants seem to have limited side effects, although adverse effects are not rigorously reported in the analysed					
Comments	trials. 33 studies from Europe, 18 studies from North America, 4 studies from Australia / New Zealand, 1 study from Asia Limitations: • Some studies are rather old, have a small sample size and methodological limitations (randomization, patient allocation is unclear, information about follow-ups is missing) • Heterogeneity in populations, • No information about dose-response effects • Differences in patient co-morbidity					
References	1991, Gann 2004, Glatt 19 Murphy 1983, Rathlev 199 2.Anticonvulsants versus Agricola 1982, Borg 1986, Burroughs 1985b, Choi 20 1972, Kaim 1972, Kalyono Longo 2002, Lucht 2003, I Manhem 1985, McGrath 1 Robinson 1989, Santo 198 3.Different anticonvulsant Flygering 1984, Golbert 19 Ritola 1981, Rosenthal 19 4. Anticonvulsant in comb Balldin 1986, Golbert 196	t 1976, Blanchard 1985, Bon 266, Golbert 1967, Koethe 20 24, Reoux 2001, Sampliner 1 other drugs (32 studies) Borg 1986, Burroughs 1985 205, Dencker 1978; Elsing 199 u 1996, Koppi 1987, Kramp 199 Madden 1969, Malcolm 1989 1975, Murphy 1983, Nimmel 35, Stuppaeck 1992, Stuppaects (10 studies) 267, Kaim 1972, Krupitsky 20 268, Schik 2005, Seifert 2004, vination with other drugs (6 storograms)	a, Burroughs 1985a, Burroughs 1985b, 96, Elsing 2009 Golbert 1967, Kaim 1978, Krupitsky 2007, Lapierre 1983, 9, Malcolm 2002, Malcolm 2007, richter 2002, Radouco-Thomas 1989, eck 1998, Thompson 1975, Tubridy 1988 007, Krupitsky 2007, Mariani 2006,			

Title	Baclofen for alcohol wit	hdrawal			
First Author	Liu, J., 2019 Source 28822350				
Level of evidence	lb	Study type	Systematic Review		
Study information	1 RCT with 37 participants Systematic Research in: Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials (September 2010), MEDLINE (1966 to September 2010), EMBASE (1980 to September 2010) und CINAHL (1982 to September 2010. We also searched the following registers of ongoing trials, e.g. Clinicaltrials.gov, Controlled trials.com, EUDRACT, etc.				
Intervention	Experimental Intervention: Pla	o <u>n</u> : Baclofen cebo or other drugs (e.g. ber	nzodiazepines)		
Outcome and effect size	1. Baclofen vs. placebo: 2. Baclofen vs. benzodia the inclusion criteria. In group, and no difference groups. 3. CIWA-Ar score and its baclofen and diazepam to significant differences be diazepam, as indicated to the diazepam group, on comparable. 4. Sweating score: Mean in the diazepam group. If when analysed separate 5. Tremor score: Mean to treatments significantly treatments. 6. Anxiety: Mean baselin diazepam group; both di differences between the 7. Agitation score: Mean in the diazepam-group; 8. Changes in AST, ALT, of found in both baclofen a 9. Side effects: No side e patients. On discontinua observed. Authors` conclusions:	No studies available zepines: There was only one the study, all 37 patients cone in the patients compliance to the study, all 37 patients cone in the patients compliance to the study, all 37 patients cone in the patients compliance to the patients significantly decreased the 2 treatments. Alto by significantly higher scores is subsequent days the efficacy abseline sweating score was sooth drug treatments significally, with no significant different decreased the tremor score, are anxiety score was significantly treatments. It is baseline agitation score was sooth drug treatments decreased and the score was sooth drug treatments decreased and the score was sooth drug treatments decreased and the score was sooth drug treatments decreased the score was sooth drug treatments decreased and the score was sooth drug treatments decreased and the score was sooth drug treatments decreased and the score was sooth drug treatments decreased the score was sooth drug treatments decreased and the score was sooth drug treatments decreased the score was significantly	study, which was eligible according to appleted, with no dropouts in either to treatment was found between to treatment was found between to treatment was found between the compact of the cased the CIWA-Ar score, with no shough baclofen was slightly slower than on days 2 and 3 in the baclofen versus of baclofen and diazepam was a significantly higher in the baclofen than antly decreased the sweating score and the compact of the compact o		
	The evidence of recommending baclofen for AWS is insufficient. Better designed RCTs are demanded to further prove its efficacy and safety.				
Comments	1 study from Italy	and directly			
References	Addolorato 2006				

Title	Benzodiazepines for alcohol withdrawal		
First Author	Amato, L., 2010	Source	20238336
Level of evidence	la	Study type	Systematic Review
Study information	64 studies with 4.309 participants Systematic research in: Cochrane Drugs and Alcohol Group' Register of Trials (December 2009), PubMed, EMBASE, CINAHL (January 1966 to December 2009), EconLIT (1969 to December 2009)		
Intervention	Experimental intervention: Benzodiazepines alone or in combination with other drugs Control intervention: Placebo; other pharmacological interventions		
Outcome and effect size	1. Benzodiazepines versus placebo: Benzodiazepines performed better for seizures, 3 studies, 324 participants, RR=0.16, 95% CI [0.04 0.69]), no statistically significant difference for the other outcomes considered.		

Comments	2. Benzodiazepines versus other drugs: There is a trend in favour of benzodiazepines for seizure and delirium control, severe life threatening side effect, dropouts, dropouts due to side effects and patient's global assessment score. A trend in favour of control group was observed for CIWA-Ar scores at 48 hours and at the end of treatment. The results reach statistical significance only in one study, with 61 participants, results on Hamilton anxiety rating scale favour control MD=-1.60, 95% CI [-2.59]-0.61]) 3. Comparing different benzodiazepines among themselves: Results never reached statistical significance but chlordiazepoxide performed better 4. Benzodiazepine plus other drug versus other drug: Results never reached statistical significance 5. Fixed-schedule versus symptom-triggered regimens: Results from a single study, with 159 participants, favour symptom-triggered regimens MD=-1.10, 95% CI [-3.27, 1.07] for CIWA-Ar scores at the end of treatment. Differences in isolated trials should be interpreted very cautiously. 6. Authors' conclusions: Benzodiazepines showed a protective benefit against alcohol withdrawal symptoms, in particular seizures, when compared to placebo and a potentially protective benefit for many outcomes when compared with other drugs. Nevertheless, no definite conclusion about the effectiveness and safety of benzodiazepines was possible, because of the heterogeneity of the trials both in interventions and the assessment of outcomes.
Comments	26 studies from Europe, 32 from North America, 3 from Asia, 2 from South Africa 1 from Australia <u>Limitations</u> • Small sample sizes • Data on safety outcomes are sparse and fragmented. • Although a significant number of trends has emerged, most of these were small and the data for most outcomes did not reach statistical significance, indicating the need for larger, well-designed studies in this field.
References	1.Benzodiazepines versus Placebo: (11 studies) Adinoff 1994, Burroughs 1985a, Kaim 1969, Kaim 1972, Krupitsky 2007, Martin 1975, McLendon 1980, Mielke 1976, Naranjo 1983; Sellers 1977, Sellers 1983 2.Benzodiazepines versus other drugs: (42 studies) Addolorato 1999, Addolorato 2006, Adinoff 1994, Bailly 1992, Baumgartner 1987, Baumgartner 1991, Borg 1986, Burroughs 1985a, Burroughs 1985b, Choi 2005, Dion 1968, Favre 2005, Funderburk 1978, Gillman 2004, Gillmer 1973, Golbert 1967, Kaim 1969, Kaim 1972, Kalyoncu 1996, Kramp 1978, Krupitsky 2007, Lapierre 1983, Lenzenhuber 1999, Lepola 1984, Longo 2002, Lucht 2003, Malcolm 1989, Malcolm 2002, Malcolm 2007, McGrath 1975, Nava 2007, Overall 1973, Palestine 1976, Pena-Ramos 1977, Pena-Ramos 1979, Radouco- Thomas 1989, Runion 1978, Sellers 1977, Stuppaeck 1992; Tubridy 1988, Worner 1994 3. Comparing different benzodiazepines among themselves (18 studies) Adinoff 1994, Anton 1997, Brown 1972, Day 2004, Jauhar 2000, Kolin 1981, Kumar 2009, Martin 1975, McLendon 1980; Mendels 1985, Mielke 1976, Miller 1984, Mukherjee 1983, O'Brien 1983, Ritson 1986, Saletu 1983, Solomon 1983, Wilson 1985 4.Benzodiazepines plus other drug versus other drug (3 studies) Dion 1968, Sellers 1977, Spies 1996 5.Fixed-schedule versus symptom-triggered regimens (3 studies) Daeppen 2002, Saitz 1994, Spies 2003

Title	Brief interventions for heavy alcohol users admitted to general hospital wards.				
First Author	McQueen, J., 2011 Source 21833953				
Level of evidence	Ib Study type Systematic Review				
Study information	14 RCTs and CTs involving 4.041 adults and adolescents (16 years or older) admitted to general inpatient hospital care for any reason other than specifically for alcohol treatment Search geschaeftsstelle@dgps.de in the Cochrane Drug and Alcohol Group Register of Trials (March 2011) the Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials (The Cochrane Library March 2011), MEDLINE January 1966-March 2011, CINAHL 1982-March 2011,				

	EMBASE 1980-March 2011 and www.clinicaltrial s.gov to April 2011 and performed some relevant handsearching
Intervention	1. Experimental Intervention: Brief interventions (of up to 3 sessions) 2. Control Intervention No or usual care
Outcome and effect size	1 Brief intervention(s) versus control (assessment/no-intervention or standard treatment) a) Reduction in alcohol consumption Patients receiving brief interventions have a greater reduction in alcohol consumption compared to those in control groups at six month, MD=-69.43 (95% CI [-128.14 -10.72]) and nine months follow up, MD=-182.88 (95% CI [-360.00 -5.76]), but this is not maintained at one year. Self-reports of reduction of alcohol consumption at 1 year were found in favour of brief interventions, SMD=-0.26 (95% CI [-0.50 -0.03]). b) Secondary outcomes In addition there were significantly fewer deaths in the groups receiving brief interventions than in control groups at 6 months, RR=0.42 (95% CI [0.19 0.94]) and one year follow up, RR=0.60 (95% CI [0.40 0.91]). Furthermore, screening, asking participants about their drinking patterns, may also have a positive impact on alcohol consumption levels and changes in drinking behaviour.
	Authors' conclusions The main results of this review indicate that there are benefits to delivering brief interventions to heavy alcohol users admitted to general hospital wards in terms of reduction in alcohol consumption and death rates. However, these findings are based on studies involving mainly male participants. Further research is required determine the optimal content and treatment exposure of brief interventions within general hospital settings and whether they are likely to be more successful in patients with certain characteristics.
Comments	 Mainly male adults (16 years or older) identified as heavy drinkers in hospital Mainly studies from the UK and USA Brief interventions consisted of all, or any, of the following: Self-efficacy enhancement, skills based counselling, brief motivational counselling, brief advice, education leaflets, telephone calls, feedback letter.
References	Antti-Poika 1988, Chick 1985, Freyer-Adam 2008, Gentilello 1999, Heather 1996, Holloway 2007, Liu 2011, McManus 2003, McQueen 2006, Saitz 2007, Schermer 2006, Sommers 2006, Tsai 2009, Watson 1999

Title	Effectiveness of brief alcohol interventions in primary care populations.				
First Author	Kaner, E. F., 2018 Source 17443541				
Level of evidence	lb	Study type	Systematic Review		
Study information	Meta-analysis of 22 RCTs with 7.619 participants Search in the Cochrane Drug and Alcohol Group specialised register (February 2006), MEDLINE (1966 to February 2006), EMBASE (1980 to February 2006), CINAHL (1982 to February 2006), PsycINFO (1840 to February 2006), Science Citation Index (1970 to February 2006), Social Science Citation Index (1970 to February 2006), Alcohol and Alcohol Problems Science Database (1972 to 2003), reference lists of articles.				
Intervention	1.Experimental Intervention: Brief interventions 2.Control group No or usual care				
Outcome and effect size	2.Control group No or usual care Brief intervention versus control group a) Alcohol consumption: Lower alcohol consumption in the intervention group than the control group after follow- up of one year or longer (mean difference: -38 grams/week, 95% CI [-54 -23]) although there was substantial heterogeneity between trials (I2=57%). b) Gender differences: Sub-group analysis (8 studies, 2.307 participants) confirmed the benefit of brief intervention in men (mean difference: -57 grams/week, 95% CI [-89 -25], I2=56%), but not in women (mean difference: -10 grams/week, 95% CI [-48 29], I2=45%).				

Meta-regression showed little evidence of a greater reduction in alcohol consumption with longer treatment exposure or among trials which were less clinically representative.					
Extended intervention was associated with a non-significantly greater reduction in alcohol					
consumption than brief intervention (mean difference=-28grams/week, 95% CI [-62 6],					
12=0%)					
Authors' conclusions:					
Overall, brief interventions lowered alcohol consumption. When data were available by					
gender, the effect was clear in men at one year of follow up, but not in women. Longer					
duration of counselling probably has little additional effect. The lack of evidence of any					
difference in outcomes between efficacy and effectiveness trials suggests that the current					
literature is relevant to routine primary care. There is a clear need for more evaluative					
research on brief interventions with women, younger people and those from cultural minority groups. In addition, there is a need for more research in transitional and					
developing countries. However, given the large number of trials of brief alcohol					
intervention showing a positive impact in men, there is no need for more of the same					
before such interventions are delivered in primary care. Longer treatment appeared to					
have little effect in significantly improving outcomes. Moreover, there is some suggestion					
that screening alone may result in alcohol consumption reduction, and this should be					
investigated further. Finally, future research direction should focus on implementation					
issues including a more precise specification of brief intervention components.					
Gender differences are found					
Aalto 2000, Aalto 2001, Altisent 1997, Anderson 1992, Chang 1997, Cordoba 1998,					
Crawford 2004, Curry 2003, Diez 2002, Fernandez 1997, Fleming 1997, Fleming 1999,					
Fleming 2000, Fleming 2002, Fleming 2004, Grossberg 2004, Gentillelo 1999, Gordon 2003,					
Heather 1987, Huas 2002, Israel 1996, Kunz 2004, Lock 2006, Longabaugh 2001, Maisto					
2001, McIntosh 1997, Ockene 1999, Reiff-Hekking 2005, Richmond 1995, Rodriguez 2003,					
Rodriguez-Martos 2005, Rodriguez-Martos 2006, Romelsjo 1989, Scott 1991, Senft 1997,					
Seppa 1992, Tomson 1998, Wallace 1988					

Level of evidence Ia Study type Systematic Review	Title	Brief interventions for heavy alcohol users admitted to general hospital wards				
Study information 14 RCTs and CTs involving 4.041 adults and adolescents (16 years or older) admitted to general inpatient hospital care for any reason other than specifically for alcohol treatment Search geschaeftsstelle@dgps.de in the Cochrane Drug and Alcohol Group Register of Tria (March 2011) the Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials (The Cochrane Library March 2011), MEDLINE January 1966 -March 2011, CINAHL 1982 - March 2011, EMBASE 1980- March 2011 and www.clinicaltrials.gov to April 2011 and performed some relevant handsearching Intervention 1. Experimental Intervention: Brief interventions (of up to 3 sessions) 2. Control Intervention: No or usual care Outcome and effect size Brief intervention(s) versus control (assessment/no-intervention or standard treatment) a) Reduction in alcohol consumption: Patients receiving brief interventions have a greater reduction in alcohol consumption compared to those in control groups at six month, MD=69.43 (95% CI [-128.14 -10.72]) and nine months follow up, MD=-182.88 (95% CI [-360.00 5.76]) but this is not maintained at one year. Self-reports of reduction of alcohol consumption at 1 year were found in favour of brief interventions, SMD=-0.26 (95% CI [-0.50 -0.03]). b) Secondary outcomes: In addition there were significantly fewer deaths in the groups receiving brief interventions than in control groups at 6 months, RR=0.42 (95% CI [0.19 0.94]) and one year follow up, RR=0.60 (95% CI [0.40 0.91]). Furthermore screening asking participants about their drinking patterns, may also have a positive impact on alcohol consumption levels and changes in drinking behaviour. Authors' conclusions:	First Author	McQueen, J., 2011	Source	21833953		
general inpatient hospital care for any reason other than specifically for alcohol treatment Search geschaeftsstelle@dgps.de in the Cochrane Drug and Alcohol Group Register of Triat (March 2011) the Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials (The Cochrane Library March 2011), MEDLINE January 1966 - March 2011, CINAHL 1982 - March 2011, EMBASE 1980 - March 2011 and www.clinicaltrials.gov to April 2011 and performed some relevant handsearching Intervention Intervention: Brief interventions (of up to 3 sessions) 2. Control Intervention: No or usual care Outcome and effect size Brief intervention(s) versus control (assessment/no-intervention or standard treatment) a) Reduction in alcohol consumption: Patients receiving brief interventions have a greater reduction in alcohol consumption compared to those in control groups at six month, MD=69.43 (95% CI [-128.14 -10.72]) and nine months follow up, MD=-182.88 (95% CI [-360.00 5.76]) but this is not maintained at one year. Self-reports of reduction of alcohol consumption at 1 year were found in favour of brief interventions, SMD=-0.26 (95% CI [-0.50]-0.03]). b) Secondary outcomes: In addition there were significantly fewer deaths in the groups receiving brief interventions than in control groups at 6 months, RR=0.42 (95% CI [0.19 0.94]) and one year follow up, RR=0.60 (95% CI [0.40 0.91]). Furthermore screening asking participants about their drinking patterns, may also have a positive impact on alcohol consumption levels and changes in drinking behaviour. Authors' conclusions:	Level of evidence	la	Study type	Systematic Review		
2. Control Intervention: No or usual care Outcome and effect size Brief intervention(s) versus control (assessment/no-intervention or standard treatment) a) Reduction in alcohol consumption: Patients receiving brief interventions have a greater reduction in alcohol consumption compared to those in control groups at six month, MD= 69.43 (95% CI [-128.14 -10.72]) and nine months follow up, MD=-182.88 (95% CI [-360.00 5.76]) but this is not maintained at one year. Self-reports of reduction of alcohol consumption at 1 year were found in favour of brief interventions, SMD=-0.26 (95% CI [-0.50 -0.03]). b) Secondary outcomes: In addition there were significantly fewer deaths in the groups receiving brief interventions than in control groups at 6 months, RR=0.42 (95% CI [0.19 0.94]) and one year follow up, RR=0.60 (95% CI [0.40 0.91]). Furthermore screening asking participants about their drinking patterns, may also have a positive impact on alcohol consumption levels and changes in drinking behaviour. Authors' conclusions:	Study information	general inpatient hospital care for any reason other than specifically for alcohol treatment Search geschaeftsstelle@dgps.de in the Cochrane Drug and Alcohol Group Register of Trials (March 2011) the Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials (The Cochrane Library March 2011), MEDLINE January 1966 -March 2011, CINAHL 1982 - March 2011, EMBASE 1980- March 2011 and www.clinicaltrials.gov to April 2011 and performed some relevant				
a) Reduction in alcohol consumption: Patients receiving brief interventions have a greater reduction in alcohol consumption compared to those in control groups at six month, MD=69.43 (95% CI [-128.14 -10.72]) and nine months follow up, MD=-182.88 (95% CI [-360.00 5.76]) but this is not maintained at one year. Self-reports of reduction of alcohol consumption at 1 year were found in favour of brief interventions, SMD=-0.26 (95% CI [-0.50 -0.03]). b) Secondary outcomes: In addition there were significantly fewer deaths in the groups receiving brief interventions than in control groups at 6 months, RR=0.42 (95% CI [0.19 0.94]) and one year follow up, RR=0.60 (95% CI [0.40 0.91]). Furthermore screening asking participants about their drinking patterns, may also have a positive impact on alcohol consumption levels and changes in drinking behaviour. Authors' conclusions:	Intervention	1. Experimental Intervention: Brief interventions (of up to 3 sessions)				
	Outcome and effect size	Brief intervention(s) versus control (assessment/no-intervention or standard treatment) a) Reduction in alcohol consumption: Patients receiving brief interventions have a greater reduction in alcohol consumption compared to those in control groups at six month, MD=-69.43 (95% CI [-128.14 -10.72]) and nine months follow up, MD=-182.88 (95% CI [-360.00 -5.76]) but this is not maintained at one year. Self-reports of reduction of alcohol consumption at 1 year were found in favour of brief interventions, SMD=-0.26 (95% CI [-0.50 -0.03]). b) Secondary outcomes: In addition there were significantly fewer deaths in the groups receiving brief interventions than in control groups at 6 months, RR=0.42 (95% CI [0.19 0.94]) and one year follow up, RR=0.60 (95% CI [0.40 0.91]). Furthermore screening, asking participants about their drinking patterns, may also have a positive impact on alcohol consumption levels and changes in drinking behaviour.				
The main results of this review indicate that there are benefits to delivering brief		·	ndicate that there are benefits	s to delivering brief		

	interventions to heavy alcohol users admitted to general hospital wards in terms of				
	reduction in alcohol consumption and death rates. However, these findings are based on				
	studies involving mainly male participants. Further research is required determine the				
	optimal content and treatment exposure of brief interventions within general hospital				
	settings and whether they are likely to be more successful in patients with certain				
	characteristics.				
Comments	Mainly male adults (16 years or older) identified as heavy drinkers in hospital				
	Mainly studies from the UK and USA				
	• Brief interventions consisted of all, or any, of the following: Self-efficacy enhancement,				
	skills based counselling, brief motivational counselling, brief advice, education leaflets,				
	telephone calls, feedback letter.				
References	Antti-Poika 1988, Chick 1985, Freyer-Adam 2008, Gentilello 1999, Heather 1996, Holloway				
	2007, Liu 2011, McManus 2003, McQueen 2006, Saitz 2007, Schermer 2006, Sommers				
	2006, Tsai 2009, Watson 1999				

Title	Case management for persons with substance use disorders				
First Author	Hesse, M., 2007	Source	17943902		
Level of evidence	la	Study type	Systematic Review		
Study information	15 RCTs with 6.694 participants with at least one alcohol or drug related problem. Search in the Cochrane Controlled Trials Register (Cochrane Library, issue 4, 2006), MEDLINE (1966-2006), EMBASE (1980-2006), LILACS (1982-2006), PsycINFO (1973-2006), Biological Abstracts (1982-2000). Reference searching; personal communication; conference abstracts; book chapters on case management.				
Intervention	1.Experimental Intervention: Case management (brokerage case management model, intensive case management model, the strengths-based case management model, assertive community treatment: case management with access to vouchers for free MMT and case management without access to vouchers for MMT 2.Control intervention Treatment as usual or another treatment mode				
Outcome and effect size					

	control, but was non-significant (SMD=0.21, 95% CI [0.11 0.53]).		
	4. Manualised: versus non-manualised case management		
	Additional analysis of the studies suggested that the use of a manual to guide the delivery		
	of case management could increase linkage.		
	Authors' conclusions:		
	There is current evidence supporting that case management can enhance linkage with		
	other services. However, evidence that case management reduces drug use or produces		
	other beneficial outcome is not conclusive.		
Comments	Setting		
	One study was conducted in Europe; all other studies were from North America		
	<u>Participants</u>		
	Opiate dependent persons requiring or receiving substitution treatment (Corsi, 2007;		
	Coviello, 2006; Naber, 2006a, 2006b; Sorensen, 2005a, 2005b; Zanis, 1996)		
	• Mixed population of drug abusers (mainly opiate and cocaine/crack abusers) (Martin,		
	1993; Morgenstern, 2006; Rapp, 1998, 2006; Rhodes, 1997; Scott, 2002; Sorensen, 2003).		
	• Two studies were conducted in criminal justice settings (Martin, 1993; Rhodes, 1997).		
	• Three studies targeted homeless substance abusers: two predominantly consisted of		
	alcohol abusers (Braucht, 1995; Cox, 1998), and one recruited substance abusers (mainly		
	alcoholics) with co-occurring mental disorders (Morse, 2006).		
	Substantial heterogeneity was found		
References	Braucht 1995, Calsyn, 2005, Corsi 2007, Coviello 2006, Cox 1998, Martin 1993, Martin		
	1997, Masson 2004, Morgenstern 2006, Morse 2006, Naber 2006a, Naber 2006b, Rapp		
	1998, Rapp 2006, Rhodes 1997, Scott 2002, Siegal 1996, Siegal 2002, Sorensen 2003,		
	Sorensen 2005a, Sorensen 2005b, Zanis 1996		

Title	Colchicine for alcoholic and non-alcoholic liver fibrosis and cirrhosis			
First Author	Rambaldi, A., 2001	Source	11318982	
Level of evidence	la	Study type	Systematic Review	
Study information	15 RCTs with 1.714 particip			
	1 -		roup Controlled Trials Register, The	
		_	Library; MEDLINE: EMBASE, Science	
-	Citation Index (September	·		
Intervention	Experimental intervention:			
	Control intervention: Place			
	Control intervention: Naltr			
Outcome and effect size	1. Colchicine versus placeb		l .: (DD)	
			elative risks (RR)=1.00, 95% CI	
			I [0.88 1.33]), complications (RR=1.01,	
	95% CI [0.74 1.38]), liver b	nochemistry, liver histolog	y, or alcohol consumption (RR=1.03,	
	2. Adverse events:			
		with a significantly increas	ed risk of serious adverse events	
	Colchicine was associated with a significantly increased risk of serious adverse events (RR=8.38, 95% CI [1.08 65.2]) and non-serious adverse events (RR=4.35, 95% CI			
	[2.16 8.77]).			
	Authors` conclusions:			
	1	sed for alcoholic, viral, or o	cryptogenic liver fibrosis or liver	
	cirrhosis outside randomis		,, ,	
Comments	• Included studies are rath	er old		
	• The dosage was 1mg cold	chicine five days a week in	the majority of the trials. Some used	
	the same dosage but for six or seven days a week. Only one trial used colchicine 1.2mg per			
	day.			
	• The treatment duration varied from one month to 55 months with a median of 18			
	months in the 15 trials.			
	• The entry criteria in the randomised clinical trials varied, but the inclusion of			
	highly likely that all patient			
References	Akriviadis 1990, Angelico 2	000, Buligescu 1990, Colm	nan 1998, Cortez-Pinto 2002, Gültepe	

1994, Kershenobich 1988, Lin 1996, Morgan 2002, Parise 1995, Reinhardt 1986, Sáinz 1992,
Trande 1996, Trinchet 1989, Wang 1994

Surve Sudy type Systematic Review Study type Systematic Review Study Information 13 studies (randomized controlled trials (RCT), controlled clinical trials (CCT), and controlled prospective studies (CPS)) with 4.309 participants Search in: The Cochrane Drugs and Alcohol Group's Register of Trials (October 2008), PubMed, EMBASE, CINAHL (January 2005 to October 2008), EconLIT (1969 to February 2008), and reference lists of retrieved articles. Intervention: GHa Balone or in combination with other drugs Control intervention: Placebo, other pharmaceutical interventions Control intervention Control interventions	Title	Gamma-hydroxybutyrate (GHB) for treatment of alcohol withdrawal and prevention of relapses.			
Study information 13 studies (randomized controlled trials (RCT), controlled clinical trials (CCT), and controlled prospective studies (CPS)) with 4.309 participants Search in: The Cochrane Drugs and Alcohol Group's Register of Trials (October 2008), PubMed, EMBASC, CINAHL (January 2005 to October 2008), EconLIT (1969 to February 2008), and reference lists of retrieved articles. Experimental intervention: GHB alone or in combination with other drugs Control intervention: Placebo; other pharmaceutical interventions Compared to none in the placebo group). At mid-term, comparing GHB Somg/day with placebo; 1 study (71 participants; 3 months follow-up) favour GHB for abstinence rate (RR-5.35, 95% CI [1.28 22.4]), controlled drinking (RR-2.13, 95% CI [1.07 5.54]), relapses (RR=0.36, 95% CI [0.21 0.63]), and number of daily drinks (MD=-4.60, 95% CI [-6.18 -3.02]), 2. GHB 50mg versus Clomethiazole; In the comparison of GHB 50mg versus Clomethiazole, results from 1 study (95 participants) favour Clomethiazole for side effects: RR-1.84 (95% CI [1.29 2.85]). 4. GHB versus other pharmaceutical interventions: On abstinence (GHB performed better than Natl for abstinence (RR-12.3, 95% CI [1.35 4.98] at 3 months) and better than Disulfiram (1 study, 59 participants) (RR-2.59, 95% CI [1.35 4.98] at 3 months; and subtime than NTX for abstinence (RR-12.3, 95% CI [1.79 8.39] at 3 months; 1 study, 71 participants). 5. G	First Author	Leone, M. A., 2010 Source 20166080			
controlled prospective studies (CPS) with 4.309 participants Search in: The Cochrane Drugs and Alcohol Group's Register of Trials (October 2008), PubMed, EMBASE, CINAHL (January 2005 to October 2008), EconLTT (1969 to February 2008), and reference lists of retrieved articles. Experimental intervention: GHB alone or in combination with other drugs Control intervention: Placebo; other pharmaceutical interventions Outcome and effect size I. GHB 50mg vs. placebo: Total cischol withdrawal syndrome, comparing GHB 50mg versus placebo, results from 1 study (23 participants) favour GHB for withdrawal symptoms: MD=-12.1 (95% CI [-15.9]- 8.29]), but tolerated side effects were more frequent in the GHB group: RR=6 (95% CI [1.04 [254.9]; based on 7 of 11 patients in the GHB group developing transitory vertigo compared to none in the placebo group). At mid-term, comparing GHB 50mg/day with placebo, 1 study (71 participants, 3 months follow-up) favour GHB for obstinence rate (RR=0.36, 95% CI [0.21 [0.63]), and number of daily drinks (MD=-4.60, 95% CI [-6.18]- 3.02]). 2. GHB 50mg versus Clomethiazole: In the comparison of GHB 50mg versus Clomethiazole, results from 1 study (21 participants) favour GHB for withdrawal symptoms: MD=-3.40 (95% CI [-5.09]-1.71]). 3. GHB 50mg versus Clomethiazole: For GHB 100mg versus Clomethiazole, results from 1 study (98 participants) favour Clomethiazole for side effects: RR=1.24 (95% CI [1.19].285]). 4. GHB versus other pharmaceutical interventions: On abstinence, GHB performed better than Natlrexone (NTX) (2 studies, 64 participants) (RR=2.59, 95% CI [1.35]4.98] at 3 months; and better than Disulfiram (1 study, 59 participants) (RR=1.66, 95% CI [0.99]2.80] at 12 months, sightly significant). 5. GHB plus other drug versus other pharmaceutical interventions: The combination of GHB and NTX was better than NTX for abstinence (RR=2.23, 95% CI [1.79]83.9] at 3 months; 1 study, 35 participants). The combination of NTX, GHB and Escitalopram was better than Escitalopram alone for abstinence	Level of evidence				
Outcome and effect size 1. GHB 50mg vs. placebo: For alcohol withdrawal syndrome, comparing GHB 50mg versus placebo, results from 1 study (23 participants) favour GHB for withdrawal symptoms: MD=-12.1 (95% CI [-15.9]-8.29]), but tolerated side effects were more frequent in the GHB group: RR=16.2 (95% CI [1.04]254.9]; based on 7 of 11 patients in the GHB group developing transitory vertigo compared to none in the placebo group). At mid-term, comparing GHB 50mg/day with placebo, 1 study (71 participants, 3 months follow-up) favour GHB for abstinence rate (RR=-5.35, 95% CI [1.28]22.4]), controlled drinking (RR=2.13, 95% CI [1.07]5.54]), relapses (RR=0.36, 95% CI [1.28]22.4]), controlled drinking (RR=2.13, 95% CI [1.07]5.54]), relapses (RR=0.36, 95% CI [0.21]0.63]), and number of daily drinks (MD=-4.60, 95% CI [-6.18]-3.02]), 2. GHB 50mg versus Clomethiazole: In the comparison of GHB 50mg versus Clomethiazole, results from 1 study (21 participants) favour GHB for withdrawal symptoms: MD=-3.40 (95% CI [-5.09]-1.71]), 3. GHB 50mg versus Clomethiazole, results from 1 study (98 participants) favour Clomethiazole for side effects: RR=1.84 (95% CI [1.19]2.85]). 4. GHB versus other pharmaceutical interventions: On abstinence, GHB performed better than Naltrexone (NTX) (2 studies, 64 participants) (RR=2.59, 95% CI [1.35]4, 98] at 3 months; and better than Disulfiram (1 study, 59 participants) (RR=1.66, 95% CI [0.99]2.80] at 12 months, slightly significant). 5. GHB plus other drug versus other pharmaceutical interventions: The combination of GHB and NTX was better than NTX for abstinence (RR=12.3, 95% CI [1.79]83.9] at 3 months; 1 study, 35 participants). The combination of NTX, GHB and Escitalopram was better than Scitalopram alone for abstinence (RR=2.02 95% CI [0.03]3.94] at 3 months; RR=4.58, 95% CI [1.28]16.5] at 6 months; 1 study, 23 participants). 6. Alcohol Craving Scale; For Alcohol Craving Scale; results favour GHB over placebo (MD=-4.50, 95% CI [-5.81]-3.19] at 3 months; 1 study, 71 participants) and over Disul	·	controlled prospective studies (C Search in: The Cochrane Drugs a PubMed, EMBASE, CINAHL (Janu 2008), and reference lists of retr	CPS)) with 4.309 participants and Alcohol Group's Register of ary 2005 to October 2008), Exieved articles.	of Trials (October 2008), conLIT (1969 to February	
For alcohol withdrawal syndrome, comparing GHB 50mg versus placebo, results from 1 study (23 participants) favour GHB for withdrawal symptoms: MD=-12.1 (95% CI [-15.9]-8.29]), but tolerated side effects were more frequent in the GHB group; RR=16.2 (95% CI [1.04 254.9]; based on 7 of 11 patients in the GHB group developing transitory vertigo compared to none in the placebo group). At mid-term, comparing GHB 50mg/day with placebo, 1 study (71 participants, 3 months follow-up) favour GHB for abstinence rate (RR=5.35, 95% CI [1.28 22.4]), controlled drinking (RR=2.13, 95% CI [1.07 5.54]), relapses (RR=0.36, 95% CI [0.12 0.63]), and number of daily drinks (MD=-4.60, 95% CI [-6.18 3.02]). 2. GHB 50mg versus Clomethiazole: In the comparison of GHB 50mg versus Clomethiazole, results from 1 study (21 participants) favour GHB for withdrawal symptoms: MD=-3.40 (95% CI [-5.09]-1.71]). 3. GHB 50mg versus Clomethiazole: For GHB 100mg versus Clomethiazole, results from 1 study (98 participants) favour Clomethiazole for side effects: RR=1.84 (95% CI [1.19 2.85]). 4. GHB versus other pharmaceutical interventions: On abstinence, GHB performed better than Naltrexone (NTX) (2 studies, 64 participants) (RR=2.59, 95% CI [1.35] 4.98] at 3 months) and better than Disulfiram (1 study, 59 participants) (RR=1.66, 95% CI [0.99] 2.80] at 12 months, slightly significant). 5. GHB plus other drug versus other pharmaceutical interventions: The combination of GHB and NTX was better than NTX for abstinence (RR=12.3, 95% CI [1.79] 83.9] at 3 months; 1 study, 35 participants). The combination of GHB and NTX was better than NTX for abstinence (RR=2.0.2 95% CI [0.03] 3.94] at 3 months; 1 study, 35 participants). The combination of NTX, GHB and Escitalopram was better than Escitalopram alone for abstinence (RR=2.0.2 95% CI [0.03] 3.94] at 3 months; RR=4.58, 95% CI [1.28] 16.5] at 6 months; 1 study, 23 participants). 6. Alcohol Craving Scale; For Alcohol Craving Scale, results favour GHB over placebo (MD=-4.50, 95% CI [-5.81] -3.	Intervention	1		_	
in the medium term (3 to 12months). The review does not provide evidence of a difference	Outcome and effect size	1. GHB 50mg vs. placebo: For alcohol withdrawal syndrom study (23 participants) favour Gi 8.29]), but tolerated side effects [1.04 254.9]; based on 7 of 11 pcompared to none in the placeb placebo, 1 study (71 participants (RR=5.35, 95% CI [1.28 22.4]), c (RR=0.36, 95% CI [0.21 0.63]), a 3.02]). 2. GHB 50mg versus Clomethiaz In the comparison of GHB 50mg participants) favour GHB for wit 3. GHB 50mg versus Clomethiaz For GHB 100mg versus Clometh Clomethiazole for side effects: R 4. GHB versus other pharmaceur On abstinence, GHB performed (RR=2.59, 95% CI [1.35 4.98] at participants) (RR=1.66, 95% CI [0.5. GHB plus other drug versus of The combination of GHB and NT [1.79 83.9] at 3 months; 1 study Escitalopram was better than Es [0.03 3.94] at 3 months; RR=4.5 participants). 6. Alcohol Craving Scale: For Alcohol Craving Scale, result at 3 months; 1 study, 71 particip [-1.86 -0.94], from 1 study with All other comparisons and outcom Authors' conclusions: There is insufficient randomised placebo, or to determine reliable treatment of alcohol withdrawal randomised evidence available splacebo in the treatment of AW detoxified alcoholics during the evidence in favour or against Getreatment of AWS; but, again bat appears better than NTX and Dispersion of the NTX and Dispersion o	ne, comparing GHB 50mg versions, so were more frequent in the Gratients in the GHB group develongroup). At mid-term, comparis, 3 months follow-up) favour ontrolled drinking (RR=2.13, 9 and number of daily drinks (MI oole: versus Clomethiazole, results hdrawal symptoms: MD=-3.40 ole: iazole, results from 1 study (96 ole: iazole, results from 1 study (97 ole: iazole, results from 1 study (98 ole) iazol	us placebo, results from 1 MD=-12.1 (95% CI [-15.9]- HB group: RR=16.2 (95% CI eloping transitory vertigo aring GHB 50mg/day with GHB for abstinence rate 15% CI [1.07]5.54]), relapses D=-4.60, 95% CI [-6.18]- If from 1 study (21 D (95% CI [-5.09]-1.71]). B participants) favour (2 studies, 64 participants) sulfiram (1 study, 59 tly significant). ions: stinence (RR=12.3, 95% CI nation of NTX, GHB and ce (RR=2.02 95% CI nths; 1 study, 23 ID=-4.50, 95% CI [-5.81]-3.19] L2 months (MD=-1.40, 95% CI differences. In difference between GHB and twe than other drugs for the stinence of the standard craving in previously this review does not provide these and Clomethiazole for domised evidence, GHB ence and preventing craving	

	developing addiction, and the misuse or abuse of the drug, suggesting to use GHB only under strict medical surveillance.
Comments	Thirteen RCTs were included, 11 of which had been conducted in Italy.
References	Addolorato 1999a, Caputo 2003, Caputo 2007, Ceccanti 1996, Di Bello 1995, Elsing 1996,
	Ferri 1991, Gallimberti 1989, Gallimberti 1992, Nava 2006, Nava 2007, Nimmerrichter
	2002, Stella 2008

Title	Home visits during pregr	nancy and after birth for wo	men with an alcohol or drug	
First Author	Doggett, C., 2005 Source			
Level of evidence	la	Study type	Systematic Review	
Study information		using random or quasi-rando	-	
	1	= -	o home visits. Trials enrolling high-risk	
	11.		se drugs or alcohol were also eligible.	
			Is Register (30 April 2004), CENTRAL	
	1		to April 2004), EMBASE (1980 to	
	1.		O (1974 to April 2004), citations from	
	1	ils, and contacted expert info		
Intervention	1. Intervention: Home vis			
	2. Control Group: No hor	ne visits		
Outcome and effect size	1. Home visits after birth	versus no home visits		
	a) Drug and alcohol relat	ed outcomes:		
	• There were no significa	int differences in continued i	llicit drug use (2 studies, 248 women;	
	relative risk (RR) =0.95, 9	5% CI [0.75 1.20]),		
	• continued alcohol use ((RR=1.08, 95% CI 0.83 1.41)		
	• Failure to enroll in a dr	ug treatment program (2 stu	dies, 211 women; RR=0.45, 95% CI	
	[0.10 1.94]).			
	b) Pregnancy and puerpe			
		_	ntion, the risk of adverse pregnancy	
	and delivery outcomes w	-		
	c) Infant/child outcomes	- '		
• There was no significant difference in the Bayley MDI (3 studies, 199 infant				
	mean difference (WMD)=2.89, 95% CI [-1.17 6.95]) • or Psychomotor Index (WMD=3.14, 95% CI [-0.03 6.32]). • Other outcomes reported by one study only included breastfeeding at six mon			
	(RR=1.00, 95% CI 0.81 1.23), incomplete six-month infant vaccination schedule			
95% CI [0.58 1.96]), non-accidental injury and non-voluntary foster care (RI [0.02 1.23]), failure to use postpartum contraception (RR=0.41, 95% CI [0.2				
	1		and involvement with child protective	
	services (RR=0.38, 95% C		and involvement with thind protective	
	Authors' conclusions:	1 [0.20 0.74]).		
	1	evidence that home visits after	er the birth increased the engagement	
			were insufficient data to say if this	
	_		esearch is needed, with visits starting	
	II T		commend the routine use of home	
	1		ther large, high-quality trials are	
	1	ews on home visiting need to		
Comments	Studies are relatively small, with many studies also having large losses to follow			
	None provided a significant antenatal component of home visits.			
	• The visitors included community health nurses, paediatric nurses, trained counsellors,			
	paraprofessional advocates, midwives and lay African-American women.			
	Subgroup analyses performed:			
	• timing of intervention: pregnancy (early and late), after birth, pregnancy and period after			
	birth;			
		n (e.g. less than six months; a	at least six months);	
	• intensity or frequency of			
	• person/s doing the visit	t: team or individual social w	orker, counsellor, nurse, or trained lay	

	worker; • content of visits: such as pregnancy care, drug and alcohol interventions, counselling, parent craft, life skills etc., non-judgemental and supportive versus directive; • effect of modifying factors: such as alcohol problem and/or methadone stabilised, methadone and continued drug abuse, heroin abuse, polydrug abuse or other drug abuse co-existence of domestic violence or mental illness, partner with partner with drug or alcohol problem, separation of infant from mother
References	Black 1994, Butz 1998, Dakof 2003, Grant 1996, Quinlivan 2000; Schuler 2000

Title	Motivational interviewing for	substance abuse.	
First Author	Smedslund, G., 2011	Source	21563163
Level of evidence	la	Study type	Systematic Review
Study information	57 studies were RCTs, and two studies were quasi-RCTs with a total of 13.342 persons dependent or abusing substance. Only studies were included that had checked video or sound recordings of the therapies in order to be certain that what was given really was MI. Search in 18 electronic databases, 5 web sites, 4 mailing lists, and reference lists from included studies and reviews. Search dates were November 30, 2010 for Cochrane Library, Medline, Embase and PsychINFO.		
Intervention Outcome and offect size	other active treatment. Within each category, compute intervention, short, medium ar	t control; treatment as ed meta-analyses were nd long follow-ups.	usual, assessment and feedback,
Outcome and effect size	was strongest at post-intervent SMD=0.17 (95% CI [0.09 0.26]] long follow-up, the effect was a 2. MI versus treatment as usual There were no significant differmedium follow up. 3. MI versus assessment and femaliant differmedium follow up. 3. MI versus assessment and femaliant differmedium follow up. 4. MI versus other active interventered was no significant effect. 4. MI versus other active interventered were no significant effect. 5. MI versus other active interventered were no significant effect. 6. MI versus other active interventered were no significant effect. 7. MI versus other active interventered were no significant effect. 8. MI versus other active interventered were no significant effect. 9. Authors' conclusions: MI can reduce the extent of suspense that other active treatmered back can be as effective as conclude about the effects of National Convictions. The evidence is more supplied to the convictions.	ntrol MI showed a significant SMD=0.79, (95% CI), and medium follow-unot significant SMD=0.00 li: Irrences for either followed between SMD=0.38 (95% CI) Irrences for either followed between SMD=0.38 (95% CI) Irrences for either follow-up. Irrences for either follow-up. Irrences abuse compare ents, treatment as usual motivational interview of the followed for retention in treat postly of low quality, it for severy likely to have an	v-up post-intervention, short and CI [0.10 0.66]). For short follow-up, There was not enough data to mes. ed to no intervention. However, it al and being assessed and receiving ving. There was not enough data to ment, readiness to change, or repeat orces us to be careful about our important impact on our confidence
Comments	 For 29 studies the allocation A minority of 2 studies have r For most of the studies there to conceal the allocation (n=50 In psychological therapies like intervention. It is also not generally possibles in 27 of the 59 studies we be and/or providers knew who we 	generation method is unot used adequate generic is an inadequate describle and were therefore jue MI, it is not possible to blind the participalieve that there was a here in the intervention generic is a description.	eration of allocation. iption of what, if anything, was done udged as having unclear risk of bias. o blind the people giving the ents

	studies the assessors appear to have been adequately blinded.
References	Adamson 2008, Anton 2005, Baros 2007, Baker 2009, Ball 2007a, Ball 2007b, Barnett 2007,
	Bauer 2007, Bazargan-Hejazi 2005, Bell 2007, Bernstein 2009, Bien 1993, Borsari 2005,
	Brown 2010, Capone 2009, Carey 2006, Carroll 1998, Carroll 2006a, Carroll 2006b, Carroll
	2009, Chanut 2007, Connors 2002, Copeland 2001a, Copeland 2001b, D'Amico 2008, De
	Wildt 2002, Emmen 2005, Feldstein 2007, Freyer-Adam 2008, Gordon 2003, Kadden 2007,
	Litt 2005, Litt 2008, Kahler 2004, Kavanagh 2004, Kay-Lambkin 2009, Kelly 2000, Maisto
	2001, Marijuana TP 2004, Marsden 2006, Martin 2008, Martino 2006, Mastroleo 2010,
	MATCH 1993, MATCH 1997, MATCH 1998a, MATCH 1998b, MATCH 1998c, MATCH 1998d,
	McCambridge 2008, Miller 2003, Morgenstern 2009, Naar-King 2006a, Naar-King 2006b,
	Naar-King 2006c, Naar-King 2007, Olmstead 2007, Orford 2009a, Orford 2009, Parsons
	2009, Peterson 2006, Rohsenow 2004, Saitz 2007, Schaus 2009, Sellman 2001, Stein 2002,
	Stein 2009, Stein 2010, Stephens 2007, Stern Stotts 1997, Stotts 1999, Stotts 2001, Stotts
	2006, Thevos 2001, Thush 2009, Tonigan 2002, UKATT 2005a, UKATT 2005b, UKATT 2008,
	Villanueva 2007, Walitzer 2008, Walker 2006, Walters 2009, White 2006, Winhusen 2008,
	Winters 2007, Wood 2007, Wu 2008, Zywiak 2002

Title	Milk thistle for alcoholic and/or hepatitis B or C virus liver diseases.		
First Author	Rambaldi, A., 2005	Source	17943794
Level of evidence	la	Study type	Systematic Review
Study information	13 randomised clinical trials ass	essed milk thistle in 915 patie	nts with alcoholic and/or
	hepatitis B or C virus liver diseas		
	Search in: The Cochrane Hepato		9
	Central Register of Controlled To	rials, MEDLINE, EMBASE, and	full text searches were
	combined (December 2003).		
Intervention	Experimental Intervention: Milk		ients
	Control intervention: Placebo; n	o intervention	
Outcome and effect size	1. Methodological Quality:		
	The methodological quality was		
	concealment and only 46% were		pie-blinded.
	2. Experimental intervention vs.		figure officer on mortality
	a.) Milk thistle versus placebo or no intervention had no significant effect on mortality		
	(RR=0.78, 95% CI [0.53 1.15]), complications of liver disease (RR=0.95, 95% CI [0.83 1.09]), or liver histology.		
	b.) Liver-related mortality was significantly reduced by milk thistle in all trials (RR=0.50,		
	95% CI [0.29 0.88]), but not in high-quality trials (RR=0.57, 95% CI [0.28 1.19]).		
	c.) Milk thistle was not associate	. , ,	
	(RR=0.83, 95% CI [0.46 1.50]).	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
	3. Authors' conclusions:		
	Our results question the benefic	cial effects of milk thistle for p	patients with alcoholic and/or
	hepatitis B or C virus liver diseas	ses and highlight the lack of hi	igh-quality evidence to
	support this intervention. Adeq	uately conducted and reporte	d randomised clinical trials on
	milk thistle versus placebo are r	needed.	
Comments	Included studies are rather old (
References	Bunout 1992, Buzzelli 1993, Buz		
	Láng 1990, Lirussi 2002, Lucena	_	998, Salmi 1982, Salvagnini
	1985, Trinchet 1989, Velussi 199	97	

Title	Opioid antagonists for a	Opioid antagonists for alcohol dependence		
First Author	Rösner, S., 2010	Rösner, S., 2010 Source 21154349		
Level of evidence	la	la Study type Systematic Review		
Study information	50 RCTs with 7.793 patie	50 RCTs with 7.793 patients		
	Search in: Cochrane Dru	Search in: Cochrane Drugs and Alcohol Group (CDAG) Specialized Register, PubMed,		
	EMBASE and CINAHL until January 2010.			

Intervention

Experimental Intervention:

- Naltrexone
- Injectable naltrexone
- Naltrexone in combination with other pharmaceutical interventions Control intervention: Placebo, other pharmaceutical interventions

Outcome and effect size

1. Naltrexone vs. placebo:

- a) Naltrexone reduced the risk of heavy drinking to 83% of the risk in the placebo group RR=0.83 (95% CI [0.76|0.90]) and decreased drinking days by about 4%, MD=-3.89 (95% CI [-5.75|-2.04]).
- b) Significant effects were also demonstrated for the secondary outcomes of the review including heavy drinking days, MD=-3.25 (95% CI [-5.51|-0.99]), consumed amount of alcohol, MD=-10.83 (95% CI [-19.69|-1.97]) and gamma-glutamyltransferase, MD=-10.37 (95% CI [-18.99|-1.75]). Side effects of naltrexone were mainly gastrointestinal problems (e.g. nausea: RD=0.10; 95% CI [0.07|0.13]) and sedative effects (e.g. daytime sleepiness: RD=0.09; 95% CI [0.05|0.14]).

2. Injectable naltrexone:

- a) Subgroup analyses of extended-release formulations of naltrexone compared to placebo indicate that injected naltrexone reduced the risk of any drinking after detoxification to 92% of the placebo group RR=0.92 (95% CI [0.84|1.00]), the percentage of drinking days by about 9% MD=-8.54 (95% CI [-15.77|-1.31]), and the percentage of heavy drinking days by about 3% M=-3.05 (95% CI [-8.46|2.35]).
- b) Extended-release naltrexone caused significantly more often daytime sleepiness than placebo RD=0.22 (95% CI [0.02|0.42]), decreased appetite RD=0.08 (95% CI [0.04|0.11]), dizziness RD=0.08 (95% CI [0.04|0.12]), fatigue RD=0.06 (95% CI [0.01|0.10]), and vomiting RD=0.06 (95% CI [0.02|0.11]).
- c) Early drop-out due to side effects were more frequent in the extended-release naltrexone group than in the placebo group RR=1.57 (95% CI [0.92 | 2.69]), while the risk of dropping out irrespective of reasons slightly differed between injectable naltrexone

3. Naltrexone vs. acamprosate:

- a) Results from 3 clinical trials did not indicate a significant difference between both substances in any of the primary outcomes.
- b) For the risk to return to heavy drinking RR=0.96 (95% CI [0.87|1.06]), the risk to return to any drinking RR=0.97 (95% CI [0.91|1.04]) a non-significant trend favouring naltrexone compared to acamprosate was found. In contrast, drinking days were non-significantly higher under naltrexone compared to acamprosate MD=3.06 (95% CI [-7.42|13.53]). Naltrexone was associated with a higher risk of nausea RD=0.08 (95% CI [0.03|0.13]) and somnolence RD=0.07 (95% CI [0.01 to 0.13]) compared to acamprosate, while acamprosate caused more often diarrhoea RD=-0.27 (95% CI [-0.34|-0.20]).
- c) Naltrexone had a 31% higher risk of terminating the study early because of adverse events than acamprosate RR=1.31 (95% CI [0.63 | 2.73]). In contrast, the risk of dropping out from a study irrespective of drop-out reasons was 8% lower in the naltrexone than in the acamprosate RR=0.92 (95% CI [0.77 | 1.10]).

4.) Naltrexone versus apripiprazole, nefazodone or topiramate:

- a) Summarized effects for naltrexone versus aripiprazole, nefazodone and the anticonvulsant topiramate, based on one study at a time, showed a non-significant superiority of active control compared to naltrexone.
- b) The only side-effects, which significantly differed between groups was decreased appetite and insomnia, which were documented more frequently in the naltrexone than in the nefazodone group (decreased appetite: RD=0.22; 95% CI [0.07 | 0.38]; NNTH=4.54; insomnia: RD=0.23; 95% CI [0.06 | 0.41]; NH=4.35).
- c) Drop-out risks were 4%, 51% and 12% higher under naltrexone than aripiprazole (RR=1.04; 95% CI [0.42 | 2.57]), nefazodone (RR=1.51; 95% CI [0.90 | 2.53]) and topiramate (RR=1.12; 95% CI [0.68 | 1.83]).

5. Naltrexone + acamprosate versus placebo:

a) The combination of naltrexone and acamprosate, tested in two RCTs was shown to

reduce the risk to return to heavy drinking and to any drinking by about 30% (heavy drinking: RR=0.71; 95% CI [0.38|1.35]; any drinking: RR=0.70; 95% CI [0.35|1.39]) compared to placebo; drinking days were lowered by two percent (MD=-2.20; 95% CI [6.30|1.90]) and GGT values by about nine units per liter(MD=-8.70; 95% CI [-24.86|7.46]). None of the effects reached statistical significance.

b) Compared to placebo, the combined therapy with naltrexone and acamprosate caused significantly more often decreased appetite (RD=0.11; 95% CI [0.05|0.17]; NNTH=9.09), diarrhea (RD=0.20; 95% CI [0.13|0.27]; NNTH=5), nausea (RD=0.20; 95% CI [0.14|0.26]; NNTH=5) and vomiting (RD=0.09; 95% CI [0.03|0.14]; NNTH=11.1). The risk to drop-out due to adverse events was higher in the combined therapy group than in the placebo group (RR=3.75; 95% CI [1.33|10.55]), while the risk of dropping out irrespective of reasons was higher in the placebo group (RR=0.83; 95% CI [0.28|2.49]).

6. Naltrexone + acamprosate versus naltrexone

- a) When compared to naltrexone alone, effects of combined treatment with naltrexone and acamprosate turned out be lower in their magnitude than compared to placebo for most outcomes: The risk reduction for return to heavy drinking was 3% (RR=0.97; 95% CI [0.75|1.26]), for any drinking 12% (RR=0.88; 95% CI [0.61|1.28]), while drinking days were decreased by about 1% (MD=-1.10; 95% CI [-5.21|3.01]). None of the primary outcomes reached statistical significance. A significant effect was demonstrated for the GGT, assessed in one trial only, which was lower in the naltrexone than in the combined treatment group (MD=10.7; 95% CI [1.87|19.93]).
- b) The combination of acamprosate and naltrexone induced significantly more often diarrhea (RD=0.37; 95% CI [0.10|0.65]; NNTH=2.70) and nausea (RD=0.09; 95% CI [0.02|0.16]; NNTH=11.1) than naltrexone alone. The risk of dropping out because of side effects (RR=1.07; 95% CI [0.55|2.08]) and the risk of terminating the study early irrespective of reasons (RR=1.03; 95% CI [0.95|1.43]) were non-significantly higher in the combined treatment group than in the naltrexone group.

7. Naltrexone + ondansetrone / sertraline versus placebo

- a) Combinations of naltrexone with either ondansetrone or sertraline have both been shown to significantly reduce drinking days and consumed amount per drinking day: In the trial with ondansetron, patients drank alcohol on about 25% days less than those treated with placebo (MD=-23.80; 95% CI [-58.13|10.53]); in the trial with sertraline, the effect was lower (MD=-10.6; 95% CI [-12.06|-9.14]), but reached statistical significance. The same applies to consumed amount per drinking day, which reduced at about 50grams in the ondansetron trial (MD=-50.70; 95% CI [-81.53|-19.87]) and 28grams in the sertraline trial (MD=-10.6; 95% CI [-12.06|-9.14]) in comparison to placebo.
- b) For the combination with sertraline, a significant effect was also demonstrated on heavy drinking days (MD=-8.20; 95% CI [-9.61|-6.79]).
- c) Sertraline was associated significantly more often with sleepiness (RR=0.40; 95% CI [0.18|0.62]), nausea (RR=0.29; 95% CI [0.06|0.51]) and dizziness (RR=0.25; 95% CI [0.03|0.47]).

8. Nalmefene versus placebo Treatment phase

- a) None of the effects reached statistical significance.
- 9. Effects of industry-sponsored studies, RR=0.90 (95% CI [0.78|1.05]) did not significantly differ from those of non-profit funded trials, RR=0.84 (95% CI [0.77|0.91]) and the linear regression test did not indicate publication bias (p=0.765).

Authors' conclusions

Naltrexone appears to be an effective and safe strategy in alcoholism treatment. Even though the sizes of treatment effects might appear moderate in their magnitudes, these should be valued against the background of the relapsing nature of alcoholism and the limited therapeutic options currently available for its treatment.

Comments

Various features of the study design, which have been implemented in the naltrexone and nalmefene trials included in the review, ensure a high methodological quality of the primary database.

References	Ahmadi 2002, Anton 1999, Anton 2004, Anton 2005, Anton 2006, Auriacombe 2000, Balldin
	2003, Baltieri 2008, Brown 2009, Chick 2000, de Goes e Castro 2004, Galarza 1997, Garbutt
	2005, Gastpar 2002, Guardia 2002, Heinälä 2001, Hersh 1998, Huang 2005, Johnson 2000,
	Johnson 2004, Kiefer 2003, Killeeen 2004, Kranzler 1998, Kranzler 2000, Kranzler 2004,
	Krystal 2001, Latt 2002, Lee 2001, Martinotti 2008, Mason 1994, Mason 1999, Monterosso
	2001, Monti 2001, Morley 2006, Morris 2001, O'Malley 1992, O'Malley 2007, O'Malley
	2008, Oslin 1997, Oslin 2005, Oslin 2008, Petrakis 2004, Petrakis 2005, Pettinati 2008a,
	Pettinati 2008b, Schmitz 2004, Schmitz 2009, Volpicelli 1992, Volpicelli 1997, ZióÅ,kowski
	2000

Title	Psychosocial intervention	s for women enrolled in a	lcohol treatment during pregnancy	
First Author	Lui, S., 2008	Source	18646166	
Level of evidence	n.a.	Study type	Systematic Review	
Study information	No article met the inclusion criteria. Search in the Cochrane Drugs and Alcohol Group's Trial register (December 2007); MEDLINE (1950-2007); PsychINFO (1806 to 2007); EMBASE (1974 to 2007); CINAHL (1982-2007)			
Intervention	 Intervention: Any psychosocial intervention Control group: Pharmacological interventions or placebo or non-intervention or another psychosocial intervention for treating alcohol dependence in pregnancy 			
Outcome and effect size	Results: The search strategy identified 958 citations. 17 citations were deemed relevant for full text review, an additional 9 articles were retrieved through hand searching references, for a total of 26 articles. Following full text review no articles met the inclusion criteria. Data extraction and assessment of methodological quality were therefore not possible. Authors' conclusions: The review question remains unanswered as there were no randomised control trials found relevant to the topic. There is a need for high quality randomised controlled trials to determine the effectiveness of psychosocial interventions in pregnant women enrolled in			
Comments	alcohol treatment program			
References	n.a.			

Title	Psychological and/or educational interventions for reducing alcohol consumption in			
	pregnant women and women planning pregnancy			
First Author	Stade, B. C., 2009	Source	19370597	
Level of evidence	la	Study type	Systematic Review	
Study information	Four RCTs (715 women Who wer	re less than 28 weeks pregnan	t and who were consuming	
	some alcohol)			
	Search in: The Cochrane Pregnar	ncy and Childbirth Group's Tria	als Register (August 2008),	
	CENTRAL (The Cochrane Library	2007, Issue 4), MEDLINE (1966	5 to November 2007),	
	EMBASE (1980 to November 200	07), CINAHL (1982 to Novembe	er 2007), Counsel.Lit (1980 to	
	November 2007), PsychLIT (1974 to November 2007) and PsychINFO (1967 to November			
	2007) and check for cited references from retrieved articles			
Intervention	1. Intervention: Psychological and educational interventions (ranging from a 10-minute			
	education session and provision of a self-help-manual through to an hour-long			
	motivational interview with reinforcement at each prenatal visit) for reducing consumption			
	of alcohol among pregnant women, or women planning for pregnancy.			
	2. Control group: Women in the control groups generally received routine care, which may			
	have included advice on reducing alcohol			
Outcome and effect size	1. Psychological and/or educational interventions versus control group:			
	Results from individual studies suggest that interventions may encourage women to			
	abstain from alcohol in pregnancy. There was very little information provided on the			
	effects of interventions on the h	ealth of mothers and babies.		

	Authors' conclusions:			
	The evidence from the limited number of studies suggests that psychological and			
	educational interventions may result in increased abstinence from alcohol, and a reduction			
	in alcohol consumption among pregnant women. However, results were not consistent,			
	and the paucity of studies, the number of total participants, the high risk of bias of some of			
	the studies, and the complexity of interventions limits our ability to determine the type of			
	intervention which would be most effective in increasing abstinence from, or reducing the			
	consumption of, alcohol among pregnant women.			
Comments	No meta-analysis was performed as the interventions and outcomes measured in the			
	studies were not sufficiently similar.			
	For most outcomes there were no significant differences between groups; and results			
	relating to abstaining or reducing alcohol consumption were mixed.			
	All studies were carried out in the USA.			
References	Chang 1999, Handmaker 1999a, O'Connor 2007, Reynolds 1995			

Title	Psychotropic analgesic nitrous oxide for alcoholic withdrawal states				
First Author	Gillmann, M. A., 2007 Source 17443576				
Level of evidence	la	Study type	Systematic Review		
Study information	5 RCTs, 212 participants, were included. Search in: Cochrane Central Register of Controlled				
	Trials (The Cochrane Library Issue 2, 2005), MEDLINE, EMBASE, CINAHL (all to May 2005)				
Intervention	Experimental intervention: PAN				
	Control intervention: Oxygen (placebo) and/or benzodiazepine regimens				
Outcome and effect size	1. Improvement of scores as measured on a modified Gross Scale:				
	PAN showed improvement of symptoms (RR=1.35; 95% CI 1.01 1.79), of the amount and				
	duration of sedative medication	and of psychomotor function	(WMD=-8.71; 95% CI -		
	13.71 -3.71).				
	2. Improvement of depression a				
	At one hour post intervention, n	=	•		
	(WMD=-2.40; 95% CI -8.70 3.89) and anxiety (WMD=-3.70; 95	5% CI -10.53 3.12).		
	3. Adverse effects:				
	None of the included studies rep	orted any significant adverse	effects of any treatment		
	Authors' conclusions:				
	Authors' conclusions: Results indicate that PAN may be an effective treatment of the mild to moderate alcoholic				
	withdrawal state. The rapidity of the therapeutic effect of PAN therapy coupled with the				
	minimal sedative requirements, may enable patients to enter the psychological treatment				
		phase more quickly than those on sedative regimens, accelerating the patients' recovery.			
	1.	Our review does not provide strong evidence due to the small sample sizes of the included			
	trials. Neither does the review ir	ndicate any causes for concerr	n that PAN is more harmful		
	than the benzodiazepines. Clinic	ians wishing to use PAN may	initially wish to do so within		
	trial settings. Further high qualit	y trials should be done to con	firm these findings and to		
	investigate whether the PAN the	• •			
	the alcohol withdrawal states. Studies to investigate the possible cost-effectiveness of PAN				
	by reducing costly hospital admissions and decreasing post administration supervision also				
	need to be performed.				
	·	The review does not provide strong evidence due to the small sample sizes of the included			
	trials.				
	<u>Limitations</u>				
	 Two studies published in journals and three dissertations were included. All studies were conducted in South Africa and only included male, mainly white, 				
	participants.	South Affica and Offig Included	i maie, mainly wille,		
	• Studies are old, have small san	nnla sizas			
	Allocation concealment was ur				
		•	trial it was not possible to		
	• Due to the difference between measured outcomes in each trial, it was not possible to conduct meta-analyses for most outcomes				
References	De Rooster 1983, Fey 1993, Gilln		ks 1994		

Title	Efficacy and safety of pharmaco Withdrawal Syndrome	ological interventions for the	treatment of the Alcohol	
First Author	Amato, L., 2011	Source	21678378	
Level of evidence	lb	Study type	Systematic Review	
Study information	5 reviews, 114 studies, 7333 par	ticipants		
	Search in the Cochrane Databas	e of Systematic Reviews (30 N	ovember 2010). Two authors	
	independently screened, extract	ted data, summarised key cha	racteristics of the included	
	reviews and assessed their quali	ty using AMSTAR; the quality	of the evidence was	
	summarised according to the GF	RADE methodology.		
Intervention	Experimental intervention: Benz	•	Baclofen, GHB and PAN	
	(psychotropic analgesic nitrous	oxide)		
	Control intervention: Placebo; other drugs			
Outcome and effect size	Experimental intervention: Benzodiazepines, anticonvulsants, Baclofen, GHB and PAN			
	(psychotropic analgesic nitrous oxide)			
	Control intervention: Placebo; o			
	protective benefit for many out	·		
	no definite conclusions about th		-	
	possible, because of the heterogeneity of the trials both in interventions and in the			
	assessment of outcomes. Data on potential harms are sparse and fragmented. Results do			
	not provide sufficient evidence in favour of anticonvulsants for the treatment of AWS, but			
	anticonvulsants seem to have limited side effects. There is also not enough evidence of			
	effectiveness and safety of baclofen, because only one study consider this treatment and			
	of GHB for which no strong differences were observed in the comparisons with placebo,			
	benzodiazepines and anticonvulsants.			
Comments	Heterogeneity of the trials in patient populations (age, gender, nationality, severity of			
	symptoms, treatment setting,) i			
References	Amato 2010, Gillman 2007, Leor	ne 2010, Liu 2011, Minozzi 20:	10	

Title	Pharmacologic Interventions fo	r Pregnant Women Enrolled i	n Alcohol Treatment	
First Author	Smith, E. J., 2009	Source	19588428	
Level of evidence	n.a.	Study type	Systematic Review	
Study information	No studies could be included			
	Search in: Cochrane Drugs and A	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	= :	
	(1.1950 6.2008), EMBASE (1.197		.2008); PsycInfo (1.1806-	
	6.2008), and reference lists of ar			
Intervention	Experimental condition: Pharma	cologic intervention		
	Control condition: Other pharma	_		
	psychosocial treatment, placebo, non-intervention or psychosocial intervention.			
Outcome and effect size	Following full text review no articles met the inclusion criteria. Data extraction and			
	assessment of methodological quality were therefore not possible.			
	Authors' conclusions: The review question remains unanswered as there were no randomised control trials			
	•			
	found relevant to the topic. The			
	effectiveness of pharmacologic i	nterventions in pregnant wor	nen enrolled in alcohol	
	treatment program. Thirty-one of the retrieved for full text review were excluded because they were not the			
Comments	·			
	correct study design. A majority of the articles we found described cohorts, case series or			
	case reports concerning the effects of drinking or pharmacologic interventions on the			
	foetus. Many articles did not provide a control group with which to compare the results.			
	Other articles were excluded because they were review articles. The references of these			
	articles were searched for potentially relevant articles. Some of these reviews explained the limitations in implementing drug trials in pregnant women.			
References	No studies included.	aras mais in presnam women	l.	

Title	S-adenosyl-L-methionine for alcoholic liver diseases			
First Author	Rambaldi, A., 2006	Source	16625556	
Level of evidence	la	Study type	Systematic Review	
Study information	9 RCTs with 434 patients with al			
	Search in The Cochrane Hepato-			
	Cochrane Central Register of Co		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
	MEDLINE (1950 to May 2005), E		nd Science Citation Index	
	Expanded (searched May 2005).	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Intervention	Experimental intervention: S-ad	•	. :	
	Control intervention: Placebo; o	•	itions	
Outcome and effect size	Other interventions allowed if a			
Outcome and effect size	1. No significant effects of SAMe on: • All-cause mortality (RR=0.62, 95% CI [0.30 1.26]),			
	• All-cause mortality (RR=0.62, 95% CI [0.30]1.26]), • Liver-related mortality (RR=0.68, 95% [CI 0.31]1.48]),			
	• All-cause mortality or liver transplantation (RR=0.55; 95% CI [0.27 1.09]), or			
	complications (RR=1.35, 95% CI [0.84 2.16]). The analysis is based mostly on one trial only.			
	2. Adverse effects:			
	SAMe was not significantly associated with non-serious adverse events (RR=4.92; 95% CI			
	[0.59 40.89]) and no serious adverse events were reported.			
	Authors' conclusions			
	We could not find evidence supp	porting or refuting the use of S	SAMe for patients with	
	alcoholic liver diseases. We need more long-term, high-quality randomised trials on SAMe			
	for these patients before SAMe may be recommended for clinical practice.			
Comments	The methodological quality regarding randomisation was generally low, but 8 out of 9 trials			
	were placebo controlled. Only o			
	adequate methodology and repo	•	-	
	transplantation. Heterogeneity i			
References	Altomare 1988, Chawla 1999, Ci		Belmont 1996, Loguercio	
	1994b Mato 1999b, Trespi 1997	, vendemiale 1989b,		

Title	Social norms interventions to reduce alcohol misuse in University or College students			
First Author	Moreira, M. T., 2009	Source	19588402	
Level of evidence	la	Study type	Systematic Review	
Study information	Twenty-two RCTs or cluster RCTs	s (7.275 participants).		
	Search in: Cochrane Drugs and A	Alcohol Group Register of Trial	s; Central; MEDLINE;	
	EMBASE; PsyInfo; CINAHL (up to	March 2008).		
Intervention	1. Intervention:			
	a) Universal personalized norma	tive feedback to individuals, v	where all students are asked	
	to participate regardless of drink	ker status or risk level		
	b) Targeted interventions focusion	ng on members of a particular	group, such as first-year	
	students, fraternity and sorority members, athletes, members of an academic class, or			
	individuals who are deemed to b	be at higher risk of alcohol pro	blems	
	c) Social Norms Marketing Campaigns, e.g. community-wide electronic and/or print media			
	campaigns that refer to normative drinking patterns.			
	2. Control intervention:			
	No social norms intervention, as	• • •		
	consumption or alternative educational or psychosocial intervention assessment only,			
	questionnaire used to measure alcohol consumption or alternative educational or			
	psychosocial intervention			
Outcome and effect size	1. Alcohol related problems:			
	Significant reduction with Web/computer feedback (WF) (SMD=-0.31, 95% CI [-0.59 -			
	0.02]), three studies, 278 participants. No significant effect of mailed feedback (MF),			
	individual face-to-face feedback (IFF) or group face-to-face feedback (GFF).			
	2. Peak Blood Alcohol Content (I			
	Significant reduction with WF (S	MD=-0.77, 95% CI [-1.25 -0.28	8]), two studies, 198	

	participants. No significant effect of MF or IFF.			
	3. Drinking Frequency:			
	Significant reduction with WF (SMD=-0.38, 95% CI [-0.63 -0.13]), two studies, 243			
	participants and IFF (SMD=-0.39, 95% CI [-0.66 -0.12]), two studies, 217 participants. No			
	significant effect of MF.			
	4. Drinking Quantity:			
	Significant reduction with WF (SMD=-0.35, 95% Cl [-0.51 -0.18]), five studies, 556			
	participants and GFF (SMD=-0.32, 95% Cl [-0.63 -0.02 9) three studies, 173 participants. No			
	significant effect of MF or IF.			
	5. Binge drinking:			
	Significant reduction with WF (SMD=-0.47, 95% CI [-0.92 -0.03]) one study, 80 participants,			
	IFF (SMD=-0.25, 95% CI [-0.49 -0.02]) three studies, 278 participants and GFF (SMD=-0.38,			
	95% CI [-0.62 -0.14]) four studies, 264 participants. No significant effect for MF. BAC: No			
	significant effect of MF and IFF			
	6. Drinking norms:			
	Significant reduction with WF (SMD=-0.75, 95% CI [-0.98 -0.52]) three studies, 312			
	participants.			
	Authors' conclusions:			
	WF and IFF are probably effective in reducing alcohol misuse. No direct comparisons of WF			
	against IFF were found, but WF impacted across a broader set of outcomes and is less			
	costly so therefore might be preferred. Significant effects were more apparent for short-			
	term outcomes (up to three months). For mailed and group feedback, and social norms			
	marketing campaigns, the results are on the whole not significant and therefore cannot be			
	recommended.			
Comments	Several sources of potential bias in the individual studies were detected:			
	• lack of blinding of students or researchers,			
	use of self-reported outcome measures.			
	Only a few studies reported how important aspects of study design were conducted, such			
	as concealment of treatment allocation and handling of missing data, making it difficult to			
	assess the risk of bias. Lack of adequate allocation concealment, blinding and analysis is			
	associated with overestimation of intervention effects, and therefore we cannot rule out			
	the possibility that the effects observed in this review may be exaggerated due to			
	methodological limitations			
References	Baer 2001, Borsari 2000, Borsari 2005, Carey 2006, Collins 2002, DeJong 2006, DeJong			
	2008, Juárez 2006, Kypri 2004, Kypri 2005, Kypri 2008, Lewis 2007a, Lewis 2007a, Female			
	Lewis 2007a, Male Lewis 2007b, Marlatt 1998, McNally 2003, Michael 2006, Murphy 2001,			
	Neal 2004, Neighbors 2006, Walters 2000, Walters 2007, Werch 2000			
	1100 10 1, 110, 100 10 2000, Walter's 2000, Western 2000			

Title	Thiamine for Wernicke-Korsako	off Syndrome in people at risk	from alcohol abuse	
First Author	Day, E., 2004 Source 14974055			
Level of evidence	lb	Study type	Systematic Review	
Study information	1 RCT with 107 participants Search in: The Specialized Register of the Cochrane Dementia and Cognitive Improvement Group (CDCIG), The Cochrane Library, MEDLINE, EMBASE, PsycINFO, CINAHL and LILACS were searched on 22 January 2008 using the terms: thiamin* OR aneurin*. The CDCIG Specialized Register contains records from all major health care databases (The Cochrane Library, MEDLINE, EMBASE, PsycINFO, CINAHL, LILACS) The search in August 2005 retrieved no new studies for inclusion; the latest search of January 2008 retrieved one possible study for inclusion, which was excluded.			
Intervention	Experimental Intervention: 1. Thiamine or thiamine-containing products at any dose and in any formulation (oral, intramuscular or intravenous) Control intervention: Placebo, other interventions or no treatment			
Outcome and effect size	Comparison of five doses of intramuscular thiamine and measurement of outcomes after 2 days of treatment. Lowest dose (5mg/day) was compared with each of the other four doses. There was a			

	significant difference in favour of the 200mg/day compared with the 5mg/day dose in the number of trials taken to reach criterion on a delayed alternation test (MD=-17.90, 95% CI [-35.4 -0.40], p=0.04). No significant differences emerged in comparing the other doses with 5mg/day. • The pattern of results did not present a simple dose-response relationship. The study had methodological shortcomings in design and the presentation of results that limited further analysis.
	Authors' conclusions There is insufficient evidence from randomized controlled clinical trials to guide clinicians in the dose, frequency, route or duration of thiamine treatment for prophylaxis against or treatment of WKS due to alcohol abuse.
Comments	2 studies met the inclusion criteria for the review, one of which was unpublished. They involved a total of 177 participants, and both were randomized double-blind placebo-controlled trials. However, one study was very small (n=8) and contained insufficient data for quantitative analysis.
	 Risk of bias Method used to generate random allocation is not reported. Both studies were described as double-blind, but neither of the reports described precautions taken to minimize detection bias. Unclear losses to follow up Relatively small size of each treatment group, High rate of non-completion
References	Ambrose, 2001 Nichols, unpublished

Tabellenband

Evidenztabellen der PubMed-Recherche

2. Screening und Diagnostik

Title	Alcohol Biomarker Screening in Medical and Surgical Settings			
First Author	Miller, P. M., 2006	Source	16441267	
Level of evidence	3a	Study type	Review on alcohol use biomarkers	
Study quality	Sensitivity and specificity of CDT	, GGT, MCV, alone and	d in combination with CAGE in GI	
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		effect sizes, no overall evaluation of	
	Sensitivity, specificity, pos. and r	neg. PV		
Participants				
Patient characteristics	Sensitivity of several markers in	•		
	consumption causes or aggravat	•		
	hypertension (HTN), stroke, hea	rt disease, pancreatit	is, liver disease, oral cancer, and	
	breast cancer.			
Intervention	Article highlights the proceedings of a symposium presented at the 28th Annual Meeting of			
	the Research Society on Alcoholism in Santa Barbara, CA, on June 28, 2005.			
Comparison	n. a.			
Length of follow-up	Assessment perioperatively in surgical settings			
Outcome and effect size	_	tes significantly to me	dical complications in trauma and	
	surgical patients.			
	GGT and %CDT and their combination, have been used in medical and surgical settings to			
	detect heavy drinking, predict medical complications, and provide an objective risk factor			
	for alcohol-related diseases (Miller, 2004; Miller et al., 2005).			
	This symposium includes presentations on 4 recent studies examining the utility of alcohol			
	biomarkers in different medical/surgical settings (i.e., primary health care, critical surgical			
	care) and with different disease states (i.e., HTN, hepatitis C). The potential of alcohol			
	biomarkers in enhancing the quality of medical care and reducing its cost will be discussed.			
Funding	RSA conference			
Comments	Selected review of authors, no systematic review			

Title	ROC analysis of alcoholism markers – 100% specificity		
First Author	Brinkmann, B., 2000	Source	11009066
Level of evidence	2b	Study type	Comparison of cohorts
Study quality	Moderate sample size; Males a	nd females were investigate	d in all subgroups; assessment
	of a broad range of biomarkers,	methods well-described; RO	DC-Analyses conducted
Participants	341 blood samples from alcoho	lic s and nonalcoholic.	
Patient characteristics	Non-alcoholics divided into (A) 33 persons with no ethanol consumption during the past year and (B) 60 persons with daily consumption less than 40 g ethanol. Alcoholics were divided into (C) 177 persons with no ethanol at the time of admission/first blood sampling (withdrawal therapy) and (D) 71 persons with positive ethanol levels on admission / first blood sampling.		
Intervention	Measure of Methanol (MeOH), Sum of acetone + 2-propanol (A + 2P), G-Glutamyltransferase (G-GT), Carbohydrate deficient transferrin (CDT) in "Alcoholics" Computation of Alc-Index=0.1121 × [MeOH] + 0.4082 × [A + 2P] + 0.0907 × [G-GT] + 0.1254 × [CDT] -7.7736		
Comparison	Nonalcoholics		
Length of follow-up	Cross-Sectional study??		
Outcome and effect size	All markers showed different extents of overlap between the collectives of alcoholics and nonalcoholics. By logistic regression, a formula was developed combining these markers with different mathematical weights. Thus an "Alc-Index" could be calculated for each individual. The ROC curve connecting all individual values gives an ideal form with 100% specificity and nearly 93% sensitivity. The threshold between the collectives of alcoholics and non-alcoholics was defined by the Alc-Index value 1.7. This was associated with no false positives among the nonalcoholics while nearly 93% of the alcoholics exceeded this index.		
Funding	This study was sponsored by th	e Bund gegen Alkohol und D	rogen im Straßenverkehr e.V

Comments	Overall, well-conducted study, Introduction of the "Alc-Index" (combination of 4
	biomarkers).

Title	Combining carbohydrate-deficion increase diagnostic accuracy for		d gamma-glutamyltransferase to g
First Author	Chen, J., 2003	Source	14633645
Level of evidence	1a	Study type	Cohort study, group comparison
Study quality	Large international sample, subjects were well-defined. AUDADIS Interview. The algorithm derived by Sillanaukee and Olsson was tested and compared with new algorithms derived by logistic regression and discriminant analysis. ROC analyses conducted. Analyses controlled for sex and BMI.		
Participants	1684 subjects, WHO-ISBRA-stud		
Patient characteristics	Alcohol-consuming individuals, i		
Intervention			ind CDT and InCDT, InGGT and InAST
Comparison	<140g/week for women), heavy and no past treatment for an alo	drinkers (>210 g/	nce per month and <210g/week for men, week for men, >140g/week for women olem), and alcohol-dependent persons.
Length of follow-up	Cross-sectional study		
Outcome and effect size	The results of our discriminant analysis support the findings of Sillanaukee and Olsson (2001) that using the levels of CDT and GGT in combination may provide a better indicator for the diagnosis of problem drinking (defined as consumption of >=60g ethanol per day), than either test alone. For men, combining InCDT and InGGT provided the best accuracy for detecting daily consumption of 60g ethanol or more in the past 30 days. For women, GGT alone provided the best accuracy for that consumption level. Clinical variables added significantly to the diagnostic accuracy of the models for both men and women, and conversely the test results modified the probability of problem drinking as assessed from clinical data alone. A graphic method was produced to help clinicians estimate probabilities for consumption of 60g or more per day. Conclusions: Combining biochemical markers enhances detection of problem drinking in men but not in women. Information on clinical variables increases the ability to correctly detect problem drinking.		
Funding	Australia by the National Health	and Medical Rese	
Comments		•	s results regarding combined measures pecific sensitivity/specificity analyses.

Title	Comparison of self-reports and biological measures for alcohol, tobacco, and illicit drugs consumption in psychiatric inpatients				
First Author	de Beaurepaire, R., 2007 Source 17596918				
Level of evidence	1b	Study type	Cohort study		
Study quality	Biological measures (urine cotinine, cannabis, opiates, cocaine, amphetamines and barbiturates; blood carbohydrate-deficient transferrin [CDT] and gamma-glutamyl transferase [GGT])				
Participants	486				
Patient characteristics	Psychiatric inpatients: diagnostic groups according to primary diagnosis "Schizoph.": corresponding to the group "schizophrenia and other psychotic disorders" of the DSM-IV; "Mood disorders": corresponding to the group "mood disorders" of the DSM-IV; "Drinkers with problems": patients hospitalized for alcohol detoxification whatever the psychiatric comorbidities; "Personality disorders": personality disorders according to the DSM-IV; "Other": other patients.				
Intervention	Biological measures: urine cotinine, cannabis, opiates, cocaine, amphetamines and barbiturates; blood carbohydrate-deficient transferrin [CDT] and gamma-glutamyl transferase [GGT])				
Comparison	Self-reports (free interview)				
Length of follow-up	Cross-sectional study				

	The results show a low correlation between biological measures and self-reported
	consumption of alcohol and illicit drugs. Fifty-two percent of the patients under-reported
	their consumption of illicit drugs (kappa=.47). Patients with schizophrenia and personality
	disorders were more likely to disclose their illicit drug consumption relative to patients
Outcome and effect size	suffering from mood disorders and alcohol dependence. Fifty-six percent of patients
Outcome and effect size	underreported alcohol use, as evaluated by CDT (kappa=.2), and 37% underreported when
	using the CDT+GGT measure as an indicator. Smoking appeared to be reported adequately.
	In the study we observed a strong negative correlation between cannabis use and age, a
	strong correlation between tobacco and cannabis use, and correlations between tobacco,
	cannabis and alcohol consumption.
Funding	This work was supported by the MILDT (Mission interministérielle de lutte contre les
runding	drogues et la toxicomanie, Paris, France) and by the Ligue contre le cancer (Paris, France).
	This study is the first to compare self-reports and biological measures of alcohol, tobacco
Comments	and illicit drug uses in a large sample of inpatients suffering from various categories of
	psychiatric illnesses, allowing for cross-diagnosis comparisons. Screening for alcohol and
	substance use in psychiatric populations

Title	Comparison of the combined marker GGT-CDT and the conventional laboratory markers of alcohol abuse in heavy drinkers, moderate drinkers and abstainers		
First Author	Hietala, J., 2006	Source	16799164
Level of evidence	2b	Study type	Comparison of cohorts
Study quality	Patients well-characterized; mod	lerate to small sample size; lal	b measures well conducted
Participants	165 and 86 reference individuals		
Patient characteristics	165 heavy drinkers, consuming 40-540g of ethanol per day, and 86 reference individuals who were either moderate drinkers (n=51) or abstainers (n=35).		
Intervention	Measures of GGT–CDT and compare with the conventional markers of alcohol abuse including ASAT, ALAT, MCV in heavy drinkers.		
Comparison	Moderate drinkers or abstainers		
Length of follow-up	Cross-sectional study??		
Outcome and effect size	The sensitivity of GGT–CDT (90%) in correctly classifying heavy drinkers exceeded that of CDT (63%), GGT (58%), mean corpuscular volume (MCV) (45%), aspartate aminotransferase (AST) (47%), and alanine aminotransferase (ALT) (50%), being also essentially similar for alcoholics with (93%) or without (88%) liver disease.		
Funding	Not reported		
Comments	Well-conducted study, patient sample well-characterized; sensitivity reported also for subjects with and without liver disease.		

Title	Combinations of carbohydrate-deficient transferrin, mean corpuscular erythrocyte volume, gamma-glutamyltransferase, homocysteine and folate increase the significance of biological markers in alcohol dependent patients			
First Author	Rinck, D., 2007	Source	17234365	
Level of evidence	1b	Study type	Cohort study	
Study quality	good			
Participants	Group A: 177; Group B/ control	group: 181		
Patient characteristics	Group A: 33 women, 144 men; fulfilled criteria for alcohol dependence according to ICD-10. Mean age 44. They were included at the day of admission to a closed detoxification unit. Group B: control group, social drinkers, volunteers, screened for alcohol consumption and alcohol drinking patterns using structured interview (FEG). None was drinking more than 30g ethanol per week. Mean age: 30 years			
Intervention	Blood samples: folate, GGT, plasma homocysteine, MCV, %CDT were analysed.			
Comparison	To advance the clinical diagnostic pattern of identifying alcohol dependent patients using a combination of established laboratory markers and new biomarkers for alcoholism.			
Length of follow-up	None			
Outcome and effect size	MCV: sensitivity 76% (95% CI [69 82]), specificity (95% CI [92.9 98.8]), Positive predictive value (PPV) 71.7% (95% CI [67 76.4]), Negative Predictive Value (NPV) 97.3%			

Comments	Evaluation of combined markers in a moderate sample size, reports sensitivity and specificity measures.
Funding	Support by a grant from Axis Shield, Norway.
	sensitivity of 94.1% and specificity of 96%.
	98.6% and a specificity of 86.4%. Best value for women: combination of MCV and CDT:
	men: combination of MCV, CDT, GGT and homofysteine, and folate has a sensitivity of
	Combination of different markers led to a significant elevation in sensitivity. Best value for
	45.5% (95% CI [40.3 50.6]), NPV 94.8%
	GGT: sensitivity 54.2% (95% CI [46.6 61.6]), specificity 92.8% (95% CI [88 96.1]), PPV
	51.5% (95% CI [46.3 56.7]), NPV 91.8%
	Folate: sensitivity 21.1%, (95% CI [15.5 28]), specificity 97.8% (95% CI [94.4 99.4]), PPV
	30.9% (95% CI [26.1 35.7]), NPV 95.8%
	Homocysteine: sensitivity 67% (CI 59.6 73.9]), specificity 83.3% (95% CI [77.1 88.5]), PPV
	CI [60.3 70.1]), NPV 98.2%
	CDT: sensitivity 84.4% (95% CI [78.2 89.3]), specificity 95% (CI 90.7 97.7]), PPV 65.2% (95%

Title	Improved diagnostic classification of alcohol abusers by combining carbohydrate- deficient transferrin and gamma-glutamyltransferase				
First Author	Sillanaukee, P., 2001 Source 11274018				
Level of evidence	1b	Study type	Combination of several cohort studies		
			alcohol abusers vs. social drinkers		
Study quality	1		rmany (studies 1 and 4), Spain (study 2),		
	France (study 3), Finland (study !	5), and Japan (st	udy 6). Large sample, males and females		
	investigated; ROC analyses perfo	ormed			
Participants	n=1412 from 6 studies				
Patient characteristics	An analysis of six different clinical	al studies on alco	ohol abusers and social drinkers.		
Intervention	Measurement of CDT, GGT, ASA	T, ALAT, MCV, In	CDT, InGGT, g-CDT=0.8 x In(GGT) + 1.3 x		
	In(CDT) in "alcohol abusers"				
Comparison	Social drinkers				
Length of follow-up	Cross-sectional studies?				
Outcome and effect size	The total error rate among males and females was lowest for g-CDT.				
	In the present study, the average sensitivity and specificity for males were, respectively,				
	75% and 93% for g-CDT, 58% and 94% for CDT, and 55% and 90% for GGT. The average				
	sensitivity and specificity for females were, respectively, 68% and 96% for g-CDT, 40% and				
	94% for CDT, and 52% and 96% for GGT. This indicates a significant improvement in				
	classification using only two markers. The sensitivity and specificity values are quite similar				
	for GGT and CDT. Among subjects with liver disease, the specificity of the two markers is				
	similar.				
Funding	Not reported				
Comments	Large international sample, ROC analysis indicating the strength of a combined measure.				

Title	Biological markers of problem drinking in homeless patients		
First Author	Thiesen, H., 2010	Source	19917520
Level of evidence	1b	Study type	Cohort study
Study quality	Concentrations of carbohydrate-deficient transferrin (%CDT), γ-glutamyl transferase (γGT), aspartate amino-transferase (ASAT), and mean corpuscular volume (MCV), together with a combined index of the %CDT and γGT, the Antilla Index (AI)		
Participants	104		
Patient characteristics	Homeless subjects with (n=87) or without (n=24) problem drinking according to the Fast Alcohol Screening Test.		
Intervention	"Alcohol problem"		
Comparison	"No alcohol problem"		
Length of follow-up			
Outcome and effect size	Concentrations of all markers were significantly higher in the alcoholic patients than in		

	other homeless patients. The best agreement between liver markers and self-reported status was found between the combined %CDT and γGT index (kappa=0.61, p<0.001, sensitivity=63%, specificity=94%).
Funding	The study was funded through intramural sources from the Centre for Alcohol and Drug Research under the University of Aarhus. The center is funded by the Danish government based on five-year grants from the Danish National Budget.
Comments	The combined AI is a relatively efficient measure of current drinking in homeless populations.

Title	Biochemical measures in the diagnosis of alcohol dependence using discriminant analysis			
First Author	Vaswani, M., 2005	Source	16272676	
Level of evidence	2b	Study type	Cohort case control study	
Study quality	Statistics: sensitivity, specificity,	positive, negative predictive v	alue, discriminant analysis	
Participants	100 subjects with alcohol depen	dence vs. 70 healthy controls		
Patient characteristics	Alcohol-dependent individuals v	s. healthy controls		
Intervention	Total cholesterol (TC), APOB, LDI	Total cholesterol (TC), APOB, LDL/HDL based on ASAT and GGT in AD patients		
Comparison	Same procedure in controls			
Length of follow-up	Cross-sectional study (?)			
Outcome and effect size	Sensitivity LDL-C 94.6, spec: 46%; TC, VLDL-C, LDL/HDL-C, APOA1, APOA1/APOB sensitivity			
	>80%, spec: 25-45.8%; positive PV and negative PV was 39.6-94.67% and 52% to 73.7%.			
	Diagnostic accuracy varied from 44.4% APOB to 69.4% TC. Sens: ASAT 75.3%, GGT 74.2%,			
	spec: 88% and 100%. Sens ADH and ALAT: 61% and 67%, positive PV and negative PV range			
	between 66-100% and 51-56%. All four markers diagnostic accuracy: 56% to 85.3%			
	Discriminant analysis: 84.5% were classified on TC, APOB and LDL/HDL and 89.1% on ASAT			
	and GGT.			
Funding	Not reported.			
Comments	TC, APO-B, LDL/HDL and ASAT ar	nd GGT are able to discriminat	e between AD and control	
	samples			

Title	Opportunistic screening for alcohol use disorders in primary care: comparative study		
First Author	Coulton, S., 2006	Source	16488896
Level of evidence	2b	Study type	Cohort study
Study quality	Male primary care attendees ag		an alcohol use disorders
Participants	identification test (AUDIT) quest	tionnaire.	
Patient characteristics	Primary care attendees		
Intervention	AUDIT Scores and measures of GGT, ASAT, per cent CDT, and MCV. Hazardous alcohol consumption, weekly binge consumption, and monthly binge consumption were ascertained using the time line follow back method over the previous 180 days. Alcohol dependence was determined using the DSM IV. Unit costs were established from published resource references and from actual costs of analysing the biochemical tests		
Comparison	Alcohol consumer vs. non-consumers		
Length of follow-up			
Outcome and effect size	A significant correlation was observed between alcohol consumption and score on the alcohol use disorders identification test (Pearson's correlation coefficient r=0.74) and measures of GGT (r=0.20) and % CDT (r=0.36) but not ASAT (r=0.08) or erythrocyte mean cell volume (r=0.02). The AUDIT exhibited significantly higher sensitivity, specificity, and positive predictive value than all of the biochemical markers for hazardous consumption (69%, 98%, and 95%), weekly binge consumption (75%, 90%, and 71%), monthly binge consumption (66%, 97%, and 91%), and alcohol dependence (84%, 83%, and 41%). The questionnaire was also more cost efficient, with a lower cost per true positive for all consumption outcomes.		
Funding	Funding: The study was funded as part of the stepped care treatment evaluation in primary care funded by the Welsh Office of Research and Development. The authors have no		

	connection with this organization beyond the recipients of the original grant.
Comments	The alcohol use disorders identification test questionnaire is an efficient and cost efficient
	diagnostic tool for routine screening for alcohol use disorders in primary care.

Title	(gamma-GT) and mean corpusc alcohol abuse: a study in patien alcoholic and alcoholic origin	ular erythrocyte vol ts with alcohol dep	T), gamma-glutamyltransferase ume (MCV) as biomarkers for chronic endence and liver disorders of non-
First Author	Hock, B., 2005	Source	16185209
Level of evidence	1b	Study type	Case-Control cohort study
Study quality	GGT, %CDT, MCV AUDIT		
Participants	216		
Patient characteristics	Well-characterized collectives of alcohol-dependent patients with current consumption (ALC patients n= 101) and relevant control groups (115 social drinkers, 46 patients with unspecifically increased y-GT. SI hepatitis patients and 20/51 patients with non-alcohol/alcohol-dependent liver cirrhosis) were included into the study.		
Intervention	Alcohol-dependent individuals		
Comparison	Social drinkers		
Length of follow-up	Cross-Sectional study (?)		
Outcome and effect size			
Funding	GmbH, Mannheim, Germany. No	personal grant was	ational grant by Roche Diagnostics sigven to any of the co-workers.
Comments	Common Standard measures are	e compared in a mod	derate size clinical sample

Title	Measurement of direct ethanol metabolites suggests higher rate of alcohol use among pregnant women than found with the AUDITa pilot study in a population-based sample of Swedish women				
First Author	Wurst, F. M., 2008 Source 18221928				
Level of evidence	2b	Study type	Cohort study		
Study quality	Direct biomarkers and AUDIT m	Direct biomarkers and AUDIT measures in a moderate special sample of pregnant women			
Participants	Study population: 103 pregnant women				
Patient characteristics	Women in pregnancy				
Intervention	AUDIT, urine and hair samples, neonatal outcomes collected and investigated for eTG,				
	FAEE				
Comparison	Personal reports, AUDIT vs. biomarkers				
Length of follow-up	Cross-sectional				
Outcome and effect size	1 of 103 urine samples (ETG) and 19 hair samples (16 EtG, 3 FAEE) were positively tested;				
	26 subjects were identified as potential consumers (AUDIT and markers combined); N=6 on				
	AUDIT only; 14 subjects on hair EtG only, 3 positive on Hair FAEE; 3 on combined AUDIT				

	and any biomarker; 7 positive ETG or FAEE individuals, only 1 admitted ongoing alcohol intake (AUDIT)
Funding	Grant from Systembolagets forsnings fond, Sweden.
Comments	Special sample, combination of interview and direct biomarkers.

Title	Ethyl glucuronide in hair compared with traditional alcohol biomarkersa pilot study of heavy drinkers referred to an alcohol detoxification unit				
First Author	Høiseth, G., 2009 Source 19298326				
Level of evidence	1b	Study type	Cohort study		
Study quality	adequate design				
Participants	16				
Patient characteristics	12 men, 4 women, history of alcohol ingestion; patient were recruited directly after admission to a withdrawal clinic. Mean age 49 years +/-7 years. 3 Patients suffered from hepatitis and 1 from pancreatitis.				
Intervention	 Estimated daily intake of ethanol (EDI) during the last 3 months. Details information of alcohol ingestion in the last 24h. Collection of serum samples to measure AST; ALT, GGT, CDT. Hair: 3cm as close as possible to the skin (200mg); Hair ethyl glucuronide was determined using a previously published method (Morini et. al, 2006). 				
Comparison	To investigate the sensitivity of ethylglucuronide in hair compared to CDT, AST, GGT, ALT				
Length of follow-up	None				
Outcome and effect size	The mean estimated daily intake (EDI) over the previous 3 months was 206 +/-136g pure alcohol. The sensitivity to detect heavily alcohol use was for %CDT 64%, for AST 67%, for ALT 67%, for GGT 93% and for ethylglucuronide in hair 94%. There was no correlation between the quantitative values of EDI and %CDT (r=-0.26), AST (r=0.20), ALT (r=0.14) and GGT. There was a positive, statistically significant correlation between EDI and the level of EtG in hair.				
Funding	none declared				
Comments					

Title	Determination of ethyl glucuronide in nails by liquid chromatography tandem mass spectrometry as a potential new biomarker for chronic alcohol abuse and binge drinking behavior			
First Author	Morini, L., 2012	Source	22193819	
Level of evidence	3b	Study type	Cohort study	
Study quality	Not good as nails do not reflect a specific time window. No information about any influencing factors.			
Participants	15			
Patient characteristics	9 men, 6 women, age between 15 and 65 years.			
Intervention	1) Self report of alcohol intake in g/day. 2) Ethyl glucuronide in nails: fingernails (30mg) were collected with scissors by clipping the 1-2mm distal segment. Nails were collected every 10 days, up to five times per subject.			
Comparison	Development and validation of a liquid chromatography tandem mass spectrometry (LC-MS/MS) method to determine EtG concentrations in nails and to evaluate the sensitivity and specificity of this test to discriminate between chronic excessive alcohol consumption and binge drinking behavior.			
Length of follow-up	None			
Outcome and effect size	EtG concentration in nails ranged between 12.3pg/mg and 92.6pg/mg. 5 were EtG positive. These 5 participants declared an alcohol consumption between 10 and more than 60g/day.			
Funding	none declared			
Comments				

Title	Ethyl Glucuronide, Ethyl Sulfate, and Ethanol in Urine After Intensive Exposure to High	
	Ethanol Content Mouthwash	

First Author	Reisfield, G. M, 2011	Source	21619720		
Level of evidence	1b	Study type	Cohort study		
Study quality	Very good quality				
Participants	10				
Patient characteristics	Volunteers, no history of ethano	l use disorders, no history of s	sensitivity to ethanol, hepatic		
	or renal dysfunction, diabetes m		ry tract infection. They		
	abstained from ethanol use for 5	days prior to the study.			
Intervention	Gargle with mouthwash (20ml o	•	•		
	for 3 1/4 days. Post gargle speci	mens were collected at 2, 4, a	nd 6 hours after the final		
	gargle of the study.				
	1) BrAC compared to arterial blo	•	•		
	2) Intake of 0.60g alcohol per kg	, ,	m time of 15 minutes.		
	Fasting for 2h before the experiment.				
	BrAC was measured using a prototype breath analyzer (Servotek). The analyzer utilizes				
	absorption of infrared radiation. The breath analyzer uses ratios between alcohol and				
	water concentrations rather than absolute concentrations for its calculations.				
C	Determination of ethylglucuronide, ethylsulfate and ethanol in urine.				
Comparison	To determine the degree of ethanol absorption and the resultant formation and urinary excretion of its conjugated metabolites following intensive use of high ethanol content				
		mouthwash.			
Length of follow-up					
Outcome and effect size	None				
Outcome and effect size	1) No detectable EtG in urine at the beginning of the study -all volunteers abstained from				
	ethanol for 5 days. 2) 1 positive EtG results (173ng/ml). This was a 2h post gargle specimen on the final day of				
	the study.				
	3) EtS was detected in the urine of 7 of 10 participants, but it was not detected in the				
	single specimen with detectable EtG. Max. EtS concentration was 104ng/ml				
Funding	none declared				
Comments					

Title	Urinary Ethyl Glucuronide and Ethyl Sulfate Testing for Recent Drinking in Alcohol- Dependent Outpatients Treated With Acamprosate or Placebo				
First Author	Dahl, H., 2011 Source 21616946				
Level of evidence	1b	Study type	Cohort study		
Study quality	good design, adequate number,	good reference standard, dou	ble blind, randomized		
Participants	56, 26 women, 30 men				
Patient characteristics	Treatment seeking persons, recruited via advertisement, mean age 50 years; DSM IV criteria for alcohol dependence				
Intervention	Urinary EtG and EtS using liquid	chromatography-mass spectro	ometry method, self-reports		
Comparison	To compare urinary EtG and EtS testing with self-reports as ways to detect drinking in alcohol-dependent subjects participating in a randomized double-blind evaluation to determine the effect of 21-days acamprosate medication, alcohol-cue reactivity and alcohol priming.				
Length of follow-up	None				
Outcome and effect size	Patients were randomized to 21 days of either acamprosate or placebo treatment. No significant difference between the treatment groups regarding the reduction of positive urine tests (30% of reduction in the acamprosate medication and 33% in the placebo group). In 26 of the 63 EtG and EtS positive cases (41.3%) the patient admitted alcohol consumption on the previous day. The self-reported quantity of drinking over the past 3 days prior to urine sampling showed a good correlation with the EtG (r=0.662, p<0.001) and EtS (r=0.716, p<0.001).				
Funding	Financial support was provided through the regional agreement on medical training and clinical research between Stockholm County Council and the Karolinksy Institutet, and the Swedish Science Council.				
Comments					

Title	Urinary Ethyl Glucuronide and Ethyl Sulfate Testing for Detection of Recent Drinking in an Outpatient Treatment Program for Alcohol and Drug Dependence				
First Author	Dahl, H., 2011 Source 21339184				
Level of evidence	2b	Study type	Cohort study		
Study quality	good design, adequate number,	good reference standard			
Participants	n=24 (3 women, 21 men)				
Patient characteristics	Outpatients: n=8 treatment for alcohol, n=10 treatment for drug dependence, n=6 patients in methadone maintenance therapy.				
Intervention	Twice weekly urine samples; one single question about any drinking in the past 3 days. Urinary EtG and EtS were determined by liquid chromatography-mass spectrometry				
Comparison	To evaluate the extra information obtained about recent drinking, when introducing urinary EtG and EtS testing into routine practice in outpatient treatment programs for alcohol and drug dependence				
Length of follow-up	None				
Outcome and effect size	214 urinary samples collected. 211 self-reports collected. In 21% of the cases, alcohol				
	intake was admitted in good agreement with EtG/EtS results (83.5-89.1%).				
Funding	Financial support was provided through the regional agreement on medical training and				
	clinical research between Stockh	olm County Council and the K	arolinska Institutet.		
Comments	No correlation between self repr	rot and EtG/EtS results. Good:	different paitent population		

Title	Clinical Application of Ethyl Glucuronide Testing in the U.S. Army				
First Author	Lande, R. G., 2011 Source 21218309				
Level of evidence	3b	Study type	Cohort study, retrospective chart review		
Study quality	Poor, no reference standard was	applied.			
Participants	328 service members with 1.852	urine samples			
Patient characteristics	All services members referred to Abuse Program. Enrollment in th substance abuse or dependence	nis program followed a clinicia	n's confirmation of a		
Intervention	Scheduled and unscheduled ethylglurunoide testing in urine. Alcohol Use Disorders Identification Test (AUDIT) was applied at the beginning with cut off of 8 or more for men and 4 or more for women.				
Comparison	To examine the clinical characteristics of ethyl glucuronide testing among service members referred to a military substance abuse program.				
Length of follow-up	None				
Outcome and effect size	Among all participants 45 (17.2%) had a positive UEtG level. Of those, (19/45; 42.2%) half were between 1000 and 9999ng/ml. A statistically significant decline in EtG levels occurred with serial testing over time. The observed agreement between the AUDIT and the initial ethyl glucuronide level was moderate, with 61%. Of the 159 participants screened negative by the AUDIT, 135 tested negative by initial EtG. This showed a good negative predictive value whereby a negative AUDIT has an 85.5% chance of predicting an initial negative EtG level. The positive predictive value of the AUDIT was poor in predicting a positive EtG level. Of the 101 positive AUDIT scores, only 22 (21.8%) participants were positive by the initial EtG test.				
Funding					
Comments					

Title	Determination of Ethyl Glucuronide in Hair Samples of Chinese People by Protein Precipitation (PPT) and Large Volume Injection-Gas Chromatography-Tandem Mass Spectrometry (LVI-GC/MS/MS)				
First Author	Shi, Y., 2010 Source 20977979				
Level of evidence	b Study type Cohort study				
Study quality	good, relatively low number of volunteers				
Participants	21				

Patient characteristics	Chinese volunteers, 15 clear alcohol consumption history, 6 were children as absolute		
	teetotalers no bleaching, dyeing, or any other cosmetic treatment		
Intervention	Ethyl glucuronide in hair: determination by LVI-GC/MS/MS all hair specimens were		
	pretreated with protein-precipitation (PPT). PPT is likely to enhance sample recovery for		
	EtG detection in hair.		
Comparison	To develop and validate a sensitive, precise and specific analytical method for the		
	determination of EtG in hair samples.		
Length of follow-up	None		
Outcome and effect size	All EtG positives reported ethanol intake, no EtG in children (defined as teetotalers). EtG		
	concentration in positive samples ranged from 10 to 78pg/mg in hair with an increase in		
	average daily alcohol consumption, the concentration of EtG in hair also exhibited a		
	corresponding increase. In six cases EtG in hair was low despite a known history of alcohol		
	abuse.		
Funding	Support from the National Basic Research Training Fund, National Institute scientific		
	program and the National Natural Science Foundation		
Comments	Results regarding influence of hair colors are based on 2 cases. Primarily a method paper,		
	however a finding is that EtG in hair is possible to determine in Chinese population.		

Title	Urinary Ethylglucuronide Assessment in Patients Treated With Disulfiram: A Tool to Improve Verification of Abstention and Safety					
First Author	Mutschler, J., 2010	Source	20975547			
Level of evidence	1b	1b Study type Cohort study				
Study quality	adequate design					
Participants	51					
Patient characteristics	30 men, 21 women; all fulfilled diagnostic criteria for alcohol dependence (ICD 10, DSM-IV); treated with Disulfiram (dosage 1.5g/kg body weight); patient were in outpatient treatment. Mean age: 47.74 years. Mean criteria (ICD-10): 5.8 +/-0.49. Previous alcohol intake was 292 +/-94.44g ethanol per day.					
Intervention	Ethyl glucuronie in urine determined by LC/MS-MS. Detection limit was 0.1mg/l breathalyzer					
Comparison	To examine ethyl glucuronide in urine as a tool to verify abstention in patients treated with supervised disulfiram					
Length of follow-up	None					
Outcome and effect size	Ethyl glucuronide: 3 positive with no alcohol-disulfiram reaction.					
	Breathalyzer results were negative for all.					
Funding	Supported by the Central Institute of Mental Health, Mannheim					
Comments	No EtG results are given.					

Title	Ethyl Glucuronide and Ethyl Sulfate in Urine After Consumption of Various Beverages and FoodsMisleading Results?					
First Author	Musshoff, F., 2010	Musshoff, F., 2010 Source 20838803				
Level of evidence	1b	Study type	Cohort study			
Study quality	Good design for a drinking experiment, interesting results, adequate discussion					
Participants	19					
Patient characteristics	12 women, 7 men, age range 19 to 40; 80 hours of abstinence from alcohol beverages.					
Intervention	12 women, 7 men, age range 19 to 40; 80 hours of abstinence from alcohol beverages. Drinking experiment: Group 1: between 2.0 and 3.0l of a so called non-alcoholic beer (max 4g ethanol per litre); Group 2: between 1.1 and 2.0l of apple juice (max. 3g ethanol per litre); Group 3: between 1.5 and 2.0 l of grape juice (max. 7.9g ethanol per litre); Group 4: between 750 and 1.320 g sauerkraut (min. 2% wine); Group 5: between 670 and 690g of matured peeled bananas. • Determination of Ethylglucuronide (EtG): urine was collected every 1 to 2h in the first 8h after ingestion. • Determination of Ethyl sulfate (EtS) in urine					
Comparison	To evaluate other possible sources of ethanol and therefore for positive EtG and EtS					

	results.		
Length of follow-up	None		
Outcome and effect size	1) Non-alcoholic beer: Using a cut-off of 0.1mg/L, positive EtG findings were revealed after		
	the ingestion of a lot of non-alcoholic beer up to 13h later (EtG ranged from 0.211 to		
	0.512mg/l). EtS peak concentration ranged from 0.134 to 0.169mg/l. The concentrations		
	peaked between 5.0 and 7.5h after drinking. EtG and EtS were detectable for up to 26h		
	and 25h. A cut-off level of 0.5mg/l was exceeded in a period of 5 to 7h after drinking.		
	2) Apple juice: no elevated EtG or EtS concentrations.		
	3) Grape Juice: no elevated EtG concentrations. EtS was positive with peak concentrations		
	petween 0.107 and 0.648mg/l. EtS detectable for up to 35h.		
	4) Sauerkraut: only one case EtG positive. This participant ate 750g sauerkraut - EtG peak		
	concentration of 0.2mg/l was measured 2h after ingestion.		
	5) Matured bananas: Consumption of 670 to 690g bananas (ethanol dose 3.5g). Urinary		
	peak concentration of EtG ranged from 0.04 to 0.12mg/l. EtS peak concentration up to		
	0.055mg/l. EtS and EtG detectable for up to 24h and 20h, respectively.		
	Conclusion: a 0.1mg/l cutoff is useful – however, a 24h waiting period should be used to		
	avoid not false-positive results.		
Funding	None declared		
Comments			

Title	Ethyl Glucuronide Concentrations in Oral Fluid, Blood, and Urine After Volunteers Drank 0.5 and 1.0g/kg Doses of Ethanol		
First Author	Høiseth, G., 2010	Source	20663284
Level of evidence	2c	Study type	Cohort study
Study quality	Adequate design, fair interpreta	tion	
Participants	113 men, 8 women		
Patient characteristics	Healthy volunteers, median age 22y.		
Intervention	Ethyl glucuronide and ethanol in oral fluid (saliva), blood and urine. Oral fluid was collected		
	by Statsure Saliva Sampler. EtG was measured using a UPLC-MS-MS method.		
Comparison	To investigate the concentrations of EtG in oral fluid, blood and urine after 2 doses of		
	ethanol		
Length of follow-up	None		
Outcome and effect size	The detection time for EtG was median 11.5h in oral fluid. According to this, the detection time for EtG in oral fluid is therefore only a few hours longer than for ethanol itsself and		
	,		
	represents limited additional value. Dose dependent relationship for EtG kinetics in blood, urine and oral fluid.		
Funding	Sponsored by the Research Council of Norway.		
	Sponsored by the nesearch council of two way.		
Comments			

Title	Levels of Ethyl Glucuronide and Ethyl Sulfate in Oral Fluid, Blood, and Urine After Use of Mouthwash and Ingestion of Nonalcoholic Wine				
First Author	Høiseth, G., 2010 Source 20223100				
Level of evidence	1b	Study type	Cohort study		
Study quality	Adequate design of the experim	ent			
Participants	12				
Patient characteristics	healthy volunteers, 4 men, 8 women with a median age of 22 years and mean body mass index of 22.0kg/m ² . Social drinkers with a median use of 12 standard drinks/month and had abstained from alcohol during the week preceding the study, according to self-reports.				
Intervention	1) Ethylglucuronide in oral fluid, blood and urine. 2) Ethylsulfate in blood and urine 3) Measurement of ethanol in oral fluid, blood and urine. EtG and EtS in blood and urine were determined by UPLC-MS/MS. Experiment: 1) mouthwash: 8 times 2) one bottle (7.5dL) nonalcoholic wine which contained 3.0mg/l EtG and 1.5mg/l EtS.				

	3) one gulp (dose 1.8g ethanol) vodka containing 60% alcohol
Comparison	to investigate the concentrations of ethyl glucuronide (EtG) in oral fluid and both EtG and
	ethyl sulfate (EtS) in blood and urine following intense use of mouthwash and ingestion of
	nonalcoholic wine
Length of follow-up	None
Outcome and effect size	All samples of blood and oral fluid were negative for ethanol, EtG and EtS in all three groups. All samples were negative for ethanol in all three groups. In the group ingesting nonalcoholic wine, all three subjects were negative for EtG in urine but positive for EtS (up to 2.15mg/l). Of the four subjects ingesting 3.75ml vodka in one gulp, two subjects showed positive samples of EtG and EtS in urine. All subjects using mouthwash were negative for EtG and EtS.
Funding	Sponsored by the Research Council of Norway.
Comments	

Title	Serum/whole Blood Concentration Ratio for Ethylglucuronide and Ethyl Sulfate			
First Author	Høiseth, G., 2009	Source	19470223	
Level of evidence	1b	Study type	Cohort study	
Study quality	good design, relatively low num	ber of patients		
Participants	13			
Patient characteristics	Patients at admission to an alcohol rehabilitation clinic. 9 men and 4 women. Median age was 47 years. Median weight was 78kg.			
Intervention	Two blood samples collected at	Two blood samples collected at the same time: 1) ethylglucuronide 2) ethyl sulfate		
Comparison	To determine the Serum/Blood (S/B) ratio for ethyl glucuronide and ethyl sulfate in samples from patients at admission to an alcohol rehabilitation clinic.			
Length of follow-up	None			
Outcome and effect size	The median concentration of EtG in blood was 2.69mg/l and in serum 4.59mg/l. Regarding the S/B ratio the median value for EtG was 1.69 and the range was 1.33-1.90. There was no correlation between the absolute levels of EtG in blood or serum and the S/B ratio. The median concentration of EtS in blood was 1.13mg/l and in serum 1.56mg/l. Regarding the S/B ratio the median value for EtS was 1.30, and the range was 1.08-1.47. There was no correlation between the absolute levels of EtS in blood or serum and the S/B ratio.			
Funding	None declared			
Comments				

Title	False-positive Ethyl Glucuronide Immunoassay Screening Associated With Chloral Hydrate Medication as Confirmed by LC-MS/MS and Self-Medication					
First Author	Arndt, T., 2009	Arndt, T., 2009 Source 19084359				
Level of evidence	3b	Study type	Case-Control study			
Study quality	Good design, only 2 participants	, important topic				
Participants	2					
Patient characteristics	Patient: 1 woman, 35 years old, on medication with burprenorphine, levetiracetam, gabapentin, clomethiazole, chloral hydrate; Proband: 1 woman, healthy, without any medication taking in a self-medication experiment a single dose of 500mg chloral hydrate after 5 days of ethanol abstinence					
Intervention	Ethyglucuronide, Ethyl sulphate					
Comparison	Self-medication of chloral hydrate, measurement of EtG using DRI-EtG enzyme immunoassay and LC-MS/MS					
Length of follow-up	None					
Outcome and effect size	Trichloroethyl glucuronide as an important chloral hydrate metabolite remains the most probable cross reacting substance with the DRI EtG immunoassay. It is recommended that positive EtG immunoassay results always be confirmed by a more specific technique such as LC-MS/MS, including ethyl sulfate as a second minor ethanol metabolite.					
Funding	Not declared					
Comments	Use of spiked urine samples to rule out interference of medication					

Title	Detection Times for Urinary Ethyl Glucuronide and Ethyl Sulfate in Heavy Drinkers During Alcohol Detoxification		
First Author	Helander, A., 2009	Source	18971292
Level of evidence	2b	Study type	Cohort study
Study quality	relevant topic, good design		
Participants	32		
Patient characteristics	Randomly selected alcohol-dependent patients (meeting DSM-IV criteria), being hospitalized for alcohol detoxification.		
Intervention	Breath alcohol concentration, urinary ethyl glucuronide, UEtS measured by LC-MS and for EtG also DRI-EtG EIA (immunochemical assay)		
Comparison	To establish the detection windows for EtG and EtS in urine in alcohol patients during alcohol detoxification and to examine factors that could possibly be of influence.		
Length of follow-up	None		
Outcome and effect size	The detection time for urinary EtG was weakly correlated (r=0.434; p=0.013) with the initial alcohol concentration. For EtG the individual time range until return to below the applied cut-off limit (<5mg/l) was 40 to 130 hours with a similar time course observed for EtS. EtG and EtS remained detectable in urine for several days.		
Funding	Financial support: through the regional agreement on medical training and clinical research between Stockholm County Council and the Karolinska Institutet.		
Comments			

Title	Comparison of Ethyl Glucuronide in Hair With Phosphatidylethanol in Whole Blood as Post-Mortem Markers of Alcohol Abuse				
First Author	Bendroth, P., 2008	Source	18023314		
Level of evidence	3b Study type Cohort study				
Study quality	Study quality is good, however r	not consistently applied refere	nce standard. In vitro		
	formation of PEth was not exclu	ded by determination of blood	d alcohol. Diagnostic criteria		
	of alcohol abuse are not in acco	rdance with the ICD-10.			
Participants	70				
Patient characteristics	51 men, 19 women; consecutive	e medicolegal autopsies, age b	etween 18 and 70 year,		
	exclusion criteria: sampling com	plication, severe putrefaction			
Intervention	Ethyl glucuronide in hair using LC-MS/MS, Phospathidylethanol in whole blood using HPLC;				
	Liver histology; anamnestic evidence of alcohol abuse were obtained from the database of				
	the Swedish National Board of Forensic Medicine, police reports and medical records.				
Comparison	Comparison of EtG in hair, PEth	in femoral whole blood as wel	l as with traditional		
	indicators of alcohol abuse such	as liver histology and anamne	stic evidence.		
Length of follow-up	None				
Outcome and effect size	Positive cases: for EtG in hair 49 cases; for PEth 36 cases. Of the positive cases, 39 showed				
	EtG levels above the cut-off limit and 29 cases were above cut-off for PEth levels. Only EtG				
	positive were 15 cases compared to four cases with a positive PEth. Among 45 positive				
	cases, 87% and 64% were positive for EtG and PEth, whereas only 27% had a confirmed				
	liver histology of abuse.				
Funding	not declared				
Comments					

Title	Urinary Ethyl Glucuronide Testing Detects Alcohol Consumption in Alcoholic Liver Disease Patients Awaiting Liver Transplantation		
First Author	rim, Y., 2007 Source 17457868		
Level of evidence	2b	Study type	Cohort study
Study quality	Good study design, important topic, external reference standard not optimum (no TLFB)		
Participants	n=18 (9 men, 9 women)		
Patient characteristics	Mean age 51 years; All patients are alcohol liver disease candidates for liver		
	transplantation. Participants of group therapy (12 sessions, psychoeducation, etc.; aim was		
	to reach abstinence); Of all 18, 10 met ICD10 criteria for an alcohol dependence syndrome		

and 2 for harmful alcohol use. 13 reported being abstinent for less than 6 months.
Breathalyzer device - measured at the beginning of every session; Urinary EtG on voluntary
basis - determined using liquid chromatography mass spectrometry.
To compare urinary EtG measurement with breath alcohol testing and self-report as ways to disclose recent drinking in ALD patients undergoing addiction group therapy before liver transplantation
None
1) no self-report about alcohol intake
2) 127 breath tests were performed. 1 was positive.
3) 96 urine tests of 9 patients. 24 (25%) were positive. Half of the liver transplant
candidates had been drinking alcohol at least once during the period of the group therapy -
this was not identified by the breath alcohol testing.
None declared

Title	Ethyl Glucuronide in Hair: Is It a Reliable Marker of Chronic High Levels of Alcohol Consumption?		
First Author	Politi, L., 2006	Source	16968341
Level of evidence	1b	Study type	Cohort study
Study quality	Good study design and quality, h	owever, external reference st	andard not optimum.
Participants	22 alcoholics, 21 volunteers		
Patient characteristics	Group A: known alcoholics at the		
	females, 9 males. Age range between 29-62 years. Group B: 21 volunteers. Nine females, ranging in age from 28 to 53 years, body weight from 47 to 70kg.		
Intervention	Ethyl glucuronide in hair using liquid chromatography-electrospray tandem mass		
	spectrometry (LC-ESI-MS-MS), ethanol daily intake (EDI)		
Comparison	To correlate EtG hair concentration with ethanol use, hair samples from different users		
	were collected together with all the information available about the donors drinking habits		
Length of follow-up	None		
Outcome and effect size	Current known alcoholism had ethyl glucuronide hair concentration in the range 4.0-		
	434.4pg/mg. HEtG was not detected in hair samples from teetotalers (n=7); all volunteers		
	reporting an EDI of at least 30g tested positive for EtG (cut off 4pg/mg). All volunteers		
	declaring an ethanol daily intake higher than 40g tested positive for EtG (cut-off 5pg/mg).		
	No false negatives were found. EDI and EtG results correlated.		
Funding	None declared		
Comments			

Title	Ethyl Sulfate: A Metabolite of Ethanol in Humans and a Potential Biomarker of Acute Alcohol Intake		
First Author	Helander, A., 2005	Source	16105250
Level of evidence	4	Study type	Cohort study
Study quality	Not good, no information availab	ole regarding the characteriza	tion of the patients
Participants	9 healthy individuals and 354 clir	nical urine samples from the re	outine laboratory
Patient characteristics	No information available.		
Intervention	UEtG and UEtS measured by LC-MS and LC-MS/MS methods		
Comparison	To confirm the identity of EtS in human urine after alcohol intake; to compare the urinary		
	excretion characteristics with that	at of ethanol and EtG, and eva	luate if EtS may also be
	useful as biomarker.		
Length of follow-up	None		
Outcome and effect size	1) Of 352, 86 (24%) were positive for both EtG and EtS.		
	2) Of 93 positive samples, 92.5% were positive for both.		
	3) Urinary excretion time was dependent on the dose of ethanol ingested. EtG and EtS was		
	detectable more than 12 and mo	ore than 24 hours.	
Funding	Financial support in part by a gra	Financial support in part by a grant from the Karolinska Institutet.	
Comments	No information about false-positives; in vitro formation, bacterial degradation cannot be		

e	excluded
10	chalaca.

Title	Breath Alcohol Analysis Incorporating Standardization to Water Vapour Is as Precise as Blood Alcohol Analysis		
First Author	Grubb, D., 2012	Source	21943631
Level of evidence	2b	Study type	Cohort study
Study quality	Good design, low number of par	ticipants	
Participants	12 healthy individuals (7 men, 5	women)	
Patient characteristics	Paid volunteers, ages ranged from 18 to 57 years		
Intervention	Breathe alcohol analysis with contact free exhalations. Blood alcohol concentration		
Comparison	To compare the precision of novel breath analyzer utilizing standardisation of the BrAC to the alveolar-air water vapour concentration with the precision of arterial blood alcohol concentration (ABAC)-determinations.		
Length of follow-up	None		
Outcome and effect size	The precision of breath alcohol analysis was as good as the precision of blood alcohol analysis (CV 2.4% vs. 2.38%; p=0.43). A 95% confidence interval for the difference between the CV values was -0.38% to 0.33%.		
Funding	In part supported by Servotek AB, Sweden and by grants from "Anna och Edwin Bergers Stiftelse"		
Comments			

Title	Factors Contributing to the Variability Observed in Duplicate Forensic Breath Alcohol Measurement			
First Author	Gullberg, R. G., 2011	Gullberg, R. G., 2011 Source 21378437		
Level of evidence	2c	Study type	Retrospective analysis of data sets	
Study quality	Big data set			
Participants	Data set of 91.108	Data set of 91.108		
Patient characteristics	Data sets consisting of breath alcohol tests from persons arrested for driving while intoxicated were obtained from four jurisdictions during specific time periods.			
Intervention	Breath tests			
Comparison	To investigate and quantify through appropriate multivariate statistical analyses those factors contributing significantly to the variation observed in breath alcohol measurement			
Length of follow-up	None			
Outcome and effect size	The breath alcohol concentration was the most statistically and practically significant predictor of absolute difference between the duplicate results. Subject manipulation of exhalation time and volume have a very small systematic effect on estimated breath alcohol concentration.			
Funding	None declared			
Comments				

Title	The Relationship of Normal Body Temperature, End-Expired Breath Temperature, and BAC/BrAC Ratio in 98 Physically Fit Human Test Subjects			
First Author	Cowan, J. M., 2010	Cowan, J. M., 2010 Source 20529457		
Level of evidence	2b	Study type	Cohort study	
Study quality	Good reference standard			
Participants	n=98 (14 women, 84 men)			
Patient characteristics	Physically fit volunteers, drinking 3 equal portions of whiskey (50.5% ethanol by volume)			
	mixed with a carbonated beverage at 15 min intervals to produce a peak BrAC of at least			
	0.06g/210l.			
Intervention	1) Breath alcohol concentration using Intoyilyzer 8000 specially equipped and calibrated at			
	the factory to measure the temp	perature of the breath sample	2.	

	2) Blood sample which was analyzed by headspace gas chromatography for blood alcohol	
	concentration.	
	3) Body temperature: oral, tympanic, temporal.	
Comparison	To examine the relationships between: 1) normal body temperature and end-expired	
	breath temperature, 2) venous BAC/end-expired BrAC ratio, 3) breath temperature and	
	BAC/BrAC ration, and 4) body temperature and BAC/BrAC ratio.	
Length of follow-up	None	
Outcome and effect size	The BAC exceeded the BrAC for every subject. BAC/BrAC ratios: no difference for men and	
	women. The correlation between BAC and BrAC was high (r=0.938, p<0.001).	
	The correlations between body temperature and end-expired breath temperature, body	
	temperature and BAC/BrAC ratio, and breath temperature and BAC/BrAC were much	
	lower. For physically fit subjects studied, their BrAC results were consistently lower than	
	their BAC results, and these results were very well-correlated.	
Funding	None declared	
Comments		

Title	Random Alcohol Testing Reduced Alcohol-Involved Fatal Crashes of Drivers of Large Trucks		
First Author	Snowden, C. B., 2007	Source	17690795
Level of evidence	2b	Study type	Retrospective analysis of data, cross-sectional
Study quality	Good design, high number, limit	ed by retrospective nature	
Participants	71.606 truck drivers, 32.0647 pa	ssenger car drivers	
Patient characteristics	Pooled cross-sectional data collected in the Fatality Analysis Reporting System (FARS) of USA, a census of all motor vehicle crashes on public roadways in the US between 1988 and 2003. All are large truck drivers between 21-65 years old		
Intervention	Random alcohol testing for transportation workers was introduced in 1994. The tests are unannounced. Blood alcohol concentration is determined.		
Comparison	To examine the impact of random alcohol testing on the likelihood that the driver of a large truck involved in a fatal motor vehicle crash was alcohol-involved.		
Length of follow-up	None		
Outcome and effect size	Overall, 3.3% of drivers of large trucks and 34.3% of passenger car drivers in fatal crashes were alcohol involved. Alcohol involvement among drivers of large trucks declined from 5.5% in 1988 to 2.0% in 2003. The decline for passenger car drivers was from 38.3% in 1988 to 30.7% in 2003.		
Funding	The research was supported by t	he Center for Substance Abus	se Prevention
Comments	Contributes to the sparse literature about random alcohol testing in truck drivers / safety sensitive occupations.		

Title	Use of blood alcohol concentration in resuscitation room patients		
First Author	Csipke, E., 2007	Source	17652671
Level of evidence	2b	Study type	Cohort study/ observational study
Study quality	Good design, interesting aspects covered, additional biomarker testing would further improve quality		
Participants	n=273 (158 male, mean age 62 years)		
Patient characteristics	Patients were treated in the resuscitation room. Of the 273, 242 with medical complaints,		
	29 with surgical complaints. No data were available for the 2 remaining patients.		
Intervention	1) Blood alcohol concentration was measured by an automated enzymatic method, DRI Ethyl Alcohol Assay. 2) Paddington Alcohol Test (PAT) five item questionnaire about the maximum units consumed. It is positive for men drinking more than 8 units and women more than 6 units in a single session, at least once a week, or in anyone who believed their attendance was alcohol related. 3) Patient Attitude Questionnaire: four item questionnaire. Specifically for use on subsequent admission to a ward.		

Comparison	BAC comparison to questionnaire results, and attitudes to BAC testing
Length of follow-up	None
Outcome and effect size	1) BAC results ranged from 0-440mg/100ml. 32 had evidence of alcohol use (BAC>10mg),
	25 had a BAC>80mg/100ml. Mean alcohol concentration among those who did consume
	alcohol was 170mg/100ml (SD=115.38). 1 in 10 had a BAC of>80mg/100ml.
	2) PAT results: 30 were positive. 2/3 who were positive in PAT had BAC<80mg/100ml. 60%
	with BAC>80mg/100ml scored negative in PAT.
	3) Patient attitude questionnaire: 264 (97%) patients reported that implementing BAC
	testing as a routine procedure would be acceptable.
Funding	The study was funded by St. Mary's Paddington Charitable Trust.
Comments	

Title	Elimination rates of breath alcohol			
First Author	Pavlic, M., 2007 Source 17064864			
Level of evidence	1b	Study type	Cohort study	
Study quality	Good design, adequate interpret	tation		
Participants	59			
Patient characteristics	32 men, 27 women, mean age 29 estimated alcohol intake 20g-40		and 28.7 (M=21.8). Self-	
Intervention	Drinking experiment 2 hours leading to 1.07 +/-0.23g ethanol per kg body weight> Determination of BrAC: started 30 min after stopping to drink; performed every 30min -> blood samples: venous blood alcohol concentration (BAC) Measurement and blood drawing were performed up to 5h after drinking.			
Comparison	To contribute to the establishment of scientifically acceptable BrAC elimination rates for back calculations observing adequate statistical scopes.			
Length of follow-up	None			
Outcome and effect size	After 33min: mean BAC 0.993 +/-0.28g/l. elimination rate: overall 0.169g/l. Significant difference between men and women (p<0.05); women 0.179g/l vs. men 0.162g/l. BrAC: after 33min 0.455 +/-0.119mg/l. overall elimination rate for BrAC 0.08mg/l. Significant differences for men and women (p<0.04). Elimination rate for women 0.087mg/l vs. 0.078. The blood/breath alcohol conversion factor Q varies over time. After 34 minutes the mean BAC/BrAC conversion factors Q is 2169, after 306 minutes it is 2707.			
Funding	None declared			
Comments	Of relevance in Austria since venipuncture is not accepted in the context of driving under influence.			

Title	Breath alcohol concentration determined with a new analyzer using free exhalation predicts almost precisely the arterial blood alcohol concentration				
First Author	Lindberg, L., 2007 Source 16978819				
Level of evidence	1b	Study type	Cohort study, drinking experiment		
Study quality	Interesting design (BrAC, ABAC, VBAC), new methodology, adequate interpretation, funding by company				
Participants	15				
Patient characteristics	Healthy paid volunteers, age: 26-67 years. Moderate drinkers accustomed to consuming alcohol beverages				
Intervention	1) BrAC compared to arterial blood alcohol concentration (ABAC) 2) intake of 0.60g alcohol per kg bodyweight during a maximum time of 15 minutes. Fasting for 2 h before the experiment. BrAC was measured using a prototype breath analyzer (Servotek). The analyzer utilizes absorption of infrared radiation. The breath analyzer uses ratios between alcohol and water concentrations rather than absolute concentrations for its calculations.				
Comparison	To evaluate the performance of this new BrAC instrument by comparing standardized alcohol concentration in freely expired breath with arterial (ABAC) and venous (VBAC) blood alcohol concentration.				
Length of follow-up	None				
Outcome and effect size	The ABAC/BrAC ratio was 2251 +/-46 in the post-absorptive phase and the mean bias				

Funding Comments	The work was supported in part by Servotek AB, Arlöv, Sweden.
	-0.026. The ABAC and BrACx2251 were highly correlated (r=0.998, p<0.001) and the regression relationship indicated excellent agreement and no fixed or proportional bias. The VBAC and BrAC ratio never stabilized and varied continuously. The new breath analyzer using free exhalation has a high precision for in vivo testing. Furthermore, there is a big difference between ABAC and VBAC.
	between ABAC and BrACx 2251 was 0.0035g/l with 95% limits of agreement of 0.0033 and

Title	Relationship between blood alcohol concentration and carbohydrate-deficient transferrin among drivers				
First Author	Appenzeller, B. M., 2005 Source 16002036				
Level of evidence	2b	Study type	Cohort study		
Study quality	Adequate design, fair interpreta quality	tion, additional biomarkers an	d self-reports would improve		
Participants	408				
Patient characteristics	341 men, 67 women. Sample is one third of a total of 1.260 drivers apprehended in this period. This group was representative in terms of Blood Alcohol Concentration, age and gender. Age median for men was 37 years, for women 41 years.				
Intervention	Blood alcohol concentration, Carbohydrate-deficient Transferrin (CDT) determined in blood using HS-GC/FID method.				
Comparison	BAC with CDT				
Length of follow-up	None				
Outcome and effect size	The percentage of specimens with CDT≥3% was close to 0 in drivers with BAC under 0.5g/l and reached 47 and 67% when BAC was between 3 and 3.5g/l and above 3.5g/l, respectively showing an increasing frequency of chronic alcohol abuse with respect to increasing BAC ranges. The percentage of BAC≥0.8g/l was 69.4% among drivers with CDT <1% and 97.1% for those with CDT≥3.				
Funding	SAN-02-001 Luxembourg Ministry of Health				
Comments					

Title	Levels and types of alcohol bion workplace alcohol problems	narkers in DUI and clinic samp	oles for estimating		
First Author	Margues, P. R., 2012 Source 22311827				
Level of evidence	5	Study type	Review, not systematic		
Study quality	Poor, compilation of data from d	ifferent sources resulting in re	ecommendation for		
	biomarker use. No systematic re	search strategy. No reason for	including the studies is		
	given.				
Participants	8 studies				
Patient characteristics	All studies included represent un	iform measurement approach	nes. Population of the		
	studies: 6 categories: •abstinent	, •general population, •dui ov	erall sample average, •DUI		
	high risk group, •alcohol clinic ou	utpatient, •alcohol clinic inpat	ients		
Intervention	Comparison of 5 biomarkers in the 6 categories of samples: Biomarkers: •PEth micromol/I,				
	•GGT U/I, •%CDT , •y%CDT, •Hair EtG pg/mg				
Comparison	To address what levels of different alcohol biomarkers might be indicative of problem				
	drinking among employees at the workplace				
Length of follow-up	None				
Outcome and effect size	Alcohol biomarkers can improve detection by extending the timeframe for estimating				
	problematic exposure levels. An	established high-sensitivity pr	roxy for alcohol driving risk		
	proclivity is used: an average 8 months of failed blood alcohol concentration (BAC) breath				
	tests from alcohol ignition interlock devices.				
Funding	Supported by the National Institute on Alcohol Abuse and Alcoholism.				
Comments					

Title	Abstinence monitoring o	f suspected drinking driver	s: ethyl glucuronide in hair versus CDT	
First Author	Linigier, B., 2010	Source	20373230	
Level of evidence	1b	Study type	Cohort study, retrospective	
Study quality	Good, however, different time spans are reflected by the two markers.			
Participants	154			
Patient characteristics	all were in the context of suspected relevant alcohol problems with regard to driving			
Intervention	Determination of Ethyl glucuronide in hair			
	1	an immunochemical method	d and by HPLC.	
•	Self-report of abstinence			
Comparison	Etyhl glucuronide determinations in the hair of self-reported teetotalers were reviewed and compared with carbohydrate-deficient (CDT) blood tests by immunochemistry and			
	high performance liquid chromatograph y (HPLC)			
Length of follow-up	None			
Outcome and effect size	• 70 samples were EtG ne	=		
	determined by immunoch	nemical method and 15 (18)	4, 39 (46%) had elevated CDT levels %) elevated CDT levels determined by	
	method and 3 (4%) elevate • 27 with an elevated imr	ted CDT levels determined be nunochemical CDT value we	determined by the immunochemical by HPLC. ere negative in EtG; however, 5 of lly treated hair (bleached, toned, dyed,	
	double process) which ma	ay lead to a reduction of as	much as 75 percent in the EtG	
Funding	none declared			
Comments				
Title	Alcohol biomarkers as to	ols to guide and support de	ecisions about intoxicated driver risk	
First Author	Bean, P., 2009	Source	19916121	
Level of evidence	1b	Study type	Pilot study	
Study quality	Very good reference stand participants	dards and design, good inte	erpretation, good number of	
Participants	200			
Patient characteristics			comply the following criteria:	
	• have experienced the previous DUI within 5 years of the current arrest,			
	• show a BAC greater than 0.15 at the time of the arrests			
	· ·	or partner concerned with t		
			nce at the assessment of interview.	
Intervention		alcohol consumption last 30	ol days.	
		• •	phorous, ratio of blood urea	
	·	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	monocytes, hematocrit, magnesium,	
	_		elets, iron, white blood cells, total	
			drogenase, chloride, sodium and	
	alkaline phosphatase.	,		
Comparison	' ' '	help the assessor identify h	igh risk drivers who continue to drink	
	heavily after their arrest a	and detect relapses in drive	rs enrolled in their drivers safety plans	
Length of follow-up	Assessment at baseline, for	ollow-up: 3, 6 and 12 month	ns	
Outcome and effect size	1		ne EDAC test identified 35 (18%) of the	
	· ·		ed by the CDT test and 16 (8%)	
	1		and when combining the EDAC with the	
	1		(19%) reported consumption of at	
		-	of the drivers (4/8) who tested	
	1.		ve by the EDAC test. However 80% as heavy drinkers by the CDT test. The	
	1		combination, which captured heavy	
	drinking in 20%; most of v		g at the assessment interview.	

Funding	none declared
Comments	

Title	Comparison of ethyl glucuronid as markers of chronic high level	-	eficient transferrin in serum			
First Author	Morini, L., 2009	Morini, L., 2009 Source 19410394				
Level of evidence	2b Study type Cohort study					
Study quality	Not good, results are not compridetermine CDT with 2 different i	-	not use the same samples to			
Participants	86					
Patient characteristics	48 men, 38 women, teetotalers, withdrawal treatment	social drinkers, heavy drinker	s at the beginning of			
Intervention	1) ethanol daily intake (EDI) within the last 2 weeks and 3 months 2) questions about hair natural color, hair hygienic habits and cosmetic treatments (perm, dyeing, or bleaching) 3) Blood samples for CDT (CDT-immunonephelometric or CDT-HPLC - DAD) 4) hair sampling for determination of ethyl glucuronide in hair: 3 cm proximal segment					
Comparison	To compare sensitivity and specificity of EtG in hair and CDT in serum as markers of heavy drinking					
Length of follow-up	None					
Outcome and effect size	EDI: median 111g ethanol/d for 2 weeks; 109g ethanol/day for 3 months, HEtG: range from LOD (2 and 3pg/mg hair) to 890.5pg/mg. CDT range 0.7% to 11.9% - both methods do differ. With a cut off of 27pg/mg HEtG, HEtG detected all consuming more than 60g/day (according to EDI): sensitivity 1.0, specificity 0.93 CDT with a cut off at 2.5%: sensitivity 0.44, specificity 0.93. Sensitivity: HEtG twice as sensitive as CDT. Regarding specificity: same results for CDT and HEtG.					
Funding	None declared					
Comments						

Title	Combinations of carbohydrate- volume, gamma-glutamyltransf of biological markers in alcohol	erase, homocysteine and fola			
First Author	Rinck, D., 2007 Source 17234365				
Level of evidence	1b	Study type	Cohort study		
Study quality	Good reference standard, good patients and controls	design, adequate interpretation	on, adequate number of		
Participants	Group A: 177 Group B/control group: 181				
Patient characteristics	Group A: 33 women, 144 men; fulfilled criteria for alcohol dependence according to ICD- 10. Mean age: 44 years. They were included at the day of admission to a closed detoxification unit. Group B: control group, social drinkers, volunteers, screened for alcohol consumption and alcohol drinking patterns using structured interview (FEG). None was drinking more than 30 g ethanol per week. Mean age: 30 years				
Intervention	Blood samples: folates, GGT, pla	sma homocysteine, MCV, %CI	OT were analyzed.		
Comparison	To advance the clinical diagnostic pattern of identifying alcohol dependent patients using a combination of established laboratory markers and new biomarkers for alcoholism.				
Length of follow-up	None				
Outcome and effect size	MCV: sensitivity 76% (95% CI [69 82]), specificity (95% CI [92.9 98.8]), positive predictive value (PPV) 71.7% (95% CI [67 76.4]), negative predictive value (NPV) 97.3% CDT: sensitivity 84.4% (95% CI [78.2 89.3]), specificity 95% (95% CI [90.7 97.7]), PPV 65.2% (60.3-70.1%), NPV 98.2% Homocysteine: sensitivity 67% (95% CI [59.6 73.9]), specificity 83.3% (95% CI [77.1 88.5]), PPV 30.9% (95% CI [26.1 35.7]), NPV 95.8% Folate: sensitivity 21.1%, (95% CI [15.5 28]), specificity 97.8% (95% CI [94.4 99.4]), PPV				

Funding Comments	Support by a grafit from Axis Shield, Norway		
Funding	Support by a grant from Axis Shield, Norway		
	sensitivity of 94.1% and specificity of 96%.		
	98.6% and a specificity of 86.4%. Best value for women: combination of MCV and CDT:		
	men: combination of MCV, CDT, GGT and homocysteine, and folate has a sensitivity of		
	Combination of different markers led to a significant elevation in sensitivity. Best value for		
	GGT: sensitivity 54.2% (95% CI [46.6 61.6]), specificity 92.8% (95% CI [88 96.1]), PPV 45.5% (95% CI [40.3 50.6]), NPV 94.8%		
	51.5% (95% CI [46.3 56.7]), NPV 91.8%		

Title	Phosphatidylethanol (PEth) concentrations i intake in alcohol-dependent patients	n blood are corre	elated to reported alcohol		
First Author	Aradottir, S., 2006 Source 16624837				
Level of evidence	2b	Study type	Cohort study		
Study quality	Good design, adequate number of participan	ts, good referenc	ce standard		
Participants	Group A: 66 outpatients, Group B: 78 inpatie	nts			
Patient characteristics	Group A: 55 men, 11 women, actively drinking patients, mean age was 49.1 ± 9.9 years. Group B: 68 men, 10 women, admitted to the detoxification unit of Hospital. Mean age was 52.9 ± 8.5 years.				
Intervention	PEth analysis: HPLC method; GGT: enzymatic colorimetric assay, different cut-offs applied; CDT: HPLC method; MCV: automated techniques. Time Line Follow Back to obtain estimations of the alcohol consumption during the previous 14 days.				
Comparison	Correlation to ethanol intake and diagnostic sensitivity of the markers				
Length of follow-up	None				
Outcome and effect size	PEth, CDT and GGT correlated to ethanol intake, with the strongest correlation found for PEth. The diagnostic sensitivity for PEth was 99%, and for other markers it varied between 40 and 77%. Only the combination of CDT and GGT reached a sensitivity of 94%. Correlation between PEth and CDT (p>0.001), PEth and GGT (p< 0.001), no correlation between PEth and MCV. Correlation between GGT and MCV (p<0.001). No correlation between CDT and GGT or MCV.				
Funding Comments	Financial support from the Swedish Medical Research Council, the Swedish Alcohol Research Fund, the Royal Physiographic Society in Lund and the Medical Faculty of Lund University				

Title	Effectiveness of pharmacist counseling combined with nicotine replacement therapy: a pragmatic randomized trial with 6,987 smokers				
First Author	Costello, M. J., 2011 Source 21153694				
Level of evidence	2b Study type RCT				
Study quality	Medium				
Participants	N=6967				
Patient characteristics	Smokers				
Intervention	Two models of a pharmacist-led behavioral intervention				
Comparison					
Length of follow-up	5 weeks				
Outcome and effect size	Quit rates were significantly higher among Group A, 3-session completers (27.7%; n=478)				
	compared to Group B participants (18.0%; n=604).				
Funding	The STOP Study was funded by the Ontario Ministry of Health Promotion (awarded to P.				
	Selby)				
Comments					

Title	Ethyl glucuronide concentration in hair for detecting heavy drinking and/or abstinence: a meta-analysis		
First Author	Boscolo-Berto, R., 2013	Source	23250386

Level of evidence	la	Study type	MA following a systematic review
Study quality	good		
Participants	15 studies, n=770		
Patient characteristics	social drinkers (daily>0<60), heavy drinkers (daily>60), teetotalers (0)		
Intervention	hair ETG, cut offs <7pg/mg (non-use) and >30pg/mg (heavy use)		
Comparison	hair ETG differences between groups, assessment also in terms of recently described cut offs		
Length of follow-up	N.A.		
Outcome and effect size	Partial overlap between teetotalers and social drinkers (related to 7pg/mg cut off) and between social and heavy drinkers (related to 30pg/mg cut off); 7pg/mg cut off may only be used for suspecting active use, not for proving abstinence; 30pg/mg cut off limits false negative effect in differentiating heavy from social drinkers.		
Funding	nothing declared		
Comments	Larger and well-designed population studies are required to draw any definitive conclusion		

Title	Hair ethyl glucuronide levels as a marker for alcohol use and abuse: A review of the current state of the art			
First Author	Crunelle, C. L., 2013	Source	24239414	
Level of evidence	2c	Study type	Review	
Study quality	Moderate			
Participants	Techniques=19 papers; Interpretation=23 papers; hair EtG as marker=11 papers			
Patient characteristics	Alcohol dependents, social drinkers, control group			
Intervention	Summary of techniques for hair ETG analyses			
Comparison	N.A.			
Length of follow-up	N.A.			
Outcome and effect size	Description of different methods (GC, LC, EIA) but no comparisons provided. Data interpretation dependent on hair length, pigmentation cosmetic treatments, alcohol consumption profiles, gender, metabolism profiles. ETG as marker: authors refer to the study by Boscolo-Berto.			
Funding	nothing declared			
Comments	EtG quantification in hair is a useful tool for the objective detection of alcohol consumption over extended time periods, but care should be taken when interpreting the result. Altogether this paper is of limited relevance.			

Title	Inhalation of Alcohol Vapor: Measurement and Implications		
First Author	McLean, R. R., 2017	Source	28054395
Level of evidence	2a	Study type	Meta-analyses
Study quality	good but very small		
Participants	N=21 studies: 14 with occupational exposure, 6 in laboratory tests, 1 with e-cigarette		
Patient characteristics	Persons without special risk factors: employees ore those willing to participate in lab tests		
Intervention	Exposure by hand sanitizer, at workplace or in laboratory to alcohol containing fluids per inhalation or use of alcohol vapor containing e-cigarette		
Comparison	Pre-post Blood alcohol concentration (BAC) and breath alcohol concentration (BrAC) urinary alcohol metabolites, ethyl glucuronide (β-D-6-glucuronide or EtG) and ethyl sulfate (EtS),		
Length of follow-up	None, measurement during up to 4 hours after exposure		
Outcome and effect size	BAC and BrAC showed measurable after exposition: incidental exposure to alcohol vapor from hand sanitizer corresponds to inconsistent or extremely small increases in BrAC and BAC biomarkers. After an 8 hour shift with regular use elevated EtG levels up to 2.100 ng/ml, positive EtG levels in 90% of participants with a mean EtG of 278 ng/ml, Positive urine EtS was present in 72% of the sample with a mean value of 9ng/ml (range=0 to 84ng/ml). Effects mostly subclinical. Puffing from an e-liquid with 23% alcohol was associated with diminished performance on the Purdue Pegboard Dexterity Test, in 3 out		

	of 8 persons EtG levels increased from undetectable to average 371 ng/ml after one		
	session		
Funding	Non-commercial: MIRECC and NIH, USA		
Comments	No relevant dermal resorption in the studies, no inclusion of vulnerable populations,		
	mainly occupational with hand sanitizers		

	Phosphatidylethanol (PEth) Is Superior to Carbohydrate-Deficient Transferrin and γ-glutamyltransferase as an Alcohol Marker and Is a Reliable Estimate of Alcohol Consumption Level			
First Author	Walther, L., 2015	Source	26503066	
Level of evidence	1b	Study type	RCT with parallel evaluation of different measurements	
Study quality	Good			
Participants	N= 160 enrolled, 115	completed (76	5 men, 39 women)	
Patient characteristics	Alcohol dependent pa	tients within	a RCT for pharmacotherapy, 30-70 years old	
Intervention	Therapy with varenicl	in vs. placebo		
Comparison		Diaries about alcohol consumption with PEth, CDT, GGT, AST, ALT at 5 time points. AUDIT and AUDIT-C only before intervention, continuous diary		
Length of follow-up	14 weeks			
	PEth rs=0.56 and CDT rs=0.35 with diary, PEth rs=0.23 and CDT rs=0.22 with retrospective consumption (AUDTT), At all consumption levels, PEth had the highest sensitivity of all biomarkers studied. rs=0.63 between the 2 alcohol biomarkers PEth and CDT. PEth values can be translated into an approximate level of alcohol consumption and PEth appears to be a more reliable measure of alcohol consumption than self-reports. At baseline CDT was increased in 42% (n=113), GGT in 15% (n=114), AST in 18% (n=114), and ALT in 9% (n=114), PEth (≥0.02mol/I) in all cases.			
Funding	Pfizer, Sweden, and U.K, Swedish Medical Research Council, also Astra Zeneca's postdoc program, Wilhelm & Martina Lundgren Foundation, SVLS (The Swedish Society of Medicine), Lindhes advokatbyr a, Capios Research Foundation, Tore Nilsons Foundation, SRA (National Alcohol Retailing Monopoly Council for Alcohol Research), Fredrik & Ingrid Thurings Foundation, Svenska Lundbeckstiftelsen, Hj€arnfonden (Swedish Brain Foundation), Magnus Bergvalls Foundation, Skane County Council0s Research and Development Foundation, and Gyllenstierna Krapperups Foundation			
Comments		•	on of correlations between markers and diary	

Title	Biomarkers for the Detection of Prenatal Alcohol Exposure: A Review			
First Author	Bager, H., 2017	Source	28098942	
Level of evidence	2a	Study type	Systematic review	
Study quality	Good			
Participants	53 articles selected for data extr	action; several thousand parti	cipants	
Patient characteristics	Most studies on maternal mater	Most studies on maternal material, only few on fetal/newborn material (hair, placenta,		
	meconium (majority of studies), nails, blood			
Intervention	Summary of techniques for measurement of EtG (and EtS), FAEEs, PEth			
Comparison	Differeces between different types of consumption over different periods of time			
Length of follow-up	N.A.			
Outcome and effect size	Various techniques and material described; results not directly comparable; strong support			
	for the use of direct ethanol metabloites such as EtG, FAEEs and Peth for screening of			
	alcohol intake during pregnancy; no Effect sizes			
Funding	No COI			
Comments	Testing with respective biomarkers recommended during pregnancy			

Title	Biomolecules and Biomarkers Used in Diagnosis of Alcohol Drinking and in Monitoring Therapeutic Interventions	
First Author	Nanau, R. M., 2015 Source 26131978	

Level of evidence	2c	Study type	Authors state it was a systematic review, however, no respective details are given → rather narrative review	
Study quality	Moderate		respective details are given 7 rutiler nutrative review	
Participants	Not reported			
Patient characteristics	Various populations in	cluding healtl	ny volunteers, control groups, patients etc	
Intervention	N.A.			
Comparison	N.A.			
Length of follow-up	N.A.			
Outcome and effect size	"There is a clear need for an assay standardization to ensure the use of these biochemical			
	tests as routine bioma	tests as routine biomarkers." No conclusions regarding clinical aspects, no condensed		
	recommendations, no effect sizes.			
Funding	Authors declared to have none, however they declare that the paper was funded by In			
	vitro, a private company, details see next column			
Comments	Manuela G. Neuman is Founder and CEO In Vitro Drug Safety and Biotechnology, Toronto			
	Canada. In Vitro Drug Safety And Biotechnology Inc. is a privately held company in Toronto,			
	ON and is a Single Location business categorized under Commercial Biotechnical Research.			
	Current estimates sho	Current estimates show this company has an annual revenue of 461120 and employs a		
	staff of approximately	4. (data from	google search, performed Jan 30, 2020)	

Title	Biomarkers of Alcohol Consumption and Related Liver Disease		
First Author	Niemelä, O., 2010	Source	20470213
Level of evidence	2a	Study type	Systematic review
Study quality	Review of "recent literature" on response to ethanol intake. No f Review of "recent literature" on response to ethanol intake.	low-chart, no heterogeneity n	neasures, no meta-statistics.
Participants	n. a.		
Patient characteristics	Patients with alcohol intake and	liver diseases and associated	disorders
Intervention	All available biomarkers/lab mar presented, including analytical m		hol intake are systematically
Comparison	n. a.		
Length of follow-up	n. a.		
Outcome and effect size	Information on the specific role of ethanol consumption behind hepatotoxicity may be obtained through measurements of blood ethanol and its specific metabolites (ETG, phosphatidylethanol, protein-acetaldehyde condensates). Recent studies have indicated that being overweight is another increasingly common cause of abnormal liver enzyme levels and adiposity may also increase the impact of ethanol consumption on liver pathology. Interestingly, increased liver enzyme activities in circulation may reflect not only hepatic function but can also serve as indicators of general health and the status of oxidative stress in vivo. ALT and GGT activities predict insulin resistance, metabolic syndrome, mortality from coronary heart diseases and even mortality from all causes. If the upper reference limits for liver enzyme activities were defined based on the data obtained from normal weight abstainers, the clinical value of liver enzyme measurements as screening tools and in patient follow-up could be significantly improved.		
Funding	Useful review, in particular providing a stepwise approach in individuals with alcohol intake and liver disease		
Comments	Useful review, in particular provi and liver disease	ding a stepwise approach in i	ndividuals with alcohol intake

Title	Diagnostic Characteristics and Application of Alcohol Biomarkers			
First Author	Topic, A., 2013 Source 23724610			
Level of evidence	a Study type Systematic review			
Study quality	Review of all available laboratory markers on alcohol use. No flow-chart, no heterogeneity			

	managers no mate statistics. Davious of all available laborators markers are also believe. No		
	measures, no meta-statistics. Review of all available laboratory markers on alcohol use. No		
	flow-chart, no heterogeneity measures, no meta-statistics.		
Participants	n. a.		
Patient characteristics	Any subject with alcohol consumption		
Intervention	All available biomarkers/lab markers are systematically presented, including analytical method		
Comparison	n. a.		
Length of follow-up	n. a.		
Outcome and effect size	Alcohol biomarkers traditionally used in clinical practice [blood alcohol concentration (BAC), gamma-glutamyl transferase (GGT), carbohydrate-deficient transferrin (CDT), the ratio GGT/CDT, alanine aminotransferase (ALT), aspartate amino-transferase (AST), the ratio AST/ALT, mean corpuscular volume (MCV), phosphatidyl-ethanol (PEth)] are well validated. They are used as screening/monitoring markers of acute/chronic excessive alcohol intake, alcoholism in pregnancy, and other disorders/ conditions related to alcohol abuse. Numerous potential alcohol biomarkers have been discovered, but few are validated. Significant progress has been made in the development of sensitive and practical alcohol transdermal devices that can instantly/continuously measure BAC through human skin. Transdermal sensing of alcohol may become a valuable method for monitoring abstinence.		
Funding	This work was supported by grants 173008 and III 41 018 from the Ministry of Education and Science, Republic of Serbia		
Comments	Useful review, in particular providing a comprehensive view on lab markers and new aspects of transdermal systems of alcohol detection		

Title	Non-oxidative Ethanol Met	abolites as a Measure of	Alcohol Intake
First Author	Maenhout, T. M., 2013	Source	23178443
Level of evidence	2a	Study type	Systematic review
Study quality			irect and indirect alcohol markers o heterogeneity measures, no meta-
Participants	n. a.		
Patient characteristics	Patients/Persons with alcoh	ol use or alcohol use dis	orders
Intervention	All available lab markers, in	particular direct alcohol	markers are presented.
Comparison	n. a.		
Length of follow-up	n. a.		
Outcome and effect size			
Funding	n. a.		
Comments	Useful review, in particular	providing thorough infor	mation on new direct alcohol marker

Title	Biomarker-Based Approaches fo	or Assessing Alcohol Use Diso	rders
First Author	Niemelä, O., 2016	Source	26828506
Level of evidence	2a	Study type	Systematic review
Study quality	A systematic review of the curre consumption was conducted usi flow-chart, no heterogeneity me	ng PubMed and Google Schola	
Participants	n. a.		
Patient characteristics	Patients/Persons with alcohol us	se or alcohol use disorders	
Intervention	All available lab markers, in particular direct alcohol markers are presented.		
Comparison	n. a.		
Length of follow-up	n. a.		
Outcome and effect size	A more systematic use of biomarkers of alcohol consumption, including EtG and CDT or GT-CDT, improves the possibilities for early intervention in alcohol use disorders. Increased activities of serum liver-derived enzymes, LT and GGT, are useful screening tools for liver affection but also prognostic indices of simultaneous extra-hepatic risks, such as metabolic syndrome, and cardio-or cerebrovascular events. GGT levels are linked with the status of oxidative stress, which is a key mechanism by which ethanol use promotes tissue injury.		
Funding	Review of literature regarding direct and indirect biomarkers, including Biomarker Abbreviation, Biological Sample Type and Marker Characteristics. Also, biomarkers of hepatic fibrogenesis are included.		
Comments			

3.1 Kurzinterventionen

Title	Randomized controlled trial of a hospitalized Taiwanese men.	a brief intervention for unhea	lthy alcohol use in
First Author	Liu, SI., 2011	Source	21205050
Level of evidence	1b	Study type	RCT
Study quality	high quality; standardized treatr interventionists	nent protocol, extensive train	ing (5 days) of
Participants	N=616, alcohol dependent patie	nts N=305	
Patient characteristics	Men aged 18–65years admitted to medical or surgical wards in a medical center were approached to participate in the study. Unhealthy alcohol use was divided into (I) heavy drinking, defined as more than 14 drinks week (168g of alcohol) per week in the previous 30 days. Without meeting the criteria for alcohol abuse or dependence, (II) alcohol abuse and, (III) alcohol dependence		
Intervention	BI was based on MI and consisted of two sessions of 30 minutes each, 1 week apart, in conjunction with a brochure which the interventionist reviewed individually with the participants and encouraged them to use as a reference for cutting back or stopping alcohol use		
Comparison	Treatment as usual		
Length of follow-up	Follow-up by telephone at 4, 9 and 12 months		
Outcome and effect size	The intervention group consumed significantly less alcohol than the control group among both unhealthy drinkers and the subgroup of alcohol-dependent participants over 12 months Significantly more participants with alcohol use disorders in the intervention than in the control group (8.3%, vs. 2.1%) consulted specialists by 12 months. Groups did not differ in alcohol-related problems and health-care utilization at follow-up		
Funding	Department of Health, Republic of China (DOH93-TD-M-113-019; and DOH95-TD-M-113-037).		
Comments			

Title	Alcohol screening and brief intervention in primary care: Absence of evidence for efficacy in people with dependence or very heavy drinking.			
First Author	Saitz, R., 2010	Saitz, R., 2010 Source 20973848		
Level of evidence	1b	Study type	Systematic Review	

Study quality	High quality; included reviews through 2006; an additional electronic literature search was conducted through 2009; clear search strategy; clear information about inclusion and exclusion of studies: focus only on dependence. Therefore only two studies identified
Participants	N=199
Patient characteristics	Men and women and age not clearly specified; only outpatient primary care settings;
	In one study including dependent alcoholics 10-15min BI by resident physician. In the other study including dependent alcoholics the BI was done by an experienced addiction psychiatrist, and duration was not specified
Comparison	One study: compared with six weekly 90 min educational sessions. other: not specified
Length of follow-up	One study: 18 months. Other: not specified.
Outcome and effect size	Absence of evidence for the efficacy of BI among primary-care patients with screening-identified alcohol dependence
Funding	NIAAA and NIDA
Comments	

Title	The effectiveness of brief intervention among injured patients with alcohol dependence: who benefits from brief interventions?			
First Author	Field, C., 2010	Source	20493644	
Level of evidence	1b	Study type	RCT	
Study quality	extensive training of clinicians; n	nonitoring of treatment fidelit	y;	
Participants	N=1336			
Patient characteristics	Trauma care setting; male: 82%, 44% (n=588) met criteria for alcohol dependence at baseline. Of the patients eligible for follow-up, 77% completed a 6 month assessment and 66% completed a 12 month assessment			
Intervention	Brief MI (BMI)			
Comparison	treatment as usual (handout) plus assessment			
Length of follow-up	follow-up assessment s by telephone at 6 and 12 months			
Outcome and effect size	consistent interaction between BMI and alcohol dependence status, which indicated higher reductions in volume per week at 6 and 12 months follow-up (ß=-0.56, p=0.03, ß=-0.63, p=0.02, respectively), maximum amount at 6 months (ß=-0.31, p=0.04), and decreases in percent days abstinent at 12 months (ß=0.11, p=0.007) and alcohol problems at 12 months (ß=-2.7, p=0.04) among patients with alcohol dependence receiving BMI. In addition, patients with alcohol dependence at baseline that received BMI were .59 (95% CI [0.39 0.91]) times less likely to meet criteria for alcohol dependence at six months			
Funding	NIAAA			
Comments				

Title	Approach to treatment of mental illness and substance dependence in remote Indigenous communities: results of a mixed methods study.					
First Author	Nagel, T., 2009	Nagel, T., 2009 Source 19664081				
Level of evidence	1b	Study type	RCT			
Study quality	No diagnostic of alcohol depend	ence; authors used a cut-off o	f 3 on the severity of			
	dependence scale as indicative of cannabis and alcohol dependence; only 8 subjects with					
	alcohol consumption and without cannabis consumption at baseline approx. one third of					
	the sample were abstinent at baseline					
Participants	N=49 (average SDS-Scale alcohol: 6.9; alcohol consumers at baseline: n=31)					
Patient characteristics	49 Patients of health centers in remote Indigenous communities with "chronic mental					
	illness"					
Intervention	The intervention consisted of two one-hour treatment sessions two to six weeks apart,					
	which integrated problem-solving, motivational therapy and self-management principles.					
Comparison	Controls received the same Intervention with a delay of 6 months					
Length of follow-up	6, 12 and 18 months					
Outcome and effect size	There was significant advantage	There was significant advantage for treatment for alcohol dependence (p=0.05), with				

	response also evident in cannabis dependence (p=0.064) and with changes in substance dependence sustained over time.
Funding	Dpt. of Health and Community Services, National Health and Medical Research Council and
	Cooperative Research Centre for Aboriginal Health
Comments	All individuals were initially treated in a mental health center and carers/family members
	were also included in the intervention

Title	Some medical inpatients with u	nhealthy alcohol use may ber	nefit from brief intervention.	
First Author	Saitz, R., 2009	Source	19371494	
Level of evidence	1b	Study type	RCT	
Study quality	study of good quality, clear proc	edures, valid instruments 12-r	month-follow-up rate 84%	
Participants	N=341 Dependent alcoholics: 26	1 (76 women)		
Patient characteristics	341 adult subjects (99 women) from the medicine service of a large, urban teaching hospital. Eligibility criteria included current (past-month) drinking of risky amounts (defined for eligibility as >14 standard drinks per week or ≥5 drinks per occasion for men; >11 drinks per week or ≥4 drinks per occasion for women and people age ≥66 years			
Intervention	Subjects were randomized to the control or intervention group. Intervention subjects were assigned to 30 minutes of brief motivational counseling that was based on the principles of motivational interviewing. Sessions were conducted by counseling and clinical psychology doctoral students whom we trained and included feedback, an open discussion (lasting about 20 minutes), and construction of a change plan.			
Comparison	Control subjects received usual care (i.e., they were told their screening results and advised they could discuss their alcohol use with their physicians).			
Length of follow-up	3 and 12 months			
Outcome and effect size	Evidence in self-reported receipt of alcohol treatment in the past 3 months among subjects with alcohol dependence and change in the mean number of drinks per day from enrollment to 3 and 12 months in subjects with dependence is moderated by gender and age. Women and younger (<40) men are more likely to profit from BI.			
Funding	not reported			
Comments				

Title	Evaluation of a telephone-based stepped care intervention for alcohol-related disorders: a randomized controlled trial.				
First Author	Bischof, G., 2008 Source 18054443				
Level of evidence	1b	Study type	RCT		
Study quality	study of good quality, clear prod	cedures, valid instruments 12-r	month-follow-up rate 91.7%		
Participants	N=408				
Patient characteristics	Primary care (GPs) patients meeting criteria for alcohol dependence, abuse, at-risk consumption, (average consumption of >20/30g of alcohol per day for women/men within the last 4 weeks, or regular heavy drinking episodes				
Intervention	(binge drinking), defined as >60/80g of alcohol for women/men on at least two occasions within the last 4 weeks) Exclusion criteria were acute or terminal illness, severe drug dependence, not having a telephone, not understanding or speaking German sufficiently, unable to read, being in alcohol specific treatment, and no alcohol consumption in the last 4 weeks.				
Comparison	Eligible participants were randomly assigned to one of three conditions: (1) stepped care (SC): a computerize d intervention plus up to three 40-min telephone-based interventions depending on the success of the previous intervention; (2) full-care (FC): a computerized intervention plus a fixed number of four 30-min telephone-based interventions that equals the maximum of the stepped care intervention; (3) an untreated control group (CG).				
Length of follow-up	booklet on health behavior				
Outcome and effect size	follow-up assessments by telephone at 6 and 12 months				
Funding	Decrease of alcohol use (39.5%) compared to the control group in at-risk drinkers and				

	alcohol abusers; no effects of BI in alcohol dependent patients	
Comments	federal government (BMBF)	

Title	Randomized-controlled trial of a telephone and mail intervention for alcohol use disorders: three-month drinking outcomes.					
First Author	Brown, R., 2007	Brown, R., 2007 Source 17550366				
Level of evidence	1b	Study type	RCT (12 months)			
Study quality	extensive training of clinicians; r (83,5% in men, 84.5% in women	_	y, good follow-up rate			
Participants	N=897 (EG: N=445 vs. N=452)					
Patient characteristics	Primary care patients aged between 21 and 59 years meeting DSM-IV criteria for alcohol abuse or dependence and no alcohol treatment in the past 3 months. EG vs. CG: female: n=246 (55.3%) vs. n=251 (55.5%); alcohol dependence: n=214 (48.1%) vs. n=211 (46.7%)					
Intervention	Up to six sessions of protocol-driven telephone counseling based on principles of motivational interviewing and stages of readiness to change					
Comparison	Control subjects received a pamphlet on healthy lifestyles.					
Length of follow-up	Follow-up assessments by telephone at 3 months					
Outcome and effect size	Male experimental subjects (N=199) manifested a 30.6% decline in risky drinking days, Compared with a 8.3% decline in controls (N=201, p<0.001). The total consumption declined by 17.3% compared with 12.9% by controls (p=0.001). Female experimental subjects (N=246) manifested a 17.2% decrease in risky drinking days compared with an 11.5% decrease by controls (N=251; p=NS) and a 13.9% decline in total consumption compared with 11.0% by controls (p=NS). Greater numbers of telephone counseling sessions were associated					
Funding	Medicine and Public Health. Pilot study work was supported by the American Academy of Family Physicians Foundation					
Comments						

Title	A randomized controlled trial of goal choice interventions for alcohol use disorders among men who have sex with men (MSM).				
First Author	Morgenstern, J., 2007	Morgenstern, J., 2007 Source 17295566			
Level of evidence	1b	Study type	RCT between 2 different types of Intervention (MI		
			vs. MI+CBT); self-selected untreated "controls"		
Study quality	Study of moderate quality	: no randomiz	ed control group, small sample size, no proactive		
	recruitment				
Participants	MI alone n=42, MI+CBT n=	=47			
Patient characteristics	HIV-negative MSM with co	urrent AUD (N	=198) were recruited using a wide variety of		
	targeted outreach and me	edia recruitme	nt strategies. Participants (n=89) accepted		
	treatment and were randomized to either 4 sessions of motivational interviewing (MI) or				
	12 sessions of combined MI and coping skills training (MI+CBT). Other participants (n=109)				
	declined treatment but were followed, forming a non-help-seeking group (NHS)				
Intervention	MI+CBT consisted of 12 weekly sessions. All treatment sessions were videotaped. MI				
	consisted of 4 sessions de	livered over 1	2 weeks, similar to the design of motivational		
	enhancement therapy (MET) in Project MATCH (Project MATCH Research Group, 1993).				
Comparison	Untreated controls initial	y not intereste	ed in treatment received assessment only.		
Length of follow-up	12 week, 12 months				
Outcome and effect size	MI yielded significantly better drinking outcomes during the 12-week treatment period				
	than MI +CBT, but post-treatment outcomes were equivalent. NHS also reduced their				
	drinking significantly (no sig. differences compared to treatment condition).				
	Authors conclude that this reduction was caused by a substantial proportion ("one third")				
	of controls that received alcohol-related treatment between baseline and 12-months f-u.				
Funding	NIAAA				
Comments					

Title	Brief intervention for medical inpatients with unhealthy alcohol use: a randomized, controlled trial.					
First Author	Saitz, R., 2007 Source 17283347					
Level of evidence	1b	Study type	RCT			
Study quality	study of good quality, clear proc	edures, valid instruments 12-r	month-follow-up rate 84%			
Participants	N=341 Dependent alcoholics: 26	1 (76 women)				
Patient characteristics	341 adult subjects (99 women) f	rom the medicine service of a	large, urban teaching			
	hospital. Eligibility criteria includ	ed current (past-month) drink	king of risky amounts (defined			
	for eligibility as >14 standard dri	nks per week or ≥5 drinks per	occasion for men; >11 drinks			
	per week or ≥4 drinks per occasi	on for women and people age	: ≥66 years);			
Intervention	A 30-minute session of motivational counseling given by trained counselors during a					
	patient's hospitalization (n=172).					
Comparison	Control subjects (n=169) received usual care (i.e., they were told their screening results					
	and advised they could discuss tl	heir alcohol use with their phy	vsicians).			
Length of follow-up	3 and 12 months					
Outcome and effect size	Evidence in self-reported receipt	of alcohol treatment in the p	ast 3 months among subjects			
	with alcohol dependence and change in the mean number of drinks per day from					
	enrollment to 3 and 12 months in all subjects.					
	Main result: Brief intervention is insufficient for linking medical inpatients with treatment					
	for alcohol dependence and for changing alcohol consumption in all patients with					
	unhealthy alcohol use					
Funding	NIAAA & NCRR					
Comments						

Title	The efficacy of motivation al interviewing as a brief intervention for excessive drinking: a meta-analytic review					
First Author	Vasilaki, E., 2006	silaki, E., 2006 Source 16547122				
Level of evidence	1a	Study type	meta-analytic review of MI intervention sin RCTs			
Study quality	High quality using a well	reported and va	lid methodological quality score for each selected			
		_	effect size calculator. Two different design types:			
	(I) MI vs a no-treatment	control, and (II)	MI vs a comparison treatment.			
Participants	A total of 2767 participa	nts were include	d in the 15 brief intervention trials analysed.			
Patient characteristics	Various settings: college	students, outpa	tient community settings, emergency room or			
	clinic settings, specialist	substance-abuse	e treatment agencies.			
Intervention	various BMI approaches	(not further spe	cified) from 15 up to 240 minutes conducted by			
	students, nurses, clinicians and researchers					
Comparison	(I) MI vs a no-treatment control, and					
	(II) MI vs a comparison treatment.					
Length of follow-up	Up to six months					
Outcome and effect size			p-treatment (NT) control group. Five studies			
	1 -	compared brief MI with treatment as usual/brief advice/standard care, one with directive-				
	confrontation al counselling, one with educational intervention, one with skill-based					
	counselling (SBC), and one with cognitive behavioral treatment. Aggregated effect size was					
	0.18 (95% CI [0.07 0.29]) compared to non-intervention. When follow-up period was					
	shorter than 3 months, the ES was greater 0.60 (95% CI [0.36 0.83]). Compared to other					
	treatments, aggregated effect size was 0.43 (95% CI [0.17 0.70]). MI is more effective with					
	young adults who are heavy-or low-dependent drinkers than with older drinkers or those					
	with a more severe drinking problem and is more cost-effective than more extensive					
Funding	treatments					
	not reported					
Comments						

Title	Evaluation of a brief intervention in an inner-city emergency department.		
First Author	Bazargan-Hejazi, S., 2005	Source	15988430

Level of evidence	1b	Study type	RCT	
Study quality	Study of medium quality; System	natic screening with high parti	cipation rate (98%); Low	
	follow-up rates (63%), High refus	sal rate (40%) in eligible subje	cts. No independent	
	randomization (each second par	ticipant was allocated to the o	opposite condition than the	
	preceding participant)			
Participants	N=295 ED patients screening pos	sitive on the CAGE. without al	cohol counselling in the	
	previous 12 months			
Patient characteristics	emergency department			
Intervention	MI (15 to 20 minutes)			
Comparison	health information	health information		
Length of follow-up	in-person follow-up assessments	s at 3 months		
Outcome and effect size	Among the 185 patients followed up, 64% of the intervention group versus 80% of the			
	control group scored greater tha	control group scored greater than 7 on the follow-up AUDIT (scored on a scale of 1 to 40;		
	p<.05, odds ratio OR=2.35, 95% CI [1.21 4.55]). Multinomial logistic regression analysis			
	demonstrates, after controlling for demographic characteristic s and other independent			
	variables, that assignment to int			
	drinking as defined by AUDIT sco	ores of 7 to 18 (OR=0.42, p<0.0	05, 95% CI [0.19 0.91]) but	
	did not affect patients with AUDIT scores in the 19 to 40 range			
Funding	NIAAA, NIH			
Comments	Limitation: it's not clear whether	r controls received a BI from t	heir physician or GP.	
	Intervention and control group of	decreased their drinking, but t	here is no significant	
	difference between groups.			

Title	A theory-based intervention to reduce alcohol drinking in excess of guideline limits among undergraduate students.			
First Author	Hagger, M., 2012 Source 22233103			
Level of evidence	2b	Study type	RCT	
Study quality	Study of medium quality. Streng study question: What kind of int effects? Limitations: cluster rand participation rate, low follow up respectively; and brief follow up	ervention/s (single or in comb lomization based on academic rate of 43,86 % and complete	ination) exactly shows department low	
Participants	E-mail-invitations to 2.500 stude up rate of 43.86%, however exce been excluded, therefore: comp	essive amount of data missing	from 73 persons, who have	
Patient characteristics	Undergraduate students from 19 departments in the university of Nottingham / UK. Mean age: 20.4 years; males N=295; females N=414. No obvious in-/exclusion criteria 7.3% did not drink at all. Web-based intervention with incentives			
Intervention	Participants have been randomized to one of the following four groups according to their university department: 1. Mental simulation only 2. Implementation intervention only 3. Mental simulation PLUS implementation intention intervention 4. control group			
Comparison	Assessment only			
Length of follow-up	1 month			
Outcome and effect size	Intention to treat analyses revealed significant main effects for mental simulation on number of units of alcohol consumed (F(1, 227)=6.15, p<0.05, 2 p=0.01) and number of heavy episodic drinking occasions (F(1, 227)=4.27, p<.05, 2 p=0.01). Participants receiving the mental simulation condition reported significantly fewer units consumed (M=42.11, SD=42.54) and heavy episodic drinking occasions (M=3.24, SD=4.34) relative to those that did not receive the manipulation (units of alcohol, M=47.77, SD=41.84; heavy episodic drinking occasions, M=3.81, SD=4.87).			
Funding	European Research Advisory Board			
Comments	Short Follow-up period, low resp	onse rate at follow-up		

T:tle	Effectiveness of a brief intervention using mental simulation s in reducing alcohol	
Title	consumption in corporate employees	

First Author	Hagger, M., 2011	Source	21749236	
Level of evidence	2b	Study type		
Study quality	Good response in eligible participation short follow-up period of one more	Good response in eligible participants, good sample size, low follow-up rates (52.5/60.6%),		
Participants	281 out of 330 eligible corporate group (n=139)		vention (n=142) and control	
Patient characteristics	Corporate employees (18 years of survey". Of the 10 companies initial participation in the study	-	-	
Intervention	Mental simulation manipulation. Participants were presented with an introductory passage of text about alcohol intake and the health benefits of keeping alcohol intake within guideline limits suggesting participants to set themselves a goal of keeping their alcohol intake within guideline limits based on World Health Organization recommend actions. The following simulation exercise asks participants to visualize achieving the goal of keeping alcohol in safe limits. The instructions were followed by a series of blank, ruled lines for participants to write down their responses.			
Comparison	Assessment only			
Length of follow-up	1 month			
Outcome and effect size	The analysis with units of alcoho significant main effect for intervent frequency of binge-drinking occamain effects. Participants receiving significantly fewer units of alcohollocated to the control condition the baseline number of units (F(3.149)=11.56, p=0.01, Z2 p=0.07) as subjective norm (F(1, 149)=4.53,	ention condition (ANCOVA; p< asions as the dependent varial ng the mental simulation mar ol at follow-up (M=7.24, SD=6 n (M=9.30, SD=8.55). There w 1, 149)=50.68, p 5 0.01, Z2 p=0 and the intention (F(1, 149)=3.	(0.05). The analysis with ole revealed no significant nipulation consumed (0.49) relative to participants ere also significant effects for (0.25), FAST score (F(1,	
Funding	The European Foundation for Alcohol Research (www.erab.org)			
Comments	Only limited evidence due to include consumption. Low response at for	lusion of all employees irrespe	ective of their alcohol	

Title	Curbing alcohol use in male adults through computer generated personalized advice: randomized controlled trial.		
First Author	Boon, B., 2011	Source	21719412
Level of evidence	1b	Study type	RCT
Study quality	Overall good, good follow-up pa documented, highly selective sulstudy.	• • • • •	
Participants	N= 450 (Intervention n=230, con	trol n=220)	
Patient characteristics	Participants were males, aged 18 to 65 years, screened positive for risky drinking (>20 units of alcohol per week) and/or binge drinking (>5 units of alcohol at a single occasion at least 1 day per week) in the past 6 months. Selected with a screener from a sampling frame of 25.000 households and of a sample recruited through advertisement in national newspapers		
Intervention	Online personalized feedback including normative feedback on drinking, consequences of drinking, advice on how to reduce alcohol intake in their specific situation, etc., approx. 10 minutes		
Comparison	information-only control: standard brochure (Facts about alcohol)		
Length of follow-up	1 and 6 months		
Outcome and effect size	Participants drinking to below the threshold at 1-month follow-up (Intention-to-treat): Intervention 42% vs. controls 31%, (OR=1.7, 95% CI [1.13 2.46], NNT=8.6, χ 2=6.7, p=0.01). 6-month follow-up 46% vs. C 37% (OR=1.4, 95% CI [0.97 2.06], χ 2=3.3, p=0.07).		
Funding	Netherlands Health Research Council		
Comments	Binge-drinkers not distinguished		it binge-drinking outcome.

Title	Motivation al interviewing + feedback intervention to reduce alcohol-exposed pregnancy risk among college binge drinkers: determinants and patterns of response		
First Author	Ceperich, S. D., 2011	Source	21318412
Level of evidence	1b	Study type	RCT
Study quality	Good. Good follow-up participat	ion (91%). But small and highl	y selective sample in
	response to mailings and flyers p	oosted on campus etc.	
Participants	N=228 (Intervention n=114, Con	trols n=114)	
Patient characteristics	Female, 18 to 24 year old students from a mid-Atlantic urban university at risk for alcohol exposed pregnancy (AEP, having sexual intercourse with a man in the past 90 days, using contraception ineffectively) AND drinking at risk levels (5+ drinks per occasion at least once in the past 90 days or 8+ drinks per week).		
Intervention	BALANCE (Birth Control and Alcohol Awareness: Negotiating Choices Effectively) counseling: single 60 to 75-minute session of personalized feedback and based on Motivational Interviewing, following a semistructured counseling manual		
Comparison	Minimal treatment controls: information pamphlet about women's health.		
Length of follow-up	4 months		
Outcome and effect size	AEP risk difference: 14.7% (I: 20.2% vs. C: 34.9%), χ2=5.51, p<0.02, risk drinking difference: 11.3% (I: 65.3% vs C: 77.6%), χ2=3.12, p<0.08		
Funding	Cooperative agreement MM-0044-02 between the AAMC, CDC, and VCU. Investigator effort was also supported by NIMH K01 MH01688 and NIAAA R01 AA14356		
Comments	1-month outcomes reported in I	ngersoll et al., 2005 Not exclu	sively binge-drinkers.

Title	Electronic screening and brief intervention for risky drinking in Swedish university students – a randomized controlled trial.			
First Author	Ekman, D. S., 2011 Source 21316157			
Level of evidence	2b	Study type	RCT	
Study quality	Not good. Very poor follow-up pfollow-up participant only.	participation (37%, 24%), analy	rses based on 6-months	
Participants	N=654 (330 vs. 324). But: Analys	es based on n=158 (80 vs. 78)		
Patient characteristics		Third-semester students with weekly alcohol use 120 g alcohol+ (women)/ 180 g+ (men) OR ≥2 heavy episodic drinking (HED) occasions (48g+/60g+) in past month, 98% binge-		
Intervention	Extensive normative feedback: Same three statements as comparison group plus more comprehensive normative feedback with information describing participants' alcohol use compared with peers at the university, and, if applicable, advice on reducing any unhealthy levels of consumption. The personalized advice consisted of 12 possible statements or suggestions about the student's alcohol habits.			
Comparison	Very brief feedback consisting of three statements on weekly consumption, frequency of HED, and highest BAC and comparison against safe drinking limits acc. To Swedish Institute for Public Health			
Length of follow-up	3 and 6 months			
Outcome and effect size	No sign. Between group differences regarding HED occasions (34% vs. 27% reduction: weekly consumption (19% vs6% reduction), peak BAC (12% vs. 15% reduction), change from risky to non-risky (25% vs. 30%).			
Funding	Within the economical frames of the author's employment at Linköping University. No specific research funding agency contributed to the study			
Comments	Unknown, whether the 55% not intervention	agreeing to participate in folk	ow-up also received	

Title	Reducing high-risk drinking in mandated college students: evaluation of two personalized normative feedback interventions.		
First Author	Doumas, D. M., 2011 Source 21295938		
Level of evidence	2b	Study type	RCT
Study quality	Not so good. Small sample, poor follow-up participation (62%).		
Participants	Total N=135 (n=81 vs. 54)		

Patient characteristics	mandated college students, aged 18 to 24 years, 70% male, 84% Caucasian		
	i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i		
Intervention	Counselor guided web-based personalized normative feedback (CWF) In addition to SWF,		
	review of feedback with a MI trained counselor. Feedback based on MI principles and		
	techniques, including expressing empathy, developing discrepancy, avoiding		
	argumentation, rolling with resistance, supporting self-efficacy. Counselor and participant		
	reviewed personalized feedback, discussing the participant's drinking profile in relation to		
	peer norms and risk of later problems		
Comparison	Self-guided web-based personalized normative feedback (SWF). Based on E-Chug, a brief		
	Web-based program designed to reduce high-risk drinking by providing personalized		
	feedback and normative data regarding drinking and the risks associated with drinking. 30		
	minutes to complete		
Length of follow-up	M=8 months		
Outcome and effect size	CWF significantly greater reductions in weekly drinking quantity (17% reduction vs. 34%		
	increase, Wilks' Λ =0.94, F(1, 81)=4.94, p<0.03, η 2=0.06) and binge drinking frequency (no		
	change vs. 90% increase, Wilks' Λ=0.95, F(1, 81)=3.91, p<0.05, η2=0.05) than SWF group.		
Funding	No information in article		
Comments	No untreated controls		

Title	Online alcohol interventions: a systematic review.		
First Author	White, A., 2010	Source	21169175
Level of evidence	1a	Study type	Systematic review
Study quality	High quality study. Strengths: clear objective (to review the efficacy of online interventions		
	for alc. misuse); search strategy		
Participants	Literature search identified 31 st		
	conducted with university stude		
	drinkers. 12 studies predominan		d feedback interventions.
	sample sizes ranged from 40 to 3		
Patient characteristics	12 out of 17 studies targeted stu		
	and 3 community members. Age		
	studies mean age 43.1. Percenta	<u> </u>	
Intervention	12/17 studies evaluated the imp	·	
	online multi-module information	n/ education treatment (often	incorporating personalized
Commonicon	feedback)	d a su ala a adul a ati a u al u a a su u a a	- (10/17) - n - n - n - n - n - n - n - n - n -
Comparison	Control groups typically received psychoeducational resources (10/17) or completed an online assessment.		
Length of follow-up	Posttreatment assessments wer	e conducted anywhere from 1	week to 12 months with
Length of follow-up		•	
	several studies conducting assessments at multiple time points. Across the 17 studies, 7 had a maximum FU period of a month, 4 had a max. 3-months FU and 3 followed		
	participants to 6 months, one to 12-months post intervention. Retention rates of 83.5% for		
	the IG and 86.3% for the CG.		
Outcome and effect size	Effect sizes could be extracted fr	om 8 of the 17 studies. In rela	ation to alc. units per week
	/month and based on 5 RCTs where a measure of alc. units per week/month could be		
	extracted, differential effect size	es to posttreatment ranged fro	om 0.02 to 0.81 (M=0.42,
	Mdn=0.54). Pre-post effect sizes	for brief personalized Feedba	ack ranged from 0.02 to 0.81,
	and in 2 multi-session modulariz	ed interventions, a pre-post e	ffect size of 0.56 was
	obtained in both. Pre-post differential effect sizes for peak blood alcohol concentration s		
	(BAC) ranged from 0.22 to 0.88, with a mean effect size of 0.66		
Funding	Australian Commonwealth Department of Health and Ageing		
Comments	Review provides evidence for ef		
	Restrictions: most data come fro	om student samples, number o	of studies for computing
	effect sizes was limited		

Title	Can stand-alone computer-based interventions reduce alcohol consumption? A systematic review.		
First Author	Khadjesari, Z., 2011 Source 21083832		

Level of evidence	1a	Study type	Systematic review and meta-analysis
Study quality	High quality. PRISMA standards a	annlied	ineta-anarysis
Participants	Twenty four studies included, 19 sample size of fewer than 300 pa The smallest sample size was 40, than 1000.	pooled for meta-analysis. Twarticipants, six of which had fe	ewer than 100 participants.
Patient characteristics	RTC's were included in adult populations (aged 18 years and over) with any level of alcohol consumption. This review included studies that measured a change in alcohol consumption. A reduction in alcohol consumption was considered a positive behaviour change. Students were the most commonly studied population group (n=18), with three studies of adult problem drinkers from the general population, two of workplace employees and one of emergency department attendees. Eight studies appeared to screen for hazardous drinking, either in the form of binge drinking, total number of drinks per week, Alcohol Use Disorders Identification Test (AUDIT) cut-off score (generally reported as8) or some combination of these. The other studies used either a lower cut-off score or did not restrict inclusion based on alcohol intake.		
Intervention	Eligible computer-based interventions were those considered behavioural interventions, aimed at bringing about positive behaviour change, adapted for a computer-based format. Inclusion was restricted to stand-alone (non-guided) computer-based interventions. Eligible studies compared computer-based interventions with either a minimally active (e.g. assessment-only, usual care, generic non-tailored information or educational materials) or an active comparator group (e.g. brief intervention)		
Comparison	The majority of studies (n= 22) compared a computer-based intervention with a minimally active comparator group. Minimally active comparators consisted mainly of assessment with some factual information about the harms of excess alcohol consumption, or a waiting-list design. Three studies compared a computer-based intervention with an active comparator group. Active comparator groups consisted of an in-person motivational interview, cognitive behaviour therapy and an expectancy challenge.		
Length of follow-up	Twelve studies measured short-temedium-term outcomes (3–6 months). The shortest lemmonths.	onths) and three measured lo	ong-term outcomes (longer
Outcome and effect size	The meta-analyses suggested the minimally active comparator groper week in student (Test for own g/week) and non-student popula difference: -119,94 g/week). How data which could be misleading it used suitable measures of central intervention and minimally activistudents.	ups (e.g. assessment-only) at erall effect: Z=3.65; p=0.0003; ations (Test for overall effect: wever, most studies used the n small samples. A sensitivity at tendency found that there we	reducing alcohol consumed; mean difference: -19,42 Z=2.69; p=0.007; mean mean to summarize skewed analysis of those studies that was no difference between
Funding			
Comments	Studies included cover a broad s restricted to binge drinking. This studies comes from student sam	is also true for outcome varia	

Title	Efficacy of web-based personalized normative feedback: a two-year randomize d controlled trial.				
First Author	Neighbors, C., 2010 Source 20873892				
Level of evidence	2b Study type RCT				
Study quality	High quality. Long follow-up period. However, only 51% of invited participants completed				
	assessment. Sophisticated statistical analysis.				
Participants	818 college freshman; 163-164 allocated to five conditions.				
Patient characteristics	Freshmen students at a large university. Of the 2.095 students who completed the				
	screening questionnaire, 898 (56.68% female) met the drinking eligibility criteria of at least				
	five/four drinks for men/women	, respectively, on one or more	occasions during the past		

	month and were invited to complete the baseline assessment			
Intervention	Participants were randomly assigned to (a) single exposure to personal norms feedback			
	(PNF) following the baseline assessment; and (b) biannual exposure of PNF delivered			
	following baseline and after the 6, 12, and 18-month assessment s. Participants receiving			
	feedback were also randomly assigned to receive either (a) gender-specific or (b) gender-			
	nonspecific normative feedback			
Comparison	Attention control (non-personalized information).			
Length of follow-up	Follow-up assessments at 6, 12, 18, and 24 months post baseline			
Outcome and effect size	Results from hierarchical generalized linear models provided modest effects on weekly			
	drinking and alcohol-related problems but not on heavy episodic drinking. Relative to			
	control, gender-specific biannual PNF was associated with reductions over time in weekly			
	drinking (d=-0.16, 95% CI [-0.02 -0.31]), and this effect was partially mediated by changes			
	in perceived norms. For women, but not men, gender-specific biannual PNF was associated			
	with reductions over time in alcohol-related problems relative to control (d=-0.29, 95% CI [-			
	0.15 -0.58]). Few other effects were evident.			
Funding	In part by National Institute on Alcohol Abuse and Alcoholism			
Comments	Only 51% of invited participants completed assessment. Results are restricted to college			
	students. Long follow-up periods. Results speak in favor of gender-specific normative			
	feedback and longer intervention periods in web-based interventions. Changes are modest			

Title	Efficacy of brief motivation al intervention in reducing binge drinking in young men: A randomized controlled trial.				
First Author	Daeppen, JB., 2011 Source 20729010				
Level of evidence	1b Study type RCT				
Study quality	Good, randomization status reve	aled after assessment, blinde	d interviewers, computer		
	assisted Interview, good follow-u	up participation (88%).			
Participants	N=269 Binge-drinkers (intervent	ion: n=125,Controls: 146)			
Patient characteristics	Random sample of a census of m	nen included during army cons	scription (which is mandatory		
	for 20-year-old males in Switzerl	and) Binge: 60g+ per occasion	at least once a month.		
Intervention	Single face-to-face BMI session, trained master level psychologist, menu of MI elements,				
	supervision e.g. audio-taped sessions, with emphasis on counsellors' style according to MI				
	spirit, principles, e.g. emphasis, collaboration, evocation, autonomy support, etc. Mean				
	length of intervention was 15.8 (±5.5) minutes			
Comparison	Untreated controls, assessment	only			
Length of follow-up	6 months				
Outcome and effect size	Binge drinking occasions per month: Incidence rate ratio=0.82 (95 % CI [0.64 1.05], p=0.12)				
	Drinks per week: Incidence rate ratio=0.80 (95 % CI [0.66 0.98], p=0.03)				
Funding	La Commission cantonale de la dîme de l'alcool (CCDA)				
Comments	All conscripts received an intervention, regardless of their drinking status. Table includes				
	numbers and results for binge-drinkers only (Among non-bingers, BMI did not contribute to				
	the maintenance of low-risk drinking).				

Title	A brief motivational interview in a pediatric emergency department, plus 10-day telephone follow-up, increases attempts to quit drinking among youth and young adults who screen positive for problematic drinking					
First Author	Bernstein, J., 2010 Source 20670329					
Level of evidence	2b	2b Study type RCT				
Study quality	Good, interviewers blinded, 71% of eligibles randomized, follow-up participation ok (69-74%)					
Participants	N= 853 (Intervention n=283, Assessment Control n=284, Minimal Assessment Control n=286)					
Patient characteristics	14–21 year olds presenting to the pediatric ED (87% ≥18 years), with binge drinking (5+/4+ in 2 hours for men/ women) or high-risk behaviors in conjunction with alcohol use (e.g. unplanned/ unprotected sex, riding with a drunk driver, injury, fighting, car crash, or an arrest) and /or AUDIT score 4+/8+ for 14–17/18–21 year olds. Median number of binge					

	episodes per month=1, 45% men		
Intervention	20-to 30-minute peer-structured motivational conversation delivered by a peer educator,		
	referral to community resources and treatment if indicated, plus a 5-to 10-minute		
	"booster" telephone call after 10 days.		
Comparison	Minimal Assessment Controls: brief handout, advice, list of help facilities, and appointment		
	for follow-up in 1 year. Assessment Controls: standard assessment instruments, for re-		
	assessment after 3 and 12 months.		
Length of follow-up	3 and 12 months		
Outcome and effect size	Exceeded 5+/ 4+ drinks (dichotomous): 3-month: AOR (I vs. AC)=1.10 (95% CI [0.73–1.66])		
	p=0.653 12-month: AOR=1.02 (95% CI [0.69–1.51]) p=0.929 Interaction p-value=0.768,		
	main effect p-value=0.731		
Funding	NIAAA P60AA1375 9, NIAAA Youth Alcohol Prevention Center		
Comments	Not exclusively binge-drinkers. No effect on other measures of drinking consumption.		

Title	RCT of effectiveness of motivation al enhancement therapy delivered by nurses for hazardous drinkers in primary care units in Thailand.				
First Author	Noknoy, S., 2010 Source 20236990				
Level of evidence	2b	Study type	RCT		
Study quality	Well-done study, however small strength: high follow up rates	sample size and predominant	ly male participants (91%)		
Participants	Of 117 subjects, 59 had been rar group	ndomized to the intervention §	group and 58 to the control		
Patient characteristics	Consecutive attenders aged between 18 and 65 years at Primary Care Units (PCU) in Thailand All patients who had an AUDIT score ≥8, without obvious exclusion criteria, were invited to participate in the study. 91% male				
Intervention	Three nurse-based sessions (day 1, at 2 weeks and at 6 weeks after the baseline), each comprising \sim 15 min. Intervention is based on principles of MET and tailored to stages of change				
Comparison	Assessment only				
Length of follow-up	6 weeks, 3 months and 6 months				
Outcome and effect size	Significantly reduced frequency of binge drinking in past week at 3 months (p=0.002, 0.29 vs 1.36) but not at 3 weeks (p=0.066; .60 cs. 1.20) and 6 months (p=0.121; 0.45 vs. 0.95). Significantly reduced drinks per week at 3 months (p=0.005, 6.49 vs. 17.00) and 6 months (p=0.0351; 4.72 vs. 11.24).				
Funding	Thai Health Promotion Foundation				
Comments	Not all patients had been binge drinking at baseline (inclusion criterion AUDIT 8 or more points)				

Title	Efficacy of physician-delivered brief counseling intervention for binge drinkers			
First Author	Rubio, G., 2010 Source 20102995			
Level of evidence	1b	Study type	RCT	
Study quality	Study with high quality; inclusion	n of binge drinkers only; large	sample size	
Participants	752 subjects met all inclusion criteria and were randomized into an experimental group (n=371) and a control group (n=381)			
Patient characteristics	Patients from primary care centers located in Madrid and 74 family physicians were selected for this study. Binge drinkers were defined as men/women who had drunk 5/4 or more standard drinks per occasion (12.8g of alcohol per drink) on 1 or more occasions in the previous month. Patients with binge drinking and AUDIT score 15 or lower were included (261 female, 491 male). Exclusion of alcohol dependent patients.			
Intervention	Two 10-to 15-minutes counseling sessions 4 weeks apart within the context of routine patient care by a physician using a scripted workbook. The intervention workbook included educational material, a list of methods for cutting down drinking, a treatment contract, and cognitive behavioral exercises. An office nurse contacted the patients 2 and 8 weeks after the initial counseling sessions to reinforce the face-to-face sessions.			

Comparison	A booklet on general health issues
Length of follow-up	12 months
Outcome and effect size	At the end of the follow-up period, 48% of the experimental group subjects had no binge episodes, versus 33% of controls (p=0.001). The subjects of the experimental group also reduced weekly drinking by a mean 3.0 drinks more per week than controls (p=0.001). No effect size reported.
_	Grants FCM/03 and FCM/04 (Fundacion Cerebro y Mente) and Instituto de Salud Carlos III, Centro de Investigación en Red de Salud Mental, CIBERSAM
Comments	This study is of special importance since it included only binge drinkers whereas other studies mostly have mixed samples.

Title	Randomized controlled trial of proactive web-based alcohol screening and brief intervention for university students.				
First Author	Kypri, K., 2009 Source 19752409				
Level of evidence	1b	Study type	RCT		
Study quality	Study of high quality. Strengths: high rate of non-responders	high number of participants; k	olind researchers. Limitation:		
Participants	N=13.000 students were approa because of criterion of harmful of				
Patient characteristics	Participants have been undergraduates of an Australian university, aged 17 to 24 years, Mean age.: 19.7 years; female: 45.5%; mean AUDIT score 14.2				
Intervention	10 minutes of web-based motivational assessment and personalized feedback: 5 topics: explanation of AUDIT score; estimated BAC; monetary expenditure; comparison with other students; hyperlinks help lines; optional: tips for reducing				
Comparison	Received only screening				
Length of follow-up	After 1 month and 6 months				
Outcome and effect size	After one month, IG drank less often (rate ratio 0.89; less alc. overall (0.83; 10 vs. 8 drinks per week) than CG. No differences in binge drinking. At 6 months, intervention effects persisted for drinking frequency (0.91) and overall volume (0.89; 11 vs. 9 drinks per week) but not for other variables. No effect sizes reported.				
Funding	In part by grant 15166 from the Western Australian Health Promotion Foundation (Healthway)				
Comments	Limited evidence by high rate of non-responders				

Title	Brief intervention for hazardous and harmful drinkers in the emergency department.				
First Author	D'Onofrio, G., 2008 Source 18436340				
Level of evidence	1b	Study type	RCT		
Study quality	Good. Good follow-up participat	ion (95%, 92%). Blinded follow	v-up interviewers		
Participants	N=484 (n=247 each group)				
Patient characteristics	ED patients 18+ who screened above NIAAA guidelines for "low-risk" drinking or presented with an alcohol-related injury, 68% men, exclusion of AUDIT 20+.				
Intervention	A Brief (5-10 minutes) Negotiation Interview (performed by emergency practitioners), manual-guided intervention using techniques based on motivational interviewing, brief advice, and behavioral contracting. Four primary steps: (1) raise the subject of alcohol; (2) provide feedback by reviewing the patient's screening data, make a connection between alcohol and the visit/illness or injury if possible, NIAAA guidelines for low-risk drinking; (3) enhance motivation; and (4) negotiate and advise by summarizing the patient's reasons for change and negotiating a drinking goal. Patients are then asked to complete and sign a drinking agreement.				
Comparison	Scripted Discharge Instructions (<1 minute), incl. a recommendation to decrease alcohol intake (and, if appropriate, use seatbelts, exercise regularly, and stop smoking). A handout was provided with more information related to all identified health risks.				
Length of follow-up	6 and 12 months				
Outcome and effect size	Non-significant treatment effects: mean number of drinks per week (p=0.4), binge-drinking episodes per month (p=0.7), proportion of low-risk drinkers (p=0.6)				

Funding	National Institute on Alcohol Abuse and Alcoholism grant R01 AA12417-01A1 National		
	Institute on Drug Abuse grant K23 DA15144, and Robert Wood Johnson Generalist		
	Physician Faculty Scholar Award		
Comments	No untreated controls, binge-drinkers not distinguished from risky drinkers.		

Title	Motivation al interviewing with underage college drinkers: a preliminary look at the role of empathy and alliance.			
First Author	Feldstein, S. W., 2007	Source	17891666	
Level of evidence	2b	Study type	RCT	
Study quality	Good follow-up participation (93	3%), but small, highly selective	sample.	
Participants	N= 55 (n=40 vs.15)			
Patient characteristics	Psychology students with heavy drinking (RAPI 3+ points OR at least one binge in the last 2 weeks), 78% women			
Intervention	One 45-minute session MI by doctoral students who had completed the MI "Training for Trainers" workshop			
Comparison	Untreated controls			
Length of follow-up	2 months (93%)			
Outcome and effect size	No significant time by group interaction effect F(2, 47)=2.51, p=0.09 But: MI Group (d=0.49, M=0.77, 95% CI [0.01 1.02]) had substantially better effect sizes for reductions of binge drinking than controls (d=-0.21, M=1.27, 95% CI [0.32 1.12]).			
Funding	UNM's Graduate Research and Travel Award			
Comments	Binge-drinkers not distinguished from risky drinkers. Aimed to study empathy and alliance			

Title	Screening and brief intervention targeting risky drinkers in Danish general practicea pragmatic controlled trial.					
First Author	Beich, A., 2007 Source 17855332					
Level of evidence	2b	Study type	RCT			
Study quality	'Pragmatic' controlled trial. Select participation (61%). Blinding was assessment and statistical analys	s not feasible, either for patier	•			
Participants	N=329 Binge-Drinkers (160 vs. 14	49) of 906 risky drinkers				
Patient characteristics	GP patients in Denmark aged 18-64, with AUDIT 8-21 and maximum of 35 drinks per week, not been transferred for alcohol treatment, recruited out of 6897 adult patients from 39 GPs, 67% male					
Intervention	Brief (10 min) intervention, based on the 'drink-less' protocol (WHO collaborative study on brief interventions, 2001). Feedback on present drinking, advice on reducing drinking with suggestions on how to do it, a self-help booklet, and an open invitation for a follow-up consultation at the earliest convenience. GPs were asked to suggest (and schedule) an appointment. Doctor's manual, brief flip chart. GPs had received a full-day training course on FRAMES and on how to handle resistance					
Comparison	untreated control group, screeni	ing only				
Length of follow-up	12-14 months					
Outcome and effect size	Binge drinking (6+ drinks per occasion at least weekly): Men: ARR=0.08 (95% CI [-0.02 0.18)=32/31 vs 20/114, p=0.13 Women: adverse effects ARR=-0.30 (95% CI [-0.47 0.09). 2/28 vs. 13/35, p=0.007					
Funding	Danish Ministry and Board of Health, Association of County Councils in Denmark, Laegevidens kabens Fremme					
Comments	Not exclusively binge-drinkers, but 82/69% of men/women binged monthly, severe alcohol problems excluded. Binge-drinking was investigated as secondary outcomes. Other (primary) outcomes such as at risk drinking, e.g. usual consumption above /below weekly limits: no effect.					

T:41 a	Brief motivational intervention and alcohol expectancy challenge with heavy drinking
Title	college students: a randomized factorial study.

First Author	Wood, M.,2007	Source	17658696	
Level of evidence	2b	Study type	RCT	
Study quality	Study of good quality and sophisticated outcome analysis, however restricted to college			
	students and mixed inclusion criteria (binge drinking, high quantity-frequency, and alcohol-			
	related consequences)			
Participants	345 college students			
Patient characteristics	Students were recruited via post	•	• ,	
	several successive semesters in t			
	drinker status (14 or more drinks	•	** * *	
	one episode of heavy episodic di	• • •		
	two alcohol related consequence			
	drinks per week and/or exhibited			
Intervention	a) Brief motivational intervention		•	
	expectancy challenge (AEC). Two		·	
	participants The two sessions fol			
	manipulation followed by an interactive discussion regarding alcohol expectancies, but			
	differed according to content. The first session focused on the positive and negative dose-			
	related effects of alcohol in relation to social situations, while the second session focused			
	on sexual contexts. c) BMI plus AEC			
Comparison	Assessment only			
Length of follow-up	1, 3 and 6 months			
Outcome and effect size	Both BMI (β =-0.23, p<0.05) and β		_	
	in the Slope of Heavy Drinking, while AEC was significantly positively associated with the			
	Quadratic Binge Drinking factor, indicating significant intervention decay. For BMI, d was			
	0.19 at one month, increased to .26 at three months, and decreased to 0.18 by six months.			
	For AEC, d was 0.15 at one month, increased to 0.22 at three months and had diminished			
	completely (d=0.00) by six mont			
Funding	National Institute on Alcohol Abuse and Alcoholism			
Comments	Only short-term effects could be	, , ,	•	
	college students. The sample is r		n criteria (high quantity-	
	frequency, alcohol-related conse	equences, and binge drinking)		

Title	A randomize d trial of motivation college students	on al interviewing and feedba	ck with heavy drinking		
First Author	Juárez, P., 2006 Source 17345916				
Level of evidence	2b	Study type	RCT		
Study quality	Study of good quality, however s	small sample size and short fol	low-up, Strength:		
	comparison of two (single or cor	nbined) interventions. especia	Illy for our question: target		
	group binge drinkers				
Participants	640 students screened. 202 met students. FU rate: 73%	criterion of heavy drinking. Fi	nal sample size of 122		
Patient characteristics	Inclusion criterion: at least one of	occasion of heavy drinking with	nin the previous two weeks		
	(5 or more drinks for men; 4 for	women) mean age: 19.43 year	rs females: 52,5% mostly		
	White/non-Hispanic (56,6%) or H	Hispanic (30.3%) 80,3% were fr	reshman or sophomores they		
	were screened from introductionally or advanced psychology classes at a Southwester n				
	university in New Mexico.				
Intervention	The two interventions to be tested were: 1. MI - here modified MET-MATCH (1995)				
	2.Feedback (FB) -here according to Check up to Go ("eCHUG) five groups have been				
	compared: 1. MI plus FB 2. MI only 3. FB by e-mail 4. MI plus e-mailed FB 5. control group				
Comparison	Assessment only				
Length of follow-up	Approx. 2 months after baseline assessment, participants completed identical measures to				
	baseline and were interviewed individually about their frequency and quantity of alc.				
	consumption in the two past months, using a modified timeline procedure that lasted 5 to				
	10 min.				
Outcome and effect size	All five groups reduced their consumption, peak BAC, consequence s and dependence				
	symptoms. For females, there w	ere reductions in consequence	e s and dependence		
	symptoms in groups that receive	ed feedback, as compared to g	roups that did not receive		

	feedback. For females, there was an effect of the feedback (effect sizes (η²) 0.22 for		
	dependence symptoms and 0.20 for alcohol related consequence s), but there was no		
	overall effect of MI on any outcome measure.		
Funding	Supported in part by grant T32-AA07465 from the National Institute on Alcohol Abuse and		
	Alcoholism		
Comments	The study is underpowered and comparisons between intervention groups and control		
	group have to be interpreted with caution. In addition, the follow-up period is rather short		

Title	A controlled trial of web-based feedback for heavy drinking college students			
First Author	Walters, S., 2007	Source	17136461	
Level of evidence	2b	Study type	RCT	
Study quality	Study of good quality, however a captured sample of convenience attrition; Strengths: standardized	; relatively short follow-ups ar	nd relatively high rate of	
Participants	N=351 students who completed baseline assessment. 106 out of them met inclusion criterion of at least one heavy drinking episode in the previous month (5 and 4 drinks respectively) 106 (100%) at baseline 76 (71%) at 8 week FU 82 (77.4%) at 16 weeks FU; not mentioned how many allocated to CG and IG			
Patient characteristics	Approx. half female (48.1%) and university in Southern US; particitheir drinking status; no further of	pation was open to all first-ye		
Intervention	Web-based intervention. After assessment, the IG received immediately a personalized feedback called "Check up to go-e-CHUG" • summary of frequency and quantity • comparison to US norms • estimated level of risk • money spent • cigarettes per month • advice and local referral information			
Comparison	Assessment-only			
Length of follow-up	8 weeks and 16 weeks			
Outcome and effect size	At 8 weeks, the IG showed significant decrease in drinks per week and peak BAC over control. By 16 weeks, the CG also declined to a point where there were no differences between groups. e.g. drinks per week at baseline: IG=8.92 and CG=7.7; after 16 weeks: IG=3.17 and CG=2.98.No effect sizes reported.			
Funding	supported by a PRIME grant from the University of Texas School of Public Health			
Comments	Findings are quite restricted due term efficacy. No harm to abstail perception seems to play an imp	ners and light drinkers; The co		

Title	The efficacy of two brief intervention strategies among injured, at-risk drinkers in the emergency department: impact of tailored messaging and brief advice.				
First Author	Blow, F., 2006	Source	16736077		
Level of evidence	1b	Study type	RCT		
Study quality	Good, systematic screening, goo blinding.	Good, systematic screening, good follow-up participation (>85%), no information on blinding.			
Participants	N=575 (Tailored booklet & advice n=129, Tailored booklet, no advice n=121, Generic				
	booklet & advice n=124, Generic booklet, no advice n=120)				
Patient characteristics	Injured 18+ patients presenting to an ED identified through screening as at-risk drinkers				
	(men <65 y: 15+ per week & 5+ per occasion at least 4x past month, women <65 y & men				
	65+ y: 12+ per week & 4+ per occ., women 65+ 9+ per day & 4+ per occ.),71% men, mean				
	28 years old				
Intervention	a)tailored message booklet with brief advice,				
	b)generic message booklet with brief advice,				
	c)tailored message booklet only,				

	Advice by research social workers trained in principles, including those encompassed in FRAMES (Feedback, Responsibility, Advice, Menu, Empathy, Self-Efficacy). Tailoring of
	booklets included e.g. participant's injury & drinking in comparison to others of same
	gender and age, age and gender-safer drinking limits, potential benefits of changing alcohol
	use. Generic booklet contained standard graphics/ text
Comparison	Generic booklet only
Length of follow-up	3 and 12 months
Outcome and effect size	Heavy episodic drinking: significant difference in each of the four groups in mean changes
	of number of heavy episodic drinking per month from baseline to 12-month follow-up: -1.3
	to -2.8 (ps 0.01<0.001). No significant impact of tailored vs. generic message.
Funding	National Institute on Alcohol Abuse and Alcoholism grant AA11629.
Comments	No untreated controls. Not exclusively binge-drinkers.

Title	Two brief alcohol interventions for mandated college students.			
First Author	Borsari, B., 2005	Source	16187809	
Level of evidence	2b	Study type	RCT	
Study quality	Good. Good follow-up participat	ion (94%, 89%). But small sam	ple.	
Participants	N=64 (Intervention n=34, Contro	ols n=30)		
Patient characteristics	Mandated College Students with two or more binge episodes past month, 83% male, 100% Binge-drinkers,			
Intervention	In-person brief motivational interview (BMI; Person. Feedback, educational information, harm reduction model, MI principles, M=62 minutes)			
Comparison	An alcohol education session (AE). Information and effects were discussed no personal information were elicited, M=46 minutes			
Length of follow-up	3 and 6 months			
Outcome and effect size	No significant Time x group effect for all four drinking variables, but: sign. Time x group interaction for alcohol-related problems (F(2, 123)=4.09, p<0.05 in favour of BMI), numerically larger reduction of typical BAC after BMI than AE (30% vs. 11%).			
Funding	National Institute on Alcohol Abuse and Alcoholism Grants F31-AA05571 to Brian Borsari and R01-AA12518 to Kate B. Carey			
Comments	No untreated Controls			

Title	Reducing alcohol-exposed pregnancy risk in college women: initial outcomes of a clinical trial of a motivation al intervention.			
First Author	Ingersoll, K., 2005	Source	16183466	
Level of evidence	1b	Study type	RCT	
Study quality	Good. Good follow-up participat	ion (87%), but small and highl	y selective sample in	
	response to mailings and flyers p	osted on campus etc.		
Participants	N=228 (Intervention n=114, Cont	trols n=114)		
Patient characteristics	Female, 18 to 24 year old students from a mid-Atlantic urban university at risk for alcohol exposed pregnancy (AEP, having sexual intercourse with a man in the past 90 days, using contraception ineffectively) AND drinking at risk levels (5+ drinks per occasion at least once in the past 90 days or 8+ drinks per week).			
Intervention	BALANCE (Birth Control and Alcohol Awareness: Negotiating Choices Effectively) counseling: single 60 to 75-minute session of personalized feedback and based on Motivational Interviewing, following a semistructured counseling manual.			
Comparison	Minimal treatment controls: info	rmation pamphlet about won	nen's health.	
Length of follow-up	1 month			
Outcome and effect size	No risk drinking: 29% intervention vs. 15% controls, χ 2(1)=5.72, p<0.02. Change in number of binges in past month: 0.2 vs2.2, t=3.08, p<.07 AEP risk: 46 vs. 26%, χ 2=8.15, p<0.005.			
Funding	Cooperative agreement between the Association of American Medical Colleges, Centers for Disease Control, and the Virginia Commonwealth University, MM-0044-02, and NIMH K01 MH01688.			
Comments	4-months outcomes reported in	Ceperich & Ingersoll 2011. No	t exclusively binge-drinkers,	

but 82/ 69% of men/ women binge at least monthly. More severe alcohol problems
excluded. Binge drinking was investigated as secondary

Title	Psychological Interventions for Alcohol Misuse Among People With Co-Occurring Depression or Anxiety Disorders: A Systematic Review					
First Author	Baker, A. L., 2012	Baker, A. L., 2012 Source 21890213				
Level of evidence	1a Study type Systematic review					
Study quality						
Participants	8 RCTs					
Patient characteristics	Individuals with depressive or	Individuals with depressive or anxiety disorders				
Intervention	Brief interventions, MI, CBT, MI/CBT, IPT, brief supportive psychotherapy					
Comparison	Active controls, education, treatment as usual					
Length of follow-up						
Outcome and effect size	Motivational interviewing and cognitive—behavioral interventions were associated with significant reductions in alcohol consumption and depressive and/or anxiety symptoms. Although brief interventions were associated with significant improvements in both mental health and alcohol use variables, longer interventions produced even better outcomes.					
Funding	National Health and Medical Research Council, Queensland University of Technology, Australian Postgraduate Awards					
Comments						

Title	A systematic review of psychological interventions for excessive alcohol consumption among people with psychotic disorders		
First Author	Baker, A. L., 2012	Source	21890213
Level of evidence	1a	Study type	Systematic review
Study quality			
Participants	7 RCTs		
Patient characteristics	individuals with psychotic disord	ders	
Intervention	Assessment interviews, brief mo	otivational interventions, and	lengthier cognitive behavior
	therapy		
Comparison	Treatment as usual, education, standard interview		
Length of follow-up			
Outcome and effect size	Assessment interviews, brief motivational interventions, and lengthier cognitive behavior therapy have been associated with reductions in alcohol consumption among people with psychosis. While brief interventions (i.e. 1-2 sessions) were generally as effective as longer duration psychological interventions (i.e. 10 sessions) for reducing alcohol consumption, longer interventions provided additional benefits for depression, functioning, and other alcohol outcomes.		
Funding	National Health and Medical Research Council, Queensland University of Technology		
Comments			

Title	The Effect of Brief Interventions for Alcohol Among People With Comorbid Mental Health Conditions: A Systematic Review of Randomized Trials and Narrative Synthesis			
First Author	Boniface, S., 2018	Source	29293882	
Level of evidence	1a	Study type	Systematic Review	
Study quality				
Participants	17 RCTs			
Patient characteristics	Adults with risky alcohol consumption and comorbid mental health conditions			
Intervention	Brief interventions			
Comparison	(minimally) active controls	(minimally) active controls		
Length of follow-up				
Outcome and effect size	Where BI was compared with a minimally active control, BI was associated with a			
	significant reduction in alcoh	ol consumption in four	out of nine RCTs in common mental	

	therapy), findings were also mixed. National Institute for Health Research, Biomedical Research Centre for Mental Health,	
_	King's Improvement Science, King's Health Partners, Guy's and St Thomas' Charity,	
	Maudsley Charity, Health Foundation	
Comments		

Title	Psychosocial Interventions for People With Both Severe Mental Illness and Substance Misuse			
First Author	Hunt, G. E., 2013	Source	24092525	
Level of evidence	1a	Study type	Systematic review	
Study quality				
Participants	8 RCTs (n=509)	8 RCTs (n=509)		
Patient characteristics	people with a severe mental illness			
Intervention	motivational interviewing			
Comparison	treatment as usual			
Length of follow-up				
Outcome and effect size	Some differences, favouring treatment, were observed in abstaining from alcohol (n=28, 1			
	RCT, RR=0.36, 95% CI [0.17 0.75], very low quality of evidence) but no other substances			
	(n=89, 1 RCT, RR=-0.07, 95% CI [-0.56 0.42], very low quality of evidence).			
Funding	The Cochrane Collaboration			
Comments				

Title	Effectiveness of brief interventions in primary health care settings to decrease alcohol consumption by adult non-dependent drinkers: a systematic review of systematic reviews					
First Author	Alvarez-Bueno, 2013 Source 25514547					
Level of evidence	1a	Study type	Systematic review of systematic reviews			
Study quality	did not report a detailed prer	egistrated protoc	TAR-criteria out of 11. Alvarez-Bueno 2015 coll, no comprehensive literature search, did matically risk of bias, nor Publication bias,			
Participants	7 SR, Range of size: from 2.71 (2007)	6 Patients, 7 Stud	dies (1999) to 7.619 pts., 22 Studies included			
Patient characteristics	Primary health care setting. A	dults 17-70 y. o.	Non-alcoholic adult drinkers			
Intervention	Brief (or extended) interventi	ons, 3-5-90 min v	vith or without follow-up sessions			
Comparison	usual care or brief or extende	d interventions w	vith differences in intensity			
Length of follow-up	6-12 months					
Outcome and effect size	Reduction in the weekly alcohol consumption that ranged from 19 to 51g in the BI groups compared with other intervention strategies. Brief interventions have a moderate effect on reducing alcohol consumption among excessive drinkers or people who consume excessive amounts of alcohol and as a consequence these interventions increased the number of people drinking alcohol below established limits of risk. Brief interventions with multiple contacts or follow-up sessions are the most effective. The 5 to 15 min intervention reported more effectiveness than longer intervention or usual care. Finally, overall, the effectiveness of the BI integrating follow-up sessions showed better results than those consisting of a single session.					
Funding	Network for Prevention and Health Promotion in Primary Care (redIAPP, RD12/0005) grant and a research project grant (PI12/01914) from the Instituto de Salud Carlos III (Institute of Health Carlos III) of the Ministry of Economy and Competitiveness (Spain), co-financed with European Union ERDF funds.					
Comments	Elsevir.					

Title	Efficacy of brief interventions for and meta-analyses	or hazardous drinkers in prim	ary care: systematic review		
First Author	Ballesteros, 2004	Ballesteros, 2004 Source 15100612			
Level of evidence	1a	Study type	Systematic review and meta- analyses		
Study quality	AMSTAR 9				
Participants	13 (12 RCT) studies, n=4353				
Patient characteristics	Hazardous drinkers not satisfying 15-70 y.	g criteria for alcohol depende	nce. Primary care setting. Age		
Intervention	MI, one session 3-5min; Or: BI, 10-15minwith follow-up sessions of 3-5min; OR: Extended Intervention, BI with 10-15 min follow-up sessions				
Comparison	"CTRL, no specific advice on alcohol consumption to participants from their primary care providers except if required by the health problem reported or if requested by the patient); Minimal intervention (MI, a unique session of general advice on alcohol consumption lasting ~3-5 min but without stressing strategies to decrease consumption);"				
Length of follow-up	6 -12/ up to 18 months				
Outcome and effect size	No clear evidence of a dose-effect relationship was found. Bls outperformed minimal interventions and usual care (random effects model OR=1.55, 95% CI [1.27 1.90], RD =0.11, 95% CI [0.06 0.16], NNT=10, 95% CI [7 17])The heterogeneity between individual estimates was accounted for by the type of hazardous drinkers (heavy versus moderate) and by the characteristics of the included individuals (treatment seekers versus non treatment seekers). No evidence of publication bias. Support of a moderate efficacy of Bls.				
Funding	not given				
Comments	Enough information was given ir	text or tables to extract data	to carry out an ITT analyses.		

Title	Reduction of alcohol consumption by brief alcohol intervention in primary care: systematic review and meta-analysis.		
First Author	Bertholet, 2005	Source	15883236
Level of evidence	1a	Study type	Systematic review and meta-analysis
Study quality	No detailed a Priori Protokoll. No	discussion of Col.	
Participants	19 trials, 5639 individuals.		
Patient characteristics	"Outpatients who were actively 15-70 y. Studies involving alcohol	= :	re centers or seeing providers, Age patients were excluded."
Intervention	(1) Intervention delivered individually that focused on alcohol consumption with a face-to-face component during the initial session, and (2) intervention defined as "brief intervention" or "motivational intervention" or reporting the use of feedback or advice to reduce alcohol consumption.		
Comparison	N=14: UC, usual care without explicit mention of advice regarding alcohol use, or no intervention. N=5: Intervention <5 min		
Length of follow-up	6-12-48 months		
Outcome and effect size	The definitions and measures of outcomes such as binge drinking, well-being, and problems related to alcohol drinking were too heterogeneous to allow results to be pooled. 17 trials reported a measure of alcohol consumption, of which 8 reported a significant effect of intervention. The adjusted ITT analysis showed a mean pooled difference of -38 g of ethanol (~4 drinks)/week, 95% CI [-51 -24]) in favor of the BAI group. Evidence of other outcome measures was inconclusive.		
Funding	Funding/Support: This study was supported by the Clinical Epidemiology Center and the Alcohol Treatment Center, University Hospital, Lausanne, Switzerland		
Comments			

Title	The effectiveness of electronic screening and brief intervention for reducing levels of
Title	alcohol consumption: a systematic review and meta-analysis

First Author	Donoghue, 2014	Source	24892426	
Level of evidence	1a	Study type	systematic review and meta-analysis	
Study quality	With most studies included in this review assessed as being adequate in terms of their methodological quality. Quality of SR: no detailed a priori protocol, Noneligibility: one researcher only, no unpublished data considered, excluded studies not listed, a very general description of the risk of bias. No discussion of CoI.			
Participants	23 studies included for qualitativn's: 12/12 -1251/84	ve, 17 studies inclu	uded for quantitative analysis. Range of	
Patient characteristics	Participants were identified, thro Student populations (13/17, 76%)		consuming alcohol to a hazardous level. SA.	
Intervention	eSBI: an electronic intervention aimed at providing information and advice designed to achieve a reduction in hazardous/harmful alcohol consumption with no substantial face-to-face therapeutic component. Computer-or Web-based. With assessment followed by personalized and/or normative feedback.			
Comparison	Assessment with no further feedback; 4 studies included w. general information on alcohol consumption.			
Length of follow-up	1 -6 months, attrition rate 1 to 50%			
Outcome and effect size	Mean difference in grams of ethanol consumed per week between those receiving an eSBI versus controls at up to 3 months (mean difference -32.74, 95% CI [-56.80]-8.68], z=2.67, p=0.01), 3 to less than 6 months (mean difference -17.33, 95% CI [-31.82]-2.84], z=2.34, p=0.02), and from 6 months to less than 12 months follow-up (mean difference -14.91, 95% CI [-25.56]-4.26], z=2.74, p=0.01). No statistically significant difference was found at a follow-up period of 12 months or greater (mean difference -7.46, 95% CI [-25.34]10.43], z=0.82, p=0.41).			
Funding	Conflicts of Interest: None declared. Funded by the NIH Research (NIHR) Programme Grants for Applied Research. CD is funded by: NIHR Biomedical Research Centre for Mental Health at S. London, Maudsley NHS Foundation Trust, King's College London, by the NIHR Collaborations for Leadership in Applied Health Research & Care S. London at King's College Hospital NHS Foundation Trust. TP is funded by a NIHR Clinical Doctoral Research Fellowship.			
Comments	-			

Title	Effectiveness of physician-based	d interventions with problem	drinkers: a review	
First Author	Kahan, 1995	Source	7697578	
Level of evidence	1a	Study type	Systematic review	
Study quality	Data not extracted. No discussio	n of Col.		
Participants	11 trials			
Patient characteristics	All trials examining the effective consumption among problem dr		=	
Intervention	physician-based interventions fe	physician-based interventions feasible, practical 30 in or less		
Comparison	In at least two of the trials some subjects in the control group also received counselling on alcohol, and most trials did not even attempt to ascertain the degree of control-group contamination by outside interventions of this kind. Several of the studies did not use a pure control group but instead used a group that received minimal intervention in the form of advice to cut down on their drinking given by letter 22 or verbally by their general practitioner.			
Length of follow-up	Data not extracted			
Outcome and effect size	The four trials with the highest validity scores showed that men in the intervention groups reduced their weekly alcohol consumption by five to seven standard drinks more than the men in the control groups. Results for women were inconsistent. No convincing evidence of declines in alcohol-related morbidity among men or women was found.			
Funding	·			
Comments	1995			

Title	A systematic review of the impact of brief interventions on substance use and co-morbid physical and mental health conditions		
First Author	Kaner, 2012	Source	
Level of evidence	1a	Study type	Systematic review
Study quality	No bias discussed. No list of exclon funding given, no discussion	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	d protocol. No information
Participants	14 trials		
Patient characteristics	"Individuals with recognised co-morbidity is involving physical or psychological conditions. 4 trials included patients using many substances though primarily illicit drugs, two related specifically to alcohol misuse and two to tobacco use"		
Intervention	brief interventions, individually delivered on a face-to-face basis		
Comparison	"Control conditions also varied but were generally treated as usual or the provision of written information.1 study: this trial was comparable to brief intervention in other studies."		
Length of follow-up	8 weeks - 1 year		
Outcome and effect size	Heterogenous finding in respect to comorbity, intervention, control condition and outcomes (drugs, alcohol, tobacco). Findings were most positive for brief intervention targeting physical health and substance use outcomes, mixed regarding mental health and substance use and least strong for dual substance use.		
Funding	Not mentioned		
Comments	Design of SR: substance use and co-morbid physical and mental health conditions.		

Title	Social norms interventions to re	educe alcohol misuse in unive	ersity or college students
First Author	Moreira, 2019	Source	19588402
Level of evidence	1a	Study type	Systematic review
Study quality	Cochrane		
Participants	Twenty-two studies were includ	ed (7,275 participants).	
Patient characteristics	15 to 24 years students (univers regardless of drinker status or ri of a particular group, such as firmembers of an academic class, alcohol problems	sk level, or: Targeted interven st-year students, fraternity an	tions focusing on members d sorority embers, athletes,
Intervention	Social normative intervention, 4	5-175 min, 1 or 2 sessions.	
Comparison	vs no intervention, alcohol educ	ation leaflet or other non-nor	mative feedback intervention
Length of follow-up	1 week -4 years		
Outcome and effect size	·		
Funding	"One authors department has received funding from the alcohol industry for unrelated prevention research. Oxford Brookes University-School of Health and Social Care, UK. FCT-Fundação ciência e tecnologia, Portugal. AERC -Alcohol Education and Research Council, UK. ERAB -European Research Advisory Board, Belgium."		
Comments	Cochrane		

Title	Brief interventions for alcohol p investigations in treatment-seel		•	
First Author	Moyer, 2002	Source	11964101	
Level of evidence	1a	Study type	meta-analytic review	
Study quality	Low, no detailed search strategy	, no bias addresse	d, no description of included studies, no	
	reasons given for exclusion			
	"Studies in non-treatment-seeking samples (n=34) and in those comparing brief			
		itment in treatme	nt-seeking samples (n=20). N/study: not	
	given."			
			blem drinking', in treatment-seeking;	
	and non-treatment-seeking popu			
	Brief interventions for alcohol use disorders			
•	control or extended treatment conditions			
- 0	<3–>12 months			
	"Effect sizes for multiple drinking-related outcomes at multiple follow-up points, and took into account the critical distinction between treatment-seeking and non-treatment-seeking samples. For studies of the first type, small to medium aggregate effect sizes in favor of brief interventions emerged across different follow-up points. At follow-up after, the effect for brief interventions compared to control conditions was significantly larger when individuals with more severe alcohol problems were excluded. For studies of the second type, the effect sizes were largely not significantly different from zero. This review summarizes additional positive evidence for brief interventions compared to control conditions typically delivered by health-care professionals to non-treatment-seeking samples. The results concur with previous reviews that found little difference between brief and extended treatment conditions. Because the evidence regarding brief interventions comes from different types of investigation with different samples, generalizations should be restricted to the populations, treatment characteristics and contexts represented in those studies."			
			Alcohol Abuse and Alcoholism grant nitiative and the VA Mental Health	
Comments				

Title	A systematic review of emergency care brief alcohol interventions for injury patients		
First Author	Nilsen, 2008	Source	18083321
Level of evidence	1a	Study type	Systematic review
Study quality	No a priori defined search strategy, no search by two independent researcher, no grey literature, no ref of excluded studies, No assessment of bias, no CoI addressed		
Participants	14 studies, 12 studies that comp	ared pre-and post-BI results,	n= 85 to 1,139
Patient characteristics	to injury patients in emergency care		
Intervention	Effectiveness of brief alcohol interventions		
Comparison	control group (CG) conditions; 4 studies compared BI-groups of varying intensity		
Length of follow-up	3-12 month-, one study Driving under influence: 3 y		
Outcome and effect size	"BI vs CC: small to medium aggregate effect sizes in favor of BI emerged across different follow-up points. At follow-up after >3-6 months, the effect for BI vs. CC compared to control conditions was significantly larger when individuals with more severe alcohol problems were excluded. BI vs extended interventions: type, the effect sizes were largely not significantly different from zero."		
Funding	This work was supported by National Institute on		
Comments			

Title	Technology-Based Alcohol Interventions in Primary Care: Systematic Review

First Author	Ramsey, 2019	Source	30958270
Level of evidence	1a	Study type	Systematic Review
Study quality	studies were rated on risk of bia	s and found to be predominar	ntly low risk (n=18), followed
	by moderate risk (n=16), and hig	h risk (n=8)	
Participants	42 studies (among them 28 RCTs	5)	
Patient characteristics	at-risk drinkers in primary care		
Intervention			
Comparison			
Length of follow-up			
Outcome and effect size	Of the 24 studies with primary or secondary efficacy outcomes related to drinking and drinking-related harms, 17 (71%) reported reduced drinking or harm in all primary and secondary efficacy outcomes. Furthermore, of the 31 studies with direct comparisons with treatment as usual (TAU), 13 (42%) reported that at least half of the primary and secondary efficacy outcomes of the technology-based interventions were superior to TAU. High efficacy was associated with provider involvement and the reported use of an implementation strategy to deliver the technology-based intervention.		
Funding	Alcohol Abuse and Alcoholism grant AA08689, the VA		
Comments			

Title	Motivational interviewing for substance abuse				
First Author	Smedslund, 2011 Source 21563163				
Level of evidence	1a	Study type	Systematic review and Meta-Analysis		
Study quality					
Participants	59 RCTs				
Patient characteristics	Randomized controlled trials wit	th persons depende	nt or abusing substances		
Intervention	Motivational Interviewing				
Comparison	no-treatment control, treatment	t as usual, assessme	ent and feedback, other active		
	treatment.				
Length of follow-up					
Outcome and effect size	was strongest at post-interventic SMD=0.17 (95% CI [0.09 0.26]), long follow-up, the effect was not not significant differences between intervention, short and medium medium follow-up SMD=0.38 (9.00) significant effect. For other activities	on SMD=0.79, (95% and medium follow ot significant SMD=0 en MI and treatmer follow up. MI did b 5% CI [0.10 0.66]). For intervention there	nificant effect on substance use which CI [0.48 1.09]) and weaker at short r-up SMD=0.15 (95% CI [[0.04 0.25]).For 0.06(95% CI [-0.16 0.28]). There were nt as usual for either follow-up postetter than assessment and feedback for for short follow-up, there was no e were no significant effects for either about effects of MI on the secondary		
Funding	Quality Enhancement Research Initiative and the VA				
Comments					

Title	Behavioral counseling interventions in primary care to reduce risky/harmful alcohol use by adults: a summary of the evidence for the U.S. Preventive Services Task Force		
First Author	Whitlock, 2004 Source 20722127		
Level of evidence	1a	Study type	Systematic review
Study quality	Only studies included that met predefined quality criteria		
Participants	15 high quality intervention studies		
Patient characteristics	risky and harmful drinking adults		
Intervention	1) "very brief interventions" (1 session, up to 5 minutes long) 2) "brief interventions" (1		
	session, up to 15 minutes long), 3) "brief multicontact interventions" initial session up to		
	15 minutes long, plus follow-up contacts		
Comparison	assessment only		

Length of follow-up	
Outcome and effect size	Six to 12 months after good-quality, brief, multicontact behavioral counseling interventions
	(those with up to 15 minutes of initial contact and at least 1 follow-up), participants
	reduced the average number of drinks per week by 13% to 34% more than controls did,
	and the proportion of participants drinking at moderate or safe levels was 10% to 19%
	greater compared with controls. One study reported maintenance of improved drinking
	patterns for 48 months.
Funding	Mental Health Strategic Healthcare Group.
Comments	

Title	Effectiveness of SBIRT for Alcohol Use Disorders in the Emergency Department: A Systematic Review				
First Author	Barata, 2017 Source 29085549				
Level of evidence	1a	Study type	Systematic review		
Study quality					
Participants	35 RCTs				
Patient characteristics	Emergency Department patients	identified through screening	who are at risk for AUD		
Intervention	Brief intervention (BI) and brief motivational intervention (BMI) strategies				
Comparison	control intervention or usual care				
Length of follow-up					
Outcome and effect size	Thirteen studies enrolling a total of 5.261 participants reported significant differences between control and intervention groups in their main alcohol-outcome criteria of number of drink days and number of units per drink day. Sixteen studies showed a reduction of alcohol consumption in both the control and intervention groups; of those, seven studies did not identify a significant intervention effect for the main outcome criteria, but nine observed some significant differences between BI and control conditions for specific subgroups (i.e., adolescents and adolescents with prior history of drinking and driving; women 22 years old or younger; low or moderate drinkers); or secondary outcome criteria (e.g. reduction in driving while intoxicated).				
Funding	North Shore University Hospital, Department of Emergency Medicine, Manhasset, New York				
Comments	Tork				

Title	Use of non-face-to-face modalities for emergency department screening, brief intervention, and referral to treatment (ED-SBIRT) for high-risk alcohol use: A scoping review		
First Author	Biroscak, 2019	Source	30829126
Level of evidence	1a	Study type	Scoping Review
Study quality			
Participants	28 RCTs, 2 Pre-post studies		
Patient characteristics	Emergency Department patients (including adolescents) identified through screening with high-risk alcohol use patterns as well as study participants targeted for primary public health prevention (e.g., adolescent ED patients)		
Intervention	Non-face-to-face modalities of BI		
Comparison	Control intervention or usual care		
Length of follow-up	Main results were mixed with respect to showing evidence of EDSBIRT intervention effects.		
Outcome and effect size	"Effort on the manuscript by B.J.B. was supported by the National Institute on Minority Health and Health Disparities of the National Institutes of Health (NIH) Loan Repayment Program (LRP) under award number L60MD009893-01. Effort on the manuscript by F.E.V. was supported by the National Institute on Alcohol Abuse and Alcoholism of the National Institutes of Health, Office of the Director, National Institutes of Health (OD), Office of Behavioral and Social Sciences Research (OBSSR) under award number R01AA022083. The funding organization had no role in the design and conduct of the study; collection, management, analysis, and interpretation of the data; preparation, review, or approval of the manuscript; and decision to submit the manuscript for publication."		

Funding	
Comments	

Title	The effect of brief interventions for alcohol among people with comorbid mental health conditions: a systematic review of randomized trials and narrative synthesis			
First Author	Boniface, 2018	Source	29293882	
Level of evidence	1a	Study type	Systematic Review	
Study quality				
Participants	17 RCTs			
Patient characteristics	adults with risky alcohol consumption and comorbid mental health conditions			
Intervention	brief interventions			
Comparison	(minimally) active controls			
Length of follow-up				
Outcome and effect size	Where BI was compared with a minimally active control, BI was associated with a significant reduction in alcohol consumption in four out of nine RCTs in common mental disorders and two out of five RCTs in severe mental illness. Where BI was compared with active comparator groups (such as motivational interviewing or cognitive behavioural therapy), findings were also mixed.			
Funding Comments	National Institute for Health Research, Biomedical Research Centre for Mental Health, King's Improvement Science, King's Health Partners, Guy's and St Thomas' Charity, Maudsley Charity, Health Foundation			

Title	A realist review of brief interve departments.	ntions for alcohol misuse deli	vered in emergency
First Author	Davey, 2015	Source	25875021
Level of evidence	1a	Study type	Systematic Review
Study quality			
Participants	18 RCTs, 17 Pre-/Post, 2 Reviews	s, 1 Meta-Analyse, 1 Symposiu	ıms-Presentation
Patient characteristics	ED patients aged 18-64 yrs.		
Intervention	"BIs for alcohol use among adult populations conducted in ED; defined as a single session lasting between 5 and 30 min; however, some BIs were as long as 60 min and were included as long as it involved only one session"		
Comparison	Standard care/usual care (15 studies), active treatment (7 studies; e.g. longer feedback, extended counseling, tailored advice)		
Length of follow-up			
Outcome and effect size	At 12-month follow-up, most studies did not find significant differences between groups with regard to alcohol consumption Identified Context-Mechanism-Outcome configurations: (1) engagement in and retention of BI materials, (2) resolving ambivalence, (3) increased insight/awareness, and (4) increased perceived self-efficacy/empowerment in using one's skills. It is through these mechanisms that patients achieve desirable outcomes from a BI, including an increase in motivation to change, thereby leading to decreased alcohol use and alcohol-related consequences. These processes are more likely to occur when the severity of patients' alcohol use is moderate, when in contemplation stage of change at admission, and when patients enter the ED with a (moderate) injury attributed to alcohol use and have a heightened (but not too high) emotional state upon ED admission.		
Funding	Department of Psychology, Ryer agency to acknowledge. This pro	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	_
Comments	agency to acknowledge. This pro	oject was completed with no g	runting agency.

	Title	Electronic Interventions for Alcohol Misuse and Alcohol Use Disorders: A Systematic	c Interventions for Alcohol Misuse and Alcohol Use Disorders: A Systematic		
		Review			

First Author	Dedert, 2015 Source 26237752				
Level of evidence		Study type Systematic review and meta-analysis of RCTs with n>=50			
Study quality	Risk of bias in ad	Risk of bias in adult studies: 3-low, 7-moderate, 4-high. Risk of bias in student studies: 5-			
	low, 8-moderate	, 1-high.			
Participants	Total: 28 RCTs (n	=14 college stud	dent populations, n=14 non-college adults)		
Patient characteristics	Adults who misu	sed alcohol or h	ad an AUD.		
Intervention	"Level of human support: "minimal" support: 17 RCTs, "low" non-counseling support: 8, "moderate or high": 3. Most trials examined a 1-time intervention (n=19), delivered online or at a desktop computer (n=24), that compared a person's alcohol consumption with his or her peer group norm (n=19). The modal intervention was a single session, 5 trials 2-5 sessions, 1 trial 62 sessions, and 3 trials unlimited access. E-intervention component was personalized normative feedback (8 adult trials, 12 student trials), goal setting (7 adult trials, 3 student trials), psychoeducation (9 adult trials, 7 student trials), and coping skills training (3 adult trials, 2 student trials)."				
Comparison	E-interventions v	ersus inactive c	ontrols		
Length of follow-up	6 months and loa	nger			
Outcome and effect size	1 -		e in student samples after 6 months (MD=-11.7, 95% CI [-		
			. Marginally reduced alcohol use in adult sample after 6		
	months (MD=-25.0; 95% CI [-51.9 -1.9]), not after 12 months There was no statistically				
	significant effect on meeting drinking limit guidelines in adults or on binge-drinking				
	episodes or social consequences of alcohol in college students.				
Funding	Primary funding source of review: U.S. Department of Veterans Affairs.				
Comments					

Title	Brief in Person Interventions for Adolescents and Young Adults Following Alcohol- Related Events in Emergency Care: A Systematic Review and European Evidence Synthesis				
First Author	Diestelkamp, 2016	Source	26314693		
Level of evidence	1a	Study type	Systematic Review		
Study quality					
Participants	7 RCTs (N=1125), 6 practice pro	jects, 1 nonrandomised pilot s	study + 1 observational study		
Patient characteristics	"Study participants are aged between 12 and 25 years and are treated in an emergency care setting (inpatient or outpatient) following an alcohol-related event; Outcome measures address 1 or more of the following: alcohol consumption, alcohol-related risk behaviours, alcohol-related negative consequences and/or seeking of further alcohol treatment or counselling;"				
Intervention	"The intervention is a brief intervention (maximum 60 min) consisting of a maximum of 3 sessions with a minimum of 1 session delivered in the ED; is focused on alcohol use and is delivered in person"				
Comparison	"The control condition consists either of no treatment, standard care, an intervention other than a BI or a BI of different intensity"				
Length of follow-up					
Outcome and effect size	Six RCTs found reductions of alcohol use for all participants. Four RCTs found effects on alcohol consumption, alcohol-related risk-behavior or referral to treatment. Heterogeneity of study designs and effects limit conclusions on effectiveness of BIs for young ED patients following an alcohol-related event.				
Funding Comments	"Supported by the German Federal Ministry of Education and Research (grant number 01KQ1002B) and aims at strengthening health care regions in Germany by establishing new transsectoral cooperations and implement and evaluate selected innovations."				

Title	Variance in the Efficacy of Brief Consumption Between Injury ar Systematic Review and Meta-Ar	nd Noninjury Patients in Eme	gency Departments: A
First Author	Elzerbi, 2017	Source	28669555

Level of evidence	1a	Study type	Systematic Review		
Study quality					
Participants	23 RCTs, N=15.173				
Patient characteristics	"ED patients aged 16-64 yrs. not seeking treatment for alcohol use and meeting a minimum criterion of hazardous or harmful drinking (hazardous and harmful drinking was understood as regular average consumption of 20 to 40 g and >40 g of alcohol per day for women and 40 to 60 g and >60 g per day for men, respectively. Trials were excluded that focused exclusively on dependent drinkers"				
Intervention	"brief intervention was defined as no more than 4 sessions, each session lasting no longer than 45 minutes and delivered face-to-face, by short message service, detailed health information workbooks, over the telephone or electronically) and was delivered by non-specialist personnel and carried out in non-specialist settings"				
Comparison	"Comparator control groups varied from "screening only" and "assessment only" to "treatment as usual", "evaluation only", or "minimal intervention" (such as the provision of an information leaflet). Trials were excluded that focused exclusively on dependent drinkers."				
Length of follow-up					
Outcome and effect size	"At 6-month follow-up, an effect in favor of brief intervention over control was identified for targeted injury studies. For pooled non-injury-specific studies, small benefits of brief intervention were evident at less than or equal to 5-month follow-up, at 6-month follow-up and at 12-month follow-up. Meta-analysis identified noninjury-specific studies as associated with better response to brief intervention than targeted injury studies."				
Funding	"National Addiction Centre, Addictions Department, Institute of Psychiatry, Psychology and Neuroscience, King's College London, London, UK"				
Comments					

Title	Social norms information for alcohol misuse in university and college students				
First Author	Foxcroft, 2015	Source	25622306		
Level of evidence		Study type	Systematic review of RCTs with individual or cluster designs		
Study quality	Good. RCTs high risk of bias particularly with regards to blinding of participants/ personnel and incomplete outcome data				
Participants	Total: 70 studies (r participants).	n=44.958 par	ticipants). Meta-analyses: 63 studies (n=42.784		
Patient characteristics	University and coll- derived from all av	_	s. 43 at-risk samples, 6 mandate samples, 26 samples ents		
Intervention	Social norms interventions delivered by mailed normative feedback (MF); web/computer normative feedback (WF); individual face-to-face normative feedback (IFF); group face-to-face normative feedback (GFF); and normative marketing campaign (MC). Interventions varied from no face-to-face contact session (paper or web feedback) to one or two face-to-face contact sessions with duration ranging from 45 minutes (Neal 2004) to 175 minutes (Michael 2006). Some studies involved a booster session a erth initial intervention, providing students with personalized normative feedback at later time points				
Comparison	intervention or mir	nimal interve	cions with no social norms component including no ention in the form of a leaflet, or an educational or out a social norms component.		
Length of follow-up	Mainly somewhere between immediate post intervention to 12 months. 24 months: 3 RCTs, 36 months 2 RCTs, 48 months 1 RCT.				
Outcome and effect size	Over the longer-term, after four or more months of follow-up, there was a small effect of social norms information on binge drinking (pooled across delivery modes: SMD=-0.06, 95% CI [-0.11 -0.02] (participants=11.292; studies=16; moderate quality evidence), drinking quantity (pooled across delivery modes: SMD=-0.08, 95% CI [-0.12 -0.04] (participants=21.169; studies=32; moderate quality evidence), and peak BAC (pooled across delivery modes: SMD=-0.08, 95% CI [-0.17 0.00] (participants=7198; studies=11; low quality evidence). For these outcomes, effects were not any different across the different delivery modes.				
Funding		nstitutes of I	Health provided funding for just under half (33/70) of the		

studies included in this review. Eighteen studies provided no information about and only 13 papers had a clear conflict of interest statement.		
Comments		

Title	Motivational interviewing for the prevention of alcohol misuse in young adults				
First Author	Foxcroft, 2016	Source	27426026		
Level of evidence	Systematic review of randomized controlled trials				
Study quality	low and moderate quali	ity studies			
Participants	Total: 84 trials (n=22872	2 participants). Higher risk samples: 70 trials.		
Patient characteristics		•	rs. Some trials also included adolescents (aged 15+). at-risk (positive screen).		
Intervention	MIs are defined as a one or more session approach including MI principles (adopting an empathic non-judgemental stance, listening reflectively, developing discrepancy, rolling with resistance and avoiding argument, supporting efficacy to change) as the core of the intervention as well as a feedback element or other non-MI techniques. At least 65 of the trials investigated MI as part of brief alcohol interventions.				
Comparison	MI versus no intervention	on/ assessme	nt only. MI versus alternative interventions.		
Length of follow-up	Studies with follow-up periods of at least four months were of more interest in assessing the sustainability of intervention effects and were also less susceptible to short-term reporting or publication bias.				
Outcome and effect size					
Funding	"The US National Institutes of Health provided funding for half (42/84) of the studies included in this review. Twenty-nine studies provided no information about funding, and only eight papers had a clear conflict of interest statement."				
Comments					

Title	Brief intervention and decrease of alcohol consumption among women: a systematic review						
First Author	Gebara, 2013	Gebara, 2013 Source 24016074					
Level of evidence		Study type	RCTs, systematic review.				
Study quality							
Participants	36 Studien						
Patient characteristics	15 Studien nur Frauen, 10 Studien Männer und Frauen, 10 Studien Studenten und						
	Studentinnen, 1 Studien allgeme	eine Bevölkerung					
Intervention	Kurzintervention: 36 articles that met the following inclusion criteria: a) performed and/or						
	evaluated the effectiveness of a BI; b) performed a BI toward alcohol consumption (no						
	other drugs); c) presented women as part of the studied sample						
Comparison	es soll genauer bestimmt werden, ob BI auch bei Frauen gleich gut wirken						
Length of follow-up	3, 6 und 12 Monate						
Outcome and effect size	In general, the results indicated a decrease in alcohol consumption among women						
	following BI, both in the number of days of consumption and the number of doses,						
	suggesting that the impact on the woman's reproductive health and the lower social						
	acceptance of female consumption can be aspects favorable for the effectiveness of BI in						
	this population						

Funding	nach einer Kurzintervention ist in der Regel eine Reduktion sowohl der Menge als auch der			
	Trinktage zu beobachten, kann aber nicht systematisch belegt werden.			
Comments				

Title	Specialty substance use disorder services following brief alcohol intervention: a meta- analysis of randomized controlled trials				
First Author	Glass, 2015 Source 25913697				
Level of evidence	1a	Study type	Systematic review and meta-analysis of RCTs in general		
			health-care settings with adult and adolescent samples		
Study quality	13 Studies included,	9 meta-ana	yzed		
Participants					
Patient characteristics	"Adult and Adolesce	nt samples,	exclusion of studies in which the outcome consisted of		
	attendance at treatr	nent session	s that were delivered by clinical research interventionists		
	as part of the resear	ch study"			
Intervention	"The majority of interventions involved brief advice or a motivational interview; several				
		ffered additional counseling or booster intervention sessions and one intervention had			
	mailed a letter to participants requesting they make an				
	appointment with a specialist"				
Comparison	Mostly assessment only or non-alcohol specific health advice, one study with brief advice +				
	2 booster sessions				
Length of follow-up	"Follow-up periods r	anged from	3 to 18 months, except for one study that had a 10-year		
	follow-up."				
Outcome and effect size	"Thirteen RCTs met	inclusion crit	teria and nine were meta-analyzed (n= 993 and n= 937		
			participants, respectively). In our main analyses the pooled		
	risk ratio (RR) was 1.	08, 95% CI [0.92 1.28]. Five studies compared referral-specific		
	interventions with a control condition without such interventions (pooled RR=1.08, 95% CI				
	[0.81 1.43]). Other subgroup analyses of studies with common characteristics (e.g. age,				
	setting, severity, risk of bias) yielded non-statistically significant results."				
Funding	Not reported				
Comments					

Title	Are nurse-conducted brief interventions (NCBIs) efficacious for hazardous or harmful					
Title	alcohol use? A systematic review					
First Author	Joseph, 2014 Source 24645911					
Level of evidence		Study type	RCTs, systematic review.			
Study quality	Studien recht heterogen,					
Participants	11 RCTs, 2676 participants (2098	3 men and 578 women)				
Patient characteristics	"Total of 2.676 participants (2.098 men and 578 women) were included in the 11 NCBI trials analysed. The mean age of the participants was 37.47 years (SD=13.88). Of the 2.676 participants, 1.986 completed the follow-up assessment and three trials reported a follow-up rate of less than 70%. Follow-up length varied with the trials ranging from 3 months to 2 years. Problemtrinker."					
Intervention	alkoholbezogene Kurzinterventionen von Pflegepersonal im Vergleich mit anderen Bedingungen					
Comparison	Interventionen durch Pflegekräf	te				
Length of follow-up	3 Monate bis 2 Jahre					
Outcome and effect size	"Eleven trials were found meeting inclusion criteria, comparing nurse-conducted brief interventions with a control group or with other treatments. Five trials reported a statistically significant reduction in alcohol consumption in the intervention group with 6–12-month follow-up period and two trials concluded that brief interventions delivered by nurses were as efficacious as by physicians." The findings of included studies in the present review do not provide a unique result because of different outcome measures such as reduction in the percentages of hazardous drinkers, changes in AUDIT scores, alcohol-related problems, number of drinking days, number of abstinent days and binge drinking episodes. Also secondary outcome measures of two trials showed no improvement in the number of standard drinks taken each					

	drinking day (Goodall et al. 2008), and alcohol consumption in a typical week (Smith et al. 2003) in the treatment group as compared with the control group.		
Funding			
Comments	Common methodological problems were attrition, short follow ups, lack of collateral or objective (e.g. serum and breath analyses) verification, non-blinded follow ups, and lack of parallel eplication with separate research teams.		

Title	Personalized digital interventions for reducing hazardous and harmful alcohol consumption in community-dwelling populations		
First Author	Kaner, 2017	Source	28944453
Level of evidence	1a	Study type	Systematic review and meta-analyses of randomized controlled trials
Study quality	Good. Moderate-quality trials, substantial heterogeneity among trials		
Participants	Total: 57 trials (n=34.390 participants). Primary meta-analysis: 41 trials (n=19.241 participants). Binge-drinking frequency meta-analysis: 15 trials (n=3.587). Delivery mode meta-analysis: 5 trials (n=390)		
Patient characteristics	People living in the community whose alcohol consumption had been screened as hazardous or harmful and who were directed toward any digital intervention including web-based, mobile phone text messaging, smartphone apps, social networking, or standalone computer-based technologies. No restrictions to age.		
Intervention	Digital interventions: Interventions were digital, defined as being delivered primarily through a programmable computer or mobile device (laptop, phone or tablet), and were responsive to user input to generate personalised content which aimed to change the participants' alcohol-related behaviours. Interventions were not restricted to those accessible online (CD-ROM also included). Not explicitly brief intervention.		
Comparison	Digital interventions vs. no interventions. Digital intervention vs. face-to-face interventions (5 trials, n=390).		
Length of follow-up	Longest follow-up time; range: 1-24 months; median=3 months.		
Outcome and effect size	Primary meta-analysis: Participants using a digital intervention drank approximately 23g alcohol weekly (95% CI [15 30]) less than participants who received no or minimal interventions. Binge-drinking meta-analysis: The estimated difference between the digital intervention and no or minimal intervention arms in the number of binges per week was -0.24 (95% CI [-0.35 -0.13]), The risk ratio of being a binge drinker at the time of longest follow-up among those randomised to a digital intervention relative to those randomised to a control or minimal intervention condition was 0.98 (95% CI [0.97 1.00]). Delivery mode meta-analysis: No difference in alcohol consumption at end of follow up (MD=0.52 g/week, 95% CI [-24.59 25.63]; low-quality evidence).		
Funding	56% of the trials were funded by government or research foundation funds; 11% by personal awards such as PhD fellowships; and 33% did not report sources of funding.		
Comments			

Title	Effectiveness of brief alcohol interventions in primary care populations		
First Author	Kaner, 2018	Source	17443541
Level of evidence	1a	Study type	Systematic review and meta-analyses of
			randomized controlled trials
Study quality	Good. Moderate-quality trials, substantial heterogeneity among trials		
Participants	Total: 69 trials (n=33642 participants); Primary meta-analysis: 34 trials (n=15197). Binge-		
	drinking frequency meta-analysis: 15 trials (n=6946). Binge-drinking percentage meta-		
	analysis: 10 trials (n=4456)		
Patient characteristics	Primary care populations: Participants recruited in general practice (38 trials), emergency		
	care (27 trials), college health clinics (2 trials), public sexual health clinic (1 trial), veterans'		
	affair medical center (1 trial). Few studies targeted particular age groups: adolescents or		
	young adults (6 studies, 9%) and older adults (4 studies, 6%). Mean baseline alcohol		
	consumption was 244 g/week (30.5 standard UK units) among the studies that reported		

	these data.		
Intervention	"Brief intervention: a single session and up to 5 sessions of verbally-delivered information,		
	advice or counselling that was designed to achieve a reduction in risky alcohol		
	consumption, alcohol-related problems, or both. Extended intervention: more than five		
	sessions or total combined session durations was more than 60 minutes. "		
Comparison	Brief intervention vs. minimal or no intervention (61 trials). Extended interventions vs.		
	brief (4 trials), minimal/ no intervention (7 trials).		
Length of follow-up	Primary meta-analysis: 12 months		
Outcome and effect size	Primary meta-analysis: Alcohol per week reduced by 20g in BI groups compared to minimal		
	or no intervention interventions (95% CI [-28 -12]). Binge-drinking meta-analyses:		
	Moderate-quality evidence of a very small impact on binge drinking frequency (MD=-0.0		
	binges/week, 95% CI [-0.14 -0.02]). Sign reduction in the percentage of binge drinkers a		
	12 months (-7%, 95% (CI [-12 -2], substantial heterogeneity); no difference between brief		
	and extended interventions.		
Funding	Sources of funding were reported by 60 studies (87%). With two exceptions, studies were		
	funded by government institutes, research bodies or charitable foundations. One study		
	was partly funded by a pharmaceutical company and a brewers association, another by a		
	company developing diagnostic testing equipment.		
Comments	Background Text: No difference between efficacy and effectiveness findings; Effective in		
	Emergency setting but lower impact than in GP setting (mean difference -10g/week, 95%		
	CI [-18 -2] vs26 g/week, 95% CI [-37 -14]). Five studies reported adverse effects (very		
	low-quality evidence): No adverse effects (2 trials); increased binge drinking for women (1		
	trial), adverse events related to driving outcomes but equivalent in both study arms (2		
	trials).		

T'	Interventions to prevent and reduce excessive alcohol consumption in older people: a				
Title	systematic review and meta-analysis				
First Author	Kelly, 2018	Source	28985250		
Level of evidence		Study type	RCTs, meta-analysis		
Study quality	Angesichts der Heterogenität und der geringen Anzahl der Studien sollten die Ergebnisse vorsichtig interpretiert werden.				
Participants	13 Studien				
Patient characteristics	Prävention oder Reduktion kritischen Alkoholkonsums bei älteren Menschen (über 55 J.) fanden sich 10 Studien zu dem Personenkreis mit kritischem oder schädlichem (harmful or hazardous) Alkoholgebrauch. Ausgeschlossen wurden Studien mit alkoholabhängigen Menschen.				
Intervention	Kurzintervention bei Älteren				
Comparison					
Length of follow-up	3 bis 6 Monate, max. ein Jahr				
Outcome and effect size	Im Ergebnis finden sich bei den Kurzinterventionen positive Effekte wie Verringerungen des Risiko-Scores und/oder Trinkmengenreduktion – aufrechterhalten über ein Jahr –, jedoch ohne signifikante Unterschiede zu den Kontrollgruppen, bei denen schon bei einfachen Interventionen wie Flyer oder Rückmeldungen zum Trinkverhalten eine Verringerung der Trinkmenge berichtet wurde. Nur zweimal wurde nach Geschlecht getrennt ausgewertet: Es fanden sich keine signifikanten Unterschiede in den Ergebnismaßen bezüglich Geschlecht. Soweit möglich wurden die Daten einer Meta-Analyse unterzogen, 8 Studien mit 3.591 Teilnehmern wurden eingeschlossen. In der Meta-Analyse (5 Studien mit Kurzintervention) fand sich ein signifikanter Effekt nach 3 bis 6 Monaten auf die Trinkmenge (mittlere Standard-Differenz SMD=-0,17 (95% CI [-0,30]-0,04]). In der Meta-Analyse (5 Studien mit Kurzintervention) fand sich ein signifikanter Effekt nach 3 bis 6 Monaten auf die Trinkmenge (mittlere Standard-Differenz SMD=-0,17 (95% CI [-30]-0,04])				
Funding					
Comments					

Title	Can stand-alone computer-based interventions reduce alcohol consumption? A systematic review		
First Author	Khadjesari ,2011	Source	21083832
Level of evidence	1a	Study type	Systematic review and meta-analysis of RCTs
Study quality	High quality. PRISMA sta	ndards applied.	
Participants	"24 studies included, 19 studies with n<300) "	pooled for meta-a	nalysis. Range of sample size: 40-1.000. 20
Patient characteristics	"Adult populations (aged ≥18 years) with any level of alcohol consumption; studies that measured a change in alcohol consumption. A reduction in alcohol consumption was considered a positive behaviour change. Students (n=18), adult problem drinkers from the general population (n=3), work-place employees (n=2), emergency department attendees (n=1). Eight studies appeared to screen for hazardous drinking, either in the form of binge drinking, total number of drinks per week, Alcohol Use Disorders Identification Test (AUDIT) cut-off score (generally reported as8) or some combination of these. The other studies used either a lower cut-off score or did not restrict inclusion based on alcohol intake "		
Intervention	"Eligible computer-based interventions were those considered behavioural interventions, aimed at bringing about positive behaviour change, adapted for a computer-based format. Inclusion was restricted to stand-alone (non-guided) computer-based interventions. Most studies delivered the intervention via the internet (n=14). One study sent tailored text-messages to handheld computers, while the others were available from a computer in a fixed location. Most interventions were accessed from computers at a location determined by the researchers (n=16); the remainder were able to access the intervention online at a location and time convenient to them."		
Comparison	Computer-based interventions versus minimally active group (e.g. assessment-only, usual care, generic non-tailored information or educational materials, n=22 RCTs). Computer-based interventions versus active comparator group (e.g. brief intervention, n=3 RCTs).		
Length of follow-up	"Short-term (<3 months, 12 RCTs), medium-term (3-6 months, 9 RCTs), long-term (>6 months, 9 RCTs). Range: 2 weeks to 12 months."		
Outcome and effect size	Computer-based interventions were more effective than minimally active comparator groups (e.g. assessment-only) at reducing alcohol consumed per week in student and non-student populations. However, most studies used the mean to summarize skewed data, which could be misleading in small samples. A sensitivity analysis of those studies that used suitable measures of central tendency found that there was no difference between intervention and minimally active comparator groups in alcohol consumed per week by students. Participants receiving a computer-based intervention appeared to reduce their frequency of binge drinking compared with those receiving a minimally active comparator (mean difference=-0.23 days per week; 95% CI [-0.47 0.00]; p=0.05).		
Funding	None reported.		
Comments	"Studies included cover a broad spectrum of unhealthy alcohol consumption and are not restricted to binge drinking. This is also true for outcome variables. Majority student samples."		

Title	Systematic Review on the Effectiveness of Brief Interventions for Alcohol Misuse among Adults in Emergency Departments			
First Author	Landy, 2016	Source	26482134	
Level of evidence	1a	Study type	Systematic Review	
Study quality				
Participants	34 RCTs			
Patient characteristics	ED patients aged 18-65 yrs.			
Intervention	Single session typically lasting 5-30 minutes, a few studies lasted as long as 60 minutes			
Comparison	Control intervention or usual care			
Length of follow-up				
Outcome and effect size	All studies reported a significant reduction in alcohol consumption at 3 months post-BI,			
	with some studies finding significant differences between the BI and control groups, and			
	other studies finding significant decreases in both conditions but no between-groups			

Comments	
Funding	
	motor vehicle crashes associated with alcohol use, which can result in hospitalization.
	months post-BI than individuals who did not receive a BI. BIs are unlikely to reduce subsequent hospitalizations however, they may be effective in reducing risky driving and
	received a BI were significantly less likely to have an alcohol-related injury at 6 or 12
	and 12 months post-BI with regard to decreases in alcohol consumption. Individuals who
	differences. The majority of studies did not find significant between-group differences at 6

Title	Review article: Effectiveness of ultra-brief interventions in the emergency department to reduce alcohol consumption: A systematic review		
	-		27450560
First Author	McGinnes, 2016	Source	27459669
Level of evidence	1a	Study type	Systematic Review
Study quality			
Participants	13 RCTs		
Patient characteristics	symptoms of an alcohol-	related disorder attending a	
Intervention	"Any face-to-face interac involving technology"	tion of 10 min or less or any	non-face-to-face intervention
Comparison	"screening only, assessm written information or st		ntion that included the provision of
Length of follow-up			
	consumed, binge drinking and ED representation. Thirteen studies (nine single center and four multicenter) were included. Six studies showed a significant reduction in the quantity consumed with intermediate effect size at 3 months (d=0.40) and small effect size at 12 months (d=0.15). Two studies showed a significant reduction in binge drinking with small effect size at 3 months (d=0.12) and 12 months (d=0.09). No studies showed an effect on frequency of alcohol consumption or ED representation. Heterogeneity in study design, definition of risky, harmful or hazardous alcohol use, intervention types, outcomes, outcome timeframes and outcome measures prevented the performance of quantitative meta-analysis. Despite its limited effectiveness in reducing alcohol use in the short-term, with the large number of people attending EDs with risky drinking, the use of an effective ultra-BI would have the potential to have a measurable population effect.		
Funding	"The present study was f Medicine."	unded by a grant from the A	ustralasian College for Emergency
Comments	randomised patients to a booster at 1 month or stamonths with both BNI ar past 28 days than the stamall effect size (d=-0.09 hazardous alcohol use to feedback with goal settir showed a significant different different. However, this different however, this different effect size (assessment group showed attending the ED with an intervention with or with feedback group was 2.4 to days than the control group showed a feedback compared with	a BNI conducted by trained E andard care. A significant tree and BNI plus booster groups had BNI plus booster groups had BNI plus booster groups had BNI comp. In a pilot study, Suffoletto a weekly text message with ag or control. At 3 months, the erence in change in number of ference was between the introduction group with the (d=-0.46) whereas the intervention group with the (d=-0.46) whereas the intervention group with the cout feedback or control. At 3 months are likely not to report the control group showed a the control group showed a ack group compared with the	the drinking (Table 3). D'Onofrioet al. D staff, with or without a telephone eatment effect was shown at 12 aving fewer binge drinking days in the bared with standard care showed a let al. randomised patients with generic assessment or personalised he personalised feedback group of heavy drinking days in the past tervention and assessment group control group showed an lention group compared with the suffoletto et al. randomised patients an and≥4 for men to a text message and mentions, the text message with lent any binge drinking in the past 30 message with feedback group with the staff the text message group without a small positive effect (d=0.12). The let text message without feedback

Title	Brief interventions for heavy alcohol users admitted to general hospital wards			
First Author	McQueen, 2011	Source	21833953	
Level of evidence	1a	Study type	Systematic review and meta-analyses of controlled trials	
Study quality	Methodological qu	ality of trials	was mixed, heterogeneity.	
Participants	•	•	ants). Primary meta-analyses: 8 trials. Meta-analysis on analysis on Heavy drinking days per week: 1 trial.	
Patient characteristics	Heavy alcohol user	s admitted to	general hospital wards, aged 16+ years. Mainly male.	
Intervention	Brief intervention: a single session or up to three sessions involving an individual patient and health care practitioner (e.g. nurses, physicians, psychologists, alcohol counsellors, graduates/students, social workers) comprising information and advice, often using counselling type skills to encourage a reduction in alcohol consumption and related problems. Control groups were defined as assessment only (screening) or treatment as usual including provision of leaflets.			
Comparison	Brief intervention(s) versus control.			
Length of follow-up	4, 6, 9 and 12 months			
Outcome and effect size	Primary meta-analysis: Patients receiving brief interventions have a greater reduction in alcohol consumption compared to those in control groups at six month (4 trials, MD=-69.43; 95% CI [-128.14 -10.72]) and nine months follow up (1 trial, MD=-182.88 (95% CI [-360.00 -5.76]) but this is not maintained at one year (4 trials). Self-reports of reduction of alcohol consumption at 1 year were found in favour of brief interventions (SMD=-0.26, 95% CI [-0.50 -0.03]). In addition there were significantly fewer deaths in the groups receiving brief interventions than in control groups at 6 months (RR=0.42, 95% CI [0.19 0.94]) and one year follow up (RR=0.60, 95% CI [0.40 0.91]). Number of binge episodes past month: No significant differences between control and brief intervention groups (1 trial; RR=0.99 (95% CI [0.83 1.19]). Number of heavy drinking days per week: Significant differences in favour of the brief intervention group at all time points (1 trial; month 4: MD=-0.56; 95% CI [-1.02 -0.10]; month 9: MD=-0.78, 95% CI [-1.32 -0.24]; month 12: MD=-0.71, 95% CI [-1.26 -0.16]).			
Funding	Not reported.			
Comments	Findings based on sdrinking).	small number	of trials (2 trials concerning binge/heavy episodic	

Title	Interventions for reducing alcohol consumption among general hospital inpatient heavy alcohol users: A systematic review.			
First Author	Mdege, 2013	Source	23474201	
Level of evidence		Study type	RCTs, systematic review.	
Study quality				
Participants	22 studies which met the inclusi	on criteria enrolled 5307 parti	cipants in total	
Patient characteristics	Ausschluss von schwer Abhängigen eine Variante; auch keine Studien mit pharmakologischer Intervention.			
Intervention	There is growing interest in pro-active detection and provision of interventions for heavy alcohol use in the general hospital inpatient population.			
Comparison	"Effectiveness of interventions in reducing alcohol consumption among general hospital inpatient heavy alcohol users."			
Length of follow-up	mindestens 12 Monate			
Outcome and effect size	Results from single session brief interventions and self-help literature showed no clear benefit on alcohol consumption outcomes, with indications of benefit from some studies but not others. However, results suggest brief interventions of more than one session could be beneficial on reducing alcohol consumption, especially for non-dependent patients. No active intervention was found superior over another on alcohol consumption and other outcomes. No intervention effect concerning binge-drinking found.			

Funding		
Comments	Nach meiner Einschätzung geht es mehr um den Vergleich von Klinik und	
	Hausarzt/Ambulanz und BI; BI in primery care settings effektiver	

Title	A Systematic Review of Digital a Primary Care.	and Computer-Based Alcohol	Intervention Programs in	
First Author	Nair, 2015	Source	26373848	
Level of evidence	1a	Study type	Systematic Review	
Study quality	Lower: only 66% RCTs (10/15), 4	/15 descriptive studies, 1/15	quasi-experimental design	
Participants	15 trials			
Patient characteristics	Participants were recruited from Practices (GP, n=5) or from university			
Intervention	Only digital or computer-based interventions, such as programs implemented via laptops, computer touch-screen kiosks or mobiles, were included. There were no restrictions on type of intervention included, however most programs were designed to simply assess risk level of drinking and provide feedback to patients. All intervention programs in this review aimed to reduce alcohol consumption.			
Comparison	"No restriction on type of comparator group. However most studies utilised a control group which did not receive any personalized feedback on their risk level of drinking, for example no feedback or a generic health pamphlet. Brief vs. extended intervention"			
Length of follow-up	1.5 to 12 months			
Outcome and effect size	"Of the 15 trials, nine found the intervention group had significantly decreased alcohol consumption between 1.5-and 6-month follow-up occasions. Three of these nine trials showed lasting effects up to 12 months post baseline. One of the four trials which focused on extended interventions found the extended program resulted in improved drinking outcomes compared to the brief intervention. Some studies also resulted in less binge drinking and alcohol-related consequences at follow up."			
Funding	The National Drug and Alcohol Research Centre is funded by the Australian Government Department of Health and Maree Teesson is funded on Australia National Health and Medical Research Council Research Fellowship.			
Comments				

Title	Screening and Behavioral Counseling Interventions to Reduce Unhealthy Alcohol Use in Adolescents and Adults: Updated Evidence Report and Systematic Review for the US Preventive Services Task Force			
First Author	O'Connor, 2018	Source	30422198	
Level of evidence	1a	Study type	Systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized clinical trials and nonrandomized controlled intervention studies	
Study quality	Good. Review inter	nded to inclu	ude good-quality studies only.	
Participants	Total: 68 intervention trials (n=36.528 participants). Primary meta-analysis on drinks per week: 32 trials (n=15.974). Meta-analysis on heavy use episodes: 12 trials (n=8.108 participants).			
Patient characteristics	Adolescents and adults recruited through screening (at least 50%) from primary care or a health care system or from other settings that applied an intervention that could feasibly be implemented in or referred from primary care.			
Intervention	Counseling in screen-detected persons (web-based interventions not excluded): Most interventions involved 1-2 sessions (90% 4 or fewer) with a medium of 30 minutes of contact time (88% 2 hrs or less). Almost all interventions involved at least basic education; general feedback how the participant's drinking compared with recommended limits, and suggestions about how to reduce alcohol use. Many used a SBIRT (screening, brief intervention, and referral to treatment) approach. The most commonly reported intervention element was the use of personalized normative feedback (62%) and motivational techniques in combination with personalized normative feedback.			
Comparison	Intervention(s) versus control.			
Length of follow-up	6-12 months			

Outcome and effect size	Counseling interventions were associated with a decrease in drinks per week (weighted mean difference, -1.6, 95% CI [-2.2 -1.0]; 32 studies [37 effects; n=15.974]), the proportion exceeding recommended drinking limits (OR=0.60, 95% CI [0.53 0.67]; 15 studies (16 effects; n=9.760)), and the proportion reporting a heavy use episode (OR=0.67, 95% CI [0.58 0.77]; 12 studies (14 effects; n=8.108)), and an increase in the proportion of pregnant women reporting abstinence (OR=2.26, 95% CI [1.43 3.56]; 5 studies (n=796)) after 6 to 12 months.
Funding	Review was funded by the Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality, US Department of Health and Human Services. Funding of included trials not reported.
Comments	OLDER ADULTS impact depends on outcome!!!! Only 6 of the included trials (n=3.650) reported on harms. In all cases, authors reported no harms. Further, no pattern of unexpected paradoxical increases in alcohol use was noted with these interventions. Despite heterogenous study characteristics, in most terms rather homogenous findings.

Title	The impact of brief alcoh	ol interventions in primary	healthcare: a systematic review of	
First Author	O'Donnell , 2014	Source	24232177	
Level of evidence		Study type	systematic review of reviews	
Study quality		'		
Participants	Twenty-four systematic re randomized controlled tri		iteria (covering a total of 56	
Patient characteristics	"viele unterschiedliche Studien und deshalb sehr verschiedene Gruppen, die untersucht wurden. Tabelle 1 im Review gibt den Überblick! Begrenzung der Reviews: For example, there were limited data available on the effectiveness of brief alcohol intervention in different models of primary healthcare systems, beyond the broad comparison on geographic grounds. Second, in basing our conclusions on the findings of previous systematic reviews, this review is necessarily limited by individual authors' decisions regarding the exclusion/inclusion of particular studies, further confounded by the fact that the standard of reporting, analysis and interpretation, whilst generally high, varied across the included papers. Third, our reliance on previous systematic reviews limits the immediacy of our findings as the most recent primary research is not included."			
Intervention	four questions: (a) does the cumulative evidence base continue to show that brief alcohol intervention is effective when delivered in primary healthcare settings? (b) is brief alcohol intervention equally effective across different countries and different healthcare systems? (c) is the brief alcohol intervention evidence base applicable across different population groups? and (d) what is the optimum length, frequency and content of brief alcohol intervention, and for how long is it effective?			
Comparison	"provide a structured, comprehensive summary of the evidence base on the effectiveness of brief alcohol intervention in primary healthcare"			
Length of follow-up				
Outcome and effect size	Brief intervention was effective for addressing hazardous and harmful drinking in primary healthcare, particularly in middle-aged, male drinkers. Across the included studies, it was consistently reported that brief intervention was effective for addressing hazardous and harmful drinking in primary healthcare, particularly in middle-aged, male drinkers. Evidence gaps included: brief intervention effectiveness in key groups (women, older and younger drinkers, minority ethnic groups, dependent/co-morbid drinkers and those living in transitional and developing countries); This overview highlights the large volume of primarily positive evidence supporting brief alcohol intervention effects as well as some unanswered questions with regards to the effectiveness of brief alcohol intervention across different cultural settings and in specific population groups, and in respect of the optimum content of brief interventions that might benefit from further research.			
Funding				
Comments	"Kurze Interventionen der Kontrollbedingungen bewirken Ähnliches:Thus the mere fact of enrolment in a brief intervention trial may be associated with positive behaviour change due to a general 'Hawthorn Effect', whereby increased attention or scrutiny influences drinking, or volunteering in itself means that the individual has started a change process Nevertheless, the cumulative (pooled) analyses reported in successive systematic reviews			

reveal positive brief intervention effects over and above those seen in control conditions
who typically received assessment only, treatment as usual or written advice."

Title	How effective are brief interventions in reducing alcohol consumption: do the setting, practitioner group and content matter?			
First Author	Platt, 2016	Source	27515753	
Level of evidence		Study type	systematic review and Meta-Regression analysis	
Study quality	RCTs, multilevel meta-	analysis		
Participants	52 trials were included	d contributing dat	a on 29.891 individuals	
Patient characteristics	Patienten ab 16 J. im (Gesundheitswesei	n; Ausschluss schwer Kranker und Patienten von	
	Alkohol-Suchtkliniken	Ausschluss schw	angerer Frauen	
Intervention	Kurzintervention in Bezug auf Alkoholkonsum			
Comparison	Kontrollgruppendesign, Vergleich von Settings			
Length of follow-up	unterschiedlich, ab 3 Monaten, oft 6 oder 12 Monate bis zu 36 Monate			
Outcome and effect size	"geringfügige, aber signifikante Ergebnisse. Es spielte in manchen Studien der Untersucher eine Rolle: Die Interventionen, die vom Pflegekräften durchgeführt wurden, hatten den höchsten Effekt (d=-0.23, 95% CI [-0.33 0.13]). Setting: In universitären Umfeld und im Hausarzt/Ambulanzbereich durchgeführte Interventionen erzielten geringe, aber signifikante Effekte (d=-0.20, 95% CI [-0.39 -0.09]) bzw. (d=-0.20, 95% CI [-0.27 -0.13]). Interventionen, die in kommunalen Einrichtungen wie Militär, Justizbereich, oder mit zielgerichteter Rekrutierung erfolgten, schienen nicht effektiv zu sein (d=-0.03, 95% CI [-0.16 0.10])"			
Funding				
Comments				

Title			internet interventions for adult problem nalysis of 19 randomised controlled trials
First Author	Riper, 2018	Source	30562347
Level of evidence	1a	Study type	Individual patient data meta-analysis of RCTs
Study quality	High quality. Drop-out rate 43 %	; limited to f	irst follow-ups.
Participants	Total: 19 RCTs (n=14.198 partici	pants; n=.80	95 participants with post-data included in
	individual patient data meta-ana	alysis)	
Patient characteristics	Adult population (aged ≥18 years) with quantifiable levels of alcohol consumption that exceeded recommendations for low-risk drinking. Mean age 41 years (SD=13), 52% male. Mean weekly standard unit level 38.1 (SD=26.9). The mean full AUDIT score (n=9 trials) was 15.0 (SD=6.8), indicating hazardous or harmful alcohol use. Of the participants for which a full AUDIT score was available, 22.2% (n=678) scored above 20, indicating a risk of alcohol dependence.		
Intervention	Internet-based alcohol interventions (iAls)		
Comparison	Internet-based alcohol interventions (iAIs) versus controls. Plus investigation of diverse moderators: Human-guided versus unguided interventions; single versus multiple sessions; personal and normative feedback only versus integrated therapeutic principles		
Length of follow-up	First follow-up data (Follow-up perdiods not associated with main outcomes)		
Outcome and effect size			

	interventions.
Funding	The authors did not receive specific funding for this work.
Comments	

Title	Brief Alcohol Interventions for Adolescents and Young Adults in Emergency Department Settings: A Descriptive Review and Meta-analysis			
First Author	Samson, 2019	Source		
Level of evidence	1a	Study type	Systematic Review + Meta-Analyse	
Study quality				
Participants	11 RCTs			
Patient characteristics	ED Patients aged 12-25	yrs. Screening positive for	risky alcohol consumption (incl. HED).	
Intervention	"Any form of brief alcohol intervention for adolescents or young adults 25 or younger, delivered in emergency department settings. Eligible interventions could include up to 5 h of contact time, and involved any actions expected to reduce participants' alcohol consumption"			
Comparison	no-treatment control, wait-list control, or some form of treatment as usual comparison conditions			
Length of follow-up				
Outcome and effect size	Quantitative analyses suggested that current research, on average, finds very little evidence that interventions in emergency department settings are efficacious, regardless of variations in the study and the intervention. It must be noted that this result is contrary to that reported in the parent study (Tanner-Smith and Lipsey 2015). Including personalized feedback was the only component identified that was related to an average effect size significantly greater than zero (k=8, g=0.07, 95% CI [0.00 0.14]), but this result was not replicated in sensitivity analysis – likely because of one study with a strong, negative effect.			
Funding Comments	"This work uses data collected with support from the National Institute on Alcohol Abuse and Alcoholism award number R01AA020286. The content is solely the responsibility of the authors and does not necessarily represent the official views of the National Institute on Alcohol Abuse and Alcoholism or the National Institutes of Health."			
Comments				

Title	Alcohol screening and brief intervention in workplace settings and social services: a comparison of literature				
First Author	Schulte, 2014	Source	25339914		
Level of evidence	2a	Study type	Systematic Review		
Study quality					
Participants	9 RCT's				
Patient characteristics	Workplace Intervention	Workplace Interventions for employees with risky drinking patterns			
Intervention	Alcohol screening and brief intervention, in some studies face to face and web-based				
Comparison	brief intervention vs assessment only or treatment as usual				
Length of follow-up					
Outcome and effect size	In all 9 studies there could be shown positive effects; the evidence of effectiveness was				
	shown in reduction of alcohol consumption, drinking days or peak drinks per occasion. 8				
	from 9 studies showed partly significant better results in the intervention group, one study				
	(Hermannsson) showed a significant reduction in both groups.				
Funding	BISTAIRS Research Project of the European Union				
Comments					

Title	Interventions for increasing subsequent alcohol treatment utilization among patients with alcohol use disorders from somatic inpatient settings: a systematic review			
First Author	Simoni, 2015 Source 25780027			
Level of evidence		Study type	Systematic Review	

Study quality	5 RCTs
Participants	5 RCTs including 1113 individuals with AUDs
Patient characteristics	Adult inpatient population (aged ≥18 years) with AUDs
Intervention	Single session (2 studies), Multi-session inpatient advice (1 study), 2 studies inpatient BI
	plus post discharge sessions
Comparison	No intervention (n=3), Usual Care (n=2)
Length of follow-up	16 weeks to 18 months
Outcome and effect size	"No evidence of efficacy in increasing subsequent treatment utilization was reported for inpatient BIs alone, but interventions with post-discharge sessions might be beneficial. Increased treatment utilisation was generally associated with favourable drinking outcomes. Given the small number of included studies and the presence of several alternative methodological explanations for the present findings, no firm conclusions could be drawn on efficacious interventions for increasing subsequent treatment utilisation among somatic inpatients with AUDs."
Funding	Publication project sponsored by "le Conseil Régional du Nord-Pas-de-Calais" and "l'Agence Régionale de Santé du Nord-Pas-de-Calais"
Comments	

Title	Computer-Based Interventions for Problematic Alcohol Use: a Review of Systematic Reviews		
First Author	Sundstrom, 2017	Source	27757844
Level of evidence	1a	Study type	Systematic review of systematic reviews
Study quality	No meta-analysis.		
Participants	Total: 14 systematic reviews		
Patient characteristics	Mixed		
Intervention	Computer-based interventions,	not explicitly brief	interventions.
Comparison	computer-based interventions v	ersus controls	
Length of follow-up	Mostly 6 months and less		
Outcome and effect size			
Funding	No funding reported.		
Comments			

Title	Brief Alcohol Interventions for Adolescents and Young Adults: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis				
First Author	Tanner-Smith, 2015 Source 25300577				
Level of evidence	1a Study type Systematic Review + Meta-Analys				
Study quality	158 RCTs				
Participants					
Patient characteristics	Participants aged 11-25 yrs. In all settings (ED, university, self-administered etc.)				
Intervention	Participants aged 11-25 yrs. In all settings (ED, university, self-administered etc.) "BI defined as brief contact time generally one to five sessions—with a provider such as a physician, nurse, psychologist, counselor, or other service professional including a discussion of alcohol consumption, feedback on risk or levels of use, comparisons to local or national norms, information on potential harms, or coping strategies and goal-setting plans for dealing with drinking situations. Interventions could target any risk level (universal, selective, or indicated) of participants, as long as they involved five or fewer hours of total contact time and four or fewer weeks between the first and last intervention				

	session (excluding booster sessions)."			
Comparison	"Comparison conditions of no treatment, a wait-list control, or some form of routine			
	treatment as usual (i.e., services the participants would have received even in the absence			
	of the brief intervention). Studies that compared two types of interventions were not			
	eligible. "			
Length of follow-up				
Outcome and effect size	Overall, brief alcohol interventions led to significant reductions in alcohol consumption and			
	alcohol-related problems among adolescents (ḡ=0.27 and ḡ=0.19) and young adults (ḡ=			
	0.17 and \bar{g} = 0.11). These effects persisted for up to one year after intervention and did not			
	vary across participant demographics, intervention length, or intervention format.			
	However, certain intervention modalities (e.g., motivational interviewing) and compone			
	(e.g., decisional balance, goal-setting exercises) were associated with larger effects. W			
	conclude that brief alcohol interventions yield beneficial effects on alcohol-related			
	outcomes for adolescents and young adults that are modest but potentially worthwhile			
	given their brevity and low cost.			
Funding	"This work was supported by Award Number R01AA020286 from the National Institute on			
	Alcohol Abuse and Alcoholism."			
Comments				

Title	Alcohol Electronic Screening and Brief Intervention: A Community Guide Systematic Review				
First Author	Tansil, 2016	Source	27745678		
Level of evidence		Study type	Systematic review of randomized controlled trials		
Study quality	Majority of studies	fair quality of exc	ecution		
Participants	Total: 31 studies w	ith 34 study arms	. Excessive drinkers 24 studies (28 study arms).		
Patient characteristics	People with excess	ive alcohol consu	mption or alcohol-related harms from high-income		
	countries; half of tl	he studies conduc	cted in university settings; studies targeting treatment		
	seekers were not in				
Intervention			reening individuals for excessive drinking, and 2.		
	_	, ,,	which provides personalized feedback about the risks		
			king. Personalized feedback can be fully automated		
	· · ·		e.g., provided by a person via telephone); or partially		
			one part of the BI must be delivered by an electronic		
			echniques may also include other common elements		
			nt is motivational feedback, which has two levels: Low-		
	level motivational feedback includes general advice on how to reduce excessive alcohol				
	consumption; high-level feedback provides more individually tailored messages based on				
	factors such as readiness to change or developing personal goals. Another element is				
	normative feedback, comparing an individual's own alcohol consumption with that of				
	others (e.g., college students in the same school). Over 80% of the BI were delivered solely				
	_	through automated methods. Overall, 42% of the BI included high-level motivational			
	feedback."				
Comparison		ng and Brief interv	vention versus controls.		
Length of follow-up	>1 month				
Outcome and effect size			eductions in all alcohol consumption outcomes. Among		
		-	nost consistent changes were in frequency (median -		
	16.5%, 95% CI [-35.6 -11.8] reduction in episodes/month) and intensity of binge drinking				
	(median -23.9%, 95% CI [-51.3 -2.1] reduction in peak alcohol consumption). Peak alcohol				
	consumption also declined in studies that included binge and non-binge drinkers in the				
	intervention condition.				
Funding	No funding reported				
Comments					

Ti+la	The efficacy of Motivational Interviewing as a brief intervention for excessive drinking: A
Title	meta-analytic review

First Author	Vasilaki, 2006	Source	16547122	
Level of evidence		Study type	Systematic review and meta-analysis of RCTs	
Study quality	7/15 trials with excellent method	dology.		
Participants	Total: 15 RCTs (n=2767 participa treatment: 9 RCTs).	ints; MI vs. n	o treatment: 9 RCTs, MI versus other	
Patient characteristics	"Outpatient community samples 5 RCTs; emergency-room or clinic patients with alcohol-related problems e.g. physical injury 4 RCTs; student samples 4 RCTs. Mean age 31.8 (SD=10.3) years; 69% males: 36.0% dependent drinkers."			
Intervention	Brief intervention delivered according to the principles of MI on the basis of Miller and Rollnick's (2002) definition of MI. Even the briefest interventions (30 min), as long as they claimed to adopt the principles and techniques of MI as described by Miller and Rollnick (1991) and delivered a face-to-face intervention rather than one by computer or telephone.			
Comparison				
Length of follow-up				
Outcome and effect size	In addition, examining participants' age as a predictor of treatment outcome, they found that clients who consumed high levels of alcohol and who were older at baseline were significantly more likely to reduce the number of binge episodes during the post-treatment period.			
Funding				
Comments	"Only one study reported unfavourable results for MI (Maisto et al., 2001)."			

Title	Online alcohol interventions: a systematic review.			
First Author	White, 2010	Source	21169175	
Level of evidence	1a	Study type	Systematic Review	
Study quality	High quality. Strengths: clear obj not described in detail	ective: search strategy report	ed. Limitation: single studies	
Participants	17 studies; Sample sizes ranged	from 40 to 3.216 (median n=1	96)	
Patient characteristics	University students (12 studies); members (3 studies). Age range: studies). Percentage of females is	18-25 (student samples) and	mean age 43.1 (other	
Intervention	"12/17 studies evaluated the impact of brief personalized feedback and 7/17 examined an online multi-module information/ education treatment (often incorporating personalized feedback)"			
Comparison	Control groups typically received psychoeducational resources (10/17) or completed an online assessment.			
Length of follow-up	"Posttreatment assessments were conducted anywhere from 1 week to 12 months, with several studies conducting assessments at multiple time points. Across the 17 studies, 7 had a maximum FU period of a month, 4 had a max. 3-months FU and 3 followed participants to 6 months, one to 12-months post intervention. Retention rates of 83,5% for the IG and 86,3% for the CG."			
Outcome and effect size	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
Funding	Australian Commonwealth Depa	rtment of Health and Ageing		
Comments	"Review provides evidence for effectiveness of online alcohol interventions. Restrictions: most data come from student samples, number of studies for computing effect sizes was limited."			

	litle	Screening, Brief Intervention, and Referral for Alcohol Use in Adolescents: A Systematic
		Review.

First Author	Yuma-Guerrero, 2012	Source	22665407	
Level of evidence	1a	Study type	Systematic Review	
Study quality				
Participants	7 RCTs			
Patient characteristics	"Adolescent patients in acute care settings. All studies took place in the emergency departments of level I trauma centers. Ages of patients included in the studies varied widely; the study with the youngest age boundary included patients 12 to 20 years of age, and the study with the highest age boundary included patients 18 to 24 years of age."			
Intervention	"All but one of the studies used motivational interviewing (MI) as the foundation for the intervention. The remaining study delivered the intervention through an interactive computer program based on social learning theory."			
Comparison	Standard care, feedback only, Booklet			
Length of follow-up				
Outcome and effect size	Four of the 7 studies reviewed demonstrated a significant intervention effect; however, no one intervention reduced both alcohol consumption and alcohol-related consequences. Two of these 4 studies only included patients ages 18 and older. Subgroup analyses with adolescents engaged in risky alcohol-related behaviors, conducted in 2 of the studies, showed significant intervention effects. Five studies showed positive consumption and/or consequences for all study participants regardless of condition, suggesting that an emergent injury and/or the screening process may have a protective effect.			
Funding	No external funding			
Comments				

Title	Alcohol screening and brief intervention in primary care: Absence of evidence for efficacy in people with dependence or very heavy drinking					
First Author	Saitz, 2011 Source 20973848					
Level of evidence	1a	Study type	Systematic Review			
Study quality	"high quality; included reviews through 2006; an additional electronic literature search was conducted through 2009; clear search strategy; clear information about inclusion and exclusion of studies: focus only on dependence. Therefore only two studies identified."					
Participants	N=199					
Patient characteristics	Men and women and age not clearly specified; only outpatient primary care settings;					
Intervention	"In one study including dependent alcoholics 10–15 min BI by resident physician. In the other study including dependent alcoholics the BI was done by an experienced addiction psychiatrist, and duration was not specified."					
Comparison	"One study: compared with six weekly 90 min educational sessions. other: not specified"					
Length of follow-up	"One study: 18 months. other: not specified"					
Outcome and effect size	Absence of evidence for the efficacy of BI among primary care patients with screening					
	identified alcohol dependence					
Funding	NIAAA and NIDA					
Comments						

Title	A systematic review of work-place interventions for alcohol-related problems			
First Author	Webb, 2009 Source 19207344			
Level of evidence	1a Study type Systematic Review			
Study quality	High quality; systematic review and evaluation of methodological quality of studies included.			
Participants	10 Studies including 4 RCTs			
Patient characteristics	Recruitment not clearly specified in all studies; one study identified participants via screening. For alcohol measures, seven studies used self-report measures only one used company records only, one used a combination of self-report, biochemical testing (gamma glutamic transferase and carbohydrate-deficient transferrin) and company records and one			

	did not specify how alcohol data were collected		
Intervention	Interventions (1-3 sessions depending on study) comprised three broad types of strategous psychosocial skills training, brief intervention, including feedback of results of self-report drinking, life-style factors and general health checks and alcohol education delivered visinternet website. The psychosocial interventions included peer referral 14, 20, team building and stress management and skills derived from the social learning model. For health checks, topics covered in addition to alcohol were smoking, exercise, diet, weigh stress, depression, blood pressure, cholesterol, diabetes, cancer, safety and preventive health-care risks.		
Comparison	One study: health education class, 3 studies: no intervention		
Length of follow-up			
Outcome and effect size Weaknesses in all studies related to representativeness of samples, consent as participation rates, blinding, post-test time-frames, contamination and reliabil validity of measures used. All except one study reported statistically significant in measures such as reduced alcohol consumption, binge drinking and alcohol			
Funding	Alcohol Education and Rehabilitation Foundation of Australia		
Comments			

1.4 Arzneimittel zur Entzugsbehandlung

Title Gamma-hydroxybutyrate reduces both withdrawa severe abstinent alcoholics: an open study vs. diaz			e and hypercortisolism in	
First Author	Nava, F., 2007 Source 17613965			
Level of evidence	3b	Study type	open randomized study	
Study quality	low			
Participants	N=42			
Patient characteristics	alcoholic inpatients we performed			
Intervention	Both diazepam (0.5mg/kg bodyweight, q.i.d.) and GHB (50mg/kg bodyweight, q.i.d.) were orally administered for three weeks			
Comparison	To compare the effects of diazepam and gamma-hydroxybutyrate (GHB) on the suppression of severe alcohol withdrawal syndrome and hypercortisolism			
Length of follow-up	no			
Outcome and effect size	During all study period, GHB was more able than diazepam in reducing both withdrawal syndrome (p<0.01) and hypercortisolism (p<0.01).			
Funding	Supported by the Italian Ministry of Health and by the Regional Authority of Lombardia and Veneto			
Comments	only abstract evaluated			

Title	A double-blind evaluation of gabapentin on alcohol effects and drinking in a clinical laboratory paradigm			
First Author	Myrick, H., 2007	Source	17250613	
Level of evidence	2b	Study type	RCT	
Study quality	Sub-acute human laboratory st	udy		
Participants	N=35 non-treatment-seeking a	coholic subjects, outpatients		
Patient characteristics	The average subject was 33 years old, male (94%), and Caucasian (80%). There were no differences between the 2 groups on any demographic variables and both groups had similar drinking during the 5-day natural observation period.			
Intervention	Double-blind treatment with up to 1,200 mg of gabapentin (n=18) or placebo (n=17) for 8 days.			
Comparison	The safety and tolerability of gabapentin were monitored in the natural environment during the first 5 days of medication treatment and during a free-choice limited access consumption paradigm following an initial drink of alcohol in a bar–lab setting on Day 7.			
Length of follow-up	<i>ı-</i> up No			
Outcome and effect size	There was no overall effect of gabapentin on drinking or craving; however, it was tolerated (e.g., mood and sedation) as well as placebo over 5 days of natural drinking. Peak blood alcohol averaged about 23mg% (maximum 34mg%) in the placebo group and about 19mg% (maximum 33mg%) in the gabapentin group (F=0.07, p=0.79). There was no effect of gabapentin on alcohol stimulation (p=0.75) or sedation (p=0.99).			
Funding	No information			
Comments	The results of this natural drinking and clinical laboratory study support the potential safety and tolerability of the anticonvulsant gabapentin if used in the treatment of alcohol withdrawal or alcohol relapse prevention. The lack of interaction with alcohol is of clinical significance considering the extent of outpatient treatment of alcoholism and comorbid psychiatric conditions Limitation: N<50			

Title	A randomized, open-label, controlled trial of gabapentin and phenobarbital in the treatment of alcohol withdrawal		
First Author	Mariani, J. J., 2006 Source 16449096		
Level of evidence	2b	Study type	RCT
Study quality	Moderate		
Participants	N=27		
Patient characteristics	Adult Inpatients seeking treatment with no obvious demographic or alcohol history-		
	differences in both treatment groups. Exception: the patients receiving gabapentin were in		

	more severe withdrawal on admission. Percent of subjects with history of alcohol		
	withdrawal seizures: 36% (GP), 23% (P). Mean baseline CIWA score 18-20 in both groups.		
Intervention Not blinded treatment with 1200mg GP/d vs. 60mg phenobarbital dosed do			
	days to 600mg GP vs. 30mg phenobarbital		
Comparison	Comparisons of the mean daily CIWA and craving scale rating scale scores.		
Length of follow-up	No follow-up		
Outcome and effect size	There were no significant differences in the proportion of treatment completers between		
	treatment groups (p=0.70) or the proportion of patients in each group requiring rescue		
	medication for breakthrough signs and symptoms of alcohol withdrawal (p=0.45). There		
	were no significant treatment differences in withdrawal symptoms or psychologic a		
	distress. No withdrawal seizures or symptoms of alcohol withdrawal delirium occurred in		
	either treatment group.		
Funding Supported by the Beth Israel Medical Center Department of Psychiatry			
Comments	The results of this study suggest that gabapentin may be equivalent to phenobarbital in the		
	treatment of alcohol withdrawal. Limitation: Not blinded, N<50		

Title	A retrospective chart review comparing tiagabine and benzodiazepines for the treatment of alcohol withdrawal			
First Author	Myrick, H., 2005	Source	16480168	
Level of evidence	4	Study type	Retrospective chart review	
Study quality	Low quality, N=13			
Participants	N =13			
Patient characteristics	All patients who received tiagabine (n=7) were seen clinically over the course of treatment for dual psychiatric and substance abuse disorders. Another group of subjects (n=6) initially presented for a double-blind, controlled trial comparing gabapentin and lorazepam and either declined to enroll or met exclusion criteria. This group of subjects was treated with oxazepam (n=5) or lorazepam (n=1). CIWA-baseline- scores between 14 and 16.			
Intervention	Tiagabine doses were initiated at 2mg BID (twice a day) to 4mg BID. Oxazepam doses were initiated at 30mg BID to 30mg QID (four times a day) for the first day of treatment. One patient received 2mg of lorazepam QID on the first day of treatment. All doses were tapered over five days of treatment.			
Comparison	Compares treatment outcomes for patients (N=13) treated for alcohol withdrawal with either the anticonvulsant tiagabine or the benzodiazepines oxazepam and lorazepam.			
Length of follow-up	6 months			
Outcome and effect size	Both benzodiazepines and tiagabine appeared to reduce CIWA-Ar scores at about the same magnitude (F(1,8)=1.19, p<0.31).			
Funding	National Science Foundation Training Grant, NIH Undergraduate Student Training Grant DBI- 0097842, and NIAAA Grant AA10761			
Comments				

Title	An ethanol protocol to prevent alcohol withdrawal syndrome		
First Author	Dissanaike, S., 2006	Source	16864031
Level of evidence	3b	Study type	Group 1: retrospective chart review
			Group2: prospective protocol case-control study
Study quality	Moderate quality		
Participants	Group 1: N=92; Group 2: N=68		
Patient characteristics	Surgical patients. Group 1: retrospective chart review. Group 2 consisted of all patients treated prospectively with this protocol Both groups had similar demographics and consisted primarily of male trauma victims.		
Intervention	Group2: a protocol for the initiation, dosage, and weaning of intravenous ethanol in patients at risk for AWS, based on blood alcohol levels and clinical assessment of withdrawal symptoms and signs.		
Comparison	Less AWS-symptoms in Group 2 (prospective with protocol) and more referral in rehabilitation in Group 2		

Length of follow-up	no
Outcome and effect size	Intravenous ethanol was very variable in dosage, duration, and indication. The protocol
	decreased the duration of treatment between the two groups from 7 days to a mean of 3
	days. The failure rate dropped from 20% to 7%. Referral to the substance abuse clinic rose
	from 7.6% to 20%. The only complication was asymptomatic hyponatremia in one patient.
Funding	No information
Comments	

Title	Antiglutamatergic strategies for diazepam	ethanol detoxification: com	parison with placebo and	
First Author	Krupitsky, E. M., 2007 Source 17374039			
Level of evidence	1b	Study type	placebo-controlled	
			randomized single-blinded	
Study quality	Study of good quality, clear proc	edures		
Participants	N=127 (N=25 (placebo), N=25 (d (topiramate))	iazepam), N=25 (lamorigine),	N=26 (Memantine), N=26	
Patient characteristics	Male alcohol-dependent inpatie	nts, baseline CIWA: 12-14		
Intervention	Subjects were assigned to 1 of 5 treatments for 7 days: placebo, diazepam 10mg TID, lamotrigine 25mg QID, memantine 10mg TID, or topiramate 25mg QID. Additional diazepam was administered when the assigned medication failed to suppress withdrawal symptoms adequately.			
Comparison	3x10mg Diazepam=4x25mg, Lamotrigin=3x10mg, Mamantine=4x25mg, Topiramate > Placebo			
Length of follow-up	No			
Outcome and effect size	All active medications significantly reduced observer-rated (F(4, 122)=3.85, p=0.006) and self-rated withdrawal severity (F(4, 122)=8.93, p<0.001), dysphoric mood (F(4, 114)=9.28, p<0.001), and supplementary diazepam administration (Fisher exact test: p<0.0001). Compared with placebo. The active medications did not differ from diazepam (Fisher exact test: p=0.40).			
Funding	Civilian Research Development Fund (CRDF) • NIAAA (R21- AA014543-01A1, KO5 AA 14906-01, I-P50 AA-12870- 04) • U.S. Department of Veterans Affairs			
Comments	The trial may indicate that different antiglutamatergic drugs may reduce AWS. Limitation: Low power! 5 arms and N=26 in each group. Open trial, not blinded.			

Title	Baclofen in the treatment of alcohol withdrawal syndrome: a comparative study vs diazepam			
First Author	Addolorato, G., 2006 Source 16490478			
Level of evidence	2b	Study type	RCT	
Study quality	Study of good quality, clear proc	edures		
Participants	N=37			
Patient characteristics	At baseline, mean total CIWA-Ar score (i.e. the sum of all items) was significantly higher in baclofen than diazepam group.			
Intervention	Baclofen (30mg/day for 10 consecutive days) was orally administered to 18 patients (15 males, 3 females; median age: 46.5 years). Diazepam (0.5-0.75mg/kg/day for 6 consecutive days, tapering the dose by 25% daily from day 7 to day 10) was orally administered to 19 patients (17 men, 2 women; median age: 42.0 years)			
Comparison	When CIWA-Ar subscales for sweating, tremors, anxiety and agitation were evaluated singly, treatment with baclofen and diazepam resulted in a significant decrease in sweating, tremors and anxiety score, without significant differences between the 2 drug treatments. Both treatments decreased the agitation score, although diazepam was slightly more rapid than baclofen.			
Length of follow-up	No			
Outcome and effect size	Both baclofen and diazepam significantly decreased CIWA-Ar score, without significant differences between the 2 treatments (F[1,140)=2.81, p>0.05). A reduction in AST, ALT,			

	GGT and MCV value was found in both baclofen- and diazepam- treated patient groups. No side effects were reported by either baclofen- or diazepam- treated patients.
	Partially supported by a grant from "Associazione Ricerca in Medicina," Rome-Bologna, Italy
Comments	Limitationen: N<50

Title	Comparison of intravenous ethanol versus diazepam for alcohol withdrawal prophylaxis in the trauma ICU: results of a randomized trial			
First Author	Weinberg, J. A., 2008 Source 18188105			
Level of evidence	2b	Study type	RCT	
Study quality	low			
Participants	N=50			
Patient characteristics	Trauma patients admitted to the ICU with a history of chronic daily alcohol consumption			
	greater than or equal to five beverage equivalents per day.			
Intervention	Were prospectively randomized to one of two 4-day prophylactic regimens: intravenous			
	ethanol infusion (EtOH) versus scheduled-dose diazepam (BENZO).			
Comparison	Patients were evaluated with the Riker sedation-agitation scale, a 7-point instrument for			
	the subjective assessment of both sedation (1 - unarousable) and agitation (7 - dangerous			
	agitation).			
Length of follow-up	No			
Outcome and effect size	Concerning the prophylaxis of AWS, intravenous ethanol offers no advantage over			
	diazepam with respect to efficacy or adverse sedative effects (p=n.s.).			
Funding	No information			
Comments				

Title	Inappropriate use of symptom-triggered therapy for alcohol withdrawal in the general hospital			
First Author	Hecksel, K. A., 2008 Source 18315992			
Level of evidence	2b	Study type	Randomized study	
Study quality	Low			
Participants	N=124			
Patient characteristics	Hospitalized medical and surgica	al patients.		
Intervention	observation			
Comparison	Of the 124 randomly selected patients, only 60 (48%) met both inclusion criteria. Of the remaining 64 patients, 9 (14%) were drinkers but could not communicate, and 35 (55%) could communicate but had not been drinking. Twenty (31%) met neither criterion.			
Length of follow-up	No			
Outcome and effect size	Fewer than half of the randomly selected patients met both of the inclusion criteria for the CIWA-Ar instrument. Significant association between postoperative status (p=0.01), liver disease (p=0.02) retained significance to predict appropriate ness. 7 of 11 patients who experienced adverse events had received STT according to the CIWA-Ar protocol (p=0.05). Significant association between adverse events and a history of alcohol dependence or AWS.			
Funding	No information			
Comments				

Title	Oxcarbazepine versus carbamazepine in the treatment of alcohol withdrawal				
First Author	Schik, G., 2005	Schik, G., 2005 Source 16109591			
Level of evidence	2b	Study type	Single-blinded and randomized pilot study		
Study quality	low				
Participants	N=29				
Patient characteristics	Inpatients seeking withdrawal treatment.				
	Baseline CIWA in CBZ-group (13-16) > than in the Oxcarbazepine-group (4-6).				

Intervention	The CBZ group received 600mg of carbamazepine on days 1-3, 300mg CBZ on day 4 and a last dose of 100 mg on day 5. Corresponding to the equivalent dosing of CBZ to OXC of 1:1.5 (Smith, 2001), the OXC group received 900mg of oxcarbazepine on days 1 to 3, 450mg OXC on day 4 and a final dose of 150mg OXC on day 5. Except for day 5, the medication was given in three daily doses.
Comparison	CBZ vs. Oxcarbazepine
Length of follow-up	No
Outcome and effect size	The oxcarbazepine group showed a significant decrease of withdrawal symptoms and reported significantly less 'craving for alcohol' compared to the carbamazepine group (p=0.011). Subjectively experienced side effects, normalization of vegetative parameters and improvement in the cognitive processing speed did not reveal differences for both groups.
Funding	No information
Comments	Limitation: Low baseline-AWS in oxcarbazepine-group

Title	Oxcarbazepine-efficacy and tolerability during treatment of alcohol withdrawal: a double-blind, randomized, placebo-controlled multicenter pilot study			
First Author	Koethe, D., 2007 Source 17511748			
Level of evidence	1b	Study type	RCT, 4-site, double-blind, randomized,	
			placebo- controlled pilot study	
Study quality	Study of good quality, clear proc	edures.		
Participants	N=50			
Patient characteristics	Inpatients seeking withdrawal-tr	eatment.		
Intervention	Oxcarbazepine vs placebo. No initial group differences were found.			
Comparison	The amount of rescue medication of clomethiazole (CLO) capsules needed was chosen as			
	the primary variable	the primary variable		
Length of follow-up	No			
Outcome and effect size	No differences were found in the need for rescue medication CLO (p=0.69), decrease of withdrawal symptoms (p=0.54), or craving for alcohol (p=0.20) between the OXC and the placebo group. Subjectively experienced side effects, normalization of vegetative parameters (p=0.28), craving (p=0.20), or improvement of psychopathological parameters (p=0.28) were not different between the groups. 1 subject in each group experienced an epileptic seizure.			
Funding	Novartis Pharma GmbH			
Comments				

Title	Self-reported sleep, sleepiness, and repeated alcohol withdrawals: a randomized, double blind, controlled comparison of lorazepam vs gabapentin			
First Author	Malcolm, R., 2007 Source 17557449			
Level of evidence	1b	Study type	RCT	
Study quality	Study of good quality, clear proc	edures.		
Participants	N=101			
Patient characteristics	Outpatients in treatment for alcohol withdrawal, 25% females, 15% African Americans, 3% Native Americans, and 1% Hispanic Americans.			
Intervention	4-day fixed-dose taper of gabapentin or lorazepam			
Comparison	Self-reported daytime sleepiness using the Epworth Sleepiness Scale. Self-reports of depression (Beck Depression Inventory), daily alcohol withdrawal using the Clinical Institute Withdrawal Assessment for Alcohol. Patients receiving 600mg, 900mg and 1200mg gabapentin did not differ and are combined in the analysis.			
Length of follow-up	8 days			
Outcome and effect size	During treatment for alcohol withdrawal, gabapentin as compared to standard therapy with lorazepam was superior on multiple sleep measures, in patients who had previous withdrawals.			
Funding	Supported by the National Institute on Alcohol Abuse and Alcoholism (NIAAA)			
Comments				

Title	Alcohol withdrawal pharmacotherapy for inpatients with medical comorbidity		
First Author	Weaver, M. F., 2006	Source	16785215
Level of evidence	2b	Study type	Clinical trial, cohort study
Study quality	Moderate		
Participants	N=183		
Patient characteristics	Patients hospitalized on genera	I medical wards at a university	y medical center
Intervention	Subjects in the ST arm received lorazepam doses based on CIWA-Ar score. Subjects in the FS arm received scheduled lorazepam with tapering over 4 days.		
Comparison	To determine whether there is a difference between symptom-triggered (ST) and fixed-schedule (FS) dosing of lorazepam		
Length of follow-up	No		
Outcome and effect size	No statistically significant difference in change of CIWA-Ar scores for the first 2 days between FS and ST groups (p=0.88). Symptom- triggered dosing for alcohol withdrawal for general medicine inpatients resulted in less lorazepam given with similar reduction in CIWA-Ar scores for the first 2 days, but a higher proportion of protocol errors.		
Funding	Funded by a Mentored Clinical Scientist Development Award (K23 AA00 222) from the NIAAA		
Comments			

Title	Alcohol withdrawal syndrome: symptom-triggered versus fixed-schedule treatment in an outpatient setting			
First Author	Elholm, B., 2011	Source	21414950	
Level of evidence	1b	Study type	RCT; 5-site, double-blind, randomized,	
			placebo- controlled study	
Study quality	Study of good quality, clear prod	edures		
Participants	N=163			
Patient characteristics	Outpatients seeking withdrawal	-treatment		
Intervention	randomized 1:1 to either a symptom-triggered self-medication or tapered dose, using			
	chlordiazepoxide			
Comparison	Comparison of alcohol withdrawal symptoms, amount of medication, duration of			
	symptoms, time to relapse and p	symptoms, time to relapse and patient satisfaction		
Length of follow-up	10 days, one year			
Outcome and effect size	Time to SAWS score <12 (p=0.924) or <6 (p=0.091) did not differ between the two			
	treatment groups. No differences regarding median cumulated dose of chlordiazepoxide,			
	relapse, well-being, satisfaction, abstinence after one year.			
Funding	Danish Ministry of Health			
Comments	Results suggest that outpatient treatment is effective and in a specialized setting.			
	Symptom-triggered medication is as effective and safe as the standard fixed- schedule			
	treatment in outpatients.	treatment in outpatients.		

Title	Levetiracetam for the treatment of alcohol withdrawal syndrome: a multicenter, prospective, randomized, placebo-controlled trial		
First Author	Richter, C., 2010	Source	21105289
Level of evidence	1b Study type RCT; 5-site, double-blind, random placebo-controlled study		RCT; 5-site, double-blind, randomized, placebo-controlled study
Study quality	Study of good quality, clear procedures		
Participants	N=106		
Patient characteristics	Inpatients seeking withdrawal-treatment		
Intervention	Randomized to either Levetiracetam (administered in a fixed dose schedule over 6 days) or placebo. Diazepam was added symptom triggered as rescue medication.		
Comparison	Group comparison of the overall needed symptom triggered daily and weekly dose of		
	diazepam, Alcohol withdrawal symptoms over time. Adherence, Safety, QoL, Craving,		

	Anxiety, Depression.
Length of follow-up	7 days
Outcome and effect size	The number of patients who developed severe withdrawal syndromes did not differ
	significantly between placebo or levetiracetam group (18.4% vs 20.3%). The mean
	diazepam use between day 1 and day 8 did also not differ (44.7mg in the levetiracetam and
	38.6mg in the placebo group, p=0.522). Tolerability and safety data were similar in the LV
	group when compared with placebo.
Funding	UCB-Pharma
Comments	Results do not support an own or additional effect of LV on the reduction of alcohol
	withdrawal symptoms. Study design does not give information about a possible effect of
	early monotherapeutic treatment on AWS and on withdrawal seizures

Title	Zonisamide versus diazepam in the treatment of alcohol withdrawal syndrome		
First Author	Rubio, G., 2010	Source	20927698
Level of evidence	2b?	Study type	3-week, randomized, flexible-dose open, controlled pilot trial
Study quality	Moderate		
Participants	N=40		
Patient characteristics	Inpatients seeking withdrawal-tr	eatment	
Intervention	Zonisamide 400-600mg/day (we	ek 1), tapering t	o a minimum dose of 100-300mg/ day
	(week 3) or Diazepam (from 130-50mg/ day tapering to 5-15mg/ day).		
Comparison	Comparison of AWS during treatment with Zonisamid vs. Diazepam		
Length of follow-up	Day 7, 14, 21		
Outcome and effect size	• Similar reduction of AWS-symptoms during the first 14 days in both groups [F(9,29)=4.83; p<0.001).		
	• Similar scores for craving, anxiety and depression without significant effect for group (F(2,37)=1.58, p=0.28).		
	• Less side effects in the Zonisar	nid group (p=0.0	004).
	• Lower craving scores (t(39)=2.	87, p<0.01), with	ndrawal symptoms (t(39)=14.32, p<0.001),
	anxiety symptoms (t(39)=19.31,	p<0.001) and de	epressive symptoms (t(39)=4.63, p<0.001)
	after 3 weeks in the Zonisamid g	roup.	
Funding	No information		
Comments	Zonisamid might also be a anticonvulsive drug for the treatment of acute AWS		

Title	A prospective, randomized, trial of phenobarbital versus benzodiazepines for acute alcohol withdrawal		
First Author	Hendey, G. W., 2011	Source	20825805
Level of evidence	1b	Study type	RCT; 2-site prospective, randomized, double blind trial
Study quality	Moderate		
Participants	N=44		
Patient characteristics	Inpatients in the emergency dep	artment with	beginning alcohol withdrawal syndrome
Intervention	Intravenous phenobarbital (mean, 509 mg) or i.v. lorazepam (mean, 4.2mg). At discharge, LZ patients received chlordiazepoxide (Librium), and PB patients received placebo		
Comparison	To compare the effect of intravenous phenobarbital (PB) versus intravenous lorazepam (LZ) plus oral chlordiazepoxid regarding the reduction of alcohol withdrawal symptoms after 48 hours and time in the emergency department (ED)		
Length of follow-up	48 hours		
Outcome and effect size	There were no differences between PB and LZ in baseline CIWA scores (p=0.3), discharge scores (p=0.04), ED length of stay (p=0.8), admissions (p=0.8) or 48-hour follow-up CIWA scores (p=0.6).		
Funding	No information		
Comments	Phenobarbit al and LZ were simi	larly effective	in the treatment of mild/moderate alcohol

withdrawal in the Emergency Department and at 48 hours. But: low number of patients,
need of intensive care. Difficult combination with lorazepam i.v. plus oral Chlordiazepoxid.

Title	Pregabalin, tiapride and lorazepam in alcohol withdrawal syndrome: a multicentre, randomized, single-blind comparison trial		
First Author	Martinotti, G., 2010	Source	20078487
Level of evidence	2b	Study type	
Study quality	Good quality, clear procedure		
Participants	N=111 (divided in 3 groups)		
Patient characteristics	Day clinic patients with AWS.		
Intervention	Maximum doses of pregabalin (450mg/day) vs. tiapride (800mg/day) vs. lorazepam (10mg/day)		
Comparison	To compare lorazepam with pregabalin and tiapride in the treatment of alcohol withdrawal syndrome (AWS). Medication was administered symptom triggered. Outcome measures were the reduction of withdrawal symptoms, the number of days remaining in treatment and the maintenance of abstinence.		
Length of follow-up	14 days		
Outcome and effect size	All the medications in the trial s uncomplicated forms of AWS. T study period [pregabalin: 23 (62 significantly different in the thre pregabalin group (x2=4.19, p=0. were found with regard to item clouding of sensorium) of CIWA Wallis test=7.5, p=0.02; 8.8, p=0.02;	the number of subjects remain .2%); tiapride: 14 (37.8%); lora te treatment groups, with a high 04). Significant differences bet as 9 (headache, fullness in head with a higher reduction for pr	ing alcohol free for the entire azepam: 21 (56.8%)] was gher number in the tween groups of treatment and 10 (orientation and
Funding	None		
Comments	Pregabalin may be considered a	s a potentially useful drug for	treatment of AWS.

Title	Oxcarbazepine in combination with Tiaprid in inpatient alcohol-withdrawala RCT			
First Author	Croissant, B., 2009	Croissant, B., 2009 Source 19724979		
Level of evidence	2b	Study type	RCT-randomized, open-label, parallel- group, clinical trial	
Study quality	Moderate			
Participants	N=60			
Patient characteristics	Inpatients with alcohol depende	nce seeking wit	thdrawal-treatment	
Intervention	Starting dose: 600mg Oxcarbamazepine plus 1200mg tiaprid/day vs. 2 cps. Clomethiazole every 4 hrs.			
Comparison	To compare a combination of oxcarbazepine (OXC)/ tiaprid (TIA) vs. clomethiazole (CLO) in alcohol withdrawal.			
Length of follow-up	6-8 days			
Outcome and effect size	Severity of alcohol withdrawal syndrome comparable between OXC/TIA and CLO-patients. Significantly more patients in the OXC/TIA-group (48.1%) displayed no AE compared to the CLO-group (24.1%). No significant differences between groups regarding total number of recorded adverse events (AEs).			
Funding	No information			
Comments	 OXC/TIA could have the potential to become a promising alternative for alcohol dependent patients unable to undergo inpatient withdrawal therapy with CLO. Should be tested in daily care and outpatients settings. 			

I ITIE	Efficacy of a combination of flumazenil and gabapentin in the treatment of alcohol dependence: relationship to alcohol withdrawal symptoms		
First Author	nton, R. F., 2009 Source 19593171		
Level of evidence	1b	Study type	Double blind prospective controlled

Study quality	Good quality
Participants	N=60
Patient characteristics	Alcoholics who did and did not exhibit pretreatment alcohol withdrawal (AW) symptoms.
Intervention	Of those in the low AW (Alcohol withdrawal) group, 18 received placebos and 26 received
	active flumazenil/gabapentin. Of those in the high AW group, 9 received placebo and 7
	received active flumazenil/gabapentin. 0.1mg (1mL) of flumazenil/placebo.
Comparison	Sixty alcohol-dependent individuals (44 with low AW and 16 with high AW) were
	randomized to compare FMZ (2mg of incremental bolus for 20 minutes for 2 consecutive
	days) and GBP (up to 1200mg nightly for 39 days) or their inactive placebos.
Length of follow-up	48hrs to 6 weeks
Outcome and effect size	In those patients with high AW but not in those with a mild AW greater improvement in
	AW symptoms was observed in the active medication group compared with the placebo
	group. Patients in the high AW group had also more Percent days abstinent during
	treatment and time to first heavy drinking
Funding	Supported by a grant from Hythiam Inc.
Comments	These results suggest a differential response to FMZ/GBP treatment, depending on pre-
	treatment AW status (high or low).

Title	A double-blind trial of gabapentin versus lorazepam in the treatment of alcohol withdrawal		
First Author	Myrick, H., 2009	Source	19485969
Level of evidence	1b	Study type	RCT-prospective double blind, dose-response trial
Study quality	Good quality, clear proced	ure	
Participants	N=74		
Patient characteristics	Patients with alcohol with	drawal sympt	oms (CIWA-Ar ≥10) seeking outpatient treatment
Intervention	2 doses of gabapentin (900	Omg tapering	to 600mg or 1200 tapering to 800mg) or lorazepam
	(6mg tapering to 4mg) for	4 days	
Comparison	Comparison of alcohol wit	hdrawal symp	otoms, alcohol drinking and craving during and
	immediately after outpation	ent treatment	with high dose Gabapentin, moderate dose
	gabapentin or Lorazepam.		
Length of follow-up	Days 1 to 4 with follow up until day 12		
Outcome and effect size	High-dose gabapentin was	statistically s	uperior but clinically similar to lorazepam in
	reducing withdrawal symp	toms. During	treatment, lorazepam treated participants had
	higher probabilities of drinking compared to gabapentin-group. Post-treatment,		
	gabapentin-treated patients had less probability of drinking during the follow-up compared		
	to the lorazepam-treated participants. The gabapentin groups also had less craving,		
	anxiety, and sedation com	pared to lora	zepam.
Funding	NIAAA grants and VA Medical Research		
Comments	High dose Gabapentin was	effective for	outpatient treatment of alcohol withdrawal and
	reduced the probability of	drinking duri	ng alcohol withdrawal and in the immediate post-
	withdrawal week compare	ed to lorazepa	m. Cave: gabapentin group with 600mg was
	stopped based on lack of e	efficacy and cl	inical complication.

Title	Proof-of-concept human laboratory study for protracted abstinence in alcohol dependence: effects of gabapentin			
First Author	Mason, B. J., 2009	Source	18855801	
Level of evidence	2b	Study type	Early Phase II proof-of-concept human	
		laboratory cue-reactivity study		
Study quality	Good quality, clear procedure, preclinical trial			
Participants	N=33			
Patient characteristics	Paid volunteers with current Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders-IV			
	alcohol dependence and a strength of craving rating 1 SD or greater for alcohol than water			
	cues.			
Intervention	gabapentin 1200mg vs. placebo			
Comparison	To compare symptoms of craving and disturbances in sleep and mood after one week			

	treatment with Gabapentin (1200mg/day) vs. placebo.
Length of follow-up	1 week
Outcome and effect size	Gabapentin was associated with significantly greater reductions than placebo on several
	measures of subjective craving for alcohol as well as for affectively evoked craving.
	Gabapentin was also associated with significant improvement of sleep quality.
Funding	NIAAA
Comments	Only proof-of-concept with short treatment duration! Results suggest that gabapentin may
	be effective for treating the protracted abstinence phase in alcohol dependence.

Title	Gamma-hydroxybutyric acid versus clomethiazole for the treatment of alcohol withdrawal syndrome in a medical intensive care unit: an open, single-center randomized study		
First Author	Elsing, C., 2009	Source	19462303
Level of evidence	2b	Study type	Open, single-center randomized study
Study quality	Moderate		
Participants	N=26		
Patient characteristics	Alcoholic patients with severe AWS and concomitant medical diseases treated in an Intensive care unit.		
Intervention	Clomethiazole given orally in a dosage of 250 mg every 4 hours as a liquid or GHB i.v. (initially 30mg/kg body weight followed by 15mg/kg BW).		
Comparison	To compare four major AWS symptoms (tremor, sweating, nausea, restlessness) between clomethiazole and GHB treated patients.		
Length of follow-up	7 hrs.		
Outcome and effect size	GHB was more effective in treating AWS symptoms in the first 7 hrs with a greater		
	decrease of AWS symptoms. No influence on duration of ICU stay. No serious side effects.		
Funding	No information		
Comments		·	

Title	A randomized, double-blind comparison of lorazepam and chlordiazepoxide in patients with uncomplicated alcohol withdrawal		
First Author	Kumar, C. N., 2009	Source	19371497
Level of evidence	1b	Study type	RCT double-blind
Study quality	Good quality		
Participants	N=100		
Patient characteristics	Male inpatients in a state of moderately severe, uncomplicated alcohol withdrawal at screening		
Intervention	Lorazepam (8mg/day) or chlordiazepoxide (80mg/day) with dosing down-titrated to zero in a fixed-dose schedule.		
Comparison	To compare withdrawal symptoms according to CIWA-Ar between Lorazepam and Chlordiazepoxid treated patients.		
Length of follow-up	8 days, follow-up 4 days later		
Outcome and effect size	No significant difference in f alcohol withdrawal severity between Lorazepam and chlordiazepoxide in reducing symptoms of alcohol withdrawal. Irritability and dizziness were more common with lorazepam (2.9% vs 0.4%, 0.9% vs 0.0%), and palpitations were more common with chlordiazepoxide (0.9% vs 0.0%)		
Funding	None		
Comments			

3.5 Körperliche Komplikationen

Title	Alcoholism, peripheral neuropathy (PNP) and cardiovascular autonomic neuropathy (CAN)				
First Author	Agelink, 1998	Agelink, 1998 Source 9879694			
Level of evidence	2b	Study type	Case control		
Study quality	medium	medium			
Participants	N=115				
Patient characteristics	35 strictly selected, detoxified alcoholics (DSM-III-R), and 80 well matched healthy controls				
Intervention					

Comparison	
Length of follow-up	
Outcome and effect size	Our findings provide reason to suspect that the total lifetime dose of alcohol and the
	duration of alcohol dependence are the most important factors contributing to the
	pathogenesis of both PNP and sympathetic dysfunction
Funding	
Comments	

Title	Peripheral neuropathy in chron subjects	ic alcoholism: a retrospective	cross-sectional study in 76			
First Author	Ammendola, 2001	Ammendola, 2001 Source 11373267				
Level of evidence	2b	Study type	Cohort study			
Study quality	medium					
Participants	N=76					
Patient characteristics	alcoholics with PNP					
Intervention	A consecutive sample of 76 chronic alcoholic patients was studied clinically, biochemically and electrophysiologically to assess clinical and/or subclinical signs of alcohol-related neuropathy as well as the most important and disputed risk factors for neuropathy such as age, parental history of alcoholism, nutritional status, alcoholic disease duration and total lifetime dose of ethanol (TLDE)					
Comparison	retrospektive Betrachtung;					
Length of follow-up						
Outcome and effect size	positive family history of alcoholism, but above all alcoholic disease duration and TLDE,					
	could be more important factors than malnutrition in determining neuropathy					
Funding						
Comments						

Title	Pharmacotherapy for no	europathic pain in adults: a	systematic review and meta-analysis.
First Author	Finnerup, 2015	Source	25575710
Level of evidence	1b	Study type	Systematic Review
Study quality	high		
Participants	229 Studies		
Patient characteristics	Pt with PNP		
Intervention			
	[5.2 8.4]) for serotonin-noradrenaline reuptake inhibitors, mainly including duloxetine (nine of 14 studies); 7.7 (95% CI [6.5 9.4]) for pregabalin; 7.2 (95% CI [5.9 9.21]) for gabapentin, including gabapentin extended release and enacarbil; and 10.6 (95% CI [7.4 19.0]) for capsaicin high-concentration patches. NNTs were lower for tricyclic antidepressants, strong opioids, tramadol, and botulinum toxin A, and undetermined for lidocaine patches. Based on GRADE, final quality of evidence was moderate or high for all treatments apart from lidocaine patches; tolerability and safety, and values and preferences were higher for topical drugs; and cost was lower for tricyclic antidepressants and tramadol.		
Length of follow-up			
Outcome and effect size	These findings permitted a strong recommendation for use and proposal as first-line treatment in neuropathic pain for tricyclic antidepressants, serotonin-noradrenaline reuptake inhibitors, pregabalin, and gabapentin; a weak recommendation for use and proposal as second line for lidocaine patches, capsaicin high-concentration patches, and tramadol; and a weak recommendation for use and proposal as third line for strong opioids and botulinum toxin A. Topical agents and botulinum toxin A are recommended for peripheral neuropathic pain only.		
Funding	Role of the funding sour	ce: The study was partially f	funded by NeuPSIG. NA, NF, PK, RB, AR, mmittee. No author was paid to write

	this article by a pharmaceutical company or other agency. The corresponding author and all co-authors had full access to all the data in the study and had final responsibility for the
	decision to submit for publication.
Comments	

Title	Revisiting the evidence for n	europathy caused by	pyridoxine deficiency and excess.		
First Author	Ghavanini	Source	25137514		
Level of evidence	2b	Study type	Systematic Review		
Study quality	medium				
Participants	36 Studien; N=660				
Patient characteristics	Pt who took Pyridoxin				
Intervention	SR; However, neurological practitioners frequently discourage patients from taking pyridoxine in excess of 50mg/d given concerns around the development of a toxic sensory neuronopathy. There is no systematic review to support either of the 2 practices. To address this gap in knowledge, we reviewed the available literature on neuropathy attributed to pyridoxine deficiency and excess.				
Comparison					
Length of follow-up					
Outcome and effect size	Based on the current limited data, it can be concluded that very low doses of daily pyridoxine are required to prevent peripheral neuropathy. There is inadequate evidence to support routine pyridoxine supplementation in patients with disorders of peripheral nervous system. Supplementation with pyridoxine at doses greater than 50 mg/d for extended duration may be harmful and should be discouraged.				
Funding	MH, BHS are members of Nethis article by a pharmaceutic	uPSIG management co cal company or other to all the data in the	funded by NeuPSIG. NA, NF, PK, RB, AR, ommittee. No author was paid to write agency. The corresponding author and study and had final responsibility for the		
Comments					

Title	The course of alcoholic-nutritional peripheral neuropathy.			
First Author	Hawley, 1982	Source	6293240	
Level of evidence	2c	Study type	Outcome Research	
Study quality	poor quality prognostic cohort s	tudy		
Participants	n=24 (ITT n=63)			
Patient characteristics	pt with alcoholic-nutritional peripheral neuropathy			
Intervention	abstinence			
Comparison				
Length of follow-up	2 to 72 months (mean 33)			
Outcome and effect size	11 of the patients were able to stop drinking alcohol. Initial subjective improvement was seen within the first week or two, but substantial improvement was not seen for 5 to 6 months. Most leg motor nerve velocity improved at a mean rate of increase of 0.12 M/sec per abstinent month. Large motor units and slowed nerve conduction persisted in "cured" patients. The largest motor units detected in the legs grew, despite alcohol intake.			
Funding				
Comments	25 Jahre alt, kleine Fallzahl, zeigt aber dass es unter Abstinenz besser wird			

Title	Alcohol-related peripheral neuropathy: a systematic review and meta-analysis.		
First Author	Julian, 2019	Source	30467601
Level of evidence	1b	Study type	Systematic Review
Study quality	medium		

Participants	N=2.590
Patient characteristics	Alcoholics with PNP
Intervention	
Comparison	87 articles were included in this review, 29 case-control studies, 52 prospective/
	retrospective cohort studies and 2 randomized control trials, 1 cross sectional study, and 3 population-based studies.
Length of follow-up	population-based studies.
Outcome and effect size	The prevalence of peripheral neuropathy amongst chronic alcohol abusers is 46.3% (95% CI [35.7 57.3]) when confirmed via nerve conduction studies. Alcohol-related peripheral neuropathy generally presents as a progressive, predominantly sensory axonal length-dependent neuropathy. The most important risk factor for alcohol-related peripheral neuropathy is the total lifetime dose of ethanol, although other risk factors have been identified including genetic, male gender, and type of alcohol consumed. At present, it is unclear what the pathogenetic mechanisms for the development of neuropathy amongst those who chronically abuse alcohol are, and therefore, it is unknown whether it is attributed to the direct toxic effects of ethanol or another currently unidentified factor. There is presently sparse data to support a particular management strategy in alcohol-related peripheral neuropathy, but the limited data available appears to support the use of vitamin supplementation, particularly of B-vitamin regimens inclusive of thiamine.
Funding	This review did not receive funding. Dr. Zis is sincerely thankful to the Ryder Briggs Fund. This is a summary of independent research carried out at the NIHR Sheffield Biomedical Research Centre (Translational Neuroscience).
Comments	heterogene Studiendesigns im SR, daher "medium" quality und "nur" 1b

Title	Treatment of alcoholic polyneu trial.	ropathy with vitamin B comp	lex: a randomised controlled		
First Author	Peters, 2006 Source 16926172				
Level of evidence	2b	Study type	RCT		
Study quality	medium				
Participants	N=325				
Patient characteristics	325 patients with sensory symp	toms and signs of alcoholic po	lyneuropathy.		
Intervention					
Comparison	Patients were randomised to the 'old formulation' (i.e. vitamins B1, B2, B6, and B12), 'new formulation' [i.e. identical to the 'old formulation' with additional folic acid (vitamin B9)], or placebo in a 1:1:1 ratio.				
Length of follow-up	12-week treatment period				
Outcome and effect size	Therapeutic efficacy was assessed in 253 patients by measuring vibration perception threshold (biothesiometry), intensity of pain, sensory function, co-ordination, and reflex responses. Patients treated with the 'new formulation' or 'old formulation' showed significant improvement in the primary efficacy endpoint (vibration perception threshold at the big toe) and secondary efficacy endpoints in comparison to placebo. The active treatment groups were comparable to placebo in terms of safety.				
Funding	Conflicts of interests — The trial was sponsored by Laboratoires SMB, Belgium, of which F.V., S.D.N., D.M. and M.C. are employees. No other author had a financial interest in the investigational product. No other conflict of interest declared.				
Comments	Specific vitamin B complex (with alcoholic polyneuropathy over a	, .	cantly improved symptoms of		

Title	Antidepressants for neuropathic pain: a Cochrane review.				
First Author	Saarto & Wiffen, 2010	aarto & Wiffen, 2010 Source 22786518			
Level of evidence	b Study type Systematic Review				
Study quality	medium				
Participants	66 reports; 3.293 participants				
Patient characteristics	Pt with neuropathic pain of different ethiology				

Intervention	treatment with different AD
Comparison	
Length of follow-up	
Outcome and effect size	Antidepressants are effective for a variety of neuropathic pains. Both TCAs and venlafaxine
	have a NNT of approximately 3.
Funding	
Comments	

Title	Alcoholic polyneuropathy: a clinical and epidemiological study.					
First Author	Vittadini, 2001	/ittadini, 2001 Source 11524304				
Level of evidence	2b	Study type		Cohort Study		
Study quality	medium					
Participants	N=236	N=236				
Patient characteristics	Alcoholics with PNP; Verschiedene Schweregrade und Konsummuster					
Intervention	Retrospective analysis					
Comparison						
Length of follow-up						
Outcome and effect size	Significant correlations were found between polyneuropathy, the duration of alcoholism,					
	the type of alcoholic beverage consumed (wine) and the presence of liver disease and					
	macrocytosis.					
Funding						
Comments			·			

Title	Drinking pattern and alcohol-related medical disorders.				
First Author	Wetterling, 1999	Wetterling, 1999 Source 10414607			
Level of evidence	2b	Study type	Cohort study		
Study quality	High				
Participants	N=241				
Patient characteristics	Chronic alcoholics admitted for	detoxification			
Intervention	Retrospective analysis				
Comparison	History of alcohol abuse as well as drinking behaviour in the last 6 months were assessed by a semi-structured interview. Findings included intensive clinical examination with abdominal ultrasound in most subjects.				
Length of follow-up					
Outcome and effect size	The heavy drinkers suffered more often from pancreatitis, oesophageal varices, polyneuropathy or erectile dysfunction than episodic drinkers. They also showed more upper gastrointestinal disorders, although the estimated life-time alcohol intake was comparable to continuous drinkers. No difference relating to withdrawal delirium or seizures could be found between the groups of alcoholics				
Funding	BMFF; No.07EB9421, 07FDA01;	BMFF; No.07EB9421, 07FDA01; Bonn Germany			
Comments					

Title	Opioid Misuse as a Predictor of Alcohol Treatment Outcomes in the COMBINE Study: Mediation by Medication Adherence.			
First Author	Witkiewitz, 2018	Vitkiewitz, 2018 Source 29873089		
Level of evidence	1b	Study type	RCT	
Study quality	High	High		
Participants	N=1383			
Patient characteristics	alcoholics as part of the COMBINE Study			
Intervention				
Comparison	9 treatment groups/ 16 weeks			

Length of follow-up	16 weeks		
Outcome and effect size	Baseline opioid misuse significantly predicted the time-to-first heavy drinking day (OR=1.38		
	95%CI [1.13 1.64], p=0.001) and a higher probability of being in a heavier and more		
	frequent drinking profile at the end of treatment (OR=2.90 [95% CI [1.43 5.90],		
Funding	Funding: This research was supported by grants funded by the National Institutes of Health		
	R01 AA022328 and R01 AA025539 (Witkiewitz, PI) and R34 AT08398 (Vowles, PI). The		
	content is solely the responsibility of the authors and does not necessarily reflect the views		
	of NIH.		
Comments	Opioid misuse and other drug use were associated with poorer an AUD treatment		
	outcome, which was mediated by medication adherence. Clinicians and researchers should		
	assess opioid misuse and other drug use in patients undergoing AUD treatment.		

Title	Benfotiamine in treatment of alcoholic polyneuropathy: an 8-week randomized controlled study (BAP I Study).					
First Author	Woelk, 1998	Noelk, 1998 Source 9872352				
Level of evidence	2a	a Study type Case control				
Study quality	Medium					
Participants	N = 84					
Patient characteristics	out-patients with severe symptoms of alcoholic polyneuropathy					
Intervention	three-armed, randomized, multicentre, placebo-controlled double-blind study					
Comparison	The efficacy of benfotiamine vs a combination containing benfotiamine and vitamins B6 and B12 in out-patients with severe symptoms of alcoholic polyneuropathy (Benfotiamine in treatment of Alcoholic Polyneuropathy, BAP I). 3-armig: Placebo, Benfotiamin und Formula					
Length of follow-up	8 weeks					
Outcome and effect size	Benfotiamine led to significant improvement of alcoholic polyneuropathy.					
Funding						
Comments						

Title	How addictive are gabapentin and pregabalin? A systematic review			
First Author	Bonnet, 2017	Source		28988943
Level of evidence	1b	Study type		SR
Study quality	high			
Participants	106 Studien			
Patient characteristics	Pt. mit verschiedenen SUD			
Intervention				
Comparison	We did not find convincing evidence of a vigorous addictive power of gabapentinoids which is primarily suggested from their limited rewarding properties, marginal notes on relapses, and the very few cases with gabapentinoid-related behavioral dependence symptoms (ICD-10) in patients without a prior abuse history (N=4). In support, there was no publication about people who sought treatment for the use of gabapentinoids. Pregabalin appeared to be somewhat more addictive than gabapentin regarding the magnitude of behavioral dependence symptoms, transitions from prescription to self-administration, and the durability of the self-administrations.			
Length of follow-up				
Outcome and effect size	The principal population at risk for addiction of gabapentinoids consists of patients with other current or past substance use disorders (SUD), mostly opioid and multi-drug users, who preferred pregabalin. Pure overdoses of gabapentinoids appeared to be relative safe but can become lethal (pregabalin > gabapentin) in mixture with other psychoactive drugs, especially opioids again and sedatives. Based upon these results, we compared the addiction risks of gabapentin and pregabalin with those of traditional psychoactive substances and recommend that in patients with a history of SUD, gabapentinoids should be avoided or if indispensable, administered with caution by using a strict therapeutic and prescription monitoring.			

Funding	No funding
Comments	

3.6. Komorbide psychische Störungen

3.6.2 Schizophrenie

Title	A systematic review of psychological interventions for excessive alcohol consumption among people with psychotic disorders			
First Author	Baker, A. L., 2012 Source 22632145			
Level of evidence	1a	Study type	Meta-analysis of 7 RCTs	
Study quality	identification of 429 papers → e 19 studies due to methodologica Narrative review and calculation Comprehensive Meta-analysis (E overall effect size because of sub	Adequate study selection process: systematic literature search during Jan. 2010 → identification of 429 papers → extraction of 26 potentially relevant studies → exclusion of 19 studies due to methodological issues. Narrative review and calculation of effect sizes (Cohen´s d) for alcohol use outcomes using Comprehensive Meta-analysis (Biostat) and STATA/SE 11 (Stata-Corp). No calculation of an overall effect size because of substantial diversity in participants, type of treatment und		
Participants	validated Physiotherapy Evidenc N=7 RCTs with a total of n=942	Studies evaluated: Baker 2002a+b, Graeber 2003, Martino 2006, Baker 2006, Kemp 2007,		
Patient characteristics	Patients with psychotic disorders (schizophrenia, schizoaffective, psychotic mood disorders, bipolar disorders) and comorbid alcohol use disorder (AUD) (Graeber et al., 2003) or substance use disorders (SUD) (the remaining 6 studies). In the six studies with SUD secondary subgroup analyses were performed with the data of the AUD patients. In-patients (N=1), in- and out-patients (N=2), out-patients (N=4)			
Intervention	1 to 3 sessions MI (Motivational Interviewing) (N=3) or a combination of MI and CBT (Cognitive behavioral therapy) over 4-6 sessions (N=1), or 10 sessions (N=1), or over a period of 12 or 18 months (N=2).			
Comparison	TAU (Treatment as Usual) or psychoeduc ation or "standard psychiatric interviews" same length as intervention.			
Length of follow-up	3 months to 18 months			
Outcome and effect size	1 or 2 sessions MI had no advantage compared to the control condition. 3 sessions MI had more abstinent days compared to the control condition (small pure AUD sample with n=30, psychoeducation as control condition, Graeber 2003). Size effect small to moderate. 2 studies with an MI+CBT intervention showed no difference in alcohol use parameters between intervention and control, but the intervention groups showed more improvement in affective or psychotic symptoms. The remaining 2 studies with an MI+CBT intervention showed an advantage for the intervention. Size effect moderate.			
Funding	NHMRC Fellowship QUT Vice Chancellor's Senior Research Fellowship Australian Postgraduate Awards			
Comments				

Title	A pilot study comparing motiva patients with schizophrenia and Baker et al., 2012)			
First Author	Graeber, D. A., 2003	Source	12836801	
Level of evidence	1b	Study type	RCT	
Study quality	Composite PEDro score 5 (total	9). Low drop-out rate. Groups	dissimilar at baseline, no ITT	
	analysis, assessors were not blinded, no verification of self-report alcohol use, no ratings of			
	reatment adherence/fidelity. Small sample size, almost only males, possible therapist			
	effect.	effect.		
Participants	N=30			
Patient characteristics	In- and out-patients with schizophrenia and comorbid alcohol use disorder (AUD) male:			
	96,7% mean age: 44			
Intervention	MI 3 x 1-hour weekly individual sessions, delivered by a psychologist			
Comparison	Psychoeducation 3 x 1- hour weekly individual sessions, delivered by a social worker			
Length of follow-up	24 weeks (Assessments after 4, 8 and 24 weeks). 93% at all time points			
Outcome and effect size	MI had more abstinent days du	ring follow-up. Size effect smal	l to moderate. No difference	

	on drinking intensity.
Funding	
Comments	

Title	Motivational interviewing among psychiatric in-patients with substance use disorders / Evaluation of a motivational interview for substance use within psychiatric in-patient services (Evaluated in the meta-analysis of Baker et al., 2012)		
First Author	Baker, A., 2002	Source	12197863
Level of evidence	2b	Study type	RCT
Study quality	Composite PEDro score 6 (total analysis, no verification of self-radherence/fidelity.	•	
Participants	N=160		
Patient characteristics	In-patients with psychotic disorders (37% schizophrenia, 29.6% mood, 12.3% other, 19.8% none) and comorbid SUD (54.4% alcohol, 50.8% cannabis, 21.9% amphetamines, 12.5% heroin, 11.3% tranquilizers) male: 81.3% mean age: 31, criteria for alcohol consumption: >4 drinks per day for men, >2 drinks per day for women		
Intervention	MI 1 x 30-45 Min. individual sess	sion, delivered by a psychologi	st
Comparison	TAU		
Length of follow-up	12 months (Assessments after 3m (70%), 6m (73.1%) and 12m (71.9%)). All follow-ups 55,6%		
Outcome and effect size	Alcohol use (standard drinks per day) reduced for the whole sample. No differences between intervention and control group.		
Funding	Research into Drug Abuse grant from the Commonwealth Department Of Health and Aged Care.		
Comments	Secondary subgroup analyses or excessive alcohol use at baseline		patients who reported

Title	Cognitive-behavioural therapy for substance use disorders in people with psychotic disorders: Randomised controlled trial (Evaluated in the meta-analysis of Baker et al., 2012)			
First Author	Baker, A., 2006	Source	16648530	
Level of evidence	2b	Study type	RCT	
Study quality	Composite PEDro score 6 (total 9	9). Groups dissimilar at baselir	ne, no ITT analysis, not clear	
	whether assessors were blinded	. No verification of self-report	alcohol/drug use, no ratings	
	of treatment adherence/fidelity.			
Participants	N=130			
Patient characteristics	Out-patients with psychotic diso	rders (62.2% schizophrenia, 1	2.6% schizoaffective, 9.2%	
	bipolar, 4.2% affective) and com	bipolar, 4.2% affective) and comorbid SUD (67.3% alcohol, 73.1% cannabis, 47%		
	amphetamines) male: 78.2% mean age: 28			
Intervention	Combination of MI + CBT, 10 x 60 min. weekly individual sessions, delivered by a			
	psychologist.			
Comparison	TAU			
Length of follow-up	12 months: Assessments after 15 weeks (93%), 6 months (94.6%) and 12 months (80%)			
Outcome and effect size	Substantial reduction in drinking in the entire sample. No differences between intervention			
	and control group. In parallel, improvement of mania and negative symptoms for the			
	entire sample. MI + CBT intervention group showed better improvement in depression at 6			
	m and general functioning at 12 m.			
Funding	National Health and Medical Research Council (NHMRC)			
Comments	Secondary subgroup analyses or	alcohol outcomes among the	patients who reported	
	excessive alcohol use at baseline	<u>.</u>		

Title	A randomized controlled pilot study of motivational interviewing for patients with
riue	psychotic and drug use disorders (Evaluated in the meta-analysis of Baker et al., 2012)

First Author	Martino, S., 2006	Source	16968350	
Level of evidence	2b	Study type	RCT	
Study quality	Composite PEDro score 5 (total 9	9). ITT analysis, verification of	self-report on alcohol/drug	
	use by means of urine screens ar	nd collateral reports, treatmer	nt adherence and	
	competence with videotaped ses	ssions. Groups dissimilar at ba	seline, assessors were not	
	blinded.			
Participants	N=44			
Patient characteristics	In- and out-patients with psycho	tic disorders (43% schizophrei	nia, 34% schizoaffective, 23%	
	psychotic NOS) and comorbid SU	JD (47.7% alcohol, 50% canna <mark>l</mark>	ois, 54.5% cocaine) male:	
	73% mean age: 32.	73% mean age: 32.		
Intervention	MI 2 x 60 min. individual sessions, delivered by a psychologist or social worker.			
Comparison	"Standard psychiatric interview" 2 x 60 min individual sessions, delivered by a psychologist			
	or social worker.			
Length of follow-up	12 weeks: Assessments after 4, 8 and 12 weeks, 77% at all time points.			
Outcome and effect size	Reduction in days of use per month for the entire sample. No differences between			
	intervention and control group.			
Funding	NIDA			
Comments	Secondary subgroup analyses on	alcohol outcomes among the	patients who reported	
	excessive alcohol use at baseline	2		

Title	Stop Using Stuff: trial of a drug and alcohol intervention for young people with comorbid mental illness and drug and alcohol problems (Evaluated in the meta-analysis of Baker et al., 2012)		
First Author	Kemp, R., 2007	Source	17852064
Level of evidence	2b	Study type	RCT
Study quality	Composite PEDro score 5 (total 9 were not blinded. No verification adherence/fidelity. Very small sa	n of self-report alcohol/drug u	-
Participants	N=19		
Patient characteristics	Out-patients with psychotic disorders (% of specific diagnoses not reported) and comorbid SUD (% of specific diagnoses not reported) male: 70% mean age: 21		
Intervention	Combination of MI + CBT, 4-6 individual sessions, delivered by clinic staff.		
Comparison	TAU		
Length of follow-up	6 months (84.2%)		
Outcome and effect size	Reduction of frequency, but not quantity of alcohol use for the entire sample. Greater reduction of frequency of alcohol use in the intervention group. Size effect moderate.		
Funding	Also, MI + CBT intervention group showed better improvement in self-efficacy.		
Comments	Secondary subgroup analyses on alcohol outcomes among the patients who reported		
	excessive alcohol use at baseline	2.	

Title	Integrated care for co-occurring disorders: psychiatric symptoms, social functioning, and service costs at 18 months (Evaluated in the meta-analysis of Baker et al., 2012)		
First Author	Craig, T. K., 2008	Source	18308908
Level of evidence	2b	Study type	RCT
Study quality	Composite PEDro score 7 (total 9). Groups similar at baseline. No ITT analysis, assessors were not blinded. No verification of self-report alcohol/drug use, no ratings of treatment adherence/fidelity. Low follow-up rate, diagnoses from clinical notes, cluster randomization with possible contamination between conditions.		
Participants	N=232		
Patient characteristics	Out-patients with psychotic disorders (schizophrenia, schizoaffective, delusional, bipolar with psychotic symptoms, % not reported) and comorbid SUD (33% alcohol only, 22% cannabis only, 13% alcohol and cannabis, 24% stimulants, 8% others) male: 84.1% mean age: 39		
Intervention	Combination of MI + CBT, delive	red by clinical case managers ((63% nurses) over 18

	months. Number of sessions not reported.	
Comparison	TAU	
Length of follow-up	18 months (66.4%)	
Outcome and effect size	Slight, non- significant reduction in alcohol use for the entire sample. No difference	
	between intervention and control group. The MI + CBT intervention group showed lower	
	psychiatric symptom scores at 18 months.	
Funding	Bethlem and Maudsley National Health Service Trust	
Comments	Outcomes among the patients who reported excessive alcohol use at baseline.	

Title	Integrated motivational interviewing and cognitive behavioural therapy for people with psychosis and comorbid substance misuse: randomised controlled trial (Evaluated in the meta-analysis of Baker et al., 2012)		
First Author	Barrowclough, C., 2010	Source	21106618
Level of evidence	2b	Study type	RCT
Study quality	Composite PEDro score 9 (total 9 blinded, verification of self-repo No ratings of treatment adheren	rt alcohol/drug use by means	
Participants	N=327 with SUD, out of which n	=142 had AUD (alcohol use dis	sorder) only.
Patient characteristics	Out-patients with psychotic disorders (81.7% schizophrenia, 8.3% schizoaffective, 8.9% psychotic NOS, 1.2% schizophreniform) and comorbid SUD (48% alcohol only, 35.5% drug only, 16.5% both) male: 86.5% mean age: 38		
Intervention	Combination of MI + CBT, delivered in individual sessions by psychologists, nurse therapists and a social worker usually in the patient's home over 12 months. Up to 26 x 60 min. sessions. Mean number of sessions attended: 16.7±8.3		
Comparison	TAU		
Length of follow-up	18 months: Assessments after 6 m (90.5%), 12m (82.3%), 18m (79.5%)		
Outcome and effect size	The MI + CBT intervention group had lower substance use per occasion of use. The subgroup with AUD only reported more days abstinent in the intervention vs. control condition.		
Funding	UK Medical Research Council, Department of Health		
Comments	Secondary subgroup analyses on alcohol outcomes among the patients who reported excessive alcohol use at baseline. Rates of abstinence not reported separately for alcohol use.		

Title	A randomized trial of clozapine vs. other antipsychotics for cannabis use disorder in patients with schizophrenia		
First Author	Brunette, M. F., 2015	Source	25914610
Level of evidence	2b	Study type	RCT
Study quality			
Participants	N=31		
Patient characteristics	Treatment resistant patients with psychotic disorders and comorbid cannabis use disorder (CUD)		
Intervention	Treatment resistant patients were randomized either to switch to clozapine or to continuation of their previous antipsychotic medication		
Comparison	Continuation of previous antipsychotic medication		
Length of follow-up	3 months (90.3%)		
Outcome and effect size	Improvement of psychiatric symptoms and reduction of cannabis use in intervention group But: No difference concomitant alcohol use between intervention and control group		
Funding	NIDA		
Comments	Secondary analysis on parameters of alcohol use		

Title		Long-acting Injectable Risperidone Compared With Zuclopenthixol in the Treatment of Schizophrenia With Substance Abuse Comorbidity		
First Author	Rubio, G., 2006	Source	16933590	

Level of evidence	2b	Study type	RCT
Study quality	Open study, but blinded assessors. Objective main outcome parameter: percentage of positive urine tests during follow-up period. Low drop-out rate (9/115). ITT analysis with LOCF.		
Participants	N=115		
Patient characteristics	In-patients with schizophrenia and comorbid SUD (87.8% alcohol, 71.3% cannabis, 26% cocaine) male: 96.7% mean age: 35.7 Selection/inclusion of patients during in-hospital stay, after psychosis was stabilized. Patients stayed in hospital another 7-15 days after they were randomized. Thereafter, they were referred to the outpatient center.		
Intervention	Risperidone depot i.m. + CBT		
Comparison	Zuclopenthixol depot i.m. + CBT		
Length of follow-up	6 months (92%). Weekly visits with urine tests for alcohol, opiates, cocaine and cannabis. Psychiatric assessments at 2, 4 and 6 months.		
Outcome and effect size	Less positive urine tests in the ri symptoms (PANSS Scale) and be sessions) in the risperidone depo	tter compliance (attendance o	
Funding	Fundación Cerebro y Mente		
Comments	No separate outcome data on al sample (87.8%). Hence, high pro	-	

Title	Naltrexone Augmentation of Neuroleptic Treatment in Alcohol Abusing Patients With Schizophrenia		
First Author	Petrakis, I. L., 2004	Source	14634716
Level of evidence	1b	Study type	RCT, double-blind, placebo-controlled
Study quality	Verification of self-reports on ald	cohol use by means	of breathalyzer readings at every visit.
Participants	N=31		
Patient characteristics	Out-patients with schizophrenia (58.1%) or schizoaffective disorder (41.9%) and comorbid alcohol use disorder (AUD). Male: 100%, mean age: 46		
Intervention	Naltrexone on top to antipsychotic medication + CBT		
Comparison	Placebo on top to antipsychotic medication + CBT		
Length of follow-up	12 weeks, (80.6%) weekly visits		
Outcome and effect size	Fewer drinking days, fewer heavy drinking days, and less craving in the naltrexone group. No difference in side effects between groups. No influence of naltrexone on symptoms of schizophrenia		
Funding	NARSAD, NIAAA, Veterans Affairs MERIT grant, VA-Yale Alcoholism Research Center, VISN I MIRECC		
Comments			

Title	Effects of Acamprosate on Cognition in a Treatment Study of Patients With Schizophrenia Spectrum Disorders and Comorbid Alcohol Dependence				
First Author	Ralevski, E., 2011	Source	21716064		
Level of evidence	2b	Study type	RCT		
Study quality	double-blind, no verification of s	elf-report alcohol use			
Participants	N=23				
Patient characteristics	Out-patients with schizophrenia	spectrum disorder (specific di	agnoses not reported) and		
	comorbid alcohol dependence m	nale: 82.6% mean age: 50.7.			
Intervention	Acamprosate + CBT				
Comparison	Placebo + CBT				
Length of follow-up	12 weeks, Weekly assessments, 74% completed at least 8 weeks. 65% completed all 12				
	weeks of treatment.				
Outcome and effect size	Decrease in alcohol consumption in both groups, no difference between acamprosate and				
	placebo. No significant change in cognitive functioning, no difference between				
	acamprosate and placebo in any cognitive domain.				
Funding	Forest Laboratories, VA Alcohol Center, Mental Illness Research Education and clinical				
	Center (MIRECC)				

Comments	Main finding: Acamprosate had no adverse effects on cognition and did not influence
	psychotic symptoms (good tolerability)

Title	_	=	cial Research on Psychosocial Interventions for People and Substance Use Disorders
First Author	Drake, R. E., 2008	Source	17574803
Level of evidence	1a	Study type	Systematic, qualitative, narrative review of 22 RCTs and
C. I. I'I		<u>.</u>	23 quasi-experimental studies with comparison groups.
Study quality	1 .	•	s: systematic literature search → exclusion of studies
	1		less they involved A-B-A designs. No calculation of effect
Dauticinanta			ogical quality of studies.
Participants	n=9.509	tal of n=2.044,	N=23 quasi experimental studies with a total of
Patient characteristics		mental disord	ler (mostly schizophrenia and schizoaffective disorder, in
			ve and bipolar disorders) and comorbid substance use
		•	vith comorbid alcohol use disorder (AUD): Graeber et al.
			RCTs with n=30 and n=120, resp.
Intervention	Various integrated in		
	Psychotherapeutic in	nterventions (i	ndividual, group, or family) (N=20, out of which 15 RCTs):
	MI, or CBT, or a com	bination of MI	and CBT, or a combination of MI and contingency
	management, or contingency management, or a combination of MI, CBT and family		
	therapy, or supportive group therapy and psychoeducation.		
	Case management (integrated intensive case management with or without ACT) (N=11, out		
	of which 6 RCTs). Integrated residential treatment and outpatient rehab programs (N=13,		
	out of which1 RCT). Legal interventions (N=5, out of which 1 RCT)		
Comparison	Various comparison interventions		
Length of follow-up	1 month to 3 years		
Outcome and effect size	Advantage of Interve	ention with re	gard to substance use and/or mental health outcomes in
	trials with:		
	 Psychotherapeutic 		
	 Case management 		
		•	programs: 11 out of 13 trials
		ervention in le	gal trials (4 out of 5 trials negative)
Funding	West Foundation		
Comments	1		cohol use disorder (AUD):
	-		with schizophrenia and AUD, MI vs. psychoeducation,
	_		is paper is included in the review of Baker et al. (2012).
	1	•	with "acute psychiatric diagnosis" and AUD, MI vs.
	1	_	e of intervention. This paper is not included in the
	review of Baker et al	. (2012).	

Title	Clozapine Use in Patients With Schizophrenia and a Comorbid Substance Use Disorder: A Systematic Review		
First Author	Arranz, B., 2017	Source	29273271
Level of evidence	2a? 3a?	Study type	Systematic review of studies of different types (RCTs, blinded and open-label, cohort and case control studies, cross-sectional and observational studies). Five out of 14 studies included specific evaluation of AU/AUD
Study quality	Medium		
Participants	N=14, out of which N=5 with evaluation of AUD: a) Drake et al. (2000): open label prospective multisite, n=151; b) Brunette et al. (2006): prospective single-blind, n=95 c) Swanson et al. (2007): prospective observational multisite, n=362; d) Kim et al. (2008): prospective naturalistic, n=61; e) Brunette et al. (2011): RCT single-blind, n=31		
Patient characteristics	a + b) schizophrenia or schizoaffective disorder and SUD outpatients; c + d) schizophrenia		

	and CLID, in and authoritants, a) sphirophronic or sphiropffortive disorder and CLID			
	and SUD, in- and outpatients; e) schizophrenia or schizoaffective disorder and SUD			
Intervention	a, b, d and e) Clozapine; c) SGAs (CLO, RIS or OLA)			
Comparison	a) Drake et al. (2000): FGAs; b) Brunette et al. (2006): other APs (mainly FGAs, eight cases			
	with other SGAs); c) Swanson et al. (2007): second arm: FGAs, third arm: AP free d)			
	Kim et al. (2008): Risperidone; e) Brunette et al. (2011): other APs (FGAs and SGAs)			
Length of follow-up	a) 3 years; b) 2 years; c) 3 years; d) 2 years; e) 12 weeks			
Outcome and effect size	a and b) Clozapine was superior to FGA in improving AUD (clinician rating scales and			
	interviews); c) SGAs incl. Clozapine were superior to FGAs and absence of APs in self-			
	reported alcohol use; d) no difference in self-reported alcohol use; e) no difference in self-			
	reported alcohol use (heavy drinking days per week; breathanalyzer).			
	No report on effect sizes			
Funding	No funding			
Comments				

Title	Treatment of Substance Use Disorders With Co-Occurring Severe Mental Health Disorders		
First Author	Murthy, P., 2019 Source 31157674		
Level of evidence	3a?	Study type	Systematic review of studies of different types (reviews, meta-analyses, RCTs, blinded and open-label, cohort and case control studies, secondary analyses).
Study quality	narrative review		
Participants			ns with SMI and specifically AUD (Sawicka et al., 2017; many / which other studies included specific evaluation
Patient characteristics	SMI and SUD		
Intervention	Various pharmacolo	gical and psyc	hosocial interventions
Comparison	Various controls		
Length of follow-up	No details given		
Outcome and effect size	Pharmacological interventions: Reviews by Bennett et al. (2017), Temmingh et al. (2018): Open-label trials and RCTs demonstrate the comparative efficacy of CLO, OLA and RIS over other APs in respect to SUD outcomes (no specific statement in respect to AUD). No difference between the SGAs in respect to SUD with the exception of cannabis UD. Lynn-Star et al. (2018) (secondary analysis of the PRIDE study): The depot antipsychotic paliperidone war superior to oral antipsychotics in several outcome measures in patients with SCH and SUD (no report on use of specific substances). Review by Sawicka et al. (2017): Naltrexon leads to reductions in drinking days and number of drinks consumed in patients with SMI and AUD. Serrita et al. (2019), small RCT placebo-controlled glycin vs. PLA in patients with SCH/Schizoaffective Disorder and AUD: no difference. Psychosocial interventions: No studies referring specifically to SCH and AUD.		
Funding	No funding		
Comments	In respect to psychosocial interventions an integrated approach and early start in the course of treatment are recommended. No specific comments/recommendations regarding SCH and AUD.		

Title	Naltrexone Efficacy in Treating Alcohol-Use Disorder in Individuals With Comorbid Psychosis: A Systematic Review					
First Author	Sawicka, M., 2017	Sawicka, M., 2017 Source 28959434				
Level of evidence		Study type Systematic review of RCTs and non-RCTs				
Study quality	"N=9 nine reports (five journal articles and four detailed poster presentation abstracts): 4 RCTs and 5 non-RCTs (1 retrospective chart review, 4 prospective open label studies, one of which without control) with a total of 798 participants with SMI and AUD, out of which n=273 with psychotic disorder and AUD."					
Participants	"n=273 with schizophrenia or schizoaffective disorder and AUD. The average age of					
	participants, where provided, w	participants, where provided, was 44.24. 87% of participants were male."				
Patient characteristics	Naltrexone in 8 out of 9 studies,	concurrent ant	ipsychotic medication in 4 out of 9 studies,			

	concurrent psychosocial intervention.
Intervention	Three different comparisons: a) placebo (4 studies); b) another pharmaceutical agent
	(acamposate, disulfiram, combinations, 4 studies); c) baseline drinking behaviour (3
	studies, one of which utilized the long-acting injectable form of naltrexone)
Comparison	8 to 24 weeks
Length of follow-up	a) Naltrexone vs. placebo: Overall, superiority of naltrexone in self-reported outcomes;
	b) Naltrexone vs. acamprosate: Overall, superiority of naltrexone in self-reported
	outcomes (Bratu and Sopterean, 2014); naltrexone vs. disulfiram: no group difference in
	self-reported drinking outcomes, but in one study more discontinuations with disulfiram
	because of side effects (Vasile et al., 2013);
	c) Reduction or self-reported drinking outcomes in one retrospective chart review study
	((Maxwell and Shinderman, 2000) and two prospective open-label studies (Batki et al.,
	2007, 2010), one of which with the long-acting injectable form of naltrexone.
	No report of effect sizes. Inconclusive evidence on effects of naltrexone on general
	psychopathology.
Outcome and effect size	
Funding	
Comments	

Title	Long-acting Injectable vs. Oral Risperidone for Schizophrenia and Co-Occurring Alcohol Use Disorder: A Randomized Trial					
First Author	Green, A. I., 2015 Source 26302441					
Level of evidence	1b	Study type	RCT; prescription open-label, blinded ratings			
Study quality	high					
Participants	N=95					
Patient characteristics	SCH or schizoaffective disorder and AUD outpatients; mean age 42 y, m:f 77:33; most participants with alcohol dependence (rather than abuse); on average 2 heavy drinking days per week					
Intervention	Risperidone LAI every two weeks	S				
Comparison	Risperidone orally daily					
Length of follow-up	6 months					
Outcome and effect size	Self-reported alcohol use (Timeline Follow-Back procedure) and Breathanalyzer Primary outcome: Statistical trend for less heavy drinking days per week with LAI risperidone. Secondary outcomes: less drinking days per week with LAI risperidone; no group differences for number of drinks per week and global Alcohol Use Scale score. Medication adherence was better with LAI risperidone. No group differences in psychotic symptoms and global functioning (PANSS, GAF, CGI)					
Funding	Investigator-initial study funded by Janssen					
Comments	SCH patients with AUD appear to continue drinking some alcohol while taking either form of risperidone. Nonetheless, the authors suggest that injectable risperidone may have (limited) advantages and may be a better choice than the oral form for these dual diagnosis patients.					

Title	Randomized Trial of the Effect of Four Second-Generation Antipsychotics and One First-Generation Antipsychotic on Cigarette Smoking, Alcohol, and Drug Use in Chronic Schizophrenia					
First Author	Mohamed, S., 2015 Source 26075840					
Level of evidence	1b Study type RCT					
Study quality	secondary analysis of data from a high quality study					
Participants	N=1432					
Patient characteristics	Patients with SCH, average age 40.6 years, 74.2% male					
Intervention	Four second-generation antipsychotic drugs (olanzapine, risperidone quetiapine, and					
	ziprasidone) and one first-generation antipsychotic (perphenazine)					
Comparison	four second-generation antipsyc	hotic drugs (olanzapine, rispe	ridone quetiapine, and			

	ziprasidone) and one first-generation antipsychotic (perphenazine)
Length of follow-up	18 months
	Significant effects of time showing reduction in substance use over the 18 months; no evidence that any antipsychotic was superior to any other in a secondary analysis of data on substance use outcomes (nicotine, alcohol, illicit drugs)
Funding	NIMH
Comments	Secondary analysis of data from the CATIE study:

3.6.3 Depression

Title	Antidepressants for Major Depressive Disorder and Dysthymic Disorder in Patients With Comorbid Alcohol Use Disorders: A Meta-Analysis of Placebo-Controlled Randomized Trials			
First Author	lovieno, N., 2011	Source	21536001	
Level of evidence	1a	Study type	Meta-analysis	
Study quality	Literature search, 1980-2009			
Participants	N=11, studies included	N=11, studies included		
Patient characteristics	MDD, Dysthymia, +/- Alcohol de	MDD, Dysthymia, +/- Alcohol dependence		
Intervention	Pharmacotherapy with various antidepressants, psychotherapy			
Comparison	Placebo, TAU			
Length of follow-up	6 to 24 weeks			
Outcome and effect size	AD + MDD: Efficacy of all antidepressants 57.8% vs. 47.1%, ES=0.24 SSRI alone: 59.3% vs.			
	51.1% ES=0.17, Heterogeneity RR AD vs. PLO p=.105. Also SSRI vs. PLO p=.38 Heavy			
	drinking days: AD vs. PLO p=.274; Heterogeneity: p=0.56			
Funding	None mentioned			
Comments	Most recent meta-analysis. Meta-analysis with metaregression including pharmacological			
	and psychotherapy studies, analyses of heterogeneity coefficients			

Title	Efficacy of Antidepressants in Substance Use Disorders With and Without Comorbid Depression. A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis			
First Author	Torrens, M., 2005	Source	15769553	
Level of evidence	1a	Study type	Meta-analysis	
Study quality	Systematic review, separate analysis for alcohol, opioid, cocaine and other drug dependence			
Participants	N=9 studies included			
Patient characteristics	MDD and Alcohol and substance use disorders			
Intervention	Pharmacotherapy with various antidepressants. SSRI \rightarrow depression in comorbidity: z=1.3, p=0.19 Other AD \rightarrow depression in comorbidity: z=2.49, p=0.01 SSRI \rightarrow alcohol consumption in comorbidity: z=0.20, p=0.84 Other AD \rightarrow z=1.44, p=0.15			
Comparison	Placebo			
Length of follow-up	Variable, 6-24 weeks			
Outcome and effect size	MDD better with SSRIs 4 studies (Overall OR=1.85, 95% CI [0.73 4.68], ES=0.34) and in 3 studies other antidepressants (Overall OR=4.15, 95% CI [1.35 12.75], ES=0.78). No alcohol intake improvement in 3 SSRI studies (Overall OR=0.93, 95% CI [0.45 1.91] ES=-0.04) and in 3 studies with other antidepressants (Overall OR=1.99, 95% CI [0.78 5.08], ES=0.38)			
Funding	This study was supported in part Investigación Sanitaria (FIS), Mad		5 from Fondo de	
Comments	Medication but not psychotherapy evaluated;			

Title	Treatment of Depression in Patients With Alcohol or Other Drug Dependence: A Meta- Analysis		
First Author	Nunes, E. V., 2004	Source	15100209

Level of evidence	1a	Study type	Meta-analysis
Study quality	PubMed, MEDLINE, and Cochrane databases from 1970 through December 2003 were		
	searched using the keywords an	tidepressant treatment or trea	atment depressed in
	conjunction with each of the following	lowing words: alcohol depend	ence, benzodiazepine
	dependence, opiate dependence	, cocaine dependence, marijud	ana dependence, and
	methadone.		
Participants	14 of which were selected for th	is analysis and included 848 p	atients
Patient characteristics	MDD and Alcohol and substance use disorders		
Intervention	5 studies of tricyclic antidepressants, 7 of selective serotonin re-uptake inhibitors, and 2		
	from other classes		
Comparison	Placebo		
Length of follow-up	6 to 24 weeks		
Outcome and effect size	Depression overall: n=827, ES=0	.38 (95% CI [0.18 0.58[)	
	Alcohol and Substance use over	all: n=785, ES=0.25 (95% CI [0.	08 0.42])
Funding	National Institute on Drug Abuse	e and the New York State Psyc	hiatric Institute provided only
	salary support		
Comments	First meta-analysis on the topic,	included studies on alcohol A	ND substance use disorders,
	no overall statistics on alcohol a	nd substance use disorders se	parated

Title	Meta-analysis of Supplemental Treatment for Depressive and Anxiety Disorders in Patients Being Treated for Alcohol Dependence		
First Author	Hobbs, J. D. J., 2011	Source	21679263
Level of evidence	1a	Study type	Meta-analysis
Study quality	Study inclusion: random assignr	nent	
Participants	15 studies included, 12 pharma	cological	
Patient characteristics	DSM III or later alcohol dependence or alcohol abuse; AND Anxiety or disorder depressive		
	disorder, including major depression, dysthymia and depression NOS.		
Intervention	Pharmacological or psychotherapy		
Comparison	Control TAU or placebo		
Length of follow-up	Variable, at least once within 12 month		
Outcome and effect size	We found a pooled effect size (d) of ES=0.32 for internalizing outcomes and ES=0.22 for a		
	composite of alcohol outcomes. There was also a trend for the studies with better		
	internalizing disorder outcomes to have better alcohol outcomes.		
Funding	Grant R01-015069 from the National Institute on Alcohol Abuse and Alcoholism		
Comments	Overall ES not differentiated between anxiety and depression; However, single studies and		
	ES are presented.		

Title	Treatments for Patients With Dual Diagnosis: A Review			
First Author	Tiet, Q. Q., 2007	Source	17374031	
Level of evidence	1a	Study type	Meta-analysis and systematic review	
Study quality	Study inclusion: effect sizes (Cohen's d) for the main psychiatric and substance use outcomes			
Participants	59 studies, 15 on depression/big	59 studies, 15 on depression/bipolar disorders and ASUD		
Patient characteristics	Comorbid, treatment, intervention, therapy, depression, anxiety, schizophrenia,			
	psychotic/psychosis, severe mental illness, alcohol, drug, and substance			
Intervention	Pharmacological or psychotherapy			
Comparison	Control TAU or placebo			
Length of follow-up	6-53 weeks (depression and bipolar + ASUD)			
Outcome and effect size	Existing efficacious treatments for reducing psychiatric symptoms (e.g., TCA for depressive symptoms) also tend to work in dual-diagnosis patients, (2) existing efficacious treatments for reducing substance use (e.g., relapse prevention) also decrease substance use in dually diagnosed patients, and (3) the efficacy of integrated treatment is still unclear, with only weak evidence currently suggesting that integrated treatment are better than "treatment as usual,"			

Funding	None
Comments	No overall statistics, however depression and bipolar + ASUD studies and ES are reported.

Title	Cognitive-behavioral Treatment for Depression in Alcoholism			
First Author	Brown, R. A., 1997	rown, R. A., 1997 Source 9337490		
Level of evidence	2b	Study type	Comparison study, not randomized	
Study quality	No untreated control group, stud	dy completion 91%, init	ial abstinence required	
Participants	N=19 vs. N=16			
Patient characteristics	DSM-III-R Alcohol dependence, BDI>9, BDI, HAMD, POMS, TLFB interview			
Intervention	ADTS (abstinence-oriented thera	ADTS (abstinence-oriented therapy) + CBT		
Comparison	ADTS + "Relaxation training"	ADTS + "Relaxation training"		
Length of follow-up	8 Sessions á 45 min, follow-up 6 Month			
Outcome and effect size	HAMD ES=0.69, POMS Depression ES=1.02, %days abstinent ES=0.59; drinks per day			
	ES=0.71			
Funding	Research grant from the Department of Psychiatry Brown University.			
Comments	Initial Study on CBT in comorbid alcohol-dependent individuals			

Title	Effectiveness of Brief Alcohol Interventions for General Practice Patients With Problematic Drinking Behavior and Comorbid Anxiety or Depressive Disorders			
First Author	Grothues, J. M., 2008	rothues, J. M., 2008 Source 18207336		
Level of evidence	3	Study type	Cohort study	
Study quality	Patients in GP, 88 participants were diagnosed with comorbid anxiety and/or depressive disorders			
Participants	408			
Patient characteristics	Patients with alcohol use disorders or at-risk drinking or binge drinking.			
	88 participants were diagnosed with comorbid anxiety and/or depressive disorders.			
Intervention	Brief interventions (BIs)			
Comparison				
Length of follow-up	12-month follow-up			
Outcome and effect size	BI were significantly related to reduction of drinking in the non-comorbid (-2.64 g/alcohol vs8.61 g/alcohol; p=.03) but not in the comorbid sub-sample (-22.06 g/alcohol vs22.09 g/alcohol; p=.76). Compared to non-comorbid participants, a significantly higher reduction of drinking was found for comorbid individuals (-6.55 g/alcohol vs22.08 g/alcohol; p=.01).			
Funding				
Comments	Only study on BI in comorbid inc	lividuals		

Title	A Randomized Controlled Trial of Cognitive-Behavioral Treatment for Depression Versus Relaxation Training for Alcohol-Dependent Individuals With Elevated Depressive Symptoms			
First Author	Brown, R. A., 2011	Source	21388602	
Level of evidence	1b	Study type	RCT	
Study quality	Randomized controlled study, no comparison group without treatment > 90% study completers, abstinence required.			
Participants	CBT-D (n=81) or RTC (n=84).			
Patient characteristics	DSM IV diagnosis of AD, MDD, B	DSM IV diagnosis of AD, MDD, BDI > 15; Measures: BDI, SCID-P, TLFB interview		
Intervention	ADTS + CBT			
Comparison	ADTS + Relaxation training			
Length of follow-up	8 Sessions à 45 min, up to 12 Month			
Outcome and effect size	No significant differences in drinking and depression outcomes ES: Results are presented in			
	figures no numbers			
Funding	NIAAA grant AA10958			

Title	Clinician-assisted Computerised Versus Therapist-Delivered Treatment for Depressive and Addictive Disorders: A Randomised Controlled Trial				
First Author	Kay-Lambkin, F., 2011	ay-Lambkin, F., 2011 Source 21806518			
Level of evidence	2a	Study type	Randomized trail		
Study quality	69% follow-up rate				
Participants	274				
Patient characteristics	DSM IV diagnosis of MD, AUD (alcohol misuse/dependence) and cannabis misuse/dependence; Measures: SCID, BDI II, DIPS, OTI				
Intervention	Integrated cognitive behaviour therapy/ motivational interviewing (CBT/MI) and clinicianassisted computerised [CAC] treatment				
Comparison	Person-centered therapy (PCT)				
Length of follow-up	3 months				
Outcome and effect size	Alcohol consumption (abstinence: CAC 13%, CBT: 8%, RCT 6%; ES1=0.46; ES2=0.16;				
	50% reduction of use: CAC: 45%, CBT: 41%, RCT: 17%, ES1=0.76; ES2=0.67).				
	Change in depression (no depres	ssion: CAC 19%, CBT 16%, RCT	: 10%, ES1=0.41; ES2=0.29).		
Funding	Grant from the Alcohol Education and Rehabilitation Foundation Australia				
Comments	First study on computerised CBT				

Title	Pilot Study of Interpersonal Psychotherapy Versus Supportive Psychotherapy for Dysthymic Patients With Secondary Alcohol Abuse or Dependence		
First Author	Markowitz, J. C., 2008 Source 18552624		
Level of evidence	2b	Study type	Randomized trail
Study quality	IPT-D (N=14), BSP (N=12), fifty-fo	our percent had current major	depression.
	Study completers: (IPT=8, (57% B	BSP=10, 83%).	
	Measures: SCID, SCID-II, 24-item	HAMD, BDI, CDRS; AA-meetir	ngs, breathalyzer test.
Participants	N=26		
Patient characteristics	Primary DSM-IV dysthymic disorder with early onset (before age 21), and DSM-IV		
	alcohol abuse defined by SCID interview; score >13 on the HAM-D24, and GAF score		
	>61. Alcohol abuse had to be judged clinically secondary (viz., later in onset) to dysthymic		
	disorder.		
Intervention	IPT-D, IPT adapted for dysthymic disorder		
Comparison	BSP brief supportive psychotherapy		
Length of follow-up	16 weeks		
Outcome and effect size	Mood symptoms: W 1-16, IPT BS	SP HamD 1.15 0.77, BDI 1.38 0	.64, CDRS 1.03 0.69
	Percentage of days abstinent in	prior month: IPT all vs LOCF= (0.21; 0.10; BSP all vs. LOCF:
	0.54 vs 0.52		
Funding	National Institute of Mental Health		
Comments	Only study on IPT and supportive	e therapy	

Title	Placebo-controlled Trial of Fluoxetine as an Adjunct to Relapse Prevention in Alcoholics		
First Author	Kranzler, H. R., 1995	Source	7864265
Level of evidence	1b	Study type	RCT
Study quality	Placebo controlled, medication + "relapse prevention" (RP), abstinence required? Study completion rate: 95/101 (94%). Measures: TLFB, BDI, HAMD, MAST.		
Participants	101		
Patient characteristics	DSM-III-R, Alcohol dependence DIS, diagnosis of anxiety and mood disorders		
Intervention	Fluoxetine up to 60mg/d + RP		
Comparison	Placebo + RP		
Length of follow-up	12 weeks		
Outcome and effect size	"Depression" outcome: No time x med effect on BDI. Drinking outcomes: Abstinence:		
	Wilcoxon (Gehan) statistic=0.26,	df=1, p=0.61.	

	Side effects: decrease in sexual interest and performance and by the poorer compliance
	with medication in the fluoxetine-treated group.
Funding	NIAAA AA-03510, AA-07290, and AA-00143
Comments	First study, post-hoc analysis of depression

Title	Placebo-controlled Study of Sertraline in Depressed Recently Abstinent Alcoholics		
First Author	Roy, A., 1998	Source	9787889
Level of evidence	2b	Study type	RCT
Study quality	Randomized study, completers 21/36: 58% abstinence required, placebo-controlled. Measures: BDI, HAMD-24, CGI.		
Participants	N=36 randomized		
Patient characteristics	DSM-III-R alcohol dependence, MDD		
Intervention	Sertraline 100mg/d		
Comparison	Placebo		
Length of follow-up	6 weeks		
Outcome and effect size	HAMD* ES=1.06, BDI* ES=0.76. Alcohol consumption patterns not evaluated.		
	Side effects: No patient was removed because of side effects.		
Funding	Department of Veterans Affairs Medical Center		
Comments	Depression evaluation only		

Title	Fluoxetine Versus Placebo in Depressed Alcoholics: A 1-year Follow-Up Study			
First Author	Cornelius, J. R., 2000	Source	10795957	
Level of evidence	1b	Study type	RCT	
Study quality	RCT, abstinence required, study Inventory (BDI), and the Global A follow back method and the Add	Assessment Scale (GAS); week		
Participants	N=51	, , ,		
Patient characteristics	DSM-III-R alcohol dependence, N	MDD		
Intervention	Fluoxetine 25mg/d (n=25)			
Comparison	Placebo (n=26)			
Length of follow-up	12 weeks			
Outcome and effect size	Depression HAMD* ES=0.57, BDI ES=0.45			
	Drinking outcomes:			
	*Cumulative Drinks ES=0.76			
	*Cumulative drinking Days ES=0.57			
	*Drinks per drinking day ES=0.68			
	*Cumulative days heavy drinking ES=0.81			
	*Number of weeks to first heavy drinking ES=0.73			
	Weeks to first drink ES=0.38			
	However, the proportion of subjects who were completely abstinent during the 12-week			
	study was low in both groups: 28% (n=7) in Fluoxetine and 15% in the placebo group (n=4).			
	Side effects: "Fluoxetine was well tolerated".			
Funding	National Institute on Alcohol Ab Center.	use and Alcoholism and Menta	al Health Clinical Research	
Comments	Only study which reported effec	ts of SSRI on both affective an	d drinking symptoms	

Title	Double-blind Clinical Trial of Sertraline Treatment for Alcohol Dependence				
First Author	Pettinati, H. M., 2001 Source 11270910				
Level of evidence	2b Study type RCT				
Study quality	RCT, abstinence not required, completer rate: 29/47 in comorbid depression group (61%).				
	Measures: SCID, HAMD-24, BDI, timeline follow-back (TLFB)				

Participants	53 (+ 47 non-depressed)		
Patient characteristics	DSM-III-R Alcohol dependence, MDD		
Intervention	Sertraline 200mg/d, n=26; completed n=12		
Comparison	Placebo n=27, completed n=17		
Length of follow-up	14 weeks		
Outcome and effect size	Depression: 1) HAM-D ES -0.21 (2) BDI ES -0.20		
	Alcohol use patterns: (1) Percent Days Drinking ES - 0.36 (2) Weeks relapse ES -0.10		
	Side effects: The most prevalent examples of subject reports included in "sexual		
	disturbance" were decreased libido and anorgasmia. Gastrointestinal distress (e.g., nausea,		
	diarrhea) and dry mouth were also reported frequently, but these complaints did not differ		
	significantly between the sertraline and placebo groups.		
Funding	NIAAA grant (R01- AA09544 Pettinati K02-AA 00239 Kranzler), VAS Veterans Affairs Medical		
	Center.		
Comments	First study no effect at all on depression and alcohol use with SSRI		

Title	Sertraline for the Prevention of Relapse in Detoxicated Alcohol Dependent Patients With a Comorbid Depressive Disorder: A Randomized Controlled Trial					
First Author	Gual, A., 2003 Source 14633652					
Level of evidence	2b	Study type	RCT			
Study quality	RCT, placebo controlled, abstine in placebo group; Measures: MA					
Participants	39+44					
Patient characteristics	DSM-IV Alcohol dependence, MI	DD, DD or both				
Intervention	Sertraline 50-150mg/d n= 44, 24	completers				
Comparison	Placebo, n= 39, 22 completers					
Length of follow-up	24 weeks					
Outcome and effect size						
Funding	NIAAA grant (R01- AA09544 Pettinati K02-AA 00239 Kranzler) VAS, Veterans Affairs Medical Center.					
Comments	Depression HAMD, MADRS outcome not reported, all other outcome parameters not significant.					

Title	Sertraline Treatment of Co	Sertraline Treatment of Co-Occurring Alcohol Dependence and Major Depression				
First Author	Kranzler, H. R., 2006	Kranzler, H. R., 2006 Source 16415699				
Level of evidence	2b	Study type	RCT			
Study quality	Study completion rate Gro Measures: DSM-IV MDD Sy Clinical Global Impression	Placebo controlled, abstinence required, Study completion rate Group A S: 58.7% P:56.0%, Group B S: 55.7%, P: 78.3% Measures: DSM-IV MDD Symptom Checklist, DSM-IV AD Symptom Checklist, HAM-D, Clinical Global Impression (CGI), AD Scale, Time-Line Follow Back Questionnaire, Beck Depression Inventory (BDI)				
Participants	N=328	N=328				
Patient characteristics	DSM IV Alcohol dependent	DSM IV Alcohol dependence, MDD and HAMD > 17 vs. < 17 (A vs. B)				
Intervention	Sertraline (at a maximum o	Sertraline (at a maximum dose of 200 mg/d)				

Comparison	Placebo		
Length of follow-up	10 weeks		
Outcome and effect size	HAMD ES outcome: -0.025; Group A vs. B 50% HAMD reduction: (54.8% vs. 67.4%; x2=5.07,		
	p=0.024) ES=-0.30		
	BDI reduction Group B: 42% vs. 54.9%; x2=4.34, p=0.04, ES=-0.29.		
	Drinking outcome: P vs. S Group A: 3.5% more days abstinent (95% CI [3.7 10.7],		
	p=0.34), P vs. S Group B: 3.2%, (95% CI [4.8 11.3], p=0.43).		
	Group B: standard drinks per week 8.5±12.1, 5.5±6.7, ES=0.306		
	Side effects: Overall, 138 patients (86.3%) who received sertraline treatment reported one		
	or more treatment emergent adverse events, compared with 143 patients (83.6%) who		
	received placebo. Significant difference: constipation sertraline, 19.4%; placebo,		
	4.7%; x2 1=15.79, p<0.001.		
Funding	Pfizer Pharmaceuticals supported the conduct of this study.		
	Manuscript preparation was supported by NIH grant		
Comments	RCT, moderate N, low retention rates		

Title	Lithium Treatment of Depressed and Nondepressed Alcoholics			
First Author	Dorus, W., 1989	Source	2504944	
Level of evidence	2b	Study type	RCT	
Study quality	RCT, abstinence required, 172 alcoholics (60.1%) without depression and 108 alcoholics (63.2%) with depression completed the study. Measures: DIS, BIS, Lithium Plasma level Drinking measures			
Participants	457			
Patient characteristics	Alcoholics either without depression or with a history of major depression, current major depression, or dysthymic disorder were studied.			
Intervention	lithium carbonate			
Comparison	Placebo			
Length of follow-up	12 months			
Outcome and effect size	No significant effect for depression (52 weeks): BDI p=0.22; (ES BDI=0.24) nor alcohol use patterns (ES heavy drinking days during last 4 weeks: 0.29) Abstinence: .67; alc-rel. hospitalizations .43. Side effects: depressed AD group + Li++ had significantly more diarrhea, shakiness, gait disturbance.			
Funding	VA Research Service, Ciba-Geigy			
Comments	Moderate number of patients, negative results			

Title	Double-blind, Randomized Comparison of Memantine and Escitalopram for the Treatment of Major Depressive Disorder Comorbid With Alcohol Dependence			
First Author	Muhonen, L. H., 2008	Source	18348597	
Level of evidence	2b	Study type	RCT	
Study quality	No placebo control, head to head study, abstinence not required. Study completion 58/80 (72.5%). Measures: SCID, MADRS, HAMA, SOFAS, CERAD, MMSE, BDI, BAI, VAS, AUDIT Lab tests: CDT, GGT, ALAT, ASAT			
Participants	N=80 randomized			
Patient characteristics	DSM IV Alcohol dependence, MDD Outpatients			
Intervention	Escitalopram 20mg/d, 29 study completers			
Comparison	Memantine 20mg/d, 29 study completers			
Length of follow-up	26 weeks			
Outcome and effect size	Depression M-group: MADRS 25.8±4.4 to 12.7±7.0, BDI 27.7±8.4 to 15.3±11.1, F=138.04, p<0.001, ES1=0.76, ES2=0.53.			
	Depression ESC-group: MADRS 26.8±4.1 to 11.5±6.6, BDI 27.6±6.8 to 14.3±11.8, F=25.77, p<0.001, ES1=0.81, ES2=0.57. Alcohol outcome: Abstinence baseline M: 56.4%, E: 57.5%;			

	1-3 month: M: 43.6%, E: 45.0%; ES (baseline 1)=-0.20; ES2=-0.20;			
	12 month: (M: 12.1%, E: 12.5%) ES1=-0.85 ES2=-0.59.			
	Side effects: 7 patients discontinued d. t. AE 4 in M and 3 in E Groups.			
	Side effects: somnolence (M 36%, E 34%), headache (M 36%, E 29%). SAE: 3 died, not			
	related to study med; 2 in M-group (suicide, hyperglycmia) and 1 in E-group (intox by			
	street drugs).			
Funding	National Public health institute, Finland			
Comments	New compounds in treatment of alcohol dep. And depression, low number of subjects. ES			
	for alcohol intake difficult to compute since abstinence is significantly decreasing during			
	follow-up			

Title	Naltrexone Versus Acamprosate in the Treatment of Alcohol Dependence: A Multi- Centre, Randomized, Double-Blind, Placebo-Controlled Trial				
First Author	Morley, K. C., 2006 Source 16968347				
Level of evidence	2B	Study type	RCT		
Study quality	Placebo controlled, abstinence re	equired, Study completion rat	e: n=61 (40, 66%) placebo,		
	n=53 (36, 68%) naltrexone, n=55	, , ,			
	Measures: CIDI, Alcohol Depende	• • •	, ,		
	ALAT or (GGT), Short Form-12 He		-		
	(DASS), SOCRATES. Pill count was	s assessed in the medical revie	ews.		
Participants	169				
Patient characteristics	(DSM-IV) diagnosis of alcohol de	•			
	Stratification according to no dep	•	levels of depression		
Intervention	naltrexone (50mg/day), acampro	osate (1998mg/day)			
Comparison	placebo				
Length of follow-up	12 weeks				
Outcome and effect size	(a) 'no depression' (n=56) and (b) 'clinically relevant levels' of depression (n=111). ITT 'no				
	depression', significant treatment effects (Breslow test=8.88, p=0.01; ES=0.64) NTX v. PBO (Breslow test, p=0.03) and NTX and acamprosate (Breslow test, p=0.004). There was no				
	1				
	significant difference between tr				
	subsample of subjects with 'clinically relevant depression' (Breslow test=0.50, p=0.78, ES=0.06).				
	Side effects: There were significant treatment differences with respect to number of				
	_		•		
	subjects experiencing headaches (F(2,90)=3.76, p<0.05) and somnolence (F(2,89)=4.71, p<0.05). Post-hoc analyses revealed that, compared to the acamprosate group, subjects				
	randomized to placebo were sign	•			
	randomized to naltrexone were		-		
	both placebo and acamprosate g				
Funding	National Health and Medical Research Council of Australia and the University of Sydney				
	Sesqui Fund.				
Comments	Results regarding drinking outcome in relevant vs. no depression demonstrate d in figures				
	only, but not text.		•		

Title	Naltrexone and Disulfiram in Patients With Alcohol Dependence and Current Depression				
First Author	Petrakis, I., 2007	Petrakis, I., 2007 Source 17414239			
Level of evidence	IB	Study type	RCT		
Study quality	Placebo-controlled, open rando	omization to disulfiram or no di	sulfiram, and (2) double-blind		
	randomization to naltrexone of	randomization to naltrexone or placebo. Abstinence required (stable medication, 2 weeks)			
	Study retention rate: D, ND	Study retention rate: D, ND			
	(1): 21/28 29/37				
	(2): 39/43 17/23	(2): 39/43 17/23			
	(3): 29/34 21/25	(3): 29/34 21/25			
	(4): 25/34 24/30				
	82%, 79%				
Participants	n=254				

Patient characteristics	DSM-IV alcohol dependence (n=254); DSM IV major depression (n=139, 54.7%)
Intervention	(1) naltrexone alone, (2) placebo alone, (3) disulfiram and naltrexone, and (4) disulfiram
	and placebo
Comparison	Placebo
Length of follow-up	12-week
Outcome and effect size	Drinking outcomes naltrexone or disulfiram reported significantly fewer drinking days per week (F(1,2810)=5.71, p=0.02) and more consecutive days of abstinence (F(1,246)=4.49, p=0.04). ES=0.38 vs. placebo. Disulfiram-treated subjects who had depression reported significantly lower OCDS (Craving) scores over time than those on naltrexone (z=-2.77, p=0.01). ES=48 vs. placebo All other drinking variables: no significant differences across groups. Depression HAMD outcome: pre-post ES (1): 10.7 (5.6), 7.76 (5.15), 0.54; (2): 10.3 (5.9), 7.46 (5.81), 0.48; (3): 10.5 (5.5), 6.34 (6.16), 0.71; (4): 9.1 (5.6), 6.60 (5.45), 0.45. Test by diagnosis: -6.72, p<0.001 Side effects: There were no differences in side effect clusters reported by the group of subjects with current depression and those without. There were no significant interactions between the presence or absence of current depression and the medication condition on any of the side effect clusters. There were 6 serious adverse events in subjects with current major depression of a total of 14 for the entire sample. 9 The adverse events in the subjects with current depression included 2 deaths (1 NTX group, 1 placebo group), 3 psychiatric hospitalizations (2 disulfiram/placebo group, 1 placebo group), and 1 medical hospitalization for acute axonal neuropathy disulfiram/placebo). The deaths were thought
Funding	to be cardiac but determined not to be study related. Veterans Affairs Merit grant (I.P.)
Comments	
Comments	ES best for NTX or Disulfiram regarding drinking days or consecutive days of abstinence; Craving better in disulfiram-treated depressed subjects; Depression best ES in subjects with Disulfiram and NTX.

Title	A Double-Blind, Placebo-Controlled Trial of Sertraline in Depressed Adolescent Alcoholics: A Pilot Study			
First Author	Deas, D., 2000 Source 12404308			
Level of evidence	2b	Study type	RCT	
Study quality	Placebo-controlled trial of sertra	line plus cognitive behavior gr	oup therapy. Abstinence	
	required? Study completion rate	: 9/10?		
	Measures HAMD, alcohol use me	easures (percent drinking days	s, drinks per drinking day)	
Participants	10			
Patient characteristics	Primary depression and comorbi	Primary depression and comorbid alcohol use disorder treatment-seeking adolescents		
Intervention	Sertraline (max. 100 mg/d) plus cognitive behavior group therapy			
Comparison	Placebo + CBGT			
Length of follow-up	12 weeks			
Outcome and effect size	Both groups showed a significan	t reduction in depression scor	es with an average reduction	
	between baseline and endpoint HAM-D score of -9.8 F(1,8)=26.14, p≤0.001), although			
	there were no significant group differences. There was an overall reduction in Percent Days			
	Drinking (PDD); (F(1,8)=8.90, p<0.02) and in Drinks Per Drinking Day (DDD); (F(1,8)=20.48,			
	p<0.002)			
Funding	?			
Comments	Pilot study in adolescents, no full article available. No group differences between			
	intervention and control condition	on.		

Title	Placebo-controlled Trial of Fluoxetine as an Adjunct to Relapse Prevention in Alcoholics		
First Author	Kranzler, H. R., 1995 Source 7864265		
Level of evidence	1b	Study type	RCT
Study quality	Placebo-controlled, medication + "relapse prevention" (RP). Abstinence required? Study		
	completion rate: 95/101(94%)		

Participants	101		
Patient characteristics	DSM-III-R, Alcohol dependence DIS diagnosis of anxiety and mood disorders		
Intervention	Fluoxetine up to 60mg/d + RP		
Comparison	Placebo + RP		
Length of follow-up	12 weeks		
Outcome and effect size	"Depression" outcome: No time x med effect on BDI		
	Drinking outcomes Abstinence: Wilcoxon (Gehan) statistic=0.26, df=1, p=0.61.		
	Side effects: Chi-square analysis revealed that the only adverse effect that differed		
	significantly in frequency between groups was less sexual interest or performance		
	(x2=3.81, df=1, p=0.05).		
Funding	NIAAA AA-03510, AA-07290, and AA- 00143		
Comments	First study, post-hoc analysis of depression		

Title	Sertraline and Cognitive Behavioral Therapy for Depressed Alcoholics: Results of a Placebo-Controlled Trial				
First Author	Moak, D. H., 2003 Source 14624185				
Level of evidence	2a	Study type	RCT		
Study quality	Placebo controlled, medication + CBT study, abstinence required Study completion rate: 57 of the 82 subjects (70%) Measures: HAM-D-21, SCID, (BDI), (OCDS), Alcohol Dependence Scale (ADS), Form 90				
- ··· ·	modification (TLFB)				
Participants	82				
Patient characteristics	DSM-III-R, alcohol dependence,	abuse MDD or dysthymia			
Intervention	Sertraline 200mg/d + CBT, n=38				
Comparison	Placebo + CBT, n=44				
Length of follow-up	12 weeks				
Outcome and effect size	Depression females: *HAMD ES=0.76, *BDI ES=1.09; males: HAMD=0.01				
	Drinking outcomes ES				
	1) Time to first heavy drinking da	ay 0.10,			
	2) Time to first drink NC				
	3) Drinks per Drinking Day 0.50 (4) Percent Days Abstinent 0.02.				
	Side effects: In the sertraline group, 32 of 38 subjects (84%) were able to tolerate the full				
	dosage of 200 mg. The mean daily dosage for sertraline subjects was 186 mg.				
	Reasons for dosage reduction were nausea (3 subjects), diarrhea (1 subject), insomnia (1				
	subject), and decreased libido (1 subject who stopped medication). One subject in the				
	placebo group stopped medication and dropped out of the study because of irritability				
	attributed to study medication. Serious adverse events occurred in 4 subjects.				
Funding	NIAAA grant AA10476, Pfizer supported medications				
Comments	Differential Gender effects of combined treatment				

Title	Clinical Outcomes of an Integrated Treatment for Depression and Substance Use Disorders				
First Author	Lydecker, K. P., 2010 Source 20853931				
Level of evidence	2a	Study type	RCT		
Study quality	Abstinence not required randomisation. Study completers: T 99/I 107; 24 weeks: 79/87 (80%/83%); 12 month FU: 66/69 67%/65%). 89% in ICBT and 81% in TSF alcohol dependent. Measures: SCID, TLFB, HAMD-21, ASI, AA-affiliation scale				
Participants	206				
Patient characteristics	(1) DSM-IV diagnosis of alcohol, cannabinol, stimulant dependence (2) DSM-IV diagnosis of lifetime major depressive disorder (3) Recent substance use (past 90 days) and elevated depressive symptoms (HAMD Rating >20). Most participants were prescribed an antidepressant (80-90%)				
Intervention	Integrated Cognitive Behavioral Therapy plus standard pharmacotherapy (92-98% AD, ICBT+P). Substance abuse medications across study periods were 2.7%.				

Twelve Step Facilitation Therapy plus standard pharmacotherapy (92-98% AD TSF+P).			
Substance abuse medications across study periods was 2.7%			
24 weeks. Follow-up at 3, 6, 9, and 12 months post-treatment.			
ICBT+P was found to provide superior 18-month substance use outcomes than TSF.			
TSF+P vs. ICBT+P: 56% (46.67) vs. 74% (65.82) at intake; 23% (14.35) vs. 27% (18.37) at 6			
months; 25% (16.37) vs. 20% (12.30) at 12 months. ES 6m=0.32; ES 12m=0.53			
HAMD: TSF+P Intake 27.5±13.1 → 19±10.9 → 21.1±10.6; ICBT+P: 28.6±11.4 → 24.5±10.3			
→ 22.5±9.8			
ES I vs. T: -0.08 (intake) ; -0.51 (6 months); -0.13 (12 months)			
ES over time T: 0.71 (6m vs. intake); 0.53 (12m vs. intake)			
ES over time I: 0.68 (6m vs. intake); 0.57 (12m vs. intake)			
Side effects: Across the two groups, there were no adverse events that occurred as a result			
of treatment.			
/A Medical Research Merit Review Grant awarded to Dr. Sandra A. Brown and VA Merit			
Review Entry Program Grant awarded to Dr. Susan Tate.			
Group differences for alcohol use patterns but not depression; no clear differentiation			
petween AUD and SUD, majority of subjects met AUD criteria.			

Title	Treatment of Late-Life Depression Complicated by Alcohol Dependence				
First Author	Oslin, D. W., 2005 Source 15956269				
Level of evidence	IB	Study type	RCT		
Study quality	No placebo control, combination medication study, abstinence required. Study completion 89.2% for the placebo group and 81.1% for the naltrexone group. Measures: Assessment for Treatment Emergent Effects (SAFTEE), HAMD; MMSE, TLFB, ASI, SF36				
Participants	N=74, randomized				
Patient characteristics	DSM-IV Alcohol dependence, De	pressive disorder; Subjects old	der than 55 years.		
Intervention	Naltrexone (50mg/d) + sertraline(100mg/d) + supportive therapy, n=37				
Comparison	Placebo + sertraline (100mg/d) + supportive therapy, n=37				
Length of follow-up	12 weeks				
Outcome and effect size	Depression outcomes: HAMD<10	0: ES=-0.09; *HAMD<8: ES=0.7	71		
	Drinking outcomes: Abstinence from Heavy Drinking ES=-0.01				
	Side effects: none of adverse events were more common in the naltrexone combination				
	group than the placebo group, and none of these symptoms were related to either				
	completion of the trial or adherence to medication.				
Funding	NIMH (#1K08 MH01599-01,#5P30MH 52129) Department of Veterans Affairs MERP Award.				
Comments	Patients older than 55 years of age No effects with NTX and sertraline combinations				

Title	A Double-Blind, Placebo-Controlled Trial Combining Sertraline and Naltrexone for Treating Co-Occurring Depression and Alcohol Dependence				
First Author	Pettinati, H. M., 2010 Source 20231324				
Level of evidence	2B	Study type	RCT		
Study quality	Placebo controlled, combination medication + CBT study, abstinence required. Study completion rate: 24/40 Sertraline + Naltrexone (60%), 29/49 Naltrexone (59.1%), 21/40 Sertraline (52.5%), placebo: 23/39 (59%). Measures: SCID-P, HAMD-24, TLFB				
Participants	N=170				
Patient characteristics	DSM-IV Alcohol dependence, Depressive disorder; (HAM-D [17]) score ≥10; consumption of an alcoholic drink on ≥40% of the 90 days before treatment				
Intervention	the combination of sertraline plus naltrexone (N=42) + CBT weekly				
Comparison	Double placebo (N=39) + CBT weekly, Sertraline (200mg/day [N=40]), Naltrexone (100mg/day [N=49]), all + CBT weekly				
Length of follow-up	14 weeks				

Depression NTX + S vs. all others: *HAMD t=2.1, p=0.04; ES=0.44; *Not depressed: χ2=6.2,
p=0.01, OR=3.6, ES=0.28
Drinking outcomes:
• Time (days) to relapse to heavy drinking t=3.0, p=0.003, ES=0.54.
• Patients totally abstinent during treatment χ2=12.1, p<0.001, OR 3.7, ES=0.40.
Side effects: Although there were no statistical group differences, the sertraline plus
naltrexone group had six more patients discontinue treatment than did the placebo group.
NIAAA grant R01- AA09544-10 (Dr. Pettinati) and Pfizer Inc. U.S. Pharmaceuticals Group
Study combining NTX, Sertraline, CBT, efficacy shown for drinking and affective symptoms.

Title	Imipramine Treatment of Alcoholics With Primary Depression: A Placebo-Controlled Clinical Trial				
First Author	McGrath, P. J., 1996 Source 8611060				
Level of evidence	2b	Study type	RCT		
Study quality	Randomized study, 51% study completers; outpatients, initial abstinence not required (2 weeks), N=13 drop-out d. t., TCA side effects (sedation) Measures: HAMD, AA attendance, CGI, MAST (Michigan alcohol screening test), plasma levels of TCA				
Participants	N=69, no initial abstinence				
Patient characteristics	DSM-III-R Alcohol dependence, MDD, DD or depression NOS				
Intervention	Imipramine 300mg + relapse pre	Imipramine 300mg + relapse prevention n=36			
Comparison	Placebo + relapse prevention n=33				
Length of follow-up	12 weeks				
Outcome and effect size	HAMD* 0.40, no effect: % days drinking 0.08; % days drinking heavily - 0.26; Drinks per				
	drinking day 0.26.				
Funding	NIAAA				
Comments	First study on TCA, effects on depression but not drinking				

Title	A Double-Blind, Placebo-Controlled Trial of Desipramine for Primary Alcohol Dependence Stratified on the Presence or Absence of Major Depression				
First Author	Mason, B. J., 1996 Source 8598592				
Level of evidence	2b	Study type	RCT		
Study quality	Randomized study, completers 71%, abstinence required. Treatment satisfaction > in verum vs. Placebo Group Measures: HAMD-24, Alcohol Dependence Scale (ADS), FHAM, plasma level monitoring, jar control.				
Participants	N=71, n=51 analysed				
Patient characteristics	DSM-III-R Alcohol dependence, MDD, DD or depression NOS				
Intervention	Desipramine 200mg depressed n=12, Desipramine non-depressed n=14				
Comparison	Placebo depressed n=10, Placebo non-depressed n=15				
Length of follow-up	26 weeks				
Outcome and effect size	HAMD*ES=0.93; N.S.: days to relapse 0.65, total number of adverse reactions did not differ				
	between verum and placebo-treated patients				
Funding					
Comments	First study on TCA, effects on depression but not drinking				

Title	Effectiveness and Tolerability of Mirtazapine and Amitriptyline in Alcoholic Patients With Co-Morbid Depressive Disorder: A Randomized, Double-Blind Study			
First Author	Altintoprak, A. E., 2008	Altintoprak, A. E., 2008 Source 18327889		
Level of evidence	2b	Study type	RCT	
Study quality	Randomized study, Completers 36/44: 81% abstinence required, no placebo control Measures: HAMD-17, STAI, Udvalg for Kliniske Undersogelser Side Effect Rating Scale, MAST			
Participants	N=36 analysed			
Patient characteristics	DSM-IV Alcohol dependence, ab	use, MDD or dysthymia		

Intervention	Amitryptilin up to 125-150mg/day, n=16; Mirtazapin to 45-60mg/day, n=20
Comparison	None
Length of follow-up	56 days
Outcome and effect size	(HAMD M: 24.0±4.4 auf 5.4, ES=0.944; A: 23.7±4.8 auf 4.5 ES=0.94) and Craving (CRA
	Craving Score M: 170.7±26.0 auf 97.3±40.6, ES=0.73; A: 157.7±29.4 auf 99.9±40.2 ES=0.63)
	No differences between medications.
	Tremor, constipation, diminished sexual desire, and orthostatic dizziness were more
	common among the amitriptyline-treated patients.
Funding	Department of Psychiatry, Ege University School of Medicine, Izmir.
Comments	Head to head comparison of two antidepressants

3.6.4. Bipolare Störungen

Title	Randomized Trial of Integrated Group Therapy Versus Group Drug Counseling for Patients With Bipolar Disorder and Substance Dependence		
First Author	Weiss, R., 2007	Source	17202550
Level of evidence	2b	Study type	RCT
Study quality	RCT, Psychotherapy only, Abstin	ence? Study completers 24/33	L IGT (77%), 17/31 GDC (55%)
	Outcome: primary outcome: me HAMD, YMRS, SCID DSM IV	easure number of days of subs	tance use. Measures: ASI,
Participants	N=62		
Patient characteristics	Current diagnoses of bipolar dis	order and substance depende	nce other than nicotine,
	DSM-IV Valproate (N=19, 30.6%	5), lithium (N=15, 24.2%); > 1 N	100d stabilizer (N=29, 46.8%)
Intervention	integrated group therapy (N=31)		
Comparison	group drug counseling (N=31)		
Length of follow-up	20 weeks of integrated group th	erapy or group drug counselin	g with 3 months of
	posttreatment follow- up.		
Outcome and effect size	Intention-to-treat analysis revea		9
	group therapy patients during to		
	alcohol use. No differences were found between groups or over time during treatment or		
	follow-up. However, analysis of	_	_
	depressive and manic symptoms	s for integrated group therapy	patients during treatment
	and during follow-up. ES?		
Funding	Grants DA- 09400, DA- 15968, a	nd DA00326 from the Nationa	I Institute on Drug Abuse
Comments	17 (27.4%) had alcohol depende	ence only, and six (9.7%) had d	rug dependence only.
	Analysis does not separate subje	ects with alcohol and other sul	ostance use disorders.

Title	Treatment response of bipolar and unipolar alcoholics to an inpatient dual diagnosis program			
First Author	Farren, C., 2008	Source	17707085	
Level of evidence	3	Study type	Cohort study	
Study quality	Abstinence: "completion of alco (92%); 6 Months: 160 (68%)	Abstinence: "completion of alcohol withdrawal" Study completer rates: 3 months 226 (92%); 6 Months: 160 (68%)		
Participants	N=232			
Patient characteristics	DSM IV Alcohol dependence + bipolar disorders or depression. Depression (N=124) (M=56 F=68) Bipolar (N=102) (M=50 F=52)			
Intervention	FIRESIDE principles for integrated dual diagnosis treatment			
Comparison	No comparison			
Length of follow-up	3 and 6 Month FU			
Outcome and effect size	Depression 3/6 month abstinence: 71.8%, 55.8% Bipolar 3/6 month abstinence: 64.7% 54.1%			
Funding				
Comments				

Title	Switching outpatients with bipolar or schizoaffective disorders and substance abuse from their current antipsychotic to aripiprazole.		
First Author	Sherwood Brown, E., 2005	Source	15960570
Level of evidence	3	Study type	Cohort Study
Study quality	Abstinence needed? Study com	pletion rate n=19 (95%)	
Participants	N=20		
Patient characteristics	DSM-IV Abuse or Dependence cocaine, amphetamines, cannabis, opiates, or alcohol DSM-		
	IV Bipolar Disorder, or schizoaffective disorder- bipolar type		
Intervention	Aripiprazole		
Comparison	No comparison		
Length of follow-up	12 weeks		
Outcome and effect size	Significant reduction in HAM-D scores, YMRS scores, and BPRS scores.		
Funding			
Comments	Significant reduction in alcohol craving, dollars per week spent on alcohol, but not days per		
	week of alcohol use. Significant reduction in cocaine craving, but not dollars per week or		
	days used.		

Title	Efficacy of Valproate Maintenance in Patients With Bipolar Disorder and Alcoholism		
First Author	Salloum, I., 2005	Source	15630071
Level of evidence	2b	Study type	RCT
Study quality	Double-blind, placebo-controlled randomized parallel-group trial. Abstinence required (1 week withdrawal) Study completion rate: n=20 (38%) Measures: SCID DSM-IV, ASI, Alcohol Use Inventory, Life-Time Charting of Bipolar Episodes, Bech- Rafaelsen Mania Scale (BRMS), HAMD 25; GAS; TLFB Modified Quantitative Alcohol Inventory/Craving Scales, Weekly Self-Help Activity Quest. Somatic Symptoms Checklist and Medication Adherence Form to assess medication adverse effects and self-report of medication adherence.		
Participants	N= 59		
Patient characteristics	DSM-IV alcohol dependence criteria actively drinking alcohol in the past month, concurrent acute episode of bipolar I disorder (manic, mixed, or depressed).		
Intervention	lithium carbonate + psychosocial interventions, + valproate + CBT		
Comparison	lithium carbonate + psychosocial interventions, + placebo + CBT		
Length of follow-up	24 weeks		
Outcome and effect size	Heavy drinking: Twelve (44%) of 27 valproate group reported heavy drinking days vs. 17 (68%) of 25 placebo group. drinks per heavy drinking day: V: 5.6 [SD=8.9] P: (M=10.2 [SD=10.8] ES=0.64 Time to relapse heavy drinking: V: 93 days (SD=74 days; Mdn=75 days) P: 62 (SD=61 days; Mdn=44 days; log-rank test, 3.90; df=1; p=.048) ES=0.45 Mood outcomes: Mood outcomes: no difference between treatment groups manic (estimate, -0.03; t44.2=-0.16; p=.87) (ES=-0.04); depressive (estimate, 0.12; t44.7=0.91; p=.36) symptoms (ES=0.05). Side effects: There were no serious drug-related adverse events. One subject (randomized to valproate therapy) discontinued due to adverse effects, and another (randomized to placebo) discontinued due to increased liver function test values. Only nausea and vomiting were more common in the valproate group. No difference vs. PLO		
Funding	NIAAA		
Comments	Add on study, Effects on drinking	g behavior but not affective sy	mptoms.

LITIE	A randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled add-on trial of quetiapine in outpatients with bipolar disorder and alcohol use disorders				
First Author	Sherwood Brown, E., 2008	herwood Brown, E., 2008 Source 18312058			
Level of evidence	2B	Study type Add on RCT			
Study quality	Abstinence required: Study completion rate: 102 (ITT), 88%				
Participants	N=115				
Patient characteristics	Bipolar I or II, Alcohol use disorder, DSM IIIR				
Intervention	/arious Bipolar medication + Quetiapine (600mg/d)				

Comparison	Various Bipolar medication + Placebo
Length of follow-up	12 Weeks
Outcome and effect size	Heavy drinking days per week no differences across groups week 1-12 Depression HAMD:
	week 1 to 12 Quetiapine group better (F-value: 4.2, df: 1.234; p=0.04) ES=0.41 Mania: no
	significant group differences
Funding	Asta Zeneca
Comments	Phama-sponsored; overall improvement in depression only, no effect on drinking or mania.

Title	A Double- Blind, Placebo- Controlled Study With Quetiapine as Adjunct Therapy With Lithium or Divalproex in Bipolar I Patients With Coexisting Alcohol Dependence			
First Author	Stedman, M., 2010	tedman, M., 2010 Source 20626727		
Level of evidence	2b	Study type	Add on RCT	
Study quality	Q: 176; P: 186 ITT population (n=	=159, quetiapine; n=169, place	ebo). Study completion rate:	
	42.0 and 43.0% for quetiapine a	nd placebo, respectively		
Participants	N=362	N=362		
Patient characteristics	DSM IV bipolar I and alcohol dependence			
Intervention	Lithium or Valproate + Quetiapine (flexibly dosed between 300-800mg/d)			
Comparison	Lithium or Valproate + Placebo			
Length of follow-up	12 weeks			
Outcome and effect size	Drinking: Q vs. P Proportion heavy drinking days 0.66 vs. 0.67 (p=0.93); Number of			
	standard drinks/d 6.99±3.76 vs. 7.17±4.92 → 3.85±0.25 vs. 3.84±0.24; (p=0.95) Affective			
	outcomes, Q vs. P: Mania YMRS 11.6 \pm 6.6 \rightarrow 4.87 \pm 0.44 vs. 10.6 \pm 7.9 \rightarrow 4.00 \pm 0.43 (p=0.11)			
	Depression, MADRS: 19.0±8.7 →	• 6.30±0.7 vs. 17.2±8.6 → 6.2	2±0.68	
Funding	NIAAA, NIDA, NIMH NARSAD, ASTRA Zeneca			
Comments	Overall no significant influence on both drinking behaviors and affective symptoms.			

Title	A Randomized, Double-Blind, Placebo- Controlled Pilot Study of Naltrexone in Outpatients With Bipolar Disorder and Alcohol Dependence		
First Author	Sherwood Brown, E., 2009	Source	19673746
Level of evidence	2B	Study type	RCT add on
Study quality	Abstinence required? Study completer rate: 23 naltrexone, 27 placebo-randomized; 26 completed (52%)		
Participants	50		
Patient characteristics	DSM IV bipolar I or II disorder (MINI), current mood state of depressed or mixed (meeting criteria for both mania and depression) mood, current alcohol dependence, outpatients		
Intervention	Bipolar medication + CBT + Naltrexon 50mg/d		
Comparison	Bipolar medicatio n + CBT +Placebo		
Length of follow-up	12 weeks		
Outcome and effect size	Decline of drinking was similar in both groups, statistical trend towards NTX group (F=3.3, p=0.07). Prospective overall ES: Percent change of drinking d/wk: 0.68 Percent change of heavy drinking d/wk: 0.51 # Drinks/drinking: 0.62 HAMD during study period statistical trend for NTX group (overall improvement ES HAMD=0.56); no differences for YMRS between groups.		
Funding	NIH grant AA01538 9.		
Comments	First study using NTX for treatme	ent of comorbid conditions.	

Titla	A randomized, double-blind, placebo-contr. clinical trial acamprosate alcohol- dependent individuals bipolar disorder				
First Author	Tolliver, B., 2012	olliver, B., 2012 Source 22329472			
Level of evidence	1b	Study type	RCT add on		
Study quality	23 (69.7%) completed all active phase visits., Abstinence required 14 vs. 16 in ITT analysis				
Participants	33 (16 in A, 17 in P)				
Patient characteristics	DSM-IV diagnosis of bipolar I or bipolar II disorder and alcohol dependence				
Intervention	add-on acamprosate (1998mg/day) bipolar med: (lithium, valproic acid, carbamazepine,				

	lamotrigine, first or second-generation antipsychotic meds)
Comparison	Placebo bipolar med: (lithium, valproic acid, carbamazepine, lamotrigine, first or second-
	generation antipsychotic meds)
Length of follow-up	8 weeks + 4 weeks
Outcome and effect size	Drinking outcomes: no statistically significant differences between treatment groups in the time to first drinking day (HR=1.99, 95% CI [0.38 10.36]) or in the time to first heavy drinking day (HR=1.99, 95% CI [0.58 6.88]) CGI scores for substance use severity revealed significantly lower ratings in acamprosate treated subjects in week 7 (OR=32.1, 95% CI [5.0 205.0]) and week 8 (OR=20.4, 95% CI [2.5 164.0]) ES=1.17. Adjusted longitudinal analysis of mood scores, controlling for baseline values as well as group differences in baseline craving, found no effects of acamprosate MADRS (p=0.12) or YMRS (p=0.96) scores across the active phase of the study. No effects of time or treatment x time interactions on either MADRS or YMRS scores were evident. Longitudinal scores on both the MADRS (p<0.0002) and YMRS (p<0.008) were predicted by corresponding scores on each instrument at baseline.
Funding	
Funding	Investigator-initiated research grant from Forest Laboratories.
Comments	First study using acamprosate + bipolar meds.

3.6.5. Angststörungen

Title	Meta-analysis of supplemental patients being treated for alcoh		anxiety disorders in
First Author	Hobbs, J., 2011	Source	21679263
Level of evidence	1a	Study type	Meta-Analysis
Study quality	Moderate:		
	 Methodology based on past meta- analyses and manuals of meta- analytic strategies. Effect sizes derived from several different measures of alcohol use, depression, and 		
	anxiety	rai different measures of alcon	ioi use, depression, and
	Synthetic effect size for studie	s with multiple outcomes that	accounts for variance of
	measures	o manufic catedinies man	
Participants	N=15 studies		
Patient characteristics	Samples:		
	18+ years; Current DSM AD or a		
	current DSM anxiety disorder (e	xcept simple phobia, PTSD, an	d OCD) or current DSM
	depressive disorder		
	Studies:	at vs. an active central condition	on (placebo (therapy central)
	random assignment to treatment vs. an active control condition (placebo/therapy control) for a co-occurring internalizing disorder, follow-up within one year, sufficient information		
	to allow for effect sizes to be calculated for internalizing and AUD outcome effects		
Intervention	Psycho- social and pharmacolog	-	
	/therapy control) for a co-occurring internalizing disorder.		
Comparison	Comparison s on the following outcomes:		
	• Alcohol outcomes (average of all alcohol outcome effect sizes reported, in the respective		
	studies regarding abstinence, frequency, intensity and quantity)		
	• Intern. Outcomes (Hamilton Rating Scale for Anxiety, Social Phobia Inventory, Symptom		
	Checklist – 90, Anxiety Discomfort Scale, Hamilton Rating Scale for Depr., Beck Depr. Inventory, Profile of Mood States, Montgomery and Asberg Depr. Rating Scale.		
Length of follow-up	< 1 year		
Outcome and effect size	·		
	alcohol outcomes	,	
	CBT interventions had a pooled estimate of effect size of d=0.66, while medication		
	yielded a smaller estimate poole		
	Studies where anxiety was tre		, -
	sizes for the internalizing outcome	me (d=0.52) than studies wher	e depression was treated
	(d=0.21). • Trend (p=.09) for better alcoh	al autoamas in studios with his	gh vs. low offect sizes on the
	Trend (p09) for better alcon	or outcomes in studies with his	gii vs. iow effect sizes off the

	internalizing outcomes.Neither psychiatric treatment type nor internalizing disorder type impacted alcohol outcomes
Funding	NIAAA
Comments	Idea that disorders belong to same underlying construct ("internalizing disorders"). As effect sizes for psychiatric treatment on anxiety were significantly greater than for depression, it may remain important to consider them separately. Treatments for co-occurring internalizing disorders are moderately effective in AUD populations and interventions provide a small but significant boost in the benefit of AUD outcomes. This suggests that adding treatment to existing AUD treatment is probably warranted.

Title	Anxiety Disorders: Treatable regardless of the Severity of Comorbid Alcohol Dependence		
First Author	Schadé, A., 2007	Source	17356283
Level of evidence	2b	Study type	Secondary analysis of RCT (Schadé et al. 2005;predict ors for response in intervention group)
Study quality	Moderate: Mainly self- reported ratings for alcohol outcome. Some differences to CDT levels. Exclusion of patients using SSRI when entering treatment (potential selection). SSRI).		
Participants	N=34 (72% of patients	in the interve	ention group)
Patient characteristics	Detoxified patients with a primary DSM-IV diagnosis of AD and a comorbid diagnosis of panic disorder with agoraphobia, agoraphobia without panic attacks or social phobia. 66% male, about 50% employed.		
Intervention	CBT for comorbid anxiety disorders in addition to AD treatment. 12 individual weekly 60-min therapy sessions. Major treatment component was cognitive restructuring. In later sessions behavioral experiments During first six CBT sessions all patients continued to receive treatment for their alcohol problem.		
Comparison	Examination of predictors for treatment response		
Length of follow-up	32 weeks after intake		
Outcome and effect size			
Funding	Dutch Organization fo		
Comments	Dutch Fund for Mental Public Health (NFGV). Even severely alcohol- dependent patients with an anxiety disorder can benefit from CBT. Males with AD and a comorbid anxiety disorder seem to benefit most from CBT if their AD started after age 25, if they are employed and if their general psychopath ology is less severe.		

Title	The effectiveness of anxiety treatment on alcohol- dependent patients with a comorbid phobic disorder: a randomized controlled trial.			
First Author	Schadé, A., 2005 Source 15897725			
Level of evidence	1b Study type RCT			
Study quality	Moderate: Mainly self- reported ratings for alcohol outcome. Some differences to CDT levels. Exclusion of patients using SSRI when entering treatment (potential selection). SSRI).			

Participants	N=96; N=47 alcohol and anxiety treamten; N=49 alcohol treatment).		
Patient characteristics	Detoxified patients with a primary DSM-IV diagnosis of AD and a comorbid diagnosis of		
	panic disorder with agoraphobia, agoraphobia without panic attacks or social phobia.		
Intervention	CBT for comorbid anxiety disorders in addition to AD treatment. 12 individual weekly 60-		
	min therapy sessions. Major treatment component was cognitive restructuring. In later		
	sessions behavioral experiments During first six CBT sessions all patients continued to		
	receive treatment for their alcohol problem		
Comparison	CBT for comorbid anxiety disorders in addition to AD treatment. Vs. AD treatment alone.		
Length of follow-up	32 weeks after intake		
Outcome and effect size	Primary outcome: "percentage of patients who suffer from an alcohol relapse during the		
	32-week period": OR alcohol and anxiety treatment=0.7, 95% CI [0.30 1.65] n.s.		
	Secondary outcome: "anxiety symptoms" at follow-up: Fear Questionnair e total score		
	M=32.7 alc treat. vs. M=.21.9 alc + anxiety treat., p<.001; Anxiety Discomfort Scale (ADS		
	Avoidance M=21.6 alc treat. vs. M=13.1 alc + anxiety treat., p<.0001; ADS Anxiety M=16.5		
	alc treat. vs. M=11.0 alc + anxiety treat., p<.001; SCL-90 total M=167.3 alc treat. vs.		
	M=157.8 alc + anxiety treat., p<.04;		
Funding	Dutch Organization for Scientific Research (NWO).		
	Dutch Fund for Mental Public Health (NFGV).		
Comments	Anxiety treatment for AD patients with a comorbid anxiety disorder can alleviate anxiety		
	symptoms, but no significant effect on the outcome of alcohol treatment.		

Title	Complex Relationship Between Co- occurring Social Anxiety and Alcohol Use Disorders: What Effect Does Treating Social Anxiety Have on Drinking?		
First Author	Thomas, S., 2008	Source	18028529
Level of evidence	2b	Study type	RCT
Study quality	Moderate: Small sample size, Individuals were required to be early in their drinking careers (i.e., no previous alcohol treatment or alcohol detoxification), sample included also patients with alcohol abuse. All were seeking treatment for social anxiety and not for alcohol. Less than 80% could be reached at some Follow-up-points		
Participants	N=42		
Patient characteristics	Patients were recruited from the community About 50% male/female Mean age about 30 yrs. DSM-IV criteria for current social anxiety disorder and alcohol abuse or dependence All had to answer affirmatively that they used alcohol to reduce social fears.		
Intervention	Paroxetine (PAR) or placebo (PLB) for 16 weeks, initiated at 10mg/d with a flexible dosing schedule. Target dose was 60mg/d by week 4. No additional treatment/ psychotherapy was provided in the trial.		
Comparison	Paroxetine (N=20) vs. Placebo (N	l=22)	
Length of follow-up	16 weeks		
Outcome and effect size	Paroxetine was not different than placebo in changing drinks per drinking day in the past 30 days at follow-up (PAR M=5.88, PLB M=7.0, n.s.), proportion of heavy drinking days (PAR M=0.54, PLB M=0.55, n.s.), or the proportion of abstinent days (PAR M=0.66, PLB M=0.65, n.s.). Paroxetine improved social anxiety more than placebo on the Liebowitz Social Anxiety Scale (LSAS). At week 16, the PAR group demonstrate d a 53% reduction in LSAS scores as compared with a 32% reduction for the PLB group (p=0.02).		
Funding	NIAAA. Glaxo Smith Kline (study meds).		
Comments			

3.6.6. Posttraumatische Belastungsstörungen

Title Sertraline in the treatment of co-occurring alcohol dependence and PTSD	
---	--

First Author	Brady, K., 2005	Source	15770115	
Level of evidence	2b	Study type	RCT	
Study quality	High: Double-blind, placebo- cor	ntrolled randomized controlled	d trial.	
Participants	N=94			
Patient characteristics	Community sample (advertiseme	ents). About 50% men/womer	n, rather high education (12-	
	13 yrs.), rather young age (about	t 36 yrs.). In all patients PTSD a	as a result of civilian trauma	
	(40% Sex. Abuse, 50% phys. Abu	se, 50% childhood trauma)		
Intervention	Patients in active treatment rece	eived a fixed dose (150mg/day) of sertraline over a 12	
	weeks period (SER) or placebo (F	PLB). Once a week, all patients	received 1 hr of individual	
	cognitive behavioral therapy (CB	T) targeting alcohol depender	nce using the Project MATCH	
	, -	CBT manual (Project MATCH Research Group, 1997). The CBT focused only on alcohol use		
	symptoms. PTSD symptoms were not targeted in the CBT.			
Comparison	Comparison of PTSD symptom severity (clinician administered PTSD scale for DSM-IV;			
	CAPS), and Alcohol consumption (Timeline Follow-back method; TLFB)			
Length of follow-up	12 weeks			
Outcome and effect size	Examination of average alcohol consumption during the treatment period revealed no			
	significant differences in the seri			
	SER 23.0%, PLB 20.4%; average r	· ·	-	
	drinks consumed per drinking day: SER 6.8, PLB 6.3; heavy drinking days: SER 10.4, PLB			
	8.9).			
	Post hoc cluster analysis, showed significant improvement in sertraline-treated participants			
	with less severe AD and early-onset PTSD			
Funding	NIAAA NIDA			
Comments				

Title	Symptom Improvement in Co-	Occurring PTSD and Alcohol D	ependence
First Author	Back, S., 2006	Source	16971821
Level of evidence	2b	Study type	RCT
Study quality	Double-blind, placebo-controlle	d randomized controlled trial.	Drop-out rate 36%
Participants	N=94		
Patient characteristics	Community sample (advertisements) About 50% men/women, rather high education (12-13 yrs.), rather young age (about 36 yrs.). In all patients PTSD as a result of civilian trauma (40% Sex. Abuse, 50% phys. Abuse, 50% childhood trauma)months (mean 17.7)		
Intervention	Patients in active treatment received a fixed dose (150 mg/day) of sertraline (SER) over a 12 weeks period or placebo (PLB). Once a week, all patients received 1 hr of individual cognitive behavioral therapy (CBT) targeting alcohol dependence using the Project MATCH CBT manual (Project MATCH Research Group, 1997). The CBT focused only on alcohol use symptoms. PTSD symptoms were not targeted in the CBT.		
Comparison	Comparison of PTSD symptom severity (clinician administered PTSD scale for DSM-IV; CAPS), Alcohol consumption (Timeline Follow-back method; TLFB)		
Length of follow-up	12 weeks		
Outcome and effect size	Study completion rates significantly higher for individuals with improvement in both disorders (Global responders: 100%; Nonresponder 71.4%; Alcohol-Only Responder 54.5%; PTSD-Only Responder 43.8%; p<.001). PTSD treatment responders had significantly fewer percent days drinking (11% vs. 31%; p=0.01), percent heavy drinking days (9% vs. 21%; p=0.01), and average drinks per day (.77 vs. 2.39; p=0.01) as compared with PTSD treatment nonresponders. There were no significant differences in CAPS Scores by alcohol response status. Improvement in hyperarousal symptoms, in particular, was related to substantially improved alcohol use		
Funding	NIAAA NIDA		
Comments			

Title	Naltrexone and disulfiram in patients with alcohol dependence and comorbid post-traumatic stress disorder			
First Author	Petrakis, I., 2006 Source 17008146			
Level of evidence	2b Study type RCT			
Study quality	Moderate: Potentially confounding effect of abstinence and the open administration of disulfiram. Subanalysis of patients with PTSD (93/254)			
Participants	N=93			
Patient characteristics	Inpatients from several clinics affiliated with the New England Mental Illness Research and Education Clinical Center (MIRECC) Alcohol dependence and DSM-IV major axis I disorder diagnosed by SCID. 98% male			
Intervention	Open randomization to Disulfiram (250 mg) or no disulfiram. In addition double- blind randomization to naltrexone (50 mg) or placebo			
Comparison	Comparison of number of drinking days and number of heavy drinking days (defined as 5 or more standard drinks) per week			
Length of follow-up	12 weeks			
Outcome and effect size	Consecutive days of abstinence were higher in individuals receiving naltrexone (68.7), disulfiram (75.1) or both medications (68.2) after 12 weeks of treatment than the placebo group (49.7; p=.01).			
Funding	Veterans Affairs MERIT grant and the VISN I Mental Illness Research Education and Clinical Center (MIRECC)			
Comments				

Title	Noradrenergic vs Serotonergic Antidepressant with or without Naltrexone for Veterans with PTSD and Comorbid Alcohol Dependence			
First Author	Petrakis, I., 2012 Source 22089316			
Level of evidence	2b	Study type	RCT	
Study quality	Moderate: 70% Follow-up-Rate. The findings may not generalize to women and non- veterans. Age of onset of pathological drinking and of PTSD was not controlled			
Participants	N=88			
Patient characteristics	Male veterans meeting current of PTSD	diagnostic criteria for both alco	phol dependence (AD) and	
Intervention	patients were randomly assigned to one of four groups: paroxetine + naltrexone (PAR/NAL); paroxetine + placebo (PAR/PLB); desipramine + naltrexone (DES/NAL); desipramine + placebo (DES/PLB)			
Comparison	Comparison of PTSD symptom severity (clinician administered PTSD scale for DSM-IV; CAPS), Alcohol Dependence (Alcohol Dependence Scale; ADS). CAPS data were analyzed using change from baseline CAPS scores in the model to control for baseline symptoms of PTSD			
Length of follow-up	12 weeks			
Outcome and effect size	Paroxetine did not show statistical superiority to desipramine for the treatment of PTSD symptoms. There was a significant decrease in CAPS scores over time ($F_{6108.8}$ =2.175, p=0.051) and no significant interactions of treatment with time (DES/PAR by time $F_{6108.8}$ =1.249, p=0.287; NAL/PLB by time $F_{6108.8}$ =0.813, p=0.562), and no significant three-way interaction. Relative to paroxetine, desipramine significantly reduced the percentage of heavy drinking days ($F_{1.84}$ =7.22, p=0.009) and drinks.			
Funding	Not declared			
Comments				

T:4lo	Do treatment improvements in PTSD severity affect substance use outcomes? A
Title	secondary analysis from a randomized clinical trial in NIDA's Clinical Trials Network

First Author	Hien, D., 2010	Source	19917596
Level of evidence	2b	Study type	RCT
Study quality	Moderate: 60% Follow-up- Rate. 40% of sample was abstinent at baseline which restricted the variability in alcohol and drug outcomes and could have diluted the overall treatment effect. Particularly true with respect to alcohol outcomes. Findings may not generalize to a primarily alcohol dependent sample.		
Participants	N=353		
Patient characteristics	100% Women Outpatients in 7 community- based treatment programs DSM-IV diagnosis of full or subthreshold PTSD (either symptom cluster C or D instead of both substance use within the past six months and a current diagnosis of drug or alcohol abuse or dependence. The maximum number of days of use was categorized into three levels: abstinence (no use), light use (used 1-12 days), and heavy use (used 13 or more days [i.e., more than three days per week])		
Intervention	12 Sessions (two per week) of Seeking Safety or Women's Health Education. Seeking Safety (Najavits, 2002) is a short-term manualized therapy using cognitive- behavioral strategies to reduce substance use and the negative impact of trauma exposure. Women's Health Education (Miller et al., 1998) is a psychoeducational intervention that focuses on general health topics pertinent to women.		
Comparison	Comparison of PTSD symptom severity on the Clinician Administere d PTSD Scale (CAPS), a structured interview that measures DSM-IV PTSD diagnosis and the frequency and intensity of symptoms over the past 30 days. Days with alcohol use in the 30 days prior to assessment		
Length of follow-up	3-, 6-, and 12-months post-treat		
Outcome and effect size	In the experimental group one ubaseline decreased the odds of bscore=4.35, p<0.001), 1.3% (z-scabstinent at baseline. In the consubstance users at baseline decreoliow-up by 0.6% (z-score=0.75, 0.6% (z-score=0.66, p=0.51) for tof Scale scores was significantly and Women's Health Education but not statistically different bet p=0.43).	peing in the heavy users group ore=1.49, p=0.13) for light use trol group, one unit of improveased the odds of being in the p=0.45), 2.3% (z-score=2.60, chose who were abstinent. The different between Seeking Sat heavy substance users at base	o at follow-up by 4.6% (z- ers, and no impact for those ement on CAPS for heavy e heavy users group at p=0.009) for light users, and e effect of the improvement fety heavy substance users eline (z-score=2.95, p=0.003),
Funding	NIDA		
	NIDA -		
Comments			

Title	Randomized controlled trial of cognitive behaviour therapy for comorbid post- traumatic stress disorder and alcohol use disorders				
First Author	Sannibale, C., 2013	Sannibale, C., 2013 Source 25328957			
Level of evidence	lb	Study type	RCT		
Study quality	High (Randomized controlled tri	al, 5% Follow-up- Rate)			
Participants	N=62				
Patient characteristics	Outpatients with Alcohol use disorders (95% Alcohol dependent) and comorbid PTSD, 47% male				
Intervention	12 once-weekly individual sessions of either integrated CBT for PTSD and AUD (IT) or CBT for AUD plus supportive counselling (AS). Participants in both conditions received the same AUD treatment, which was based on the Project MATCH CBT manual and the motivationally enhanced Combined Behavioral Intervention Manual (COMBINE). In the IT group this was integrated with a manualized, exposure-based CBT incorporating exposure therapy with cognitive restructuring for PTSD related cognitions				
Comparison	Comparison of PTSD symptom in CAPS), and Alcohol consumption drinking day: DDD; proportion of	n according to the Timeline Fol			

Length of follow-up	5 and 9.16 (SD=3.45) months post- treatment		
Outcome and effect size	Reductions in PTSD severity were evident in both groups.		
	IT participants who had received one or more sessions of exposure therapy exhibited a		
	twofold greater rate of clinically significant change in CAPS severity at follow-up than AS		
	participants (IT 60%, AS 39%, odds ratio OR=2.31, 95% CI [1.06 5.01]).		
	There was a significant time x treatment interaction for DDD at the 5-month follow-up with		
	lower consumption among AS (M=6.91, SD=6.22) than IT participants (M=8.81, SD=5.89;		
	p=0.048). Differences by group in PDA were not significant.		
Funding	National Health and Medical Research Council, Australia		
Comments			

Title	A controlled examination of two coping skills for daily alcohol use and PTSD symptom severity among dually diagnosed individuals		
First Author	Stappenbeck, C., 2015	Source	25617814
Level of evidence	1b	Study type	RCT
Study quality	medium		
Participants	N=78		
Patient characteristics	AUD with comorbid PTSD		
Intervention	Cognitive restructuring [CR] single session psychoeducation plus up to 4 coaching calls; Experiential acceptance [EA] single session psychoeducation plus up to 4 coaching calls		
Comparison	Attention control condition single session psychoeducation plus up to 4 coaching calls		
Length of follow-up	5 week daily follow-up assessment		
Outcome and effect size	CR und EA führten gegenüber der Kontrollgruppe zu einer stärkeren Reduktion der Trinkmenge (drinks per day); CR und EA führten zu einem signifikanten Anstieg abstinenter Tage im follow-up-Zeitraum. CR konsumierten weniger Alkohol an einem gegebenen Tag als EA. Hinsichtlich der PTSD-Symptomatik zeigten sich keine Differenzen zwischen EA, CR und Kontrollgruppe.		
Funding	NIH/NIAAA		
Comments	minimale Intervention bei hoch belasteter Klientel		

Title	Trauma-focused exposure therapy for chronic posttraumatic stress disorder in alcohol and drug dependent patients: A randomized controlled trial.				
First Author	Coffey, S., 2016	Coffey, S., 2016 Source 27786516			
Level of evidence	1b	Study type	RCT		
Study quality	high				
Participants	N=126				
Patient characteristics	AUD with comorbid PTSD				
Intervention	Modified prolonged exposure (mPE) plus residential substance abuse treatment as usual (TAU); mPE plus Trauma-focused motivational enhancement therapy for PTSD (MET) plus residential substance abuse treatment as usual (TAU)				
Comparison	Healthy Lifestyle Sessions (HLS) plus residential substance abuse treatment as usual (TAU)				
Length of follow-up	End of treatment, 3 months and 6 months follow-up				
Outcome and effect size	mPE und mPE plus MET führten zu einer signifikant stärkeren PTSD-Symptomreduktion gegenüber der Kontrollguppe; mPE und mPE plus MET unterschieden sich nicht signifikant hinsichtlich der Symptomreduktion. mPE führte zu einer signifikant stärkeren Reduktion depressiver Symptomatik (BDI) bei Therapieende. Alle 3 Therapiebedingungen führten zu einer signifikanten Reduktion des Substanzkonsums (abstinente Tage in den follow-ups; keine signifikaten Unterschiede zwischen den Therapiebedingungen.				
Funding	NIAAA				
Comments					

I ITIE	Concurrent naltrexone and prolonged exposure therapy for patients with comorbid alcohol dependence and PTSD: a randomized clinical trial		
First Author	Foa, E., 2013	Source	23925619

Level of evidence	1b	Study type	RCT
Study quality	high		
Participants	N=165		
Patient characteristics	AUD with comorbid PTSD		
Intervention	Prolonged Exposure (PE) plus Na placebo	altrexone (100mg/d); Prolonge	d Exposure (PE) plus pill
Comparison	Supportive Counseling plus Nalt	rexone (100mg/d); Supportive	Counseling plus pill placebo
Length of follow-up	End of treatment, 6 months follow	ow-up	
Outcome and effect size	In allen Gruppen starke Reduktigeringere Anzahl von Trinktager Unterschied in Reduktion der PT Effekt von PE.	als in den Placebo-Gruppen.	Kein signifikanter
Funding	NIAAA		
Comments			

3.6.7. Aufmerksamkeitsdefizit/Hperaktivitätsstörung

Title	Atomoxetine treatment of adu	lts with ADHD and comorbic	d alcohol use disorders
First Author	Wilens, T., 2008	Source	18403134
Level of evidence	2b	Study type	RCT
Study quality	Abstinence required "recently a 64% SCID DSM-IV-TR Axis I Disor Symptom Rating Scale (AISRS) A ADHDS-I (improvement) TLFB, C	rders HAMD-17, HAMA Mea: dult ADHD Clinician Diagnos	sures: ADHD Investigator
Participants	N=147		
Patient characteristics	ADHD and Alcohol use disorder	diagnosis (DSM IV) Outpatie	nts
Intervention	Atomoxetin max. dose 100mg/d Side effects: There were no serious adverse events reported. Discontinuation rates due to an adverse event were low in both groups and not significantly different. Adverse events significantly more prevalent in atomoxetine-treated subjects: nausea (atomoxetine: 43.3%, placebo: 9.6%; p<.001), dry mouth atomoxetine: 26.9%, placebo: 11.0%; p=.018), decreased appetite (atomo.: 17.9%, placebo: 2.7%; p=.004), dizziness (atomo.: 14.9%, placebo: 2.7%; p=.014), fatigue (atomoxetine: 13.4%, placebo: 2.7%; p=.026), constipation (atomoxetine: 11.9%, placebo: 1.4%; p=.014), urinary hesitation (atomoxetine: 7.5%, placebo: 0%; p=.023), hot flush (atomoxetine: 6.0%, placebo: 0%; p=.050), and paraesthesia (atomoxetine: 6.0%, placebo:0%; p=.050).		
Comparison	Placebo		
Length of follow-up	Altogether 24 weeks 12 weeks of		-
Outcome and effect size	ADHD symptoms were significantly improved in the atomoxetine cohort compared to placebo (AISRS total score mean [S.D.], atomoxetine: -13.63 [11.35], p<0.001; placebo: -8.31 [11.44], p<0.001, difference: p=0.007; effect size=0.48) Kaplan-Meier estimates of the time to initial relapse to heavy drinking showed no difference between treatments (logrank; p=0.93). Of 68 subjects atomoxetine group: 64 (94.1%) relapsed end of study period 2; and 69/72 (95.8%) placebo subjects had relapsed. Recurrent-event analysis showed atomoxetine-treated subjects had a significantly lower rate of cumulative heavy drinking days by approximately 26% than placebo-treated subjects (atomoxetine, n=68; placebo n=72; event ratio=0.74, p=0.023)		
Funding	Eli Lilly and Company and by a grant to TEW (K24 DA0162 64 & 5U10DA 015831- 0).		
Comments	Only single RCT study with como Atomoxetine vs. Placebo	orbid AHDS and AUD subject	s and treatment with

Title	Clinical guideline 72 Attention deficit hyperactivity disorder ADULTS		
First Author	NICE, 2008	Source	

Level of evidence	1a	Study type	Clinical Guideline
Study quality	Evidence –based knowledge		
Participants			
Patient characteristics	ADHD only		
Intervention	Several		
Comparison	Several		
Length of follow-up	various		
Outcome and effect size	Drug treatment for adults with ADHD who also misuse substances should only be orescribed by an appropriately qualified healthcare professional with expertise in managing both ADHD and substance misuse. For adults with ADHD and drug or alcohol addiction disorders there should be close liaison between the professional treating the person's ADHD and an addiction specialist.		
Funding	NICE		
Comments	Only guideline for Adult ADHS, la disorders	argely without recognition of o	comorbid alcohol use

Title	European consensus statement on diagnosis and treatment of adult ADHD			
First Author	Kooij, J., 2003	Source	30453134	
Level of evidence	1a	Study type	Konsensus	
Study quality	result of three meetings betwee	en 2003 and 2009		
Participants				
Patient characteristics	Adult ADHD only			
Intervention	Several	Several		
Comparison	Several	Several		
Length of follow-up	Various			
Outcome and effect size	However, systematic research has not provided a strong evidence base for appreciable improvements in ADHD when treated in the presence of substance use disorders and drug or alcohol abuse disorders should always be targeted as a primary disorder. Treating ADHD in parallel with SUD can however be important in some cases, particularly where ADHD is severe or where there is good understanding and compliance for the treatment program.			
Funding				
Comments	European consensus for Adult ADHS, largely without recognition of comorbid alcohol use disorders			

Title	Prevalence Estimates of ADHD in a Sample of Inpatients With Alcohol Dependence				
First Author	Luderer, M., 2018	.uderer, M., 2018 Source 29308693			
Level of evidence	2b	Study type	cross sectional study		
Study quality	high				
Participants	N=415				
Patient characteristics	alcohol dependent patients in lo	ng-term residential treatment	i		
Intervention	A structured interview (Diagnost	A structured interview (Diagnostic Interview for ADHD in Adults [DIVA]) was conducted on			
	all patients. DIVA results indicati	ng childhood or adulthood AD	OHD were assessed in		
	successive diagnostic interviews	by two expert clinicians.			
Comparison					
Length of follow-up					
Outcome and effect size	415 of 488 patients had completed the entire diagnostic assessment. ADHD prevalence				
	was 20.5%. DIVA results correlated moderately with experts' diagnoses. In patients with				
	ADHD, a higher comorbid illicit s	substance use was prevalent a	nd alcohol dependence		
	started earlier and was more sev	vere. Conclusion: This study pr	rovides the largest sample on		
	ADHD prevalence in alcohol dep	ADHD prevalence in alcohol dependent inpatients. Despite great efforts to avoid			
	overestimation, we found every fifth patient to have ADHD. ADHD diagnosis should not be				
	based solely on a structured interview but should be clinically confirmed.				
Funding	None				

Comments	mono-centric but large sample, extensive diagnostic assessment, low dropout rate	
----------	--	--

Title			HD in treatment seeking substance use disorder sulti-center study exploring DSM-IV and DSM-5
First Author	van de Glind, G., 2014	Source	24156882
Level of evidence	2b	Study type	international multi-center cross sectional study
Study quality	medium		
Participants	N=1.276		
Patient characteristics	substance use disorders		
Intervention	A two stage international multi-center, cross-sectional study in 10 countries, among patients form inpatient and outpatient addiction treatment centers for alcohol and/or drug use disorder patients. A total of 3.558 treatment seeking SUD patients were screened for adult ADHD. A subsample of 1276 subjects, both screen positive and screen negative patients, participated in a structured diagnostic interview.		
Comparison			
Length of follow-up Outcome and effect size			
	"Results: Prevalence of DSM-IV and DSM-5 adult ADHD varied for DSM-IV from 5.4% (95% CI [2.4 8.3]) for Hungary to 31.3% (95% CI [25.2 37.5]) for Norway and for DSM-5 from 7.6% (95% CI [4.1 11.1]) for Hungary to 32.6% (95% CI [26.4 38.8]) for Norway. Using the same assessment procedures in all countries and centers resulted in substantial reduction of the variability in the prevalence of adult ADHD reported in previous studies among SUD patients (2−83% → 5.4-31.3%). The remaining variability was partly explained by primary substance of abuse and by country (Nordic versus non-Nordic countries). Prevalence estimates for DSM-5 were slightly higher than for DSM-IV. ADHD prevalence in alcohol addicted patients was 5-22% in outpatients, 4-14 % in inpatients, using DSM-IV criteria Conclusions: Given the generally high prevalence of adult ADHD, all treatment seeking SUD patients should be screened and, after a confirmed diagnosis, treated for ADHD since the literature indicates poor prognoses of SUD in treatment seeking SUD patients with ADHD."		
	project. Norway, Bergen Clinics For council for addiction in We i Helse Vest (KORFOR)), fur infrastructure, have been in Norway, Fredrikstad: The I money, but with 50% of the hospital: The Regional cen Sweden, Stockholm: The subject of the IABelgium: Funding of the IABelgium: Funding of the IABelgium: Private funding. France, Bordeaux: Research and the French Governme a French National Research Fatséas. Spain, Barcelona: Financia Ministerio de Sanidad y Pobarcelona and the Departa Switzerland, Bern/Zürich: Alcohol Research (Grant # following grant was used: provided financial support 09/1/KMR-2010-0003.	undation: Main est Norway (Regnding a 50% pooffrom the Berger ASP was funded as salary of the period of the project of	external funding has been the Regional research gionalt kompetansesenter for rusmiddelforskning sition. The remaining resources, with staff and a Clinics Foundation. If by the hospital, Sykehuset Østfold HF, not with participants, then by two sources outside the mosis and the social – and Health directory. If by the Stockholm Center for Dependency of by the Stockholm Center for Dependency of MILDT grant 2010 to M. Auriacombe and by NRS-CHU-Bordeaux award (2008–2010) to M. Received from Plan Nacional sobre Drogas, D 0080/2011), the Agència de Salut Pública de Government of Catalonia. Spain. Zerland was funded by the Swiss Foundation of Budapest: There was no direct funding, but the Union and the European Social Fund have under the grant agreement no. TÁMOP 4.2.1./B-

For coordination of the IASP study, as described in Funding Resources paragraph above, grants were received from pharmaceutical companies (Shire, Eli Lilly and company, Jansen Cilag), from participating institutes and from three not for profit organizations: the Waterloo Foundation, the Noaber Foundation and the Augeo Foundation." Very large sample, multi-center, high variability in the prevalence of ADHD, depending on the country, high dropout rate Prevalence of Attention-Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder in Substance Use Disorder Patients: A Meta-Analysis and Meta-Regression Analysis First Author van Emmerik-van Oortmerssen, K., 2012 Source 22209385 Level of evidence 1a Study type Meta-Analysis Participants N=6.689 from 29 studies Participants N=6.689 from 29 studies Patient characteristics substance use disorders "A literature search was conducted using MEDLINE, PsycINFO and EMBASE. Search terms were ADHD, substance-related disorders, addiction, drug abuse, drug dependence, alcohol abuse, alcoholism, comorbidity, and prevalence. Results were limited to the English language. After assessing the quality of the retrieved studies, 29 studies were selected. Studies in which nicotine was the primary drug of abuse were not included. All relevant data were extracted and analysed in a meta-analysis. A series of meta-regression analyses was performed to evaluate the effect of age, primary substance of abuse, setting and assessment procedure on the prevalence of ADHD in a variety of SUD populations." Domparison Length of follow-up Dutcome and effect size "Overall, 23.1% (95% CI [19.4–27.2]) of all SUD subjects met DSM-criteria for comorbid ADHD. Cocaine dependence was associated with lower ADHD prevalence than alcohol dependence, opioid dependence was associated with lower ADHD prevalence than alcohol dependence, opioid dependence was associated with lower subjects was the procedure of the diagnosis of ADHD showed significantly higher comorbidity rates than studies using the KSADS, DISC, DIS or other assessm		USA, Syracuse: no funding was obtained.			
grants were received from pharmaceutical companies (Shire, Eli Lilly and company, Jansen Cliag), from participating institutes and from three not for profit organizations: the Waterloo Foundation, the Noaber Foundation and the Augeo Foundation." Very large sample, multi-center, high variability in the prevalence of ADHD, depending on the country, high dropout rate Prevalence of Attention-Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder in Substance Use Disorder Patients: A Meta-Analysis and Meta-Regression Analysis First Author Van Emmerik-van Oortmerssen, K., 2012 Source Patients: A Meta-Analysis and Meta-Regression Analysis Brudy type Meta-Analysis Meta-Analysis N=6.689 from 29 studies Paticipants N=6.689 from 29 studies Patient characteristics "A literature search was conducted using MEDLINE, PsycINFO and EMBASE. Search terms were ADHD, substance-related disorders, addiction, drug abuse, drug dependence, alcohol abuse, alcoholism, comorbidity, and prevalence. Results were limited to the English language. After assessing the quality of the retrieved studies, 29 studies were selected. Studies in which nicotine was the primary drug of abuse were not included. All relevant data were extracted and analysed in a meta-analysis. A series of meta-regression analyses was performed to evaluate the effect of age, primary substance of abuse, setting and assessment procedure on the prevalence of ADHD in a variety of SUD populations." Comparison Length of follow-up Outcome and effect size "Overall, 23.1% (95% CI [19.4–27.2]) of all SUD subjects met DSM-criteria for comorbid ADHD. Cocaine dependence was associated with lower ADHD prevalence than alcohol dependence, opioid dependence and other addictions. Studies using the DICA or the SADS-L for the diagnosis of ADHD showed significantly higher comorbidity rates than studies using the KSADS, DISC, DIS or other assessment instruments. Conclusions: ADHD is present in almost one out of every four patients with SUD. The prevalence estimate is dependent on substance of abuse a					
Cilag), from participating institutes and from three not for profit organizations: the Waterloo Foundation, the Noaber Foundation and the Augeo Foundation." very large sample, multi-center, high variability in the prevalence of ADHD, depending on the country, high dropout rate Prevalence of Attention-Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder in Substance Use Disorder Patients: A Meta-Analysis and Meta-Regression Analysis First Author van Emmerik-van Oortmerssen, K., 2012 Source 1a Study type Meta-Analysis Study quality Participants N=6.689 from 29 studies Patient characteristics Intervention "A literature search was conducted using MEDLINE, PsycINFO and EMBASE. Search terms were ADHD, substance-related disorders, addiction, drug abuse, drug dependence, alcohol abuse, alcoholism, comorbidity, and prevalence. Results were limited to the English language. After assessing the quality of the retrieved studies, 29 studies were selected. Studies in which nicotine was the primary drug of abuse were not included. All relevant data were extracted and analysed in a meta-analysis. A series of meta-regression analyses was performed to evaluate the effect of age, primary substance of abuse, setting and assessment procedure on the prevalence of ADHD in a variety of SUD populations." Comparison Length of follow-up Outcome and effect size "Overall, 23.1% (95% CI [19.4–27.2]) of all SUD subjects met DSM-criteria for comorbid dependence, opioid dependence was associated with lower ADHD prevalence than alcohol dependence, opioid dependence and other addictions. Studies using the DICA or the SADS-L for the diagnosis of ADHD showed significantly higher comorbidity rates than studies using the KSADS, DISC, DIS or other assessment instruments. Conclusions: ADHD is present in almost one out of every four patients with SUD. The prevalence estimate is dependent on substance of abuse and assessment instrument."					
Waterloo Foundation, the Noaber Foundation and the Augeo Foundation." Very large sample, multi-center, high variability in the prevalence of ADHD, depending on the country, high dropout rate Prevalence of Attention-Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder in Substance Use Disorder Patients: A Meta-Analysis and Meta-Regression Analysis First Author Van Emmerik-van Oortmerssen, K., 2012 Source 22209385 Study type Meta-Analysis Participants N=6.689 from 29 studies Patients characteristics N=6.689 from 29 studies Patient characteristics N=6					
Very large sample, multi-center, high variability in the prevalence of ADHD, depending on the country, high dropout rate Prevalence of Attention-Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder in Substance Use Disorder Patients: A Meta-Analysis and Meta-Regression Analysis First Author Van Emmerik-van Oortmerssen, K., 2012 Source 22209385 Level of evidence 1a Study type Meta-Analysis Weta-Analysis Braidignatts N=6.689 from 29 studies Substance use disorders In a literature search was conducted using MEDLINE, PsycINFO and EMBASE. Search terms were ADHD, substance-related disorders, addiction, drug abuse, drug dependence, alcohol abuse, alcoholism, comorbidity, and prevalence. Results were limited to the English language. After assessing the quality of the retrieved studies, 29 studies were selected. Studies in which nicotine was the primary drug of abuse were not included. All relevant data were extracted and analysed in a meta-analysis. A series of meta-regression analyses was performed to evaluate the effect of age, primary substance of abuse, setting and assessment procedure on the prevalence of ADHD in a variety of SUD populations." Comparison Length of follow-up Dutcome and effect size ADHD. Cocaine dependence was associated with lower ADHD prevalence than alcohol dependence, opioid dependence and other addictions. Studies using the DICA or the SADS-L for the diagnosis of ADHD showed significantly higher comorbidity rates than studies using the KSADS, DISC, DIS or other assessment instruments. Conclusions: ADHD is present in almost one out of every four patients with SUD. The prevalence estimate is dependent on substance of abuse and assessment instrument."					
the country, high dropout rate Prevalence of Attention-Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder in Substance Use Disorder Patients: A Meta-Analysis and Meta-Regression Analysis Van Emmerik-van Oortmerssen, K., 2012 Source 22209385 Evel of evidence 1a Study type Meta-Analysis Participants N=6.689 from 29 studies Patient characteristics substance use disorders Intervention "A literature search was conducted using MEDLINE, PsycINFO and EMBASE. Search terms were ADHD, substance-related disorders, addiction, drug abuse, drug dependence, alcohol abuse, alcoholism, comorbidity, and prevalence. Results were limited to the English language. After assessing the quality of the retrieved studies, 29 studies were selected. Studies in which nicotine was the primary drug of abuse were not included. All relevant data were extracted and analysed in a meta-analysis. A series of meta-regression analyses was performed to evaluate the effect of age, primary substance of abuse, setting and assessment procedure on the prevalence of ADHD in a variety of SUD populations." Comparison Length of follow-up Dutcome and effect size "Overall, 23.1% (95% CI [19.4–27.2]) of all SUD subjects met DSM-criteria for comorbid ADHD. Cocaine dependence was associated with lower ADHD prevalence than alcohol dependence, opioid dependence and other addictions. Studies using the DICA or the SADS-L for the diagnosis of ADHD showed significantly higher comorbidity rates than studies using the KSADS, DISC, DIS or other assessment instruments. Conclusions: ADHD is present in almost one out of every four patients with SUD. The prevalence estimate is dependent on substance of abuse and assessment instrument."	Comments				
Patients: A Meta-Analysis and Meta-Regression Analysis First Author van Emmerik-van Oortmerssen, K., 2012 Source study type Meta-Analysis Participants N=6.689 from 29 studies Patient characteristics substance use disorders Patient characteristics "A literature search was conducted using MEDLINE, PsycINFO and EMBASE. Search terms were ADHD, substance-related disorders, addiction, drug abuse, drug dependence, alcohol abuse, alcoholism, comorbidity, and prevalence. Results were limited to the English language. After assessing the quality of the retrieved studies, 29 studies were selected. Studies in which nicotine was the primary drug of abuse were not included. All relevant data were extracted and analysed in a meta-analysis. A series of meta-regression analyses was performed to evaluate the effect of age, primary substance of abuse, setting and assessment procedure on the prevalence of ADHD in a variety of SUD populations." Comparison Length of follow-up Dutcome and effect size "Overall, 23.1% (95% CI [19.4–27.2]) of all SUD subjects met DSM-criteria for comorbid ADHD. Cocaine dependence was associated with lower ADHD prevalence than alcohol dependence, opioid dependence and other addictions. Studies using the DICA or the SADS-L for the diagnosis of ADHD showed significantly higher comorbidity rates than studies using the KSADS, DISC, DIS or other assessment instruments. Conclusions: ADHD is present in almost one out of every four patients with SUD. The prevalence estimate is dependent on substance of abuse and assessment instrument."	Comments	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	.iie prevaiei	ice of ADITO, depending off	
Revel of evidence Study quality High Participants N=6.689 from 29 studies substance use disorders "A literature search was conducted using MEDLINE, PsycINFO and EMBASE. Search terms were ADHD, substance-related disorders, addiction, drug abuse, drug dependence, alcohol abuse, alcoholism, comorbidity, and prevalence. Results were limited to the English language. After assessing the quality of the retrieved studies, 29 studies were selected. Studies in which nicotine was the primary drug of abuse were not included. All relevant data were extracted and analysed in a meta-analysis. A series of meta-regression analyses was performed to evaluate the effect of age, primary substance of abuse, setting and assessment procedure on the prevalence of ADHD in a variety of SUD populations." Comparison Length of follow-up Dutcome and effect size "Overall, 23.1% (95% CI [19.4–27.2]) of all SUD subjects met DSM-criteria for comorbid ADHD. Cocaine dependence was associated with lower ADHD prevalence than alcohol dependence, opioid dependence and other addictions. Studies using the DICA or the SADS-L for the diagnosis of ADHD showed significantly higher comorbidity rates than studies using the KSADS, DISC, DIS or other assessment instruments. Conclusions: ADHD is present in almost one out of every four patients with SUD. The prevalence estimate is dependent on substance of abuse and assessment instrument."	Title			stance Use Disorder	
Description of follow-up Comparison Length of follow-up Outcome and effect size Outcome and	First Author	van Emmerik-van Oortmerssen, K., 2012	Source	22209385	
Participants Patient characteristics Intervention "A literature search was conducted using MEDLINE, PsycINFO and EMBASE. Search terms were ADHD, substance-related disorders, addiction, drug abuse, drug dependence, alcohol abuse, alcoholism, comorbidity, and prevalence. Results were limited to the English language. After assessing the quality of the retrieved studies, 29 studies were selected. Studies in which nicotine was the primary drug of abuse were not included. All relevant data were extracted and analysed in a meta-analysis. A series of meta-regression analyses was performed to evaluate the effect of age, primary substance of abuse, setting and assessment procedure on the prevalence of ADHD in a variety of SUD populations." Comparison Length of follow-up Dutcome and effect size "Overall, 23.1% (95% CI [19.4–27.2]) of all SUD subjects met DSM-criteria for comorbid ADHD. Cocaine dependence was associated with lower ADHD prevalence than alcohol dependence, opioid dependence and other addictions. Studies using the DICA or the SADS-L for the diagnosis of ADHD showed significantly higher comorbidity rates than studies using the KSADS, DISC, DIS or other assessment instruments. Conclusions: ADHD is present in almost one out of every four patients with SUD. The prevalence estimate is dependent on substance of abuse and assessment instrument."	Level of evidence	1a	Study type	Meta-Analysis	
Patient characteristics Intervention "A literature search was conducted using MEDLINE, PsycINFO and EMBASE. Search terms were ADHD, substance-related disorders, addiction, drug abuse, drug dependence, alcohol abuse, alcoholism, comorbidity, and prevalence. Results were limited to the English language. After assessing the quality of the retrieved studies, 29 studies were selected. Studies in which nicotine was the primary drug of abuse were not included. All relevant data were extracted and analysed in a meta-analysis. A series of meta-regression analyses was performed to evaluate the effect of age, primary substance of abuse, setting and assessment procedure on the prevalence of ADHD in a variety of SUD populations." Comparison Length of follow-up Dutcome and effect size "Overall, 23.1% (95% CI [19.4–27.2]) of all SUD subjects met DSM-criteria for comorbid ADHD. Cocaine dependence was associated with lower ADHD prevalence than alcohol dependence, opioid dependence and other addictions. Studies using the DICA or the SADS-L for the diagnosis of ADHD showed significantly higher comorbidity rates than studies using the KSADS, DISC, DIS or other assessment instruments. Conclusions: ADHD is present in almost one out of every four patients with SUD. The prevalence estimate is dependent on substance of abuse and assessment instrument."	Study quality	High			
"A literature search was conducted using MEDLINE, PsycINFO and EMBASE. Search terms were ADHD, substance-related disorders, addiction, drug abuse, drug dependence, alcohol abuse, alcoholism, comorbidity, and prevalence. Results were limited to the English language. After assessing the quality of the retrieved studies, 29 studies were selected. Studies in which nicotine was the primary drug of abuse were not included. All relevant data were extracted and analysed in a meta-analysis. A series of meta-regression analyses was performed to evaluate the effect of age, primary substance of abuse, setting and assessment procedure on the prevalence of ADHD in a variety of SUD populations." Comparison Length of follow-up Outcome and effect size "Overall, 23.1% (95% CI [19.4–27.2]) of all SUD subjects met DSM-criteria for comorbid ADHD. Cocaine dependence was associated with lower ADHD prevalence than alcohol dependence, opioid dependence and other addictions. Studies using the DICA or the SADS-L for the diagnosis of ADHD showed significantly higher comorbidity rates than studies using the KSADS, DISC, DIS or other assessment instruments. Conclusions: ADHD is present in almost one out of every four patients with SUD. The prevalence estimate is dependent on substance of abuse and assessment instrument."	Participants	N=6.689 from 29 studies			
were ADHD, substance-related disorders, addiction, drug abuse, drug dependence, alcohol abuse, alcoholism, comorbidity, and prevalence. Results were limited to the English language. After assessing the quality of the retrieved studies, 29 studies were selected. Studies in which nicotine was the primary drug of abuse were not included. All relevant data were extracted and analysed in a meta-analysis. A series of meta-regression analyses was performed to evaluate the effect of age, primary substance of abuse, setting and assessment procedure on the prevalence of ADHD in a variety of SUD populations." Comparison Length of follow-up Outcome and effect size "Overall, 23.1% (95% CI [19.4–27.2]) of all SUD subjects met DSM-criteria for comorbid ADHD. Cocaine dependence was associated with lower ADHD prevalence than alcohol dependence, opioid dependence and other addictions. Studies using the DICA or the SADS-L for the diagnosis of ADHD showed significantly higher comorbidity rates than studies using the KSADS, DISC, DIS or other assessment instruments. Conclusions: ADHD is present in almost one out of every four patients with SUD. The prevalence estimate is dependent on substance of abuse and assessment instrument."	Patient characteristics	substance use disorders			
abuse, alcoholism, comorbidity, and prevalence. Results were limited to the English language. After assessing the quality of the retrieved studies, 29 studies were selected. Studies in which nicotine was the primary drug of abuse were not included. All relevant data were extracted and analysed in a meta-analysis. A series of meta-regression analyses was performed to evaluate the effect of age, primary substance of abuse, setting and assessment procedure on the prevalence of ADHD in a variety of SUD populations." Comparison Length of follow-up Outcome and effect size "Overall, 23.1% (95% CI [19.4–27.2]) of all SUD subjects met DSM-criteria for comorbid ADHD. Cocaine dependence was associated with lower ADHD prevalence than alcohol dependence, opioid dependence and other addictions. Studies using the DICA or the SADS-L for the diagnosis of ADHD showed significantly higher comorbidity rates than studies using the KSADS, DISC, DIS or other assessment instruments. Conclusions: ADHD is present in almost one out of every four patients with SUD. The prevalence estimate is dependent on substance of abuse and assessment instrument."	Intervention	"A literature search was conducted using MEDLINE	, PsycINFO a	and EMBASE. Search terms	
abuse, alcoholism, comorbidity, and prevalence. Results were limited to the English language. After assessing the quality of the retrieved studies, 29 studies were selected. Studies in which nicotine was the primary drug of abuse were not included. All relevant data were extracted and analysed in a meta-analysis. A series of meta-regression analyses was performed to evaluate the effect of age, primary substance of abuse, setting and assessment procedure on the prevalence of ADHD in a variety of SUD populations." Comparison Length of follow-up Outcome and effect size "Overall, 23.1% (95% CI [19.4–27.2]) of all SUD subjects met DSM-criteria for comorbid ADHD. Cocaine dependence was associated with lower ADHD prevalence than alcohol dependence, opioid dependence and other addictions. Studies using the DICA or the SADS-L for the diagnosis of ADHD showed significantly higher comorbidity rates than studies using the KSADS, DISC, DIS or other assessment instruments. Conclusions: ADHD is present in almost one out of every four patients with SUD. The prevalence estimate is dependent on substance of abuse and assessment instrument."		were ADHD, substance-related disorders, addiction	n, drug abus	e, drug dependence, alcohol	
language. After assessing the quality of the retrieved studies, 29 studies were selected. Studies in which nicotine was the primary drug of abuse were not included. All relevant data were extracted and analysed in a meta-analysis. A series of meta-regression analyses was performed to evaluate the effect of age, primary substance of abuse, setting and assessment procedure on the prevalence of ADHD in a variety of SUD populations." Comparison Length of follow-up Outcome and effect size "Overall, 23.1% (95% CI [19.4–27.2]) of all SUD subjects met DSM-criteria for comorbid ADHD. Cocaine dependence was associated with lower ADHD prevalence than alcohol dependence, opioid dependence and other addictions. Studies using the DICA or the SADS-L for the diagnosis of ADHD showed significantly higher comorbidity rates than studies using the KSADS, DISC, DIS or other assessment instruments. Conclusions: ADHD is present in almost one out of every four patients with SUD. The prevalence estimate is dependent on substance of abuse and assessment instrument."			•	•	
After assessing the quality of the retrieved studies, 29 studies were selected. Studies in which nicotine was the primary drug of abuse were not included. All relevant data were extracted and analysed in a meta-analysis. A series of meta-regression analyses was performed to evaluate the effect of age, primary substance of abuse, setting and assessment procedure on the prevalence of ADHD in a variety of SUD populations." Comparison Length of follow-up Outcome and effect size "Overall, 23.1% (95% CI [19.4–27.2]) of all SUD subjects met DSM-criteria for comorbid ADHD. Cocaine dependence was associated with lower ADHD prevalence than alcohol dependence, opioid dependence and other addictions. Studies using the DICA or the SADS-L for the diagnosis of ADHD showed significantly higher comorbidity rates than studies using the KSADS, DISC, DIS or other assessment instruments. Conclusions: ADHD is present in almost one out of every four patients with SUD. The prevalence estimate is dependent on substance of abuse and assessment instrument."				<u> </u>	
which nicotine was the primary drug of abuse were not included. All relevant data were extracted and analysed in a meta-analysis. A series of meta-regression analyses was performed to evaluate the effect of age, primary substance of abuse, setting and assessment procedure on the prevalence of ADHD in a variety of SUD populations." Comparison Length of follow-up Outcome and effect size "Overall, 23.1% (95% CI [19.4–27.2]) of all SUD subjects met DSM-criteria for comorbid ADHD. Cocaine dependence was associated with lower ADHD prevalence than alcohol dependence, opioid dependence and other addictions. Studies using the DICA or the SADS-L for the diagnosis of ADHD showed significantly higher comorbidity rates than studies using the KSADS, DISC, DIS or other assessment instruments. Conclusions: ADHD is present in almost one out of every four patients with SUD. The prevalence estimate is dependent on substance of abuse and assessment instrument."			29 studies	were selected. Studies in	
All relevant data were extracted and analysed in a meta-analysis. A series of meta- regression analyses was performed to evaluate the effect of age, primary substance of abuse, setting and assessment procedure on the prevalence of ADHD in a variety of SUD populations." Comparison Length of follow-up Outcome and effect size "Overall, 23.1% (95% CI [19.4–27.2]) of all SUD subjects met DSM-criteria for comorbid ADHD. Cocaine dependence was associated with lower ADHD prevalence than alcohol dependence, opioid dependence and other addictions. Studies using the DICA or the SADS-L for the diagnosis of ADHD showed significantly higher comorbidity rates than studies using the KSADS, DISC, DIS or other assessment instruments. Conclusions: ADHD is present in almost one out of every four patients with SUD. The prevalence estimate is dependent on substance of abuse and assessment instrument."					
regression analyses was performed to evaluate the effect of age, primary substance of abuse, setting and assessment procedure on the prevalence of ADHD in a variety of SUD populations." Comparison ength of follow-up Dutcome and effect size "Overall, 23.1% (95% CI [19.4–27.2]) of all SUD subjects met DSM-criteria for comorbid ADHD. Cocaine dependence was associated with lower ADHD prevalence than alcohol dependence, opioid dependence and other addictions. Studies using the DICA or the SADS-L for the diagnosis of ADHD showed significantly higher comorbidity rates than studies using the KSADS, DISC, DIS or other assessment instruments. Conclusions: ADHD is present in almost one out of every four patients with SUD. The prevalence estimate is dependent on substance of abuse and assessment instrument."		, , ,			
abuse, setting and assessment procedure on the prevalence of ADHD in a variety of SUD populations." Comparison Length of follow-up Outcome and effect size "Overall, 23.1% (95% CI [19.4–27.2]) of all SUD subjects met DSM-criteria for comorbid ADHD. Cocaine dependence was associated with lower ADHD prevalence than alcohol dependence, opioid dependence and other addictions. Studies using the DICA or the SADS-L for the diagnosis of ADHD showed significantly higher comorbidity rates than studies using the KSADS, DISC, DIS or other assessment instruments. Conclusions: ADHD is present in almost one out of every four patients with SUD. The prevalence estimate is dependent on substance of abuse and assessment instrument."		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
populations." Comparison Length of follow-up Dutcome and effect size "Overall, 23.1% (95% CI [19.4–27.2]) of all SUD subjects met DSM-criteria for comorbid ADHD. Cocaine dependence was associated with lower ADHD prevalence than alcohol dependence, opioid dependence and other addictions. Studies using the DICA or the SADS-L for the diagnosis of ADHD showed significantly higher comorbidity rates than studies using the KSADS, DISC, DIS or other assessment instruments. Conclusions: ADHD is present in almost one out of every four patients with SUD. The prevalence estimate is dependent on substance of abuse and assessment instrument."					
Dutcome and effect size "Overall, 23.1% (95% CI [19.4–27.2]) of all SUD subjects met DSM-criteria for comorbid ADHD. Cocaine dependence was associated with lower ADHD prevalence than alcohol dependence, opioid dependence and other addictions. Studies using the DICA or the SADS-L for the diagnosis of ADHD showed significantly higher comorbidity rates than studies using the KSADS, DISC, DIS or other assessment instruments. Conclusions: ADHD is present in almost one out of every four patients with SUD. The prevalence estimate is dependent on substance of abuse and assessment instrument."					
Dutcome and effect size "Overall, 23.1% (95% CI [19.4–27.2]) of all SUD subjects met DSM-criteria for comorbid ADHD. Cocaine dependence was associated with lower ADHD prevalence than alcohol dependence, opioid dependence and other addictions. Studies using the DICA or the SADS-L for the diagnosis of ADHD showed significantly higher comorbidity rates than studies using the KSADS, DISC, DIS or other assessment instruments. Conclusions: ADHD is present in almost one out of every four patients with SUD. The prevalence estimate is dependent on substance of abuse and assessment instrument."	Comparison				
ADHD. Cocaine dependence was associated with lower ADHD prevalence than alcohol dependence, opioid dependence and other addictions. Studies using the DICA or the SADS-L for the diagnosis of ADHD showed significantly higher comorbidity rates than studies using the KSADS, DISC, DIS or other assessment instruments. Conclusions: ADHD is present in almost one out of every four patients with SUD. The prevalence estimate is dependent on substance of abuse and assessment instrument."	Length of follow-up				
dependence, opioid dependence and other addictions. Studies using the DICA or the SADS-L for the diagnosis of ADHD showed significantly higher comorbidity rates than studies using the KSADS, DISC, DIS or other assessment instruments. Conclusions: ADHD is present in almost one out of every four patients with SUD. The prevalence estimate is dependent on substance of abuse and assessment instrument." Funding	Outcome and effect size	"Overall, 23.1% (95% CI [19.4–27.2]) of all SUD sub	jects met D	SM-criteria for comorbid	
L for the diagnosis of ADHD showed significantly higher comorbidity rates than studies using the KSADS, DISC, DIS or other assessment instruments. Conclusions: ADHD is present in almost one out of every four patients with SUD. The prevalence estimate is dependent on substance of abuse and assessment instrument." Funding none		ADHD. Cocaine dependence was associated with lo	wer ADHD	prevalence than alcohol	
using the KSADS, DISC, DIS or other assessment instruments. Conclusions: ADHD is present in almost one out of every four patients with SUD. The prevalence estimate is dependent on substance of abuse and assessment instrument." Funding none		dependence, opioid dependence and other addicti	ons. Studies	s using the DICA or the SADS-	
Conclusions: ADHD is present in almost one out of every four patients with SUD. The prevalence estimate is dependent on substance of abuse and assessment instrument." Funding		L for the diagnosis of ADHD showed significantly hi	gher comor	bidity rates than studies	
prevalence estimate is dependent on substance of abuse and assessment instrument." Funding none		using the KSADS, DISC, DIS or other assessment ins	truments.		
prevalence estimate is dependent on substance of abuse and assessment instrument." Funding none		I -		patients with SUD. The	
-		prevalence estimate is dependent on substance of	abuse and	assessment instrument."	
Comments	Funding				
·-····	Comments				

Title	International Consensus Statement on Screening, Diagnosis and Treatment of Substance Use Disorder Patients With Comorbid Attention Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder		
First Author	Crunelle, C., 2018	Source	29510390
Level of evidence	2a	Study type	systematic review + consensus statement
Study quality	high		
Participants	N=212 publications		
Patient characteristics	ADHD and substance use disorders		
Intervention	PubMed, Cinahl and Psychinfo were searched for articles published January 1994 to December 2015 using the terms "drug abuse," "substance use," "addiction," "dependence" and "ADHD," limited to articles published in English, French and Dutch. Existing guidelines in Clinical Evidence, CEBAM, NHS Guideline finder, Cochrane library, NICE, National Guideline Clearinghouse and GIN database were searched. All retrieved articles and relevant cross-references were reviewed.		
Comparison			
Length of follow-up			
Outcome and effect size	disorders (SUD) and is associate and with reduced treatment eff possible ADHD in adults with SU	ed with early of fectiveness. Scr JD and should	(ADHD) often co-occurs with substance use nset and more severe development of SUD reening tools allow for a good recognition of be used routinely, followed by an ADHD e. Sensitivity ASRS 67-100%, specificity ASRS

	66-82%; Simultaneous and integrated treatment of ADHD and SUD, using a combination of pharmaco- and psychotherapy, is recommended. Long-acting methylphenidate, extended-
	release amphetamines, and atomoxetine with up-titration to higher dosages may be
	considered in patients unresponsive to standard doses. This paper includes evidence- and
	consensus-based recommendations developed to provide guidance in the screening,
	diagnosis and treatment of patients with ADHDSUD comorbidity. The statements in this
	consensus text are based primarily on scientific evidence from available publications
	(n=212; online suppl. Material 1, see www.karger.com/doi/10.1159/000487767). When
	scientific evidence was lacking, a consensus was sought from the opinions of experts in the
	field, which is stated specifically in the main text when this was the case.
Funding	none reported
Comments	Consensus paper with clinical recommendations by a group of international experts on ADHD and SUD. Systematic review on screening, diagnosis and treatment of ADHD+SUD
	ADITO and 300. Systematic review on screening, diagnosis and treatment of ADITO 300

Title	Adult ADHD Screening in Alcohol-Dependent Patients Using the Wender-Utah Rating Scale and the Adult ADHD Self-Report Scale			
First Author	Daigre, C., 2015	Source	24743975	
Level of evidence	2b	Study type	cross sectional study, screening	
Study quality	medium			
Participants	N=355			
Patient characteristics	alcohol dependent patients in o	utpatient treatment		
Intervention	instruments, Wender-Utah Ratir ADHD Self-Report Scales (ASRS) dependent patients. Method: A	Objective: The aim was to analyze the psychometric properties of two screening instruments, Wender-Utah Rating Scale (WURS) that evaluates childhood ADHD and Adult ADHD Self-Report Scales (ASRS) that assesses symptoms in adulthood, in alcoholdependent patients. Method: A total of 355 outpatients were included. Conners' adult ADHD diagnostic interview results were used as a gold standard in childhood and adulthood ADHD.		
Comparison				
Length of follow-up				
Outcome and effect size		of 86.7% and specific served that patients v Patients with positiv	·	
Funding	none			
Comments				

Title	Screening for Adult attention-deficit/hyperactivity Disorder in Alcohol Dependent Patients: Underreporting of ADHD Symptoms in Self-Report Scales		
First Author	Luderer, M., 2019	Source	30583265
Level of evidence	2b	Study type	cross sectional study, screening
Study quality	High		
Participants	N=404		
Patient characteristics	alcohol dependent patients in long-term residential treatment		
Intervention	Conners' Adult ADHD Rating Scale Screening Self-Rating (CAARS-S-SR) and Adult ADHD Rating Scale (ASRS). Results were compared with ADHD diagnosis obtained from a stepped approach: first, a structured interview (Diagnostic Interview for ADHD in adults 2.0.; DIVA) was applied; second, probable ADHD diagnoses had to be confirmed by two expert clinicians independently.		
Comparison			
Length of follow-up			
Outcome and effect size	57.1 and 70.6%. A high numbe 92.3%) indicates underreporti	r of false negative ng of ADHD sympto	nd CAARS-S-SR showed low sensitivities of results (NPV ASRS: 89.5%; CAARS-S-SR: oms. Sensitivity improved at lower cut-off on of both instruments at lower cut-offs.

	the AUCs of the single questionnaires. Cutoff values should be adjusted to the clinical setting. Clinicians should take into consideration that a negative screening result does not necessarily imply absence of ADHD.
Funding	none
Comments	

Title	The Clinical Utility of ASRS-v1.1 for Identifying ADHD in Alcoholics Using PRISM as the Reference Standard			
First Author	Reyes, M., 2019	Source	27138328	
Level of evidence	3b	Study type	cross sectional study, prevalence and screening	
Study quality	Low			
Participants	N=379			
Patient characteristics	alcohol dependent patients	in outpatient a	nd inpatient treatment	
Intervention	v1.1) in identifying ADHD in Substance and Mental Diso analysis of data from 379 tr	The objective was to assess the clinical utility of the Adult ADHD Self-Report Scale (ASRS-1.1) in identifying ADHD in alcoholics using the Psychiatric Research Interview for substance and Mental Disorders (PRISM) as the diagnostic "gold standard." A secondary inalysis of data from 379 treatment-seeking alcoholics who completed the ASRS-v1.1 and the ADHD module of the PRISM was performed.		
Comparison				
Length of follow-up				
Outcome and effect size	The prevalence of ADHD was 7.7% (95% CI [5.4 10.8]). The positive predictive value (PPV) of the ASRS-v1.1 was 18.1% (95% CI [12.4 25.7]) and the negative predictive value (NPV) was 97.6% (95% CI [94.9 98.9]). The ASRS-v1.1 demonstrated a sensitivity of 79.3% (95% CI [61.6 90.2]) and a specificity of 70.3% (95% CI [65.3 74.8]). Conclusion: The ASRS-v1.1 demonstrated acceptable sensitivity and specificity in a sample of treatment-seeking alcoholics when compared with the PRISM as the reference standard for ADHD diagnosis.			
Funding	Samuel C. Johnson Genomics of Addictions Program (and NIAAA funded grant).			
Comments	Secondary analysis on a sar (PRISM) not used in this po	•	om an acamprosate study. Structured interview	

Title	Langfassung der interdisziplinären evidenz- und konsensbasierten (S3) Leitlinie "Aufmerksamkeitsdefizit-/ Hyperaktivitätsstörung (ADHS) im Kindes-, Jugend- und Erwachsenenalter". AWMF-Registernummer 028-045.			
First Author	AWMF, 2018	WMF, 2018 Source		
Level of evidence	1a	Study type	S3 guideline	
Study quality	High			
Participants	-			
Patient characteristics	ADHD			
Intervention	-			
Comparison	-			
Length of follow-up	-			
Outcome and effect size	-			
Funding	-			
Comments	-			

Title	Validity of the Adult ADHD Self-Report Scale (ASRS) as a Screener for Adult ADHD in Treatment Seeking Substance Use Disorder Patients			
First Author	van de Glind, G., 2013	an de Glind, G., 2013 Source 23660242		
Level of evidence	2b	Study type	international multi-center cohort study	
Study quality	medium			
Participants	N=1.138			
Patient characteristics	substance use disorders			

Intervention	"To detect attention deficit hyperactivity disorder (ADHD) in treatment seeking substance use disorders (SUD) patients, a valid screening instrument is needed. Objectives: To test the performance of the Adult ADHD Self-Report Scale V 1.1(ASRS) for adult ADHD in an international sample of treatment seeking SUD patients for DSM-IV-TR; for the proposed DSM-5 criteria; in different subpopulations, at intake and 1–2 weeks after intake; using different scoring algorithms; and different externalizing disorders as external criterion (including adult ADHD, bipolar disorder, antisocial and borderline personality disorder). Methods: In 1.138 treatment seeking SUD subjects, ASRS performance was determined using diagnoses based on Conner's Adult ADHD Diagnostic Interview for DSM-IV (CAADID) as gold standard."
Comparison	
Length of follow-up	
Outcome and effect size	"The prevalence of adult ADHD was 13.0% (95% CI [11.0 15.0]). The overall positive predictive value (PPV) of the ASRS was 0.26 (95% CI [0.22 0.30]), the negative predictive value (NPV) was 0.97 (95% CI [0.96 0.98]). The sensitivity (0.84, 95% CI [0.76 0.88]) and specificity (0.66, 95% CI [0.63 0.69]) measured at admission were similar to the sensitivity (0.88, 95% CI [0.83 0.93]) and specificity (0.67, 95% CI [0.64 0.70) measured 2 weeks after admission. Sensitivity was similar, but specificity was significantly better in patients with alcohol compared to (illicit) drugs as the primary substance of abuse (0.76 vs. 0.56). ASRS was not a good screener for externalizing disorders other than ADHD. Conclusions: The ASRS is a sensitive screener for identifying possible ADHD cases with very few missed cases among those screening negative in this population."
Funding	For coordination of the IASP study, grants were received from pharmaceutical companies (Shire, Eli Lilly & Company, Jansen Cilag), from participating institutes and from three not for profit organizations: the Waterloo Foundation, the Noaber Foundation and the Augeo Foundation.
Comments	

Title	ADHS bei erwachsenen Patienten mit Substanzkonsumstörungen		
First Author	Luderer, M., 2019	Source	
Level of evidence	5	Study type	narrative review
Study quality	low		
Participants	-		
Patient characteristics	ADHD and substance use disord	ers	
Intervention	Summary of consensus and guideline recommendations, supplemented with the most recent literature		
Comparison			
Length of follow-up			
Outcome and effect size	In recent years new findings on the comorbidity of ADHD in patients with SUD have emerged. A series of screening and diagnostic instruments have meanwhile been evaluated in this patient group. The consensus paper and various guidelines therefore provide clinicians with specific help in detecting ADHD in patients with SUD and in conducting further diagnostics and treatment of both disorders. For example, the importance of stimulants in the treatment of patients with SUD and ADHD has significantly changed and first studies on psychotherapeutic interventions specific to this comorbidity are now available.		
Funding	none		
Comments			

Title	Diagnosing ADHD During Active Substance Use: Feasible or Flawed?		
First Author	van Emmerik-van Oortmerssen,	Source	28957778
	K., 2017		

Level of evidence	2b	Study type	cohort study, diagnostic validity of ADHD
			in non-abstinent patients with SUD
Study quality	Low		
Participants	N=127		
Patient characteristics	ADHD and substance use disorder	S	
Intervention	Prospective test-retest study in a SUD treatment center among 127 treatment seeking adult SUD patients with a comorbid diagnosis of adult ADHD. Conners' Adult ADHD Diagnostic Interview for DSM-IV was administered at intake and after four SUD treatment sessions.		
Comparison			
Length of follow-up			
Outcome and effect size	31-248). At the second ADHD asset baseline consumption. Of the 127 (95.3%) still fulfilled DSM-IV adult less stable (Cohen's Kappa=0.53). symptoms between both assessm and 0.65, respectively). Sensitivity abstinent during the second asses	essment, subst patients with ADHD criteria Agreement or ents was good analyses in su sment yielded agmatic appro	est assessment was 78 days (SD=32; range cance use had decreased to about 50% of an initial diagnosis of ADHD, 121 patients at re-diagnosis. Subtyping of ADHD was the number of childhood and adult ADHD di (intraclass correlation coefficient of 0.69 subgroups of patients who were fully I very similar results. CONCLUSIONS: These each, in which patients are evaluated for sefeasible and justifiable.
Funding	The data were collected within the integrated treatment for adult tre RCT was supported by Fonds Nuts	atment seekin	g SUD patients with comorbid ADHD. The
Comments	type of substance, frequency of us	e not reporte	d

Title	Atomoxetine Treatment of Adu	ts With ADHD and Comorbid	Alcohol Use Disorders
First Author	Wilens, T., 2008	Source	18403134
Level of evidence	2b	Study type	RCT
Study quality	Medium		
Participants	N=147		
Patient characteristics	ADHD and alcohol use disorders	((DSM IV), Outpatients	
Intervention	Abstinence 4-30 days atomoxetine (25-100mg daily) for 12 weeks. ADHD symptoms were assessed using ADHD Investigator Symptom Rating Scale (AISRS) total score. Time-to-relapse to heavy alcohol use was analyzed using a 2-sided log-rank test based on Kaplan—Meier estimates and cumulative heavy drinking events over time were evaluated post hoc with recurrent-event analysis.		
Comparison	Placebo		
Length of follow-up	12 weeks double blind placebo o	ontrolled. Afterwards 12 wee	ks open label all atomoxetine
Outcome and effect size	56% drop out in atomoxetine group, 64% in placebo group. ADHD symptoms were significantly improved in the atomoxetine group compared to placebo (difference: p=.007; effect size=0.48). No significant differences between treatment groups occurred in time-to-relapse of heavy drinking (p=0.93). Cumulative heavy drinking days were reduced 26% in atomoxetine-treated subjects versus placebo (event ratio=0.74, p=.023). There were no serious adverse events or specific drug—drug reactions related to current alcohol use.		
Funding	"Eli Lilly and Company and by a grant to TEW (K24 DA0162 64 & 5U10DA 0158310)."		
Comments	mono-centric RCT, heterogeneou effect only for ADHD symptoms days (group differences significa	and in a secondary analysis fo	,. •

3.6.8. Persönlichkeitsstörungen

Title	The prevalence of comorbid alcohol use disorder in the presence of personality disorder: Systematic review and explanatory modelling			
First Author	Guy, N., 2018	Source	29611335	
Level of evidence	1a	Study type	SR of prospective cohort studies	
Study quality	high			
Participants	16 studies			
Patient characteristics	AUD in PD			
Intervention	Prevalence	Prevalence		
Comparison				
Length of follow-up				
Outcome and effect size	Gepolte Lifetime Prävalenz von AUD bei PS: 58.7%, Lifetime Prävalenz von AUD bei PS allgemein: variierte in populationsbasierten und klinischen Settings zwischen 21% to 47%, People with antisocial PD had the highest lifetime AUD prevalence, at 76.7%, followed by those with borderline PD at 52.2%, while those with other forms of PD, or undifferentiated PD, had a prevalence of 38.9%. 12-monats Prävalenz der AUD s bei BPS: 31.1%, 12-monats Prävalenz der AUDs bei zwanghafter PS: 12.9%.			
Funding				
Comments				

Title	Personality disorder and alcohol treatment outcome: Systematic review and meta- analysis		
First Author	Newton-Howes, G. M., 2017	Source	
Level of evidence	1a	Study type	
Study quality	High		
Participants	22 studies with 4861 patients		
Patient characteristics	PD in AUD		
Intervention	Prevalence		
Comparison	Association between personality disorder and the outcome of treatment for AUD.		
Length of follow-up	at least 8 weeks		
Outcome and effect size	Primär Outcome Studie. Prävalenz PS bei AUD allgemein 34-71% (Mdn=55%), Prävalenz		
	der antisozialen PS bei AUD in 8 Studien zwischen: 15-41% (Mdn=28%), Prävalenz der BPS		
	bei AUD in 8 Studien zwischen: 11-27 % (Mdn=18%)		
Funding			
Comments			

Title	Predictors of Substance Use in Youth With Borderline Personality Disorder			
First Author	Scalzo, F., 2018	Source	28627903	
Level of evidence	2b	Study type	cohort study	
Study quality	medium			
Participants	117 help-seeking youth aged 15	-25 years		
Patient characteristics	AUD in BPD Youth (aged 15-25 y	ears)		
Intervention	Prevalence	Prevalence		
Comparison	This study examined the relationship between substance use and severity of BPD in youth presenting for the first time for treatment (first presentation) of BPD. Hierarchical logistic regression was used to investigate whether the severity of BPD predicted substance use.			
Length of follow-up				
Outcome and effect size	16% of the women and 32 % of the men with BPS also had an AUD. After adjusting for demographic factors and concurrent mental state pathology, BPD independently predicted alcohol dependence, amphetamine use in the previous month, or use of 2 or more illicit substances in the previous month but not daily tobacco use or cannabis use in the previous month.			
Funding				

Commicines	

Title	Alcoholism and personality disorders: an exploratory study		
First Author	Echeburúa, E., 2005	Source	15824064
Level of evidence	2b	Study type	Low quality
Study quality	medium		
Participants	30 consecutively recruited alco	hol-dependent patients a	ttending an outpatient clinic
Patient characteristics	PD in AUD		
Intervention	Prevalence		
Comparison	30 consecutively recruited psychiatric patients with non-addictive disorders and 31 subjects from the general population chosen to match the patient samples for age, gender and socio-economic level.		
Length of follow-up			
Outcome and effect size	Forty percent of the alcohol-dependent patients and 16.6% of the general psychiatric clinical sample (vs. 6.4% of the normative sample) showed at least one personality disorder.		
Funding			
Comments			

Title	Comorbidity between DSM-IV alcohol and specific drug use disorders in the United States: results from the National Epidemiologic Survey on Alcohol and Related Conditions		
First Author	Stinson, F. S., 2005	Source	16157233
Level of evidence	2b	Study type	cohort study
Study quality	medium		
Participants	Face-to-face personal interviews were conducted with 43,093 respondents, in the National Institute on Alcohol Abuse and Alcoholism's (NIAAA) 2001-2002 National Epidemiologic Survey on Alcohol and Related Conditions		
Patient characteristics	AUD and PD in U.S. general population		
Intervention	Prevalence		
Comparison			
Length of follow-up			
Outcome and effect size	NESARC: Komorbidität AUD und PS: 28.6%, Antisoziale PS + AUD (12.3%).		
Funding			
Comments			

Title	Co-occurrence of 12-month alcohol and drug use disorders and personality disorders in the United States: results from the National Epidemiologic Survey on Alcohol and Related Conditions		
First Author	Grant, B. F., 2004	Source	15066894
Level of evidence	2b	Study type	cohort study
Study quality	medium		
Participants	Face-to-face personal interviews were conducted with 43,093 respondents, in the National Institute on Alcohol Abuse and Alcoholism's (NIAAA) 2001-2002 National Epidemiologic Survey on Alcohol and Related Conditions		
Patient characteristics	AUD and PD in U.S. general population		
Intervention	Prevalence		
Comparison			
Length of follow-up			
Outcome and effect size	NESARC: Komorbidität AUD und PS zwischen (24-78%), Antisoziale PS + AUD (12.3%).		
Funding			
Comments			

Title	Comorbidity of alcohol dependence and personality disorders: a comparative study			
First Author	Echeburúa, E., 2007	Source	17766317	
Level of evidence	2b	Study type	Low quality	
Study quality	medium			
Participants	158 consecutively recruited alcollinic	ohol-dependent patients atten	ding a psychiatric outpatient	
Patient characteristics	PD in AUD			
Intervention	Prevalence	Prevalence		
Comparison	120 consecutively recruited psychiatric patients with non-addictive disorders, and 103 subjects from the general population chosen to match the patient samples for age, gender and socioeconomic level.			
Length of follow-up				
Outcome and effect size	Of the alcohol-dependent patients, 44.3%, and of the general clinical sample, 21.7% (vs 6.8% of the normative sample) showed at least one personality disorder.			
Funding				
Comments				

Title	Personality disorders in alcohol-dependent individuals: relationship with alcohol dependence severity			
First Author	Preuss, U. W., 2009	reuss, U. W., 2009 Source 19622885		
Level of evidence	2b	Study type	Low quality	
Study quality	medium			
Participants	1.079 inpatients with DSM-IV AD from three inpatient addiction treatment centers were included			
Patient characteristics	PD in AUD			
Intervention	revalence			
Comparison				
Length of follow-up				
Outcome and effect size	PS Prävalenz bei SUD und AUD bei 60%			
Funding				
Comments				

Title	Prevalence, severity, and comorbidity of 12-month DSM-IV disorders in the National Comorbidity Survey Replication			
First Author	Kessler, R. C., 2005	essler, R. C., 2005 Source 15939839		
Level of evidence	2b	Study type	cohort study	
Study quality	medium			
Participants	9.282 English-speaking res	9.282 English-speaking respondents		
Patient characteristics	AUD and PD in U.S. general population			
Intervention	Prevalence			
Comparison				
Length of follow-up				
Outcome and effect size	OR Komorbidität AUD und Cluster B OR=10.3			
Funding				
Comments				

LITIE	ersonality disorders among patients accessing alcohol detoxification treatment: revalence and gender differences		
First Author	Picci, R. L., 2012	Source	21821240
Level of evidence	2b	Study type	cohort study

Study quality	medium
Participants	206 patients entering alcohol detoxification treatment
Patient characteristics	PD in AUD
Intervention	Prevalence
Comparison	
Length of follow-up	
Outcome and effect size	PD Prävlenz bei AUD Range 46-86%
Funding	
Comments	

Title	Personality Disorder and Alcohol Use Disorder: An Overview		
First Author	Newton-Howes, G., 2018	Source	29466805
Level of evidence	3b	Study type	Non-SR, narratives Review
Study quality	Low		
Participants			
Patient characteristics	AUD in PD		
Intervention	Prevalence		
Comparison			
Length of follow-up			
Outcome and effect size	Lifetime Prävalenz von AUD b	ei antisozialer PS betra	ägt 77%, Lifetime Prävalenz von AUD
	bei Borderline PS beträgt > 50	0 %	
Funding			
Comments			

Title	Treatment for comorbid borderline personality disorder and alcohol use disorders: a review of the evidence and future recommendations			
First Author	Gianoli, M. O., 2012	Source 22686496		
Level of evidence	3b	Study type	Non-SR, narrative review	
Study quality	low			
Participants				
Patient characteristics				
Intervention	Prävalenz, Therapieoptionen			
Comparison				
Length of follow-up				
Outcome and effect size	Komorbidität AUD bei BPS: 58.3%, Komorbidität BPS bei AUD: 9.8% to 14.7%.Komorbidität			
	AUD bei BPS bei Frauen: 59%			
Funding				
Comments				

Title	Suicidal behavior in alcohol-dependent subjects: the role of personality disorders		
First Author	Preuss, U. W., 2006	Source	16634856
Level of evidence	2b	Study type	cohort study
Study quality	medium		
Participants	376 treatment-seeking alcohol-dependent subjects		
Patient characteristics	PD in AUD		
Intervention	Prävalenz; Outcome Suizidalität		
Comparison			
Length of follow-up	55% mit PS		
Outcome and effect size			
Funding			
Comments			

Title	Personality disorders among alcoholic outpatients: prevalence and course in treatment			
First Author	Zikos, E., 2010	Source	20181301	
Level of evidence	2b	Study type	cohort study	
Study quality	medium			
Participants	Patients with alcohol use disorde	Patients with alcohol use disorders (n=165)		
Patient characteristics	PD in AUD			
Intervention	prevalence			
Comparison				
Length of follow-up				
Outcome and effect size	No PD 41% (n=57), Cluster B PD 32% (n=44), and other PD 27% (n=37)			
Funding				
Comments		<u> </u>		

Title	Revised NESARC personality disorder diagnoses: gender, prevalence, and comorbidity with substance dependence disorders			
First Author	Trull, T., 2010	rull, T., 2010 Source 20695803		
Level of evidence	2b	Study type	cohort study	
Study quality	medium			
Participants	Epidemiological study with over	40.000 individuals		
Patient characteristics	AUD and PD in U.S. general population			
Intervention	Prevalence			
Comparison				
Length of follow-up				
Outcome and effect size	NESARC-REVISED: the highest comorbidity rates for lifetime alcohol dependence were			
	observed among those with antisocial (49.19% wave 1; 52.09% wave 2), 2 histrionic			
	(49.79%), and borderline (47.41%) PDs			
Funding				
Comments				

Title	Varieties of impulsivity personality disorder	Varieties of impulsivity in males with alcohol dependence: the role of Cluster-B personality disorder		
First Author	Rubio, G., 2007	Rubio, G., 2007 Source 17850221		
Level of evidence	1b	Study type	RCT	
Study quality	high	high		
Participants	247 males with alcohol-dependence recruited from 2 alcoholism treatment centers			
Patient characteristics	PD in AUD			
Intervention	Experiment			
Comparison	A matched nonsubstance-abusing comparison group (n=96)			
Length of follow-up				
Outcome and effect size	Deficits in inhibitory control might be specific for AntPD and BPDs in AUD.			
Funding				
Comments				

Title	Patients with addiction and personality disorder: Treatment outcomes and clinical implications		
First Author	van den Bosch, L. M., 2007	Source	17143086
Level of evidence	3b	Study type	non-SR, narrative Review
Study quality	low		
Participants			
Patient characteristics			

Intervention	Psychotherapy
Comparison	
Length of follow-up	
Outcome and effect size	Integrated treatment programs are lacking and research is still too limited
Funding	
Comments	

Title	Out-patient behaviour therapy in alcoholism: impact of personality disorders and cognitive impairments		
First Author	Wölwer, W., 2001	Source	11202126
Level of evidence	1b	Study type	RCT
Study quality	high		
Participants	120 alcoholics were assigned rai	ndomly to one of three out-pa	tient treatment programs
Patient characteristics	'AUD with / without PD'		
Intervention	psychotherapy, 'coping skills training' and 'cognitive behaviour therapy'		
Comparison	Specific treatment vs. unspecific supportive control		
Length of follow-up	6-month treatment period		
Outcome and effect size	Alcoholic patients relapsing within 6 months after detoxification showed a higher rate of personality disorders (especially antisocial and borderline) and slightly more cognitive deficits (especially in verbal memory and visuomotor functions) than abstainers even before therapy.		
Funding			
Comments			

Title	Dynamic deconstructive psychotherapy versus optimized community care for borderline personality disorder co-occurring with alcohol use disorders: a 30-month follow-up			
First Author	Gregory, R., 2010 Source 20386259			
Level of evidence	1b	Study type	RCT	
Study quality	high			
Participants	30 patients, either treatment with DDP (n=15) or to optimized community care (OCC; n=15).			
Patient characteristics	AUD with BPD			
Intervention	Psychotherapie, dynamic deconstructive psychotherapy (DDP)			
Comparison	DDP vs. TAU			
Length of follow-up	12-month randomized controlled trial of DDP versus optimized community care (OCC), 18 months of naturalistic follow-up.			
Outcome and effect size	Patients with DDP achieved significantly greater improvement in core BPD symptoms, depression, parasuicide, and recreational drug use over the 30-month study			
Funding				
Comments				

Title	Personality-guided treatment for alcohol dependence: a quasi-randomized experiment			
First Author	Nielsen, P., 2007 Source 17882606			
Level of evidence	1b	Study type	RCT	
Study quality	high			
Participants	n= 108 with AUD, n=47 mit PETAD und n=61 controls			
Patient characteristics	AUD (1/2 mit PD)			
Intervention	Psychotherapy, Personality-Guided Treatment for Alcohol Dependence (PETAD)			
Comparison	PETAD vs. TAU			
Length of follow-up	12-week treatment, 6-month follow-up			
Outcome and effect size	PETAD was associated with better retention, longer time to first relapse, and less time			
	spent drinking post-treatment, although few differences reached statistical significance			

Funding	
Comments	

Title	The impact of personality disorders on alcohol-use outcomes in a pharmacotherapy trial for alcohol dependence and comorbid Axis I disorders		
First Author	Ralevski, E., 2007 Source 18058408		
Level of evidence	2b	Study type	RCT low quality
Study quality	medium		
Participants	254 patients with AUD		
Patient characteristics	PD in AUD		
Intervention	Pharmacotherapy		
Comparison	Comparing pharmacotherapy in patients with ASPD vs. those without, and patients with BPD vs. those without		
Length of follow-up	12-week medication trial for treatment of their alcohol dependence		
Outcome and effect size	Disulfiram und Naltrexon bei Rückfallprophylaxe bei Komorbidität gleich wirksam wie bei		
	AUD allein.		
Funding			
Comments			

Title	Supervised disulfiram in relapse prevention in alcohol-dependent patients suffering from comorbid borderline personality disorder-a case series			
First Author	Mutschler, J., 2010 Source 20107104			
Level of evidence	4	Study type	Case series	
Study quality	low			
Participants	n=8			
Patient characteristics	AUD with BPD			
Intervention	Pharmacotherapy, Disulfiram			
Comparison	Safety and efficacy in relapse prevention of a series of alcoholics with BPD			
Length of follow-up	A mean period of 9.25 months			
Outcome and effect size	No negative effect through medication with disulfiram; abstinence length under supervised			
	administration: (4.5 to 14 months)			
Funding				
Comments				

Title	Safety and drinking outcomes among patients with comorbid alcohol dependence and borderline personality disorder treated with high-dose baclofen: a comparative cohort study		
First Author	Rolland, B., 2015 Source 25356633		
Level of evidence	1b	Study type	RCT
Study quality	high		
Participants	n=23 AUD + BPD patients		
Patient characteristics	AUD with BPD		
Intervention	Pharmacotherapy, Baclofen		
Comparison	AUD controls (n=46)		
Length of follow-up	1-year course		
Outcome and effect size	The mean rate of heavy drinking days (74.3±25.3 vs. 41.7±33.3%; p<0.001), the rate of serious AEs (65.2 vs. 6.5%; p<0.001), and the rate of treatment discontinuation after AEs (52.2 vs. 8.6%; p<0.001) were significantly higher in BPD.		
Funding			
Comments			

Title	Personality disorders among Danish alcoholics attending outpatient treatment		
First Author	Nordholm, D., 2007	Source	17851244
Level of evidence	3c	Study type	Outcome research
Study quality	medium		
Participants	363 patients who started psycho	osocial treatment at the outpa	tient alcohol clinic
Patient characteristics	PD in AUD		
Intervention	Verlauf/Outcome		
Comparison	Co-morbid personality disorder (PD) of either the cluster B (A+PDB) or cluster C (A+PDC)		
	type		
Length of follow-up	Re-interview 1 year after onset of treatment		
Outcome and effect size	AUD+PD Cluster B were younger and had a longer history of alcohol abuse than A-PD and		
	A+PDC.		
Funding			
Comments		<u> </u>	

Title	Personality disorders in early adolescence and the development of later substance use disorders in the general population			
First Author	Cohen, P., 2007	Source	17227697	
Level of evidence	1b	Study type	Prospective cohort study	
Study quality	high			
Participants	796 participants			
Patient characteristics	PD als Prädiktor für AUD	PD als Prädiktor für AUD		
Intervention	Verlauf/ Outcome			
Comparison				
Length of follow-up	9 Jahre (mean) Follow-up			
Outcome and effect size	PD and conduct disorder were associated with diagnoses of later AUD. The greatest of			
	these effects were show	these effects were shown for borderline PD and for conduct disorder, the predecessor of		
	adult antisocial PD.			
Funding				
Comments		·		

Title	New onsets of substance use disorders in borderline personality disorder over 7 years of follow-ups: findings from the Collaborative Longitudinal Personality Disorders Study			
First Author	Walter, M., 2009	Source	19133893	
Level of evidence	1b	Study type	Prospective cohort study	
Study quality	high			
Participants	175 patients with BPD			
Patient characteristics	Onset of AUD in BPD	Onset of AUD in BPD		
Intervention	Verlauf/ Outcome			
Comparison	Compared with 396 patients with other personality disorder at baseline and at 6, 12, 24,			
	36, 48, 60, 72 and 84 months.			
Length of follow-up	7 years follow-up			
Outcome and effect size	Incidence of AUD in BPD 13% vs. 6% in other PD			
Funding				
Comments				

Title	The impact of personality disorders on alcohol-use outcomes in a pharmacotherapy trial for alcohol dependence and comorbid Axis I disorders		
First Author	Ralevski, E., 2007 Source 18058408		
Level of evidence	2b	Study type	RCT low quality
Study quality	medium		
Participants	254 patients with AUD		

Patient characteristics	PD in AUD
Intervention	Verlauf/ Outcome
Comparison	Comparing patients with ASPD vs. those without, and patients with BPD vs. those without
Length of follow-up	12-week medication trial for treatment of their alcohol dependence
Outcome and effect size	Diagnosis of personality disorder did not adversely affect alcohol outcomes
Funding	
Comments	

Title	Personality disorder and alcohol treatment outcome: systematic review and meta- analysis			
First Author	Newton-Howes, G., 2017	Source	28385703	
Level of evidence	1a	Study type	SR of prospective cohort studies	
Study quality	high	high		
Participants	22 studies with 4.861 patients			
Patient characteristics	PD in AUD			
Intervention	Verlauf / Outcome			
Comparison	Association between personality disorder and the outcome of treatment for AUD.			
Length of follow-up	At least 8 weeks			
Outcome and effect size	AUD Patienten mit PS brechen häufiger Alkoholtherapie ab als AUD Patienten ohne PS.			
Funding				
Comments				

Title	Poorer Drinking Outcomes with Citalopram Treatment for Alcohol Dependence: A Randomized, Double-Blind, Placebo-Controlled Trial			
First Author	Charney, D., 2015	Source	26208048	
Level of evidence	2b	Study type	RCT low quality	
Study quality	medium			
Participants	n= 265 AUD (47% with PD)	n= 265 AUD (47% with PD)		
Patient characteristics	AUD with/without PD			
Intervention	Verlauf/ Outcome			
Comparison	PD as a predictor for outcome			
Length of follow-up	12 weeks			
Outcome and effect size	AUD Patienten mit PS brechen häufiger Alkoholtherapie ab und weniger abstinente Zeit als			
	AUD Patienten ohne PS			
Funding				
Comments				

Title	Personality disorder and chronicity of addiction as independent outcome predictors in alcoholism treatment		
First Author	Krampe, H., 2006	Source	16675768
Level of evidence	2b	Study type	cohort study
Study quality	medium		
Participants	110 patients, 71 (or 65 percent) met criteria for at least one comorbid personality disorder		
Patient characteristics	AUD with / without PD		
Intervention	Verlauf/ Outcome		
Comparison	AUD controls (n=46)		
Length of follow-up	4 years		
Outcome and effect size	PS führt zu kürzerer Abstinenzzeit bis zum ersten Rückfall		
Funding			
Comments			

Title	Personality disorders among alcoholic outpatients: prevalence and course in treatment		
First Author	Zikos, E., 2010	Source	20181301
Level of evidence	2b	Study type	cohort study
Study quality	cohort study		
Participants	Patients with alcohol use disord	ders (n=165)	
Patient characteristics	PD in AUD		
Intervention	Verlauf / Outcome		
Comparison	No PD 41% (n=57), Cluster B PD 32% (n=44), and other PD 27% (n=37).		
Length of follow-up	12 weeks		
Outcome and effect size	The Cluster B PD group showed significantly higher levels of impulsivity at intake, greater		
	likelihood of early treatment dropout, and quicker times to first slip and to relapse.		
Funding			
Comments			

3.6.9. Nikotin

Title	A Controlled Smoking Cessation	n Trial for Substance-Depende	ent Inpatients
First Author	Burling, T., 2001	Source	11393606
Level of evidence	2b	Study type	RCT
Study quality	large, randomized controlled tri refusers), High drop-out rate fro discharge + program withdrawa CO + cotinine Alcohol/drug rela urinary drug screen	om 9-week smoking cessation p I (ca. 50%), smoking relapse/al	orogram due to early bstinence was verified with
Participants	n=200		
Patient characteristics	Long-term residential rehabilita Current dx of substance depend Had to complete the first 30 day imminent discharge. ≥7 cig./day for the previous 6 m dependence only 4% woman	ence disorder (DSM-IV) s of the inpatient program and onths (mean 17.7) only 36% of	d not be in danger of
Intervention	2 intensive intervention groups: a. multicomponent smoking tx (MST) + nicotine patch b. multicomponent smoking tx (MST) plus generalization training (+G) plus nicotine patch 2 untreated control groups: a. usual care who requested smoking treatment but did not receive tx b. treatment refusers who declined smoking treatment=concurrent inpatient treatment of alcohol/illicit drugs + nicotine dependence in inpatient residential program for homeless veterans		
Comparison	2 intensive smoking interventions (MST and MST+G) versus usual care (UC) versus treatment refusers (TF)		
Length of follow-up	Smoking outcomes were examined 1, 3, 6, and 12 months after participants (a) attempted to quit smoking, (b) withdrew from smoking treatment, (c) discontinued smoking treatment because of inpatient discharge, or (d) were 5 weeks post study enrollment (for UC and TR participants), drug/alcohol outcomes were evaluated 1, 3, 6, 12 months post discharge from inpatient tx		
Outcome and effect size	 No significant differences in b. No significant differences in b. UC Smoking abstinence rates as 7 significantly higher abstinence r (p<0.001), but not at 3, 6, 12 mg smoking relapse rates were no rates as 30-day point prevalence 	aseline pts characteristics betw -day point prevalence: Both in ates versus UC+TR only at 1 m pnoths follow-up ot significantly different to UC-	veen intervention groups and stervention groups had onth follow-up postquit drug/alcohol abstinence

	follow-ups for MST versus MST+G but: abstinence + relapse rates were not significantly		
	different versus UC+TR-drug/alcohol relapse rates between tx-groups (Fig.2): were		
	significantly higher at all follow-ups for MST versus MST+G but: abstinence + relapse rates		
	were not significantly different versus UC		
Funding	NIDA		
Comments	only 36% alcohol dependent		
	only combined analyses with illegal drugs		
	high-dropout rate during tx		
	• special subgroup of alcohol dependent patients: homeless veterans		
	• only 4% woman=reason for 2B level of evidence		
	• since smoking abstinence rates were only significant between tx- and control groups at 1		
	month, alcohol abstinence rates at 3, 6, 12 months compare groups that		

Title	Simultaneous versus Delayed Treatment of Tobacco Dependence in Alcohol-Dependent Outpatients		
First Author	Nieva, G., 2011	Source	20881400
Level of evidence	2b	Study type	RCT
Study quality	 Calculation of the sample size: 25% in the concurrent group, tw level of 0.05 and 90% capacity to Very high loss-to-follow-up: 10 completed 30d-follow-up, 51 (4: Smoking verification via self-re Patients lost to follow-up were Many patients (n=14) did not consent form → initially 106 pates that stick analysis was carried who came to at least the first visus 	yo groups of 53 subjects were to detect the difference). 06 were randomized, 92 (87% 8%) 90d-follow-up, and 30 (26 port + CO + cotinine to the first visit, even aftients out according to intention-to	necessary (with an alpha risk) started tx, 74 (70%) 8%) the 180d-follow-up d smoking ter they had signed the o-treat criteria: all patients
	• pts lost to follow-up were con:		
Participants	N =92		
Patient characteristics	 Smoked - ≥5 cig./d for >1yr (mean 28 cig./d) FTND 5.6-6.0 Desire to quit smoking Current alcohol dependence with drinking (DSM-IV) Patients could relapse two times before being excluded; a relapser was excluded directly when in hospital detox was necessary Sociodemographic and baseline clinical data indicated that the groups were equivalent with two exceptions: patients in the delayed group gave more importance to stopping drinking alcohol (t(68)=2.13, p=0.04) and craving for tobacco was higher in the delayed group (t(88)=2.07, p=0.04). 41.8% needed pharmacological detox with benzodiazepines/ clomethiazole 		
Intervention	 simultaneous group: On day 1 start of tobacco + alcohol tx delayed group: On day 1 start of alcohol tx, on day 180 start of tobacco tx alcohol tx: alcohol detoxification + standard alcohol tx smoking tx: a. 10 x 30-45 min sessions based on cognitive behavioral therapy with emphasis on skill training, problem-solving strategies and relapse prevention. b. all patients received NRT according to FTND scores for ca. 3 months = outpatients receiving either concurrent or delayed smoking cessation tx For alcohol abstinence: Simultaneous therapy versus untreated control group receiving 		
	only alcohol tx at day 180 For smoking abstinence: Simultaneous versus delayed group = days 30, 60, 90 and 180 versus days 210, 240, 270 and 360		
Length of follow-up	 versus days 210, 240, 270 and 360 alcohol follow-up for both groups at days 30, 60, 90, and 180 post start of alcohol tx (day1) smoking cessation follow-up at days 1, 7, 14, 30, 60, 90 and 180 for the simultaneous group and for the delayed group = 6 months later plus 1 day, 7 days, 14 days, 30 days, 60 days, 90 days and 180 days 		

Outcome and effect size	To evaluate the effects that providing an intensive tobacco cessation treatment			
	simultaneously with alcohol dependence treatment versus delayed treatment (first alcohol			
	and 6 months later tobacco) has on alcohol and tobacco consumption smoking results are			
	given as 7-day point-prevalence abstinence rates, time-to-first relapse (TFR) for the two			
	substances (defined as abstinence days until first relapse) and cumulative abstinence			
	duration (CAD), which is the total number of abstinence days during the study.			
	Smoking outcomes:			
	a) Smoking abstinence rates tended to be higher for those who were trying to quit			
	simultaneously, but differences were only significant at 3 months (p=0.033; Cohen's			
	d=0.51). Results at 1 month (p=0.213) and 6-months follow-up (p=0.071) were not			
	significant.			
	b) At 180 days, the simultaneous group presented a mean TFR for smoking of 32.3 days			
	(SD=60.1) and a CAD of 31 days (SD=54.4). The mean TFR of the delayed group was 20.2			
	days (SD=53.2) and the CAD was 19.2 days (SD=51.5). Differences between both groups did not reach significance.			
	• Alcohol Outcomes: Alcohol abstinence rates between groups on days 30, 60, 90 and 180 were nonsignificant.			
	a) no significant differences for TFR on day 180, as means were 84.1 (SD=63.5) and 86.9			
	(SD=75.2) days for the simultaneous and untreated group (t(78)=0.19, p=0.850),			
	respectively			
	b) no significant differences for cumulative days of abstinence (CAD), as means were 91.1			
	(SD=66.1) and 87.8 (SD=75.4) days for the simultaneous and untreated group, respectively			
	(t(90)=0.22, p=0.824).			
	c) survival analysis showed no differences between groups (p=0.144).			
Funding	Spanish Plan Nacional Sobre Drogas			
Comments	only 2b RCT, because:			
	a) intention-to-treat analysis suffers from high loss to follow-up, because these pts were			
	considered relapses to drinking + smoking			
	b) small patient number			

Title	Concurrent brief versus intensive smoking intervention during alcohol dependence treatment.				
First Author	Cooney, N., 2007a Source 18072840				
Level of evidence	1b Study type RCT				
Study quality	 <20% drop-out at 6 mo. follow- up Clear randomization procedure The study was powered to detect moderate (i.e., d=0.3) differences between smoking cessation treatment conditions. Smoking abstinence verification with self-report + breath CO-levels of <10 ppm. Alcohol abstinence with self- report only Multiple t-tests and chi-square analyses were used to determine if the two treatment groups were homogeneous with respect to background variables. Variables examined were sex, age, ethnicity, education, employment status, baseline number of drinks consumed per day, number of smoking quit attempts, cigarettes smoked per day, and baseline FTND. Analyses indicated that the groups were equivalent on all baseline measures. 133 pt. randomized, but only 118 participated in tx and only these were analyzed. 				
Participants	N=118				
Patient characteristics	 Met DSM-IV criteria for alcohol and nicotine dependence during the past three months. Consumption of ≥10 cig./d., mean 24.8 cig./d, mean FTND: 5.5, mean 28.1 smoking yrs. Alcohol consumption average: 19.3 (+/-17.1) drinks/d Exclusion: current illicit drug abuse The mean number of days of alcohol abstinence of sample at time of enrollment was 9.02 (SD=26.30) 89% men 				
Intervention	Outpatient substance abus Randomization to a concu				

	• Intensive intervention: 3 x 1h counseling sessions plus eight weeks of nicotine patch				
	therapy.				
	• Brief intervention: 1 x 15min counselling + 1 x 5min follow-up after smoking cessation				
	• Target smoking quit date = 1 week after tx initiation = concurrent outpatient treatment				
	of alcohol + nicotine dependence				
Comparison	Comparison of 2 interventions: brief versus intensive smoking cessation tx				
Length of follow-up	Follow-up: 14 days, 3 months and 6 months after discharge from 3-week-program				
Outcome and effect size	smoking abstinence:				
	7-day point prevalence rates were significantly higher for intensive (27.5%) versus brief				
	(6.6%) treatment only at 14-day follow-up, but not at 6 months when abstinence rates fell				
	to 9.1% and 2.1%.				
	smoking intervention effect on drinking (Form-90 self-report):				
	a) proportion days heavy drinking (PDHD: >4\Q2+>6\dots standard drinks/d) 14 days prior to 14-day follow-up and 30 days prior to 3- and 6-month follow-up				
	b) abstinence rates 14 days prior to 14-day follow-up and 30 days prior to 3- and 6-month follow-up = no significant effect of the smoking cessation treatment condition on drinking abstinence				
	Among those assessed at the 14-day follow-up, across both treatment groups, 16% reported not smoking and not drinking, with 76% of participants reporting they were				
	smoking and not drinking. All participants who reported drinking also reported current smoking (8% of the full sample).				
Funding	NIAAA				
Comments	No untreated control group. Without high smoking abstinence rates, one cannot				
	provide a strong test of the impact of smoking cessation on alcohol outcomes.				

Title	Smoking cessation during alcohol treatment: a randomized trial of combination nicotine patch plus nicotine gum.				
First Author	Cooney, N., 2009	Source	19549054		
Level of evidence	1b Study type RCT				
Study quality	 Small scale randomized placebo-controlled, double-blind study. Intention to treat analysis: participants with missing data at each time point were coded as smokers. Randomization computer program that balanced the two groups for hx of previous substance use tx, age, sex, baseline drinks/drinking day, and baseline cig/day. The average retention across groups for the prolonged CO-verified smoking abstinence outcome measure was 100% at 2 weeks, 91% at 3 months, 82% at 6 months, and 72% at 12 months → 20% loss-to-follow-up but same numbers for both groups Patients with alcohol abuse were also included Self-reported, Form-90 + alcohol-breathalyser for alcohol abstinence Smoking abstinence verification with self-report + breath CO- levels of <10 ppm. The two treatment groups were balanced with respect to age, sex, race, baseline smoking rate and CO levels, alcohol and drug use, and veteran status. However, among 13 variables examined, Education, baseline FTND, and Center for Epidemiologic Studies Depression Scale (CES-D) scores were significantly different across treatment groups, with the active gum group having a higher level of education, nicotine 				
Participants	dependence, and depressive symptoms N=96				
Patient characteristics	 Men and women with alcohol >3yrs Current motivation to stop dri treatment program. 		end a 16 session outpatient		
Intervention	 All pts. Received open-label tr Randomized to either receive Both groups were provided be months of weekly outpatient se 	2mg nicotine gum or placebo chavioral alcohol and smoking	treatment delivered during 3		

	= concurrent alcohol and tobacco treatment for outpatients			
Comparison	Comparison of the 2 interventions			
Length of follow-up	2 weeks, 3, 6, 12 months			
Outcome and effect size	 Smoking relapse was defined as smoking on 7 consecutive days or smoking at least once each week over 2 consecutive weeks. Prolonged smoking abstinence was defined as an absence of relapse after a 30 day grace paried from the target quit data. This translated to 2 months abstinence wright to the 2. 			
	period from the target quit date. This translated to 2 months abstinence prior to the 3-month follow-up, 5 months abstinence prior to the 6-month follow-up, and 11-months abstinence prior to the 12-month follow-up.			
	• Patients receiving nicotine patch plus active gum had a significantly better rate of prolonged smoking abstinence at 12 months (13% vs. 0%; p<0.01) but not at 3 (40% Vs. 35%) and 6 months (20% vs 12%)			
	• A Cox proportional hazards regression model of time to smoking relapse was conducted in which time to smoking relapse was evaluated by nicotine replacement treatment condition, and controlling for the set of covariates that differed between treatment conditions (i.e., education level, depression score, Fagerstrom score, and treatment site). The results indicated that, controlling for the covariates, treatment condition was a significant predictor of time to relapse, such that being in the Active Gum condition extended survival [B=57, SE=.27, Wald χ2=4.47, p<.05; hazard ratio=0.57, (95% CI [0.34 0.96]).			
	• Primary alcohol outcome (Form-90) was self-reported continuous alcohol abstinence for 90 days prior to the follow-up time points: 90-day abstinence rates for drinking at 3 months, 6 months, and 12 months were 28%, 32% and 32% for the placebo gum condition and 45%, 38%, and 43% for the active gum condition → no significant effect of treatment and time and treatment x time interaction - no significant difference to time of first drink			
Funding	NIAAA			
Comments	 No untreated control group Only difference between groups is nicotine gum versus placebo gum Also pts with alcohol abuse 			
	• 28% loss to follow-up in both groups			

Title	Ethnic differences in al treatment	cohol outcomes and th	ne effect of concurrent smoking cessation			
First Author	Fu, S., 2008	u, S., 2008 Source 17689205				
Level of evidence	1b	Study type	Secondary analysis of RCT from Joseph 2004			
Study quality	 Low follow-up rates ff 72+76% at 18 months. Study with power def difference in alcohol at 80% power to detect a days of drinking was ed group. Alcohol abstinence ve alcohol conc. and/or conc. Smoking abstinence ve interview All main analyses were Intention-to-treat and be currently smoking and study and study and study and study are smoking as the smoking as the study are smoking as the smoking as the	tient numbers nents ation of patients and cle or African Americans w finition: the sample size ostinence, assuming a 5 25% increase in the nu qual to the standard dev erification with self-rep ollateral interviews verification with self-rep te performed by intenticalyses considered all pa and to have used alcoho	ear statistical procedures vith 64+74% (CT+DT) at 12 months and e provided over 90% power to detect a 15% 60% abstinence rate in the delayed group, and mber of drinking days, assuming the mean viation of the days of drinking in the delayed ort (timeline follow-back (TLFB)) and breath port, CO-measurement and/or collateral ion-to-treat. articipants and assumed non- respondents to oil in the prior 6 months.			
Participants	• A second set of analy N=499	ses considered only res	spondents			
Patient characteristics						

	Motivated to quit smokin	_		
	At least 1 week of alcohol			
	• Ca. 50% with additional S	UD (THC, cod	aine, opioid)	
	Psychiatric comorbidity in	ca. 52%		
	• Significant differences bet	tween white	and black pts: Higher unemployment rate + lesser	
	_		gher number of past quit attempts, tried	
		_	n whites, higher number of alcohol dependence	
		_	(ADS) in whites, higher number of additional	
	substance use disorder (cod	-	· · ·	
Intervention			individual motivational/behavioural counselling	
intervention			ilidividual filotivational, benavioural counselling	
	during alcohol dependence		dividual maticalitanal/habanianal accusal accusal	
		_	dividual motivational/behavioural counselling 6	
	months after alcohol deper			
	Mean of 5 individual beha		_	
	•		lus nicotine gums = comparison of concurrent	
	inpatient treatment versus	delayed out	patient treatment of alcohol dependent patients	
Comparison	Comparison of 381 caucasia	ans versus 78	African Americans in CT and DT treatment	
Length of follow-up	• 6, 12, and 18 months afte	r study inclu	sion for concurrent treatment	
	But for delayed treatment	t 6-month fo	llow-up was prior to smoking cessation tx-only 12-	
	-		ment in the delayed group (Fig.2)	
	• Length of follow-ups are r	•		
	6 months CT is equivalent to			
	12 months CT is equivalent			
Outcome and effect size			ence rates: no significant ethnic differences in	
Outcome and effect size		_	=	
	_		oths intention-to-treat = 14.4%+10.3% smoking	
	abstinence in Caucasians ar			
	T		o treat): Overall (CT+DT) 6-month alcohol	
			s, and 18 months were 46%, 32%, and 40% for	
	African Americans and 51%	, 40%, and 4	7% for Caucasians = nonsignificant	
	• 6 months alcohol abstine	th follow-up (CT versus untreated smokers): rates		
	of alcohol abstinence were significantly lower in the CT group in caucasinas (p=0.003), but not in African Americans (p=0.68) (Fig.1) • 6-month alcohol abstinence at 12 months and 18 months remained significantly lower for Caucasians in the CT group versus DT group (Fig.1) • Concurrent smoking cessation tx in Caucasians was associated with an estimated 1.74			
	greater adjusted odds of resumption of alcohol use • Cox analysis shows that time to first use of alcohol was significantly shorter in Caucasians			
	of the CT group versus DT g		= :	
From Alice as	<u> </u>	Toup (nk-1.	51,p= 0.004)	
Funding	NIAAA, VA			
Comments	_ :	_	ance for German situation, but reduced alcohol	
		_	essation therapy in Caucasians is even more	
	pronounced if African Ame	ricans are ex	cluded from analysis	
 1				
Title	Bupropion and Nicotine Par	ich as Smoki	ng Cessation Aids in Alcoholics	
First Author	Grant, K., 2007	Source	17889314	
Level of evidence	2b			
			Randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial	
Study quality	_	and 9 weeks	and 6 months: 93%, 83% and 75% respectively.	
	Small patient number			
			self-report at 4wks, 9wks + 6mo., plus by collateral	
	informants (in 56%) at 6 mo			
	 Low power to detect smal 	l differences	due to small n-size	
	• 68 patients were enrolled	in study, 58	pts. completed baseline assessment=analyzed	
	 Only respondents analysis Only for the smoking cessation rates, intention to treat analysis was done, where non- 			
	responders were assumed t			
Participants	N=58			
Patient characteristics		d in a natura	listic fashion within one week of entry into multiple	
atient characteristics	· ·		ve outpatient or low intensity outpatient alcohol	
i .	peveis of alcoholicate (185106	antial, IIILENS	ve outpatient or low intensity outpatient alcohol	

	treatment) in a community and VA setting and received treatment as usual for their alcohol
	dependence
	Participants were randomized in a double blind manner into the treatment or control
	group.
	• Smoked ≥20cig./d for >1yr (mean 23+27 cig./d)
	• FTND 6.0
	Desire to quit smoking
	Current active alcohol dependence (DSM-IV)
	Exclusion of patients with alcohol withdrawal seizures
	• 53% had at least one other substance dependence
Intervention	• Treatment group: received bupropion SR 150mg per day for three days to be followed by 150mg twice daily for 60 days (8 weeks)
	Control group: received identical placebo capsules
	• All participants were instructed to begin their capsules 8 days before their quit smoking
	date.
	Both groups received nicotine patches.
	• Participants were asked to initiate the patches on their targeted quit date and to follow a
	tapering regimen of 21mg (four weeks), 14mg (two weeks), and 7mg (two weeks).
	• Participants were asked to attend a single one hour smoking cessation group in which an
	educational video was shown, followed by a staff-lead discussion of smoking cessation
	techniques. = outpatients receiving concurrent smoking cessation tx with bupropion/N
	RT/brief counselling or NRT/brief counselling alone
Comparison	Bupropion + NRT Versus NRT alone
Length of follow-up	8 week treatment = post-tx, 6-month follow-up
Outcome and effect size	Cigarette smoking outcomes using 7-day point prevalence abstinence rates:
	a) At each follow-up point there was no significant difference in cigarette smoking
	outcomes between the placebo and bupropion groups
	b) Although there was no significant difference between the treatment and control groups,
	high abotingness rates from signrettes for both groups at 6 months (220/ and 220/ in
	high abstinence rates from cigarettes for both groups at 6 months (33% and 22% in
	respondents and intention to treat analysis) were found.
	respondents and intention to treat analysis) were found. c) Primary outcome of this study indicates that bupropion, when added to nicotine patch
	respondents and intention to treat analysis) were found. c) Primary outcome of this study indicates that bupropion, when added to nicotine patch therapy, did not improve smoking outcomes in this population of "in treatment" alcoholics.
	respondents and intention to treat analysis) were found. c) Primary outcome of this study indicates that bupropion, when added to nicotine patch therapy, did not improve smoking outcomes in this population of "in treatment" alcoholics. drinking outcomes:
	respondents and intention to treat analysis) were found. c) Primary outcome of this study indicates that bupropion, when added to nicotine patch therapy, did not improve smoking outcomes in this population of "in treatment" alcoholics. drinking outcomes: a) Continuous abstinence in past 30 days, drinks per day, drinks per drinking day and
	respondents and intention to treat analysis) were found. c) Primary outcome of this study indicates that bupropion, when added to nicotine patch therapy, did not improve smoking outcomes in this population of "in treatment" alcoholics. drinking outcomes: a) Continuous abstinence in past 30 days, drinks per day, drinks per drinking day and percent days abstinent in the previous 30 days were not statistically significant in both
	respondents and intention to treat analysis) were found. c) Primary outcome of this study indicates that bupropion, when added to nicotine patch therapy, did not improve smoking outcomes in this population of "in treatment" alcoholics. drinking outcomes: a) Continuous abstinence in past 30 days, drinks per day, drinks per drinking day and percent days abstinent in the previous 30 days were not statistically significant in both groups at all follow-ups (Table 4)
	respondents and intention to treat analysis) were found. c) Primary outcome of this study indicates that bupropion, when added to nicotine patch therapy, did not improve smoking outcomes in this population of "in treatment" alcoholics. drinking outcomes: a) Continuous abstinence in past 30 days, drinks per day, drinks per drinking day and percent days abstinent in the previous 30 days were not statistically significant in both groups at all follow-ups (Table 4) b) Participants who successfully discontinued smoking at 6 months (N=13) reported 100%
	respondents and intention to treat analysis) were found. c) Primary outcome of this study indicates that bupropion, when added to nicotine patch therapy, did not improve smoking outcomes in this population of "in treatment" alcoholics. drinking outcomes: a) Continuous abstinence in past 30 days, drinks per day, drinks per drinking day and percent days abstinent in the previous 30 days were not statistically significant in both groups at all follow-ups (Table 4) b) Participants who successfully discontinued smoking at 6 months (N=13) reported 100% continuous abstinence from alcohol for the past 30 days prior to follow-up compared to
	respondents and intention to treat analysis) were found. c) Primary outcome of this study indicates that bupropion, when added to nicotine patch therapy, did not improve smoking outcomes in this population of "in treatment" alcoholics. drinking outcomes: a) Continuous abstinence in past 30 days, drinks per day, drinks per drinking day and percent days abstinent in the previous 30 days were not statistically significant in both groups at all follow-ups (Table 4) b) Participants who successfully discontinued smoking at 6 months (N=13) reported 100%
Funding	respondents and intention to treat analysis) were found. c) Primary outcome of this study indicates that bupropion, when added to nicotine patch therapy, did not improve smoking outcomes in this population of "in treatment" alcoholics. drinking outcomes: a) Continuous abstinence in past 30 days, drinks per day, drinks per drinking day and percent days abstinent in the previous 30 days were not statistically significant in both groups at all follow-ups (Table 4) b) Participants who successfully discontinued smoking at 6 months (N=13) reported 100% continuous abstinence from alcohol for the past 30 days prior to follow-up compared to 63% of non-quitters (p=0.016) (Table 5)
Funding Comments	respondents and intention to treat analysis) were found. c) Primary outcome of this study indicates that bupropion, when added to nicotine patch therapy, did not improve smoking outcomes in this population of "in treatment" alcoholics. drinking outcomes: a) Continuous abstinence in past 30 days, drinks per day, drinks per drinking day and percent days abstinent in the previous 30 days were not statistically significant in both groups at all follow-ups (Table 4) b) Participants who successfully discontinued smoking at 6 months (N=13) reported 100% continuous abstinence from alcohol for the past 30 days prior to follow-up compared to 63% of non-quitters (p=0.016) (Table 5)
	respondents and intention to treat analysis) were found. c) Primary outcome of this study indicates that bupropion, when added to nicotine patch therapy, did not improve smoking outcomes in this population of "in treatment" alcoholics. drinking outcomes: a) Continuous abstinence in past 30 days, drinks per day, drinks per drinking day and percent days abstinent in the previous 30 days were not statistically significant in both groups at all follow-ups (Table 4) b) Participants who successfully discontinued smoking at 6 months (N=13) reported 100% continuous abstinence from alcohol for the past 30 days prior to follow-up compared to 63% of non-quitters (p=0.016) (Table 5) • No untreated control group • Pts had to smoke ≥20 cig./d
	respondents and intention to treat analysis) were found. c) Primary outcome of this study indicates that bupropion, when added to nicotine patch therapy, did not improve smoking outcomes in this population of "in treatment" alcoholics. drinking outcomes: a) Continuous abstinence in past 30 days, drinks per day, drinks per drinking day and percent days abstinent in the previous 30 days were not statistically significant in both groups at all follow-ups (Table 4) b) Participants who successfully discontinued smoking at 6 months (N=13) reported 100% continuous abstinence from alcohol for the past 30 days prior to follow-up compared to 63% of non-quitters (p=0.016) (Table 5) • No untreated control group • Pts had to smoke ≥20 cig./d • Only 2b RCT,
	respondents and intention to treat analysis) were found. c) Primary outcome of this study indicates that bupropion, when added to nicotine patch therapy, did not improve smoking outcomes in this population of "in treatment" alcoholics. drinking outcomes: a) Continuous abstinence in past 30 days, drinks per day, drinks per drinking day and percent days abstinent in the previous 30 days were not statistically significant in both groups at all follow-ups (Table 4) b) Participants who successfully discontinued smoking at 6 months (N=13) reported 100% continuous abstinence from alcohol for the past 30 days prior to follow-up compared to 63% of non-quitters (p=0.016) (Table 5) • No untreated control group • Pts had to smoke ≥20 cig./d • Only 2b RCT, because:
	respondents and intention to treat analysis) were found. c) Primary outcome of this study indicates that bupropion, when added to nicotine patch therapy, did not improve smoking outcomes in this population of "in treatment" alcoholics. drinking outcomes: a) Continuous abstinence in past 30 days, drinks per day, drinks per drinking day and percent days abstinent in the previous 30 days were not statistically significant in both groups at all follow-ups (Table 4) b) Participants who successfully discontinued smoking at 6 months (N=13) reported 100% continuous abstinence from alcohol for the past 30 days prior to follow-up compared to 63% of non-quitters (p=0.016) (Table 5) • No untreated control group • Pts had to smoke ≥20 cig./d • Only 2b RCT,

Title	Naltrexone and Alcohol Effects on Craving for Cigarettes in Heavy Drinking Smokers		
First Author	Green, R., 2019 Source 30628813		
Level of evidence	1a	Study type	Secondary analysis of a larger trial examining pharmacogenetic effects of naltrexone on subjective response to alcohol in individuals of East Asian descent (placebo-controlled trial, non-randomized) to analyze (a) effects of alcohol on basal craving for cigarettes, (b) effects of naltrexone on cigarette craving and alcohol craving during alcohol administration, and (c) relationship between

	craving for alcohol and cigarettes.		
Study quality	low (low subject number)		
Participants	n=31		
Patient characteristics	East asian individuals, heavy drinking smokers (inclusion criteria: a) East-Asian ethnicity, b) between the ages of 21 and 55, (c) score of 8 or higher on the Alcohol-Use Disorders Identification Test, indicating a heavy drinking pattern; exclusionary criteria: current major depression with suicidal ideation, lifetime psychotic disorder, lifetime substance use disorder (other than cannabis))		
Intervention	Two counterbalanced intravenous alcohol administration sessions, one after taking		
intervention	naltrexone (50 mg) for five days and one after taking a placebo for five days; self-reported subjective craving for cigarettes and for alcohol recorded during each experimental session		
Comparison	Placebo		
Length of follow-up	5 days		
Outcome and effect size	Effects of alcohol and naltrexone on urge to smoke cigarettes: significant main effect of		
	BrAC (b=0.55 +/- 0.08, p < .01) or medication (b=0.52 +/- 0.17, p=0.001) such that naltrexone or medication reduced craving for cigarettes with significant BrAC x medication interaction (b=0.49 +/- 0.15, p<0.01) such that Naltrexone significantly reduced craving for cigarettes across rising BrAC levels as compared to placebo. The only significant covariate included was smoking status, namely regular versus occasional smoker (p<0.01). Effects of alcohol and naltrexone on urge to drink: significant main effect of BrAC (b=0.47 +/-0.08, p<0.01) or medication (b=0.45 +/-0.19, p=0.02) indicating that urge for alcohol increased across rising BrAC levels but decreased with naltrexone in comparison to placebo. No significant medication x BrAC interaction (b=0.26 +/-0.16, p=0.11) or covariates (p's=0.12). Relationship between urge to drink and urge to smoke: significant main effect of craving for alcohol and cigarettes (b=0.40 +/-0.06, p<0.01), indicating a coupling of alcohol and cigarette craving. However, there was no BrAC x craving for alcohol interaction (b=0.01 +/-0.05, p=0.99) or medication x craving for alcohol interaction (b=0.04 +/-0.15, p=0.79) suggesting that the effect of alcohol craving on cigarette craving does not differ across rising BrAC levels nor is it moderated by medication. The only significant covariate in these models was smoking status, namely whether participants were regular or occasional smokers (p's<0.01). Summary: 1.) Cigarette craving increased across rising BrAC, 2a) In comparison to placebo, naltrexone blunted cigarette craving across rising BrAC levels, 2b) Additionally, significant main effect of alcohol administration on craving for alcohol with heavy drinking smokers reporting greater craving for alcohol at higher alcohol administration levels. Speculation: the co-occurrence of smoking and drinking may magnify the effects of naltrexone. 3.) Positive relationship between cigarette craving and alcohol craving → The present results indicated that alcohol increased significantly thro		
Funding	levels.		
Funding	none		
Comments	medium: cross-over, but not randomized, no funding indicated, low subject number		

LITIA	Tobacco Use During a Clinical Trial of Mecamylamine for Alcohol Dependence: Medication Effects on Smoking and Associations With Reductions in Drinking		
First Author	Roberts, W., 2018 Source 30243424		
Level of evidence	1a	Study type	Reanalysis of data of a clinical trial to treat alcohol use
			disorder (AUD) with a nicotinic acetylcholine receptor
			(nAChR) antagonist to evaluate the effects of

	mecamylamine on smoking and the association between reductions in alcohol use and smoking. Smoking was assessed prior to randomization and tracked throughout the course of the 12-week medication treatment phase. Participants were categorized as treatment responders or		
	non-responders based on their changes in drinking over the course of the clinical trial.		
Study quality	medium: cross-over, but not randomized, no funding indicated, low subject number		
Participants	n=76		
Patient characteristics	Subgroup of smokers who participated in the clinical trial of mecamylamine (10 mg/day) to treat their AUD.		
Intervention	Mecamylamine		
Comparison	Placebo		
Length of follow-up	12 weeks		
Outcome and effect size	Reduction in smoking over the course of the clinical trial without significant differences in smoking outcomes between the mecamylamine and placebo groups: no detectable effect of mecylamine on smoking outcomes. Among moderate/high dependence smokers, those who successfully reduced drinking showed a significant reduction in cigarettes smoked per day over the clinical trial \rightarrow opportunity for patients being treated for AUD to reduce their smoking.		
Funding			
Comments	deals with smoking as outcome variable		

Title	Pilot Investigation: Randomized-Controlled Analog Trial for Alcohol and Tobacco Smoking Co-Addiction Using Contingency Management			
First Author	Orr, M., 2018	Source	29561290	
Level of evidence	1a	Study type	RCT with a 2 x 2 factorial design ([CM vs. noncontingent control (NC) for alcohol] × [CM vs. NC for smoking tobacco])	
Study quality	high (RCT)			
Participants	n=43	n=43		
Patient characteristics	Heavy drinking smokers			
Intervention	CM for alcohol abstinence, smoking abstinence, both drugs, or neither drug.			
Comparison	NC for alcohol abstinence, smoking abstinence, both drugs, or neither drug			
Length of follow-up				
Outcome and effect size	Alcohol intake (through urinary ethyl glucuronide) and tobacco smoking (through urinary cotinine) as the primary outcomes: Compared with the NC for alcohol and tobacco smoking group, both the CM for the tobacco smoking group (OR 12.03; 95% CI [1.50 96.31]) and the CM for the alcohol group (OR 37.55; 95% CI [4.86 290.17]) submitted significantly more tobacco-abstinent urinalyses. Similarly, compared with the NC for the alcohol and tobacco group, both the CM for smoking (OR 2.57; 95% CI [1.00 6.60]) and the CM for alcohol groups (OR 3.96; 95% CI [1.47 10.62]) submitted significantly more alcoholabstinent urinalyses: cross-over effects of CM on indirect treatment targets			
Funding				
Comments	Should be taken in	to account		

Title	Nicotine-Use/Smoking Is Associated With the Efficacy of Naltrexone in the Treatment of Alcohol Dependence				
First Author	Anton, R. F., 2018 Source 29431852				
Level of evidence	1a Study type RCT				
Study quality	high (RCT)				
Participants	n=146				
Patient characteristics	Individuals meeting DSM-IV criteria for alcohol dependence who were genotyped for the				
	OPRM1 A118G SNP and who did, or did not, use nicotine/cigarettes				
Intervention	Naltrexone (50mg/d)	Naltrexone (50mg/d)			

Comparison	Placebo
Length of follow-up	16 weeks
Outcome and effect size	Nicotine-use/smoking status significantly interacted with medication in reducing percent heavy drinking days (PHDD) during the trial (p=0.003), such that nicotine-users/smokers showed significantly lower PHDD on naltrexone versus placebo (p=0.0001, Cohen's d=0.89), while nonusers showed no significant difference between naltrexone and placebo (p=0.95, Cohen's d=0.02). Similar effects were shown for drinks per day and percent days drinking. The superiority of naltrexone over placebo on PHDD reduction in nicotine-users/smokers was confirmed with %dCDT (Cohen's d range 0.3 to 0.9 over the study). Naltrexone did not significantly change cigarette use in smokers, and change in use did not influence naltrexone's effect on PHDD: These data confirm past findings that naltrexone is more efficacious in those who use nicotine/cigarettes. Compared to previous work on the OPRM1 A118G SNP, it appears that nicotine-use might be a more salient predictor of naltrexone treatment response. While naltrexone did not change cigarette use during the study, and smoking change was not related to alcohol reduction, it should be noted that participants were not seeking smoking cessation and MM did not address this issue.
Funding	
Comments	Should be taken into account

Title	A Randomized Trial Evaluating Whether Topiramate Aids Smoking Cessation and Prevents Alcohol Relapse in Recovering Alcohol-Dependent Men		
First Author	Anthenelli, R., 2017	Source	28029173
Level of evidence	1a	Study type	Evaluated topiramate in abstinent alcohol-dependent men to assess whether this medication (I) promotes smoking cessation and (II) prevents alcohol and other drug relapse in the context of smoking cessation treatment.
Study quality	high (RCT)		
Participants	n=129		
Patient characteristics	Alcohol-dependent male smokers (80% with other substance use disorders)		
Intervention	Topiramate 200mg/d		
Comparison	Placebo		
Length of follow-up	24m		
Outcome and effect size	Only a small proportion (7.9%) of topiramate-treated participants were able to quit smoking, and this cessation rate was similar to placebo (10.6%, OR=1.60, 95% CI [0.4 6.5], p=0.51). Roughly 30% of the sample had a documented relapse to drinking or drug use during the study, and these rates were similar in the topiramate (20/63; 31.8%) and placebo groups (18/66; 27.3%; p=0.58). Results of a longitudinal logistic regression model examining time to any alcohol relapse revealed no medication effect. Summary: no effect of topiramate neither on smoking cessation nor on alcohol or drug relapse		
Funding			
Comments	Effects of topiramat on	alcohol an	d smocking were studied separately.

Title	A Randomized Trial of Contingency Management for Smoking Cessation During Intensive Outpatient Alcohol Treatment		
First Author	Cooney, J., 2017	Source	27542442
Level of evidence		type RCT to evaluate the efficacy of contingency management (CN for smoking cessation for smokers with alcohol abuse or dependence delivered concurrently with intensive outpatient alcohol treatment. The study also explored the indirect effect of CM smoking treatment and smoking cessation on alcohol and drug use outcomes	
Study quality	high (RCT)		
Participants			
Patient characteristics	Alcohol abuse/dependent smokers		

Intervention	Cognitive behavioral therapy plus nicotine replacement therapy plus contingency management (CBT+NRT+CM)
Comparison	Cognitive behavior therapy plus nicotine replacement therapy (CBT+NRT)
Length of follow-up	6 months
Outcome and effect size	Participants in the CBT+NRT+CM condition were significantly more likely to be cigarette abstinent at the end of treatment (χ 2(1)=8.48, p=0.004) with approximately double the carbon monoxide confirmed quit rate (60%) compared with the CBT+NRT condition (29%). At the one-month and six-month time-points there were nonsignificant differences in smoking abstinence outcomes by condition. Smoking treatment condition did not directly affect alcohol abstinence outcomes, but we observed an indirect effect of smoking treatment on alcohol and drug abstinence at one-month follow-up that was mediated by smoking cessation at the end of treatment. Adding CM to an evidence-based smoking cessation treatment that included medication and behavioral counseling doubled the quit rate at the end of treatment. This finding provides strong evidence for the efficacy of CM for helping alcohol dependent smokers reach the milestone of initial smoking abstinence.
Funding	
Comments	Deals with smoking as outcome variable.

Title	The Impact of Smokin	ng Very Low N	licotine Content Cigarettes on Alcohol Use
First Author	Dermody, S., 2016	Source	26916879
Level of evidence	1a	Study type	7-arm, double-blind, randomized clinical trial at 10 U.Sbased sites
Study quality	high (sophisticted stu	dy design)	
Participants	n=403		
Patient characteristics	Daily smokers not currently interested in quitting and currently drinking alcohol. Inclusion criteria: ≥ age 18; smoking ≥ 5 CPD; expired carbon monoxide (CO) > 8 ppm; or urine cotinine > 100 ng/ml. Exclusion criteria: intention to quit smoking in next 30 days; regular use of other tobacco products or frequent binge drinking (i.e., > 9 of past 30 days) 1; significant or unstable medical/psychiatric conditions; positive illicit drug toxicology screen other than cannabis; pregnancy		
Intervention	nicotine content (VLN	IC; 0.4 to 2.4 r	
Comparison	Normal nicotine cont	ent (NNC; 15.8	3 mg/g, 9 mg tar)
Length of follow-up	6m		
Outcome and effect size	RMSEA=0.07 (0.05, 0 use increased from be significant variability 2 to 6; SL2=0.002, 95 (SL2 variance=0.04, 9 Effect: During the first significantly smaller in mg/g condition demodalcohol use relative to differed from NNC coalcohol use trajectory week 6 alcohol use be combined VLNC condithe first 2 weeks com 4 weeks, regardless of Summary: Over time VLNC cigarette use of drinking in response the expected to be at gree individuals). Compen	.09); CFI=0.97; aseline to week (SL1 variance (SL1 variance (SL1 variance (SL2 varianc	itting model was piecewise, v2=66.99, p<0.001; TLI=0.98; AIC=8,115; BIC=8,167. On average, alcohole & 2 (SL1=0.08, 99% CI [0.02 0.16], p<0.001), with no equaled zero); thereafter, it did not change (i.e., weeks 03], p>0.10), but demonstrated significant variability 0.09]). It moderate nicotine condition (5.2 mg/g) exhibited a nking relative to the NNC cigarette condition. The 0.4 alitatively smaller, but nonsignificant, increase in strol condition. During the last 4 weeks, no conditions less of covariates (ps>0.10). When the intercept for the dat week 6, there were no significant differences in NC cigarette and reduced conditions (ps>0.10. The 2.4 mg/g) showed a qualitatively smaller increase during NNC condition (p<0.10) and no difference during the last there were no significant moderators (ps>0.10). In the exposure and smoking rate mediated effects of ohol use. There was no evidence of compensatory duction or nicotine withdrawal, even among subgroups relatively heavier drinkers, highly nicotine-dependent g is unlikely to occur in response to switching to VLNC enicotine content of cigarettes may reduce alcohol use

Funding	
Comments	Should be taken into account

Title	Defining and Predicting Short-Term Alcohol Use Changes During a Smoking Cessation Attempt		
First Author	Berg, K. M., 2015	Source	25997014
Level of evidence	1a	Study type	Secondary analysis of a randomized, placebo-controlled trial evaluating the efficacy of five tobacco cessation pharmacotherapies
Study quality	high (RCT with high r	number of par	ticipants)
Participants	n=1301		
Patient characteristics	Participants with smoking and drinking		
Intervention	Fibe tobacco sessation therapies		
Comparison	Placebo		
Length of follow-up	2 weeks after target quit date (TQD)		
Outcome and effect size	Generally, alcohol use decreased post-TQD. Smokers who reported less pre-quit alcohol use, as well as smokers who were female, non-white, and had a history of alcohol dependence tended to use less alcohol post-quit. Pre- and post-quit alcohol use were more strongly related among men and among those without a history of alcohol dependence. Summary: For most smokers, alcohol use decreased following smoking cessation. These results suggest that the expectation should be of decreased alcohol use post cessation. However, attention may be warranted for those who drink higher amounts of alcohol precessation because they may be more likely to drink more in the post-quit period which may influence smoking cessation success.		
Funding			
Comments	Should be taken into	account.	

Title	Concurrent Alcohol a Relapse Risk	nd Tobaco	to Treatment: Effect on Daily Process Measures of Alcohol
First Author	Cooney, N. L., 2015	Source	25622198
Level of evidence	1a	Study type	RCT to compare the effects of alcohol treatment along with concurrent smoking treatment or delayed smoking treatment on process measures related to alcohol relapse risk.
Study quality	high (RCT with high n	umber of p	participants)
Participants	n=151		
Patient characteristics	Alcohol dependent smokers who were enrolled in an intensive outpatient alcohol treatment program and were interested in smoking cessation		
Intervention	Concurrent smoking cessation (CSC) intervention		
Comparison	Waiting list for delayed smoking cessation (DSC)		
Length of follow-up	13w		
Outcome and effect size	Analysis of smoking abstinence after 2 weeks (end of intensive alcohol treatment) found 50.5% of CSC and 2.2% of DSC participants were classified CO-verified 7-day point prevalence abstinent (χ2(1)=32.49; N=151; p<0.001). At 13 weeks, 19.0% of CSC and 0.0% of DSC participants were classified abstinent (χ2(1)=8.39; N=151; p<0.01). Analyses of drinking over time from baseline to Month 3 (Week 13) indicated that participants in both treatment conditions dramatically decreased their rates of heavy drinking from 58% PDH to a mean of 3% PDH from Month 1 to Month 3 (F(time; 3,524)=219.00; p<0.001), with no significant differences by treatment condition (F(treatment; 1,524)=2.04; p>0.15), and no interaction of treatment x time (F(treatment x time; 1,524)=0.16; p>.90). Analysis of PDA also indicated that patients in both treatment conditions increased the frequency of alcohol abstinent days from a mean of 40% days abstinent at baseline to a mean of 95% days abstinent across the 3-month follow-up (F(time; 3,526)=207.66; p<0.001). Again there were no differences attributable to treatment (F(treatment; 1,526)=1.85; p>0.15) and no interaction of treatment x time (F(treatment x time; 1,526)=0.28; p>0.80). On daily IVR		

	assessments, CSC participants had significantly lower positive alcohol outcome expectancies relative to DSC participants. Multilevel modeling (MLM) analyses of within-person effects across the 12 weeks of daily monitoring showed that daily smoking abstinence was significantly associated with same day reports of lower alcohol consumption, lower urge to drink, lower negative affect, lower positive alcohol outcome expectancies, greater alcohol abstinence self-efficacy, greater alcohol abstinence readiness to change, and greater perceived self-control demands. Summary: support for recommending smoking intervention concurrent with intensive outpatient alcohol treatment
Funding	Grant R01AA011197 from the National Institute on Alcoholism and Alcohol Abuse to Ned Cooney. Kevin Sevarino's work on this article was also supported by a Mental Illness Research, Education and Clinical Centers (MIRECC) award from the Department of Veterans Affairs
Comments	

Title	Nicotine Interactions With Low-Dose Alcohol: Pharmacological Influences on Smoking and Drinking Motivation			
First Author	Oliver, J. A., 2013	Source	24364618	
Level of evidence	1 a	Study type	RCT to test the separate and combined pharmacological effects of nicotine and a low dose of alcohol (equivalent to 1-2 standard drinks) on substance use motivation using a double-blind and fully crossed within-subjects design	
Study quality	high (RCT with suffic	ient number c	of participants)	
Participants	n=87	n=87		
Patient characteristics	Participants with a wide range of smoking and drinking patterns			
Intervention	4 counterbalanced experimental sessions with alcohol or placebo beverage and nicotine or placebo cigarette			
Comparison	Placebo			
Length of follow-up				
Outcome and effect size	Impact of drug administration (alcohol or nicotine) on craving to smoke, craving to drink, affect, and liking of the beverage and cigarette: combined administration produced higher cravings to smoke for the entire sample, as well as higher cravings to drink among women and lighter drinkers. Heavier users of either alcohol or cigarettes also exhibited enhanced sensitivity to the effects of either drug in isolation. Separate, but not interactive, effects of alcohol and nicotine on mood were observed as well as both same-drug and cross-drug effects on beverage and cigarette liking. Summary: interactive pharmacological effects of nicotine and low doses of alcohol play an important role in motivating contemporaneous use and suggest roles for cross-reinforcement and cross-tolerance in the development and maintenance of alcohol and nicotine use and dependence			
Funding				
Comments				

3.6.9 Illegale Drogen

Title	Treatment of Cocaine and Alcohol Dependence With Psychotherapy and Disulfiram		
First Author	Carroll, K. M., 1998	Source	9692270
Level of evidence	2b	Study type	RCT, single blind
Study quality	high risk of attrition bias (incomplete outcome data), unclear risk of selection bias (random		
	sequence generation and allocation concealment)		
Participants	n=122 in total, n=42 with only psychosocial interventions		
Patient characteristics	Cocaine / alcohol abusers in an outpatient clinic meeting current DSM-3-R criteria for		
	cocaine dependence, and for concurrent alcohol dependence (85%) or alcohol abuse		
	(15%).		
Intervention	one of five treatments delivered	over 12 weeks: cognitive beh	avioral coping skills training

	(CBCST) plus disulfiram; twelve step facilitation (TSF) plus disulfiram; clinical management (CM) plus disulfiram; CBCST plus no medication (n=24); TSF plus no medication (n=18)
Comparison	CBT vs. TSF vs. CM
Length of follow-up	12-weekly within 12 months
Outcome and effect size	Comparison of the two psychotherapy-arms:
Outcome and effect size	No significant difference between CBCST and TSF regarding 1a) the number of participants achieving three or more weeks of consecutive alcohol abstinence (risk ratio (RR)=1.96, 95% CI [0.43 8.94]) and 1b) the maximum number of weeks of consecutive alcohol abstinence during treatment (mean difference (MD)=0.40, 95% CI [-1.14 1.94]) as well as 1c) alcohol abstinence during follow-up after one year (RR=2.38, 95% CI [0.10 55.06]); 2.) retention as number of people who completed all treatment sessions (RR=0.89, 95% CI [0.62 1.29]), 3a) maximum number of weeks of consecutive abstinence from cocaine (MD=0.80, 95% CI [-0.70 2.30]), 3b) and number of participants achieving three or more weeks of consecutive abstinence from cocaine during treatment (RR=1.10, 95% CI [0.42 2.88]), as well as 3c) abstinence from cocaine during follow-up after one year (RR=0.39, 95% CI [0.04 3.41]). Study as a whole: Disulfiram treatment was associated with significantly better retention in treatment, as well as longer duration of abstinence from alcohol and cocaine use. The two active psychotherapies (CBT and TSF) were associated with reduced cocaine use over time compared with supportive psychotherapy (CM). Cocaine and alcohol use were strongly related throughout treatment, particularly for subjects treated with disulfiram. Conclusion: For the large proportion of cocaine-dependent individuals who also abuse alcohol, disulfiram combined with outpatient psychotherapy may be a promising treatment strategy. This study underlines (a) the significance of alcohol use among treatment-seeking cocaine abusers, (b) the promise of the strategy of treating co-morbid disorders among drug-dependent individuals, and (c) the importance of combining psychotherapy and pharmacotherapy in the treatment of drug use disorders.
Funding	National Institutes of Health
Comments	

Title	Screening and Brief Interventions for Illicit Drug Use and Alcohol Use in Methadone Maintained Opiate-Dependent Patients: Results of a Pilot Cluster Randomized Controlled Trial Feasibility Study			
First Author	Darker, C. D., 2016	Source	27158853	
Level of evidence	2b	Study type	Cluster - RCT	
Study quality	Unclear risk of bias regarding selection (random sequence generation and allocation concealment) and detection (blindness of participants and personnel not possible for the kind of intervention).			
Participants	n=465, subgroup with alcohol as	the target substance BI was g	iven for	
Patient characteristics	Participants with opioid use disorder receiving methadone who also had concurrent problem alcohol use, as determined by positive ASSIST (alcohol, smoking, and substance involvement screening test) - positive cases in 4 addiction treatment centers (opioid agonist clinic)			
Intervention	Single clinician delivered brief in	tervention (BI)		
Comparison	Treatment as usual (TAU)			
Length of follow-up	3 months			
Outcome and effect size	Statistically significant difference between global risk score for the intervention (x=39.36 +/- 25.91) group and the control group (x=45.27 +/- 27.52) at 3-month follow-up (t(341)=- 2.07, p<0.05). No statistically significant difference between BI and TAU regarding AUDIT and ASSIST scores at three months (standardised mean difference (SMD)=0.07, 95% CI [- 0.24 0.3]).			
Funding	Health Research Board of Ireland			
Comments				

Title	Alcohol-related Brief Intervention in Patients Treated for Opiate or Cocaine Dependence: A Randomized Controlled Study		
First Author	Feldman, N., 2011	Source	21849027
Level of evidence	2b	Study type	RCT
Study quality	High risk of detection bias (no bl (incomplete outcome data)	linding assessment), unclear ri	sk of attrition bias
Participants	n=110		
Patient characteristics	Among adult outpatients treated for opioid or cocaine dependence in Switzerland those with AUDIT scores that indicated excessive alcohol drinking or dependence i.e. excessive drinking ($7 \le AUDIT$ score <13 for men and $6 \le AUDIT$ score <13 for women); and alcohol use disorder (score > 13)		
Intervention	Treatment as usual and brief intervention (n=50)		
Comparison	Treatment as usual (n=60)		
Length of follow-up	3 and 9 months		
Outcome and effect size	No significant difference between BI and TAU regarding decreased alcohol use (RR=1.13, 95% CI [0.67 - 1.93]) and number of drinks per week at month 3 (MD=0.70, 95% CI [-3.85 5.25]) as well as AUDIT scores (MD=2.30, 95% CI [-0.58 - 5.18]), decreased alcohol use (RR=1.09, 95% CI [0.62 1.92]) and number of drinks per week (MD=-0.30, 95% CI [-4.79 4.19]) at month 9.		
Funding	not reported		
Comments			

Title	Feasibility of alcohol screening among patients receiving opioid treatment in primary care.			
First Author	Henihan, A. M., 2016	Source	27816057	
Level of evidence	2b	Study type	Cluster - RCT	
Study quality	High risk of detection bias (outco	ome assessors not blinded)		
Participants	n=81			
Patient characteristics	Problem alcohol use among people receiving opioid agonist treatment in a primary care setting			
Intervention	Brief intervention (n=34)			
Comparison	Treatment as usual (n=47)			
Length of follow-up	3 months			
Outcome and effect size	Of 149 practices that were invited, 19 (12.8%) agreed to participate. At follow up, 13 (81.3%) practices with 81 (62.8%) patients were retained. Alcohol screening rates in the intervention group were higher at follow up than in the control group (53% versus 26%) as were brief intervention rates (47% versus 19%). No statistically significant difference in AUDIT or ASSIST scores at three months between BI and TAU (standardised mean difference (SMD) 0.07, 95% CI [-0.24 0.3]).			
Funding	Health Research Board of Ireland			
Comments				

Title	Effect of motivational interviewing on reduction of alcohol use.		
First Author	Nyamathi, A., 2010	Source	19836904
Level of evidence	2b	Study type	open label RCT, 3 arms
Study quality	High risk of detection bias (open label study), unclear risk of attrition bias (incomplete outcome data)		
Participants	n=256		
Patient characteristics	Methadone-maintained (MM) clients reporting moderate-to-heavy alcohol use based on questions from the ASI attending one of five MM outpatient clinics in the Los Angeles area		
Intervention	Nurse-led hepatitis health promotion (HHP; n=87)		
Comparison	MI delivered in group sessions (MI-group; n=79), or MI delivered one-on-one sessions (MI-single, n=90)		

Length of follow-up	6 months
Outcome and effect size	Significant reduction in self-reported alcohol use from a median of 90 drinks/month at
	baseline to 60 drinks/month at 6-month follow-up (p<0.05) without differences by
	condition: no significant difference between MI and educational intervention regarding
	standard drinks consumed per day (MD=-0.20, 95% CI [-1.76 1.36]), greater than 50%
	reduction in number of standard drinks consumed per day (RR=1.01, 95% [CI 0.77 1.31]),
	and abstinence from alcohol over the last 30 days (RR=0.93, 95% CI [0.57 1.50]), and
	retention at the end of treatment (RR=0.96, 95% CI [0.87 1.06]), as well as frequency of
	illicit drug use as measured by Addiction Severity Index (ASI drug; MD=0.00, 95% CI [-0.03
	0.03]) and frequency for all drugs taken (MD=-0.00, 95% CI [-0.34 0.34]).
Funding	National Institutes of Health
Comments	

Title	A Randomized Trial of a Brief Alcohol Intervention for Needle Exchangers (BRAINE)		
First Author	Stein, M. D., 2002	Source	12084138
Level of evidence	2b	Study type	RCT
Study quality	Unclear risks of bias regarding se concealment) as well as attrition		neration and allocation
Participants	n=187		
Patient characteristics	AUDIT-positive (>8) active inject	ion drug users	
Intervention	Two 1-hour therapist brief MI sessions following assessment visits, 1 month apart, focusing on alcohol use and HIV risk-taking		
Comparison	Assessment only		
Length of follow-up	6 months		
Outcome and effect size	Significant difference between BMI and assessment only regarding alcohol use as seven or more drinking days' reduction in the past 30 days (RR=1.67, 95% CI [1.08 2.60], p=0.02; moderate-quality evidence); no significant difference between Brief motivational interviewing (BMI) versus assessment only control regarding number of days in the past 30 days with alcohol use after one (MD=-0.30, 95% CI [-3.38 2.78]) and six months (MD=-1.50, 95% CI [-4.56 1.56]), 25 (RR=1.23, 95% CI [0.96 1.57]), 50 (RR=1.27, 95% CI [0.96 1.68]), and 75% reduction of drinking days in the past 30 days (RR=1.21, 95% CI [0.84 1.75]), one or more drinking days' reduction in the past 30 days (RR=1.12, 95% CI [0.91 1.38]) as well as number of people who completed all treatment sessions (RR=0.98, 95% CI 0.94 1.02).		
Funding	National Institutes of Health		
Comments			

Title	Intensive motivational interviewing for women with concurrent alcohol problems and methamphetamine dependence			
First Author	Korcha, R. A., 2014	Source	24074649	
Level of evidence	2b	Study type	open label RCT	
Study quality	High risk of detection bias (outco	ome assessment not blinded)		
Participants	n=163			
Patient characteristics	Diagnosis of both methampheta	mine use disorder and alcoho	l abuse/dependence (DSM-IV	
	criteria)			
Intervention	Intensive 9-session version of MI (intensive MI; n=80)			
Comparison	Standard single MI session (Standard MI, n=83)			
Length of follow-up	6 months			
Outcome and effect size	No significant difference between intensive MI and standard MI regarding alcohol			
	Addiction Severity Index at two (MD=0.03, 95% CI [-0.02 0.08]), four (MD=-0.01, 95% CI [-			
	0.06 0.04]) and six months (MD=-0.02, 95% CI [-0.07 0.03]), retention on the study			
	(RR=17.63, 95% CI [1.03 300.48]) and days methamphetamine abstinent in the past six			
	months (MD=3.91, 95% CI [-5.28	$8\mid 13.10]$). Only women with 6	co-occurring alcohol	

	problems in the Intensive MI condition reduced the severity of their alcohol problems significantly more than women in the Standard MI condition with stronger perceived alliance with the therapist being inversely associated with alcohol problem severity scores.
Funding	National Institutes of Health
Comments	

Title	Psychosocial Interventi		Imption in Concurrent Problem
First Author	Klimas, J., 2018	Source	30521696
Level of evidence	1a	Study type	Cochrane review
Study quality		st of them with high or unclea	
Participants	n=825		
Patient characteristics	People who use illicit di	rugs (PWIDs) aged at least 18	years with concurrent problem
	alcohol use	S (, , S	,
Intervention	Psychosocial intervention	ons: cognitive-behavioral copi	ing skills training (CBCST, 1 study),
	twelve-step program (T	SP, 1 study), brief interventio	n (BI, 3 studies), motivational
	interviewing (MI, 2 stud	dies), and brief motivational ir	nterviewing (BMI, 1 study).
Comparison	Other psychosocial inte	rvention or treatment as usua	al (TAU)
Length of follow-up	div.		
Funding	breathalyser at one year treatment, measured as secondary outcomes re-BI vs. TAU (3 studies, reprimary outcomes (alco Substance Involvement difference (SMD)=0.07 three months: RR=0.94 reported (low quality of MI vs. TAU or education between groups for eith the AUDIT or ASSIST at treatment, measured as secondary outcomes re-Brief motivational intereduced alcohol use (by the BMI group than in the between groups for the of treatment: RR=0.98 (reported (moderate qu-MI (intensive) vs. MI (sof the primary outcomes score (ASI) at two mont measured at end of treasecondary outcomes re-Summary: Low to ve effectiveness between consumption among per substance of the secondary outcomes re-Summary: Low to ve effectiveness between consumption among per substance of the secondary outcomes re-Summary: Low to ve effectiveness between consumption among per substance of the secondary outcomes re-Summary: Low to ve effectiveness between consumption among per substance of the secondary outcomes re-Summary: Low to ve effectiveness between consumption among per substance of the secondary outcomes re-Summary: Low to ve effectiveness between consumption among per substance of the secondary outcomes re-Summary: Low to ve effectiveness between consumption among per substance of the secondary outcomes re-Summary: Low to ve effectiveness between consumption among per substance of the secondary outcomes re-Summary: Low to ve effectiveness between consumption among per substance of the secondary outcomes re-Summary: Low to ve effectiveness between consumption among per substance of the secondary outcomes re-Summary: Low to ve effectiveness between consumption among per substance of the secondary outcomes re-Summary: Low to ve effectiveness between consumption among per substance of the secondary outcomes re-Summary: Low to ve effectiveness between consumption among per substance of the secondary outcomes re-Summary: Low to ve effectiveness between consumption among per substance of the secondary outcomes re-Summary: Low to ve effectiveness be	ar: risk ratio (RR)=2.38 (95% CI t end of treatment: RR=0.89 (9 ported (very low quality of even=197): no significant different phol use, measured as scores of Screening Test (ASSIST) at the (95% CI [-0.24 0.37]); and received (95% CI [0.78 1.13]), or for a fevidence for the primary out onal intervention only (3 studiner of the primary outcomes (100 three months: SMD=0.04 (95% t three months: SMD=0.04 (95% t three months: RR=0.93 (95% CI [0.04 1.02]), or for a latity of evidence for the primares (alcohol use, measured using this: MD=0.03 (95% CI [0.02 0.02 0.03 (95% CI [0.02 0.03 (95% CI [0.04 1.03 (95% CI [0.04 1.03 (95% CI [0.05 0.05 (95% CI [0.05 (ies, n=462): no significant difference (alcohol use, measured as scores on % CI [-0.29 0.37]); and retention in 6 CI [0.60 1.43]), or for any of the ce for the primary outcomes). It only (1 study, n=187): More people ast month, measured at six months) in 6% CI [1.08 2.60]), no difference ention in treatment, measured at end my of the secondary outcomes
Funding			
Comments		be taken into account becaus	se it proves the evidence which is
	missing elsewhere		

3.7 Alters- und Geschlechtsspezifische Populationen

3.7.1 Kinder und Jugendliche

Title	A Brief Motivational Interview in a pediatric emergency department, plus 10-day telephone follow-up, increases attempts to quit drinking among youth and young adults who screen positive for problematic drinking.			
First Author	Bernstein, J., 2010	Source	20670329	
Level of evidence	1b	Study type	RCT	
Study quality	Well documented study			
Participants	Total N=853 with IG n=283 and assessed) n=284, and MAC (min	•	ment reactivity: AC (standard	
Patient characteristics	Patients aged 14–21 years, screened positive on the Alcohol Use Disorders Identification Test (AUDIT) or for binge drinking or for high-risk behaviors.			
Intervention	IG) Peer-conducted motivational intervention, referral to community resources and treatment if indicated, and a 10-day booster in addition to assessment			
Comparison	Assessment control (AC): standard assessment and handout on alcohol risks plus list of treatment facilities. Minimum assessment control (MAC): handout and list only			
Length of follow-up	3 and 12-month follow-up (FU)			
Outcome and effect size	According to 3-month FU [12-month FU], a significantly larger proportion of IG made efforts to quit drinking AOR=2.01, p<.001 [AOR=1.77, p<.007] and to be careful about situations when drinking AOR=1.72, p<.026 [AOR=1.66, p<.029], compared to AC; consumption declined in IG and AC from baseline to 3-month to 12-month FU though effects were non-significant, improvements in alcohol-related consequences or in alcohol-related risk behaviours between IG and AC were non-significant. [Self-reports]			
Funding	NIAAA			
Comments				

Title	Twelve-month follow-up of aftercare for adolescents with alcohol use disorders.		
First Author	Burleson, J., 2012	Source	21868186
Level of evidence	1b	Study type	RCT
Study quality	Length of aftercare interventions	s not reported; otherwise well	documented study
Participants	Total N=121, Active aftercare: in (controls) n=41	-person n=38, brief telephone	n=42. No-active aftercare
Patient characteristics	Adolescents aged 13-18 (M age=	16.0, 80% male), diagnosed w	rith AUD
Intervention	All received CBT, only completer	s remained in the study: 2 into	ervention groups: in-person
	aftercare or brief telephone afte	rcare; controls: no-active afte	rcare
Comparison	Active aftercare (in-person or br	ief telephone) vs. no-active af	tercare
Length of follow-up	-, 6,and 12-month FU		
Outcome and effect size	Frequency and number of drinks per occasion were outcome measures, both increased in either intervention. In an HLM, within-person and initial status variance [which is equivalent for baseline-adjustment in ANCOVA], were controlled. In the 12-month FU, active aftercare revealed an impact of 100.121 (SE=0.070, p<.085) in decreasing frequency/number of drinks, showing no difference between in-person and brief telephone interventions. As moderators, age of youths and an existing externalizing disorder had strong impacts on drinking behaviour at all time points regardless of condition. [Self-reports]		
Funding	NIAAA		
Comments			

Title	Δ	A systematic and methodological review of interventions for young people experiencing
		alcohol-related harm

First Author	Calabria, B., 2011	Source	21371154	
Level of evidence	1a	Study type	Systematic Review	
Study quality	High heterogeneity in included s review is well documented and t	·	es no effect sizes computed,	
Participants	A total of N=973 patients in 9 studies.	udies; n of patients varied fron	m 6 to 282 across included	
Patient characteristics		Search term 'youth', 12-25 years old across studies, 17-90% male, participants had to meet any of four alcohol-related criteria: diagnosis, screening, referral, or high-risk behaviour (s.a. DUI)		
Intervention	Different interventions: 8 counselling-based (CBT, family therapy, MI, CBT-based peer groups, community reinforcement) 1 medically-based (ondansetron)			
Comparison	Not specified in the review; comparisons to wait-list groups or alternative treatment (group or individual treatment)			
Length of follow-up	Not specified in the review			
Outcome and effect size	No meta-analysis conducted due to study heterogeneity and biases (no effect sizes computed), studies reported weak to moderate effects found on alcohol use; authors summarize that the most promising approaches are CBT, family therapy, and community reinforcement. [Miscellaneous outcome measures]			
Funding	Alcohol Education and Rehabilitation Foundation			
Comments				

Title	Brief strategic family therapy versus community control: engagement, retention, and an exploration of the moderating role of adolescent symptom severity					
First Author	Coatsworth, J. D., 2001	Coatsworth, J. D., 2001 Source 11676271				
Level of evidence	2b	Study type	Randomized-controlled; ITT-analyses			
Study quality	Not focused on substance abuse not related to substance use; no		on very unspecific, outcome variables are			
Participants	104 families; n=71 adolescents in	n BSFT, n=31 in o	control condition (CC)			
Patient characteristics	Mean age=13.1 (75% male). 104 African-American and Hispanic families with adolescents revealing externalizing problems or internalizing problems or academic problems or initiation of AOD. Screening via Revised Behavior Problem Checklist (RBPC).					
Intervention	Brief Strategic Family Therapy (B	BFST), unspecific	controls			
Comparison	Combined individual and family-based intervention delivered by a community agency [CC or TAU]					
Length of follow-up	No follow-up					
Outcome and effect size	Retention and engagement rates: Chi2 analysis of engagement rates revealed that BSFT was significantly more successful in engaging cases (43/53; 81%) than CC (31/51; 61%): $\chi^2(1; N=104)=5.2$, $p<0.05$. χ^2 -analysis of retention rates in treatment revealed that, among those engaged, a higher percentage of BSFT cases (31/43; 72%) were retained when compared to CC (13/31: 42%): $\chi^2(1; N=74)=6.8$, $p<0.01$. In post-hoc analyses of clinical scales, effect-sizes g and proportions of Reliable Change Improvement were in favour of retained BSFT adolescents [retained CC adolescents]: for Conduct Disorder g=1.02/52% [g=.34/23%] and for Anxiety/Withdrawal g=.56/23% [g=.43/23%]. [Self-reports]					
Funding						
Comments						

Title	Assessing the effectiveness of community-based substance abuse treatment for adolescents			
First Author	Dasinger, L. K., 2004 Source 15152707			
Level of evidence	1a Study type Systematic Review (multi-site, multi-program, TAU)			
Study quality	High heterogeneity in included studies, review is well documented and transparent.			
Participants	A total of N=1.057, with n=238 in Long-Term Residential treatment (LTR), n=513 in Short-Term Residential treatment (STR); n=306 in Outpatient or Intensive Outpatient treatment (OP/IOP).			
Patient characteristics	Screenings via DSM-IV-r	elated GAIN i	nterviews; ages and proportion of males not reported	

Intervention	LTR, SRT, OP/IOP are TAU but varying interventions		
Comparison	3 types of program lengths in differing modalities are compared		
Length of follow-up	12-month follow-up		
Outcome and effect size	Primary outcome was AOD use L3M after intake, secondary outcome was relapse, with		
	'relapse' defined as increase in AOD use within L3M to L12M after intake. Significant		
	reduction rates (p<0.002) in AOD use were found in 7 out of 10 sites, 85% for LTR, 70.9%		
	for STR, 30.0% for OP/IOP. No significant relapse rates were found for one LTR-site (4.2%)		
	and for OP/IOP-sites combined (11.0%). In pairwise t-test comparisons, results for L3M		
	AOD use were in favour [> better than] of LTR > STR > OP/IOP (p<.001), results for L12M		
	AOD use were in favour of LTR > OP/IOP > STR (p<.002). [Self-reports]		
Funding			
Comments			

Title	A critical review of adolescent substance abuse group treatments.				
First Author	Engle, B., 2009	Source	20183675		
Level of evidence	1a	Study type	Systematic Review		
Study quality	In included studies, information poor from a group research view				
Participants	13 studies including a total N=1.! included studies	571, with n of patients varying	from 13 to 300 across		
Patient characteristics	Inclusion criteria for patients: aged 11-20 years with AOD use disorders (at least abuse), included studies were RCT of group interventions addressing AOD and reporting AOD outcome.				
Intervention	Group interventions such as Motivational Enhancement Therapy (MET), Cognitive				
	Behavioral Therapy (CBT), Adolescent Group Therapy (AGT), Psychoeducational Group				
	(PET), Minnesota 12-Steps, and other group-based treatments				
Comparison	Comparison to wait-list groups o	r alternative treatment (group	o or individual treatment).		
Length of follow-up	No FU was in 23% of studies, 6-month FU was in 23%, 7-9 month FU in 23%, 12-month FU				
	in 23%, and 15-month FU in 8% of studies.				
Outcome and effect size	2 out of 13 interventions were "possibly efficacious" in reducing AOD use (frequency of				
	use, reduction amount): Psycho-education Group at 7-month FU and Adolescent Group				
	Therapy AGT at 12-month FU, revealing a "sleeper effect" in efficacy. [Further statistical				
	specifications not given in the review] [Self-reports]				
Funding					
Comments					

Title	Enhancing family therapy: The addition of a community resource specialist.		
First Author	Fishman, H.C., 2001	Source	11215980
Level of evidence	4	Study type	RCT
Study quality	No FU, outcome variable and patients' characteristics not clearly defined (no explicit diagnoses given)		
Participants	131; n=74 in IG, n=57 in controls	;	
Patient characteristics	High school students with problem behavior from districts of socioeconomic needs, referred to a community agency; 10% AOD use problems; mean age 15.7 years, 50% male, 59% Afro-American		
Intervention	IG: family therapy + community resource specialist (FT+CRS)		
Comparison	FT+CRS vs. FT only		
Length of follow-up	No follow-up		
Outcome and effect size	66% of FT+CRS [28% in FT only] showed improvements in their respective problem behaviours with $\chi^2(3; N=131)=20.75$, p<0.001. AOD use was not specified. Outcome was rated by therapists in an 'improved – no change – worsened' graduation. [Expert ratings based on self-reports confirmed by collateral reports]		
Funding			

	1
Camananaha	1
Comments	1

Title	Psychotherapies for adolescent substance abusers: A pilot study			
First Author	Kaminer, Y., 1998	Source	9824170	
Level of evidence	1b	Study type	RCT	
Study quality	Small sample size, otherwise we	II-reported study, alcohol use	not specified	
Participants	N=32			
Patient characteristics	15.4-16.3 years old, 60-63% male, diagnosed with a "psychoactive substance use disorder" (DSM-III-R) plus a comorbid psychiatric disorder (DISC-C interview)			
Intervention	Cognitive Behavioral Therapy (CBT) and Interactional Treatment (IT), both manualized; urinalyses			
Comparison	CBT vs. IT; 12 weeks treatment both conditions			
Length of follow-up	3-month FU			
Outcome and effect size	Primary outcomes were subjects' reports of days and amount of substance use L7D, severity of substance use disorders and related problems as measured by the T-ASI. In ANOVAs, decrease in substance use was in favour of CBT [F(1, 11)=5.39, p=.040]. So was decrease in family problems [F(1, 15)=3.87, p=0.068]. [Self-reports confirmed by urinalyses]			
Funding				
Comments				

Title	Cognitive behavioral coping substance abuse	skills and psychoeduc	ation therap	pies for adolescent
First Author	Kaminer, Y., 2002	Source		12436013
Level of evidence	2b	Study type		RCT
Study quality	Well reported study			
Participants	N=88			
Patient characteristics		15.4 years old, 70% male, diagnosed with an AUD alone (13%) or AUD+SUD (60%); most carried a comorbid psychiatric disorder (DISC-IV referring to DSM-IV interview)		
Intervention	Cognitive Behavioral Therapy (CBT) and Psychoeducational Therapy (PET); both manualized; urinalyses			
Comparison	CBT vs. PET			
Length of follow-up	3- and 9-month FU			
Outcome and effect size	The T-ASI was used as primary outcome measurement. ANOVAs revealed: At 3 month FU, PET showed more improvement on T-ASI alcohol use severity than CBT (F(1, 62)=3.17, p=0.08), and CBT showed more improvement on T-ASI substance use severity (F(1, 62)=3.53, p=0.065). [Self-reports confirmed by urinalyses]			
Funding		·		
Comments				

Title	Efficacy of Outpatient Aftercare for Adolescents With Alcohol Use Disorders: A Randomized Controlled Study		
First Author	Kaminer, Y., 2008	Source	18978635
Level of evidence	1b	Study type	RCT
Study quality	Length of aftercare interventions not reported; otherwise well documented study; urinalyses only in patients with substance use, not with alcohol use		
Participants	Total N=144. Active aftercare: in-person, brief telephone. No-active aftercare (controls)		
Patient characteristics	Adolescents aged 13-18 (mean age 15.9 years, 66% male), 79% diagnosed with SUD according to DSM-IV (DISC-IV interview)		
Intervention	All received CBT, only completers remained in the study; 2 intervention groups: in person aftercare or brief telephone aftercare; controls: no active aftercare		
Comparison	Active aftercare (in-person or brief telephone) vs. no-active aftercare		
Length of follow-up	3 and 6-month FU		

Outcome and effect size	Youths in active aftercare reported less number of 'any drinking days' per month (p=0.044)
	and of 'heavy drinking days' per month (p=0.035). According to GEE-analyses, alcohol
	abstinence rates decreased more in non-active aftercare (36.6%, i.e. from 63.4% at end of
	therapy to 26.8% at end of aftercare; Wald X2(1)=11.78, p<0.001) than in active aftercare
	(20.8%, i.e. from 58.3% at end of therapy to 37.5% at end of aftercare; Wald $X^2(1)=5.64$,
	p<0.018). [Self-reports confirmed by urinalyses but for drug use only]
Funding	
Comments	

Title	Integrated Family and Cognitive Behavioral Therapy for adolescent substance abusers: A stage I efficacy study				
First Author	Latimer, W. W., 2003	Source	12957348		
Level of evidence	1b	Study type	RCT		
Study quality	Urinalyses, assessments by clinic	al team, sessions videotaped	and supervised for quality		
	assessment, small sample size				
Participants	Total N=43; IG n=21, controls n=	22			
Patient characteristics	Adolescents aged M=16.1, 77% r	Adolescents aged M=16.1, 77% male, each with AOD disorder diagnosis, 81% carried an			
	AUD diagnosis (DICA-IV referring to DSM-IV)				
Intervention	(IG) Integrated Family and Cognitive Behavioral Therapy (IFCBT)=16 weeks with 1 FT				
	session and 2 CBT group sessions per week				
Comparison	Controls: Drugs Harm Psychoedu	ication (DHPE); 16 weekly 90 r	min sessions		
Length of follow-up	FU after 1, 3, and 6 months				
Outcome and effect size	ANCOVAs controlling for age, ge	nder, pretreatment and numb	per of participated sessions		
	were conducted. Throughout the 6-month FU period, mean of L30D alcohol use in DHPE				
	was 6.06 and 2.03 in IFCBT [F(1, 36)=5.53, p<0.024, medium effect size d=0.56]. Mean of				
	L30D marihuana use in DHPE was 13.83 and 5.67 in IFCBT [F(1, 36)=5.79, p<0.021, large				
	effect size d=0.79]. [Expert ratings based on self-reports confirmed by collateral reports				
	and urinalyses]				
Funding	Grant by the National Institute on Drug Abuse				
Comments	.				

Title	Early intervention for adolescent substance abuse: Pretreatment to posttreatment outcomes of a randomized controlled trial comparing multidimensional family therapy and peer group treatment.			
First Author	Liddle, H. A., 2004	Source	15152709	
Level of evidence	1b	Study type	RCT	
Study quality	Self-report on drug use, short fo supervision and quality assessme problems or AUD		-	
Participants	Total N=80; MDFT n=39, peer gr	oup therapy n=41		
Patient characteristics	Mean age=13.7 (73% male), 42% Hispanic, 38% African-American, 11% Haitian/Jamaican referred from juvenile justice, school, mental health facilities; SUD abuse diagnosis 47%, SUD dependence diagnosis 16%, conduct disorder 39% (and other comorbid disorders)			
Intervention	Multidimensional Family Therapy (MDFT); peer group therapy; both treatments 90 min weekly over 12-16 weeks, both manualized			
Comparison	MDFT against peer group therap	y (CBT oriented)		
Length of follow-up	Varying: 6 weeks and at discharge from the institution			
Outcome and effect size	AOD use was measured by TLFB and the 'Parent and Adolescent Interview'. MDFT patients showed "more rapid" decrease in alcohol use than controls (t=2.01, p<0.05). Cannabis use and delinquent behaviour did not decrease significantly in either treatment group. [Expert ratings based on self-reports confirmed by collateral reports and urinalyses]			
Funding				
Comments				

Title	Multidimensional family therapy for adolescent drug abuse: Results of a randomized clinical trial.				
First Author	Liddle, H. A., 2001	Source	11727882		
Level of evidence	1b	Study type	RCT		
Study quality	Urinalyses, assessments by clinic	al team, sessions were superv	rised for quality assessment.		
	Only the reduction of drug use is	reported, not specified in sub	ostances		
Participants	Total N=152; MDFT n=47, MEI n=	=52, AGT n=53			
Patient characteristics	Mean age =15.9 (80% male), 49%	6 African-American, Hispanic,	Asian a.o.		
	diagnosed with an AOD use diso	diagnosed with an AOD use disorder			
Intervention	Multidimensional Family Therapy (MDFT); Adolescent Group Therapy (AGT); Multifamily				
	educational intervention (MEI); each treatment 14-16 weekly sessions over 5-6 months; all				
	treatments manualized				
Comparison	MDFT (IG) against AGT and MEI	(2 controls)			
Length of follow-up	6- and 12-month FU				
Outcome and effect size	ANOVA interactions of Time x Treatment were significant in favour of MDFT for less				
	adolescent drug use with F(6, 240)=2.68, p=0.01 with a small to medium sized effect of				
	η^2 =0.05. So were improvements in family competence with F(6, 117)=3.66, p=0.002 with a				
	medium sized effect of η²=0.16. Alcohol use was not [Expert ratings based on self-reports]				
Funding	Grant by the National Institute on Drug abuse				
Comments					

Title	Brief intervention for harm reduction with alcohol-positive older adolescents in a hospital emergency department.		
First Author	Monti, P. M., 1999	Source	10596521
Level of evidence	1b	Study type	Randomized-controlled; incentives for participants
Study quality	Baseline alcohol use level aggregated	el in the samp	le was rather low; data of 3 and 6-month FU
Participants	Total N=94; MI n=52, SC	=42	
Patient characteristics	Adolescents treated for an alcohol-related emergency at ER: intoxication (45%), motor vehicle accident (27%) a.o.		
Intervention	Motivational Interviewing (MI); Standard Care (TAU) handout of recommendations and list of local treatment agencies		
Comparison	MI against TAU		
Length of follow-up	3 and 6-month FU		
Outcome and effect size	Outcomes were in favour of MI: 32% reduction of alcohol consumption, less DUI. In an ANCOVA, MI patients reported fewer alcohol-related problems in the 6 months FU compared with SC patients [F(1, 78)=4.10, p<0.05, nearly medium sized effect η²=0.05]. Logistic Regressions revealed that MI had an almost 4-fold reduced risk of drinking and driving (OR=3.92) and of alcohol-related injuries (OR=3.94). [FU via telephone interview by a trained staff member who had delivered the intervention in the emergency room]		
Funding			
Comments			

Title	Practitioner Review: Adolesc	ent alcohol use disorders: asses	sment and treatment issues.	
First Author	Perepletchikova, F., 2008	Source	19017028	
Level of evidence	1a	Study type	Systematic Review	
Study quality	Assessed participants did not outcome measures are report	Assessed participants did not have a high severity of alcohol misuse; no effect sizes or		
Participants	Total N=2.491 in 21 studies with study-n varying from 10 to 600; n of medically based interventions varied from 10 to 26			
Patient characteristics	Age 11-18 years in 13 studies (62%) males 34-83%; Age 12-22 years in 8 studies (38%) males 50-81%;			
Intervention	MSFT, MST, individual and group CBT, IFCBT, BSFT, medically based			
Comparison	Not specified in the review (comparisons to wait-list groups or alternative treatment can			

	be concluded from this table because of overlap in included studies, but not for medically based interventions)
Length of follow-up	Variety of FU lengths
Outcome and effect size	Authors reported global 'main findings' in terms of reduction of AOD use without further specifications, no meta-analytic statistical comparison is given. Authors state that "the strongest empirical support" seems to be in favour of MDFT and group administered CBT. [Miscellaneous outcome measures]
Funding	
Comments	

Title	Efficacy of Brief Strategic Family problems and substance use.	/ Therapy in modifying Hispar	ic adolescent behavior	
First Author	Santisteban, D. A., 2003	Source	12666468	
Level of evidence	2b	Study type	RCT	
Study quality	Well documented; sessions were	e videotaped for supervision a	nd quality assessment; no FU	
Participants	Total N=126, data analyses per p	protocol (n=85)		
Patient characteristics	Hispanic adolescents aged M=15.6 years (75% male) with AOD problems and problem behaviour			
Intervention	Brief Strategic Family Therapy (BSFT) M=11.2 weekly sessions; non-manualized psychoeducative group M=8.8 weekly sessions			
Comparison	BSFT against psycho-educative group			
Length of follow-up	No FU in this study report			
Outcome and effect size	No FU was conducted; treatment length/dose was statistically controlled. ANOVA interaction of Time x Treatment was significant in favor of BSFT for less adolescent drug use L30D at termination [F(1, 69)=6.98, p<0.05, medium sized effect of η^2 =0.09]. Less alcohol use was not significant, though there was a small sized effect of η^2 =0.03. Improvements in scales Conduct Disorder and Socialized Aggression were significant at termination in favor of BSFT. [Parent-reports on problem behavior, ASI self-reports on AOD use confirmed by urinalyses]			
Funding				
Comments				

Title	Individual and family motivational interventions for alcohol-positive adolescents treated in an emergency department.			
First Author	Spirito, A., 2011	Source	21383276	
Level of evidence	1b	Study type	Randomized-controlled; incentives for	
			participating adolescents and parents	
Study quality	IMI+FCU videotaped and treatment	adherence rat	ed. Low N at intake, high attrition (25-	
	28%) leading to low statistical powe	r at FU		
Participants	Total N=125 at intake, IMI n=63 and	IMI+FCU n=62	2	
Patient characteristics	Adolescents aged M=15.4 years (45-	-48% male), 29	9-39% African-American, Hispanic a.o.	
	with a positive blood alcohol concentration			
Intervention	IG: IMI plus family motivational interview/family check-up (IMI+FCU) additional 60 min.			
	Controls: Brief individual motivational interview 45 min (IMI) only.			
	Both: 5 booster sessions for parents; 3-months FU interviews via telephone, 6- and 12-			
	months in person.			
Comparison	IMI+FCU against IMI only			
Length of follow-up	3-, 6-, 12-month FU			
Outcome and effect size	Chi2 and GEE analyses were conducted on primary outcome measures (frequency,			
	quantity, high-volume drinking). IMI+FCU improved outcome only on high-volume drinking			
	days at 3-month FU (14.6% vs. 32.1%;			
	conditions resulted in a reduction in all drinking outcomes at all FU points (p<0.001 each),			
	with the strongest effects at 3 and 6-month FU. [ADQ self-reports on AOD use; parent-			
	reports in FU]			
Funding				

I I	
Comments	
Comments	

Title	Conjoint versus one-person family therapy: Some evidence for the effectiveness of conducting family therapy through one person		
First Author	Szapocznik, J., 1983	Source	6655103
Level of evidence	2b	Study type	Randomized-controlled. No FU.
Study quality	Study dates from 1983; not focu	sed on AOD, small	sample size
Participants	Total N=37, CFT n=18, OPFT n=19	9	
Patient characteristics	Adolescents with behaviour problems and "problematic substance use"; mean age 17.9 years, 78% male		
Intervention	Conjoint Family Therapy (CFT), One-Person Family Therapy (OPFT); a maximum of 12 sessions in both conditions		
Comparison	OPFT against CFT		
Length of follow-up	Length of follow-up not reported		
Outcome and effect size	Patients in the OPFT condition continued to improve slightly in the Drug Abuse scale, whereas patients in the CFT condition were somewhat worse at follow-up $[F(2, 36)=3.2, p<0.05]$. [PSS self-reports]		
Funding			
Comments			

Title	Motivational enhancement and other brief interventions for adolescent substance abuse: foundations, applications, and evaluations.			
First Author	Tevyaw, T. O, 2004	Source	15488106	
Level of evidence	1a	Study type	Systematic Review (narrative)	
Study quality	Aims at methodological and tran priority	Aims at methodological and translational research issues, treatment results are of lower priority		
Participants	n/a			
Patient characteristics	Adolescents with AOD use			
Intervention	Brief interventions, including Motivational interviewing (MI)			
Comparison	Motivational Interviewing was effective in reducing substance abuse, especially in patients			
	with heavy drinking patterns and low change motivation.			
Length of follow-up	FU varying from 3 to 12 months across studies; one 48-month FU			
Outcome and effect size	Discusses research issues of MI in adolescent AOD use such as treatment engagement. No citable data reported.			
Funding				
Comments				

Title	Interventions for reducing adolescent alcohol abuse a meta-analytic review.		
First Author	Tripodi, S. J., 2010	Source	20048247
Level of evidence	1a	Study type	Meta-analysis
Study quality	Included studies did not specifically focus on AOD use, and in two of the trials, only approximately 50% of the sample met criteria for alcohol dependence or harmful alcohol use.		
Participants	Not explicitly given, ca. N>1.000, varying from 50 days to 12 months		
Patient characteristics	Studies from 1994-2008 included; patients were younger than 19 years, regardless of AOD use severity		
Intervention	Brief interventions, several formats of family-based interventions, several format s of aftercare were compared		
Comparison	Overall effects, family only effects, individual intervention only effects, outcomes for less and more than 6-month FU		
Length of follow-up	30% <6 months, 40% 6 months, 30% 9-12 months or longer.		
Outcome and effect size	Outcome measures were abstinence, frequency of alcohol use, and quantity of alcohol use.		

Interventions significantly reduce AOD use (Hedges g=-0.61). Stratified anal larger effects for individual treatment (Hedges g=-0.75) compared with fam treatment (Hedges g=-0.46). [Miscellaneous outcome measures, interrater	
	0.76 < 2 < 0.78, fail-safe n=1.058 null studies]
Funding	Partly by a grant from the Donald D. Hammill Foundation
Comments	

Title	The effectiveness of brief interventions in the clinical setting in reducing alcohol misuse and binge drinking in adolescents: a critical review of the literature.		
First Author	Wachtel, T., 2010	Source	20500302
Level of evidence	1a	Study type	Systematic Review
Study quality	Selective study, as one of selecti intervention s in the clinical sett included		-
Participants	14 studies included those publis	hed the past 10 years	
Patient characteristics	Inclusion criteria: RCT, BI specific	to alcohol, participants aged	12-25 years
Intervention	Brief intervention strategies specific to alcohol, or alcohol-risk reduction: Twelve studies used a Motivational Intervention (MI) style of intervention, seven of which reported reduced alcohol frequency and amount.		
Comparison	All studies were controlled; 8 out of 14 were no-intervention controls, one study used two control groups.		
Length of follow-up	Short-term FU (up to six months), medium-term FU (6-12 months), long-term FU (longer than 12 months)		
Outcome and effect size	MI was partially successful mostly in harm minimization. Long-term FU reported significant reductions in alcohol intake and harmful effects. Successful intervention elements are: face-to-face, one-session, brief motivational interviewing, focusing on harm minimization. Two studies specifically found a reduction in binge drinking episodes, and seven reported a decrease in harmful alcohol effects. No meta-analytic statistical comparison is given. [Miscellaneous outcome measures]		
Funding			
Comments			

Title	On the learning curve: Cognitive	e behavioral therapies for add	plescent substance abuse.	
First Author	Waldron, H. B., 2004	Source	15488108	
Level of evidence	1a	Study type	Systematic review (synopsis)	
Study quality	To some degree well documente	ed study; arguing in favour of 0	CBT as a promising	
	intervention for SUD in youths			
Participants	Varying from 66 to 224 over RCT	s and feasibility studies, n=60	0 in a multi-site study	
Patient characteristics	Adolescents with substance abuse in outpatient care			
Intervention	Variety of CBT-formats: individual, group, family-based, brief 6-session vs. full-term 12-			
	session, and combinations			
Comparison	Family therapy such as MDFT, psycho-educative treatment, other CBT-formats			
Length of follow-up	6-19 months			
Outcome and effect size	Outcomes vary, no specification as to alcohol is given. Summarizing a variety of findings,			
	authors feel that outpatient CBT treatment can be effective in reducing adolescent			
	substance use and related problems. The outcomes appear better than results of US-wide			
	evaluation in standard treatment/TAU. [Miscellaneous outcome measures]			
Funding				
Comments				

Title	Treatment outcomes for adolescent substance abuse at 4- and 7-month assessments.		nd 7-month assessments.
First Author	Waldron, H. B., 2001	Source	11680557

Level of evidence	2b	Study type	RCT	
Study quality	Unequal number of treatment sessions across conditions; focused on marihuana use.			
Participants	Total N=120; about n=30 in 4 co	mpared conditions		
Patient characteristics	Mean age 15.4-15.8 years (male	71%); mixed ethnicities; illicit	substance-abusing	
	adolescents (mainly marihuana)	; most were mandated to trea	tment	
Intervention	4 conditions: CBT, Functional Fa	mily Therapy FFT, a combinati	on of CBT and family therapy,	
	unspecific group therapy			
Comparison	CBT and CBT + family therapy we	ere more effective than the ot	her 2 interventions	
Length of follow-up	7 months	7 months		
Outcome and effect size	Outcome reports did not differentiate between alcohol use and other substance use. In 4-months FU, FFT F(1, 28)=20.42, p<0.001, η^2 =0.42] and CBT+FT [F(1, 26)=7.71, p<0.01, η^2 =0.30] were more effective than the other 2 interventions, as L90D substance use as measured by TLFB increased significantly only in these conditions. In 7-months FU, only youths in the unspecific group condition were the most effective [F(1, 28)=7.72, p<0.01, η^2 =0.22]. [Expert ratings based on self-reports confirmed by collateral reports and urinalyses]			
Funding	Grant by the National Institute o	on Drug abuse		
Comments				

Title	Effects of a brief intervention for reducing violence and alcohol misuse among adolescents.			
First Author	Walton, M. A., 2010 Source 20682932			
Level of evidence	1b	Study type	Randomized-controlled; incentives for participants	
Study quality	High attrition, only self-	reports		
Participants	Total N=726; IG1=237; I	G2=254; control	s=235	
Patient characteristics	Emergency department	(ED) patients ag	ed 14 to 18 years (44% male, 56% African	
	American) reporting pas	t-year alcohol u	se and aggression	
Intervention	IG2: 35 min SafERteens	(motivational in	terviewing with skills training & brief intervention	
	for violence and alcohol) delivered in th	e ED by therapist; IG1:	
	SafERteens delivered by	SafERteens delivered by tablet laptop computers; controls: handout of brochure (TAU)		
Comparison	2 SafERteens intervention formats against TAU			
Length of follow-up	3- and 6-month FU after intervention in ED			
Outcome and effect size	Chi ² and GEE analyses were conducted on primary outcome measures (alcohol			
	consumption via AUDIT-C, Alcohol consequences via POSIT, aggression/aggression			
	consequences by self-co	onstructed scale	s). In 6-month FU, both therapist (OR=1.75) and	
	computer-based (OR=1.	69) brief interve	entions were effective at reducing alcohol	
	consequences [Wald X ² ((2)=6.82, p<0.03]. None of the GEE models were significant for the	
	alcohol frequency or agg	alcohol frequency or aggression-related variables. [Self-reports]		
Funding	NIAAA			
Comments				

3.7.2 Schwangere/ Erwachsene Frauen

Title	Home visits during pregnancy and after birth for women with an alcohol or drug problem.		
First Author	Doggett, C., 2005	Source	16235364
Level of evidence	1a	Study type	Systematic Review (Meta-analysis)
Study quality	Well documented		
Participants	Objectives: To determine the effects of home visits during pregnancy and/or after birth for women with a drug or alcohol problem. Data search up to 2004.		
Patient characteristics	Studies using random or quasi-random allocation of pregnant or postpartum women with a drug or alcohol problem to home visits. Trials enrolling high-risk women of whom more than 50% were reported to use drugs or alcohol were also eligible.		
Intervention	Home visits after birth		
Comparison	No home visits		
Length of follow-up	1966-2004		

Outcome and effect size	Meta-analysis in terms of risk ratios. Six studies (709 women) compared home visits after	
	birth with no home visits. None provided a significant antenatal component of home visits.	
Funding		
Comments		

Title	Learning sobriety together. A ratherapy with alcoholic female p		rial examining behavioral couples
First Author	Fals-Stewart, W., 2006	Source	16822114
Level of evidence	2b	Study type	Randomized controlled, ITT-analysis
Study quality	Well reported study		
Participants	N=138 couples. Women: DSM-IV substance use symptoms.	/ criteria for alcohol	abuse of dependence. Men: No DSM-
Patient characteristics	Women: 20-60 years (mean: 33 years). Partner mean age: 35 years. Married or cohabiting women with alcohol use disorders (91% alcohol dependence) and their intimate partners without SUD. Women agreed to abstinence while in treatment. Self-help meetings.		
Intervention	N=46 couples in Behavior Couples Therapy (BCT), manualized: 32 sessions, 12 sessions with couples, 20 sessions with women only – and individual Drug Counseling (IDC).		
Comparison	Comparison group one: N=46 couples in Individual Based Treatment (IBT), manualized: 32 sessions with women only – Individual Drug Counseling (IDC) program, a 12-step facilitation treatment program. Comparison group two: N=46 couples in Psychoeducational/ Attention Control Treatment (PACT), manualized: 32 sessions, 12 sessions with couples in lectures, 20 sessions with women only IDC individualized program.		
Length of follow-up	End of treatment and 1 year after treatment. Attrition rates BCT: 12, IBT: 12; PACT: 11; percentage of abstinent days (PAD) measured 4 times within 12 months.		
Outcome and effect size	During treatment, no significant differences regarding drinking frequency (e.g. PAD) among participants in different conditions. During the 1 year post treatment follow-up, participants in BCT increased their drinking at a significantly slower rate.		
Funding	NIAAA and NIDA		
Comments			

Title	Interventions delivered during antenatal care to reduce alcohol consumption during pregnancy: A systematic review		
First Author	Gilinsky, A., 2011	Source	
Level of evidence	1b	Study type	Systematic Review (narrative)
Study quality	High heterogeneity in included s documented and transparent	tudies, no effect sizes	computed, review is well
Participants	The aim of this systematic review was to consider additional evidence by including RCTs and non-RCTs to determine whether pregnant women reduced alcohol consumption during pregnancy following interventions delivered during antenatal care.		
Patient characteristics	33 papers in review, 8 papers in	cluded in final review	(6 RCTs, 2 non-RCTs).
Intervention	Psychosocial interventions to reduce alcohol consumption or to establish abstinence. Interventions included brief interventions, MI, a self-help manual, supportive counselling, high feedback ultrasound and basic educational interventions		
Comparison	TAU, information letter		
Length of follow-up	During pregnancy and after delivery. Measurement of alcohol consumption via questionnaire and/or TLFB.		
Outcome and effect size	Narrative review. There was some evidence from a small number of studies that single session face-to-face brief interventions resulted in positive effects on the maintenance of alcohol abstinence during pregnancy.		
Funding	NHS Education for Scotland and NHS Tayside		
Comments			

Title	Early Treatment for women with alcohol addiction (EWA) reduces mortality: a randomized controlled trial with long-term register follow-up		
First Author	Gjestad, R., 2011	Source	21273301
Level of evidence	1b	Study type	Long-term follow-up study (Dahlgren et al., 1989), a randomized controlled study.
Study quality	Well-reported study		
Participants	N=200 women, in early phases o Randomization by dates of birth	•	pendence were admitted to study in 1989. rth=women only group).
Patient characteristics	Long-term register follow-up of study subjects from Dahlgren et al., 1989 (EWA). Comparison of mortality rates in intervention and control group.		
Intervention	100 women in EWA, a women-only ward. Treatment duration: at least 1 year. Description of interventions not clear.		
Comparison	100 women in TAU, regular ward together with alcoholic men. Treatment duration: 5 months on average.		
Length of follow-up	In original study: 2 years follow-up. Attrition rate during follow-up: EWA N=25, TAU N=32. In re-analysis: overall observation time 27 years.		
Outcome and effect size	Hazard ratio over time based on Cox regression with time – dependent covariates. Statistical data: mortality status of group members in relation to age at intake, years since intake, survival plots. Results: Significantly lower mortality was found among younger women who participated in EWA compared with those in TAU.		
Funding	Norwegian Research Foundation, Swedish Research Council and Alcohol Research Council of the Swedish Retail Monopoly		
Comments			

Title	Engagement and retention in outpatient alcoholism treatment for women.				
First Author	Graff, F. S., 2009	aff, F. S., 2009 Source 19444731			
Level of evidence	2b	Study type	Drop-out analysis to a randomized		
			controlled study (McCrady et al., 2009)		
Study quality	Focus on retention in study, well	reported stud	ly		
Participants	N=102 women and their male pa	artners in a sta	ble heterosexual relationship		
Patient characteristics	Women: current alcohol abuse of	r dependence	diagnosis (DSM-IV, SCID), with a partner		
	who was willing to participate in	study and had	no mental disorders. No signs of domestic		
	violence in partnership.				
Intervention	50 couples in Alcohol Behavioral	Couples Treat	ment (ABCT): 20 sessions over a 26-week		
	treatment period for both partne	ers, 90 min pe	r session. Manualized treatment including		
	homework as part of treatment protocol addressing women as well as their partners.				
Comparison	52 couples in Alcohol Behavioral Individual Treatment (ABIT): 20 sessions over a 26-week				
	-		session. Manualized treatment including		
	homework as part of treatment	protocol addre	essing women as well as their partners.		
Length of follow-up	N=102. Measurement of treatme	ent retention v	while in treatment: total no of sessions		
	attended within 6 months. Treatment engagement was measured via completion of				
	homework.				
Outcome and effect size	ANOVA, multiple regressions. Women in ABCT attended significantly lesser sessions than				
			tion: women's age, total number of current		
	alcohol dependence symptoms, Dyadic Adjustment Scale (DAS) scores, spouse drinking				
	status. Predictors of treatment retention: relationship satisfaction, spouse drinking and				
	matching of treatment preference with current treatment arrangement.				
Funding	NIAAA				
Comments					

Title	The Women's Recovery Group Study: A stage I trial of women-focused group therapy for substance use disorders versus mixed-gender group drug counseling.		
First Author	Greenfield, S. F., 2007	Source	17446014

Level of evidence	2c	Study	Randomized controlled study with partial	
		type	randomization, stage 1 Behavioral Development Trial	
Study quality	Very small sample size, da	ita analy:	sis includes pre-pilot and pilot study subjects. N in	
	control group very small.			
Participants	N=13 in pre-pilot Women'	's Recove	ery Group (WRG) in pilot phase, 23 randomized in WRG	
	(N=16) and Group Drug Co	ounseling	g (GDC) (N=7).	
Patient characteristics	In pre-pilot N=18, eligible	13 and e	nrolled in WRG, in pilot phase N=42 and 31 eligible, 8	
	dropped out before rando	mizatior	n, in study N=23. Age of women in the groups differed	
	significantly. No other sign	nificant d	lifferences between groups.	
Intervention	N=29 (13 pre-pilot + 16 pi	lot) WRG	intervention, 12 sessions (one per week), 90 min per	
	session, manualized (relap	ose preve	ention group therapy that utilizes a cognitive behavioural	
	approach), mean age of 29	9 womer	n in WRG: 45.0 years.	
Comparison	N=7 women (and 10 men) GDC control, 12 sessions (one per week), 90 min per session,			
	type of community substance abuse treatment program. Mean age of women only: 58.3			
	years.			
Length of follow-up	At end of trial, 6 month post treatment			
Outcome and effect size	No difference at the end of trials between pre-pilot, pilot and control groups. During 6-			
	month post treatment follow-up WRG members demonstrated a pattern of continued			
	reductions in substance u	se while	GDC women did not. In addition, pilot WRG women with	
		•	tly greater reductions in average drinks/drinking day	
		-	reatment (p<0.03, effect size=0.81). While satisfaction	
	with both groups was high, women were significantly more satisfied with WRG than GDC			
	(p<0.009, effect size=1.11).			
Funding	NIDA			
Comments				

Title	Multi-site randomized trial of behavioral interventions for women with co-occurring PTSD and substance use disorder.					
First Author	Hien, D. A., 2009 Source 19634955					
Level of evidence	1b	Study type	Randomized controlled			
Study quality	well reported study, ITT					
Participants	N=353 women. Recruitment so programs across the USA.	ettings: Women in 7 o	utpatient community-based treatment			
Patient characteristics	1.212 women, 370 completed baseline, 353 in study and randomized to SS or WHE. Group characteristics: 18-65 years, mean age 39 years. Inclusion criteria: 1. At least one traumatic event in lifetime (DSM-IV-R) for full or subthreshold PTSD. 2. Use of alcohol or illicit substances within past six months and a current diagnosis of drug or alcohol abuse or dependence. 3. Capable of giving informed consent. Exclusion criteria defined					
Intervention	N=176 Seeking Safety (SS) group and TAU. SS program: 12 sessions, 75-90 min over 6 weeks. And TAU sessions (participating in meetings of Anonymous Alcoholics). Mean treatment attendance 6.2±4.5.					
Comparison	N=177 Women's Health Education (WHE) group and TAU. WHE program: 12 sessions, 75-90 min over 6 weeks. And TAU sessions (participating in meetings of Anonymous Alcoholics). Mean treatment attendance 6.0±4.3.					
Length of follow-up	1 week post treatment and follow-up 3, 6, and 12 months.					
Outcome and effect size	Generalized linear model, GEE methodology. Results: Large clinically significant reductions in CAPS and PSS-SR symptoms (d=1.94 and d=1.12) but no difference between conditions. Substance use outcomes (self-reported abstinence/ days per week of any substance use) were not significantly different over time between the two treatments and at follow-up showed no significant change from baseline, when 46% of participants were abstinent. Study results do not favour SS over WHE as an adjunct to SUD treatment for women with PTSD.					
Funding	NIDA					
Comments						

Title	The role of alcohol misuse on PTSD outcomes for women in community treatment: A secondary analysis of NIDA's Women and Trauma study.			
First Author	Hien, D. A., 2010a Source 20537811			
Level of evidence	1b	Study type	Reanalysis of a randomized controlled study of Hien et al., 2009	
Study quality	Focus on alcohol misusers and n	on-misusers, v	well reported study.	
Participants		ubstance abus	(SS) or Women's Health Education (WHE) e treatment. Definition of alcohol misuse: ation in prior 30 days.	
Patient characteristics	Comparison of women at baseline: alcohol misusers (N=111) vs. non-misusers (N=242). The groups differ significantly from each other on age and education and on PSS-SR total, and cluster C and D.			
Intervention	N=176 Seeking safety (SS) group and TAU. SS program: 12 sessions, 75-90 min over 6 weeks. And TAU sessions (participating in meetings of Anonymous Alcoholics).			
Comparison	N=177 Women's Health Education (WHE) group and TAU. WHE program: 12 sessions, 75-90 min over 6 weeks. And TAU sessions (participating in meetings of Anonymous Alcoholics).			
Length of follow-up	1 week post treatment and follo	w-ups at 3, 6,	and 12 months.	
Outcome and effect size	Generalized estimating equations were used to examine the effect of baseline alcohol misuse on PTSD outcome measures over time for all randomized participants. For women with alcohol misuse, after treatment week one, PSS-SR scores were significantly lower in the SS intervention during treatment (χ 2(1)=4.00, p<0.05) and follow-up (χ 2(1)=4.87, p<0.05) compared to those in the WHE intervention group. Alcohol misusers in SS who had higher baseline hyperarousal severity improved more quickly than those with lower baseline hyperarousal severity during treatment (χ 2(1)=4.06, p<0.05).			
Funding	NIDA			
Comments				

Title	Do treatment improvements in PTSD severity affect substance use outcomes? A secondary analysis from a randomized clinical trial in NIDA's Clinical Trial Network.			
First Author	Hien, D. A., 2010b Source 19917596			
Level of evidence	1b	Study type	Reanalysis of a randomized controlled study of Hien et al., 2009.	
Study quality	Focus on temporal course of impstudy.	provement in F	PTSD and SUD symptoms, well reported	
Participants	N=353 women, randomized to S group treatment in outpatient so	,	(SS) or Women's Health Education (WHE) e treatment.	
Patient characteristics	To investigate temporal association between improvement in PTSD and substance use severity during the study's treatment phase, four responder categories were defined: non-responder, substance use responder, PTSD responder and global responder.			
Intervention	N=176 SS group and TAU. SS program: 12 sessions, 75-90 min over 6 weeks. And TAU sessions (participating in meetings of Anonymous Alcoholics).			
Comparison	N=177 WHE group and TAU. WHE program: 12 sessions, 75-90 min over 6 weeks. And TAU sessions (participating in meetings of Anonymous Alcoholics).			
Length of follow-up	1 week post treatment and follo	w-up at 3, 6, a	nd 12 months.	
Outcome and effect size	A generalized linear model was applied to test the relationship over follow-up. Results: Non-responders, substance use responders and global responders tended to maintain original classification; PTSD responders were significantly more likely to transition to global responders over time, indicating maintained PTSD improvement was associated with subsequent substance use improvement. Trauma-focused treatment was significantly more effective in achieving substance use improvement compared to the WHE group, but only among those who were heavy substance users at baseline and had achieved significant PTSD reductions.			
Funding	NIDA			
Comments				

Title	Attendance and substance use ou is more.	itcomes for th	ne Seeking Safety program: Sometimes less
First Author	Hien, D. A., 2012	Source	22182262
Level of evidence	1b	Study type	Reanalysis of a randomized controlled study of Hien et al., 2009.
Study quality	Focus on treatment attendance ar study.	nd membershi	p turnover in rolling groups. Well reported
Participants	N=353 women, randomized to See group treatment in outpatient sub	• , ,	S) or Women's Health Education (WHE) treatment.
Patient characteristics	· ·	nvolve titratio	ment attendance patterns in this sample? n of treatment? 3. Are there different
Intervention	N=176 SS group and TAU. SS progressions (participating in meetings		ns, 75-90 min over 6 weeks. And TAU us Alcoholics).
Comparison	N=177 WHE groups and TAU. WHE program: 12 sessions, 75-90 min over 6 weeks. And TAU sessions (participating in meetings of Anonymous Alcoholics).		
Length of follow-up	1 week post treatment and follow	-ups at 3, 6, a	nd 12 months.
Outcome and effect size	test for treatment effects. The optilinear probit LCPMM's was 3: complete c	timal number pleters (probace rate: 41 and geompleters treatment, and der both tread alcohol use froatment conditioner rates of WHE (b=-0.203) as attended n	to estimate attendance patterns and to of classes according to a series of two-piece ability of attendance rate: 80% and more), do lower) and titrators (probability of there were significant decreases of alcohol and a non-significant increase between 1-timent conditions. Among droppers, there can baseline to 1-week post and from there clions. Among titrators, results were rather alcohol use from 1-week through 12-3 [0.085], t=-2.389, p=0.017). Results hight not be as useful as the quality of the
Funding	NIDA		
Comments			

Title	When should clinicians switch treatments? An application of signal detection theory to two treatments for women with alcohol use disorders.			
First Author	Hildebrandt, T., 2010	Source	20359693	
Level of evidence	2b	Study type	Analysis of a specific issue of a randomized	
			controlled study of McGrady et al., 2009	
Study quality	Focus on predictive value of wee	kly within-tr	eatment drinking, well documented study	
Participants	N=102 women and their male pa	rtners in a st	table heterosexual relationship	
Patient characteristics		•	ce diagnosis (DSM-IV, SCID), with a partner	
	who was willing to participate in	study and ha	ad no mental disorders. No signs of domestic	
	violence in partnership.			
Intervention	N=50 couples in Alcohol Behavioral Couples Treatment (ABCT): 20 sessions over a 26-week			
	treatment period for both partners, 90 min per session. Manualized treatment including			
	homework as part of treatment	homework as part of treatment protocol addressing women as well as their partners.		
Comparison	N=52 couples in Alcohol Behavio	ral Individua	l Treatment (ABIT): 20 sessions over a 26-	
	week treatment period for women only, 60 min per session. Manualized treatment			
	including homework as part of tr	eatment pro	stocol addressing women as well as their	
	partners.			
Length of follow-up	N=102. To identify the earliest point in treatment where clinicians could identify treatment			
	non-responders in two treatmen	ts: ABCT and	ABIT and evaluate the predictive validity of	
	early response over one-year follow-up			
Outcome and effect size	Receiver operator curve (ROC) a	nalyses indic	ated that failure to achieve or sustain	
	abstinence by the end of treatm	ent and one	year follow-up was predicted with reasonable	

	accuracy (AUC=0.80) by week - 4 percent days abstinent (PDA) in ABIT but not in ABCT. The reasons for different earl response outcomes between ABIT and ABCT are not entirely clear and may be due to additional factors.
Funding	NIAAA
Comments	

Title	Women's programs versus mixed-gender day treatment: Results from a randomized study				
First Author	Kaskutas, L. A., 2005	Source	15598193		
Level of evidence	2c	Study type	RCT		
Study quality	Heterogeneous groups; control	conditions not well defined.			
Participants	N=122 women. Significant differ	ences at baseline between tw	o subgroups (women focused		
	and women only vs. hospital-bas	sed program).			
Patient characteristics	Substance dependent women.				
Intervention	N=31. Community-based women	n's program (women focused a	and women only). Length of		
	program: 6 weeks. Intervention	not manualized, no descriptio	n of topics for group and/or		
	individualized intervention.				
Comparison	N=91 in 3 comparison groups. Group 1, N=22 and group 2, N=27 in mixed-gender				
	community-based programs, gro	oup 3 N=42 in mixed-gender h	ospital-based program.		
	Length of programs: group 1: 6 v	weeks, group 2: 4 weeks, grou	p 3: 3 weeks. Interventions		
	not manualized, no description of	of topics for group and/or indi	vidualized interventions.		
Length of follow-up	Baseline, end of treatment, 6 mg	onths and 12 months post trea	ntment.		
Outcome and effect size	No significant differences between women's program only and two of the three mixed				
	gender programs regarding rate	s of substance use and abstine	ence. Multivariate data		
	analysis showed significant diffe	rences between women's pro	gram only and mixed gender		
	hospital program regarding alco	hol and other drug abstinence	in follow-up (OR=0.17,		
	p=0.021, t=2.06).				
Funding	NIAAA				
Comments					

Title	Adverse events in an integrated trauma-focused intervention for women in community substance abuse treatment.				
First Author	Killeen, T., 2008 Source 18294804				
Level of evidence	1b	Study type	Reanalysis of a randomized controlled study of Hien et al., 2009.		
Study quality	Focus on measurement of adve	erse events, w	ell reported study.		
Participants	N=353 women, randomized to group treatment in outpatient		y (SS) or Women's Health Education (WHE) use treatment.		
Patient characteristics	N=353 women. Adverse events (AEs) measurement with questionnaire (SPSS-SR) at baseline, weekly during treatment and 1 week post treatment. Search for AEs in all study documents including case report form. AEs: increased PTSD symptoms, increased depression symptoms, increased alcohol or illicit substance use.				
Intervention	SS group and TAU. SS program: 12 sessions, 75-90 min over 6 weeks. And TAU sessions (participating in meetings of Anonymous Alcoholics). Mean treatment attendance 6.2±4.5				
Comparison	N=177 WHE groups and TAU. WHE program: 12 sessions, 75-90 min over 6 weeks. And TAU sessions (participating in meetings of Anonymous Alcoholics). Mean treatment attendance 6.0±4.3				
Length of follow-up	1 week post treatment and follow-up 3, 6, and 12 months.				
Outcome and effect size	Results: No demographic differences between groups at baseline. No difference between study groups of study-related AEs during treatment (20% for SS vs. 14% for WHE, p=0.3). 67% of study-related AEs involved worsening PTSD symptoms or depression; only 10% related increased substance use. In general, study participants did not use substances to cope with increases in PTSD or depression symptoms experienced during treatment.				
Funding	NIDA				
Comments					

Title	Psychosocial interventions for women enrolled in alcohol treatment during pregnancy.			
First Author	Lui, S., 2008	Source	18646166	
Level of evidence	1a	Study type	Systematic Review	
Study quality	Well documented			
Participants	Objectives: To evaluate the effectiveness of psychosocial interventions in pregnant women enrolled in alcohol treatment programs for improving birth and neonatal outcomes, maternal abstinence and treatment retention			
Patient characteristics	The search strategy identified 958 citations. 26 for review. Following full text review no articles met the inclusion criteria. Data extraction and assessment of methodological quality were therefore not possible.			
Intervention	Any psychosocial intervention in pregnant women enrolled in alcohol treatment programs for improving birth and neonatal outcomes, maternal abstinence and treatment retention.			
Comparison	Pharmacological interventions or placebo or non-intervention or another psychosocial intervention			
Length of follow-up	Not applicable			
Outcome and effect size	The review question remains unanswered as there were no randomized control trials found relevant to the topic. There is a need for high quality randomized controlled trials to determine the effectiveness of psychosocial interventions in pregnant women enrolled in alcohol treatment programs.			
Funding	18646166			
Comments				

Title	A randomized trial of individual and couple behavioral alcohol treatment for women		
First Author	McCrady, B., 2009	Source	19309184
Level of evidence	2b	Study type	RCT
Study quality	Well reported study		
Participants	Women: current alcohol abuse or dependence diagnosis (DSM-IV, SCID), with a partner who was willing to participate in study and had no mental disorders. No signs of domestic violence in partnership.		
Patient characteristics	Eligible: 351 couples, N=109 couples in randomization SCID-Interviews to diagnose alcohol abuse or dependence. In data analysis: 102 couples – 7 women/ couples dropped out of study before start of treatment and could not be located.		
Intervention	N=56 couples in Alcohol Behavioral Couples Treatment (ABCT): 20 sessions over a 26-week treatment period for both partners, 90 min per session. Manualized treatment including homework as part of treatment protocol addressing women as well as their partners.		
Comparison	N=53 couples in Alcohol Behavioral Individual Treatment (ABIT): 20 sessions over a 26-week treatment period for women only, 60 min per session. Manualized treatment including homework as part of treatment protocol addressing women as well as their partners		
Length of follow-up	At three month intervals from baseline up 18 months after end of intervention.		
Outcome and effect size	In analysis: 102 couples; 7 coupl models. Dependent variables: po of heavy drinking (PDH). During PDH, with significantly greater in for PDH). Differences favouring significant (d=0.31 for PDA; d=0.	ercentage of days abstinent (P treatment women increased t nprovements in ABCT than in A ABCT were maintained during	DA) and percentage of days heir PDA and decreased their ABIT (d=0.59 for PDA; d=0.79
Funding	NIAAA		
Comments			

Title	Psychological and/or educational interventions for reducing alcohol consumption in
	pregnant women and women planning pregnancy.

First Author	Stade, B. C., 2009	Source	19370597
Level of evidence	1a	Study type	Systematic Review (narrative)
Study quality	Well documented		
Participants	Objectives: To determine the effectiveness of psychological and educational interventions to reduce alcohol consumption during pregnancy in pregnant women or women planning pregnancy.		
Patient characteristics	22 studies for possible inclusion.	Four studies included	d, 715 pregnant women
Intervention	Randomized controlled trials examining the effectiveness of psychological and educational interventions for reducing consumption of alcohol among pregnant women, or women planning for pregnancy.		
Comparison	Routine care, which may have included advice on reducing alcohol consumption.		
Length of follow-up	Not specified		
Outcome and effect size	in alcohol consumption among pand the paucity of studies, the n the studies, and the complexity	esult in increased absoregnant women. How umber of total particition of interventions limits ost effective in increases	gests that psychological and tinence from alcohol, and a reduction ever, results were not consistent, pants, the high risk of bias of some of our ability to determine the type of sing abstinence from, or reducing the
Funding			
Comments			

Title	Home visits during pregnancy and after birth for women with an alcohol or drug problem (Review).		
First Author	Turnbull, C., 2012	Source	22258956
Level of evidence	1a	Study type	Systematic Review (Meta-analysis)
Study quality	Well documented		
Participants	Objectives: To determine the effects of home visits during pregnancy and/or after birth for women with a drug or alcohol problem. Data search up to 2011. 53 studies in review. 46 studies excluded. 7 studies accepted, most of them focusing on illicit drug use. Research group of Streissguth concentrated on alcohol consumption/dependence of mothers – 3 studies, N=96, partial randomization		
Patient characteristics	Home visits after birth		
Intervention	No home-visits		
Comparison	2005-2011		
Length of follow-up	Main results: Three studies compared home visits mostly after birth with no home visits in homes of women with alcohol and drug problems. Visitors included various professions and lay persons. There was no significant difference in continued alcohol use (three studies, 379 women; RR=1.18, 95% CI [0.96 1.46].		
Outcome and effect size			
Funding			
Comments			

Title	A randomized phase I trial of a brief computer-delivered intervention for alcohol use during pregnancy.				
First Author	Tzilos,G. K., 2011	Source	21823917		
Level of evidence	1b	Study type Randomized controlled pilot study (phase 1			
Study quality	Not focused on alcohol dependency but on alcohol consumption during pregnancy, otherwise well documented				
Participants	N=50 pregnant women attending an inner-city prenatal care clinic.				
Patient characteristics	Assessed 314, randomized 50, 27 to intervention, 23 to control. 82% African Americans, mean age 25.7 years.				
Intervention	N=27. Intervention: Computer delivered brief intervention.				
Comparison	N=23. Assessment only.				
Length of follow-up	2 lost for follow-up (could not be reached). Follow-up phone interviews one month after				

	intervention.
Outcome and effect size	Bivariate logistic regression analysis to examine the effect of treatment on alcohol use at
	follow-up. Ratings of intervention ease of use, helpfulness, and other factors were high
	(4.7-5.0 on a 1–5 scale). Participants in both conditions significantly decreased alcohol use
	at follow-up, with no group differences. However, birth weights for infants born to women
	in the intervention group were significantly higher (p<0.05, d=0.62).
Funding	
Comments	

Title	Randomized controlled pilot study of cognitive behavioral therapy in a sample of incarcerated women with substance use disorders and PTSD.			
First Author	Zlotnick, C., 2009 Source 19892078			
Level of evidence	2c	Study type	Randomized controlled pilot study in prison.	
Study quality	Very small sample, prison study,	well docume	ented	
Participants	N=49. Women prisoners who red	quest intensi	ve substance abuse treatment.	
Patient characteristics	N=103 approached for study, N=	49 in study a	and randomized in intervention and control	
	group. Mean age: 34.6 years.			
Intervention	N=27 in Seeking Safety (SS) grou	p interventio	on program, on a voluntary basis, 90 mi per	
	session, 3 times a week for 6-8 weeks. Also TAU as 12-steps oriented program in			
	psychoeducational format, attendance was obligatory, 3 to 6 months. After release from			
	prison each women was offered weekly individual booster sessions (60 min).			
Comparison	N=22 TAU as 12-steps oriented program in psychoeducation al format; attendance was			
	obligatory, program lasted 3 to 6 months. Weekly individual case management and drug			
	counselling. No offerings after release from prison.			
Length of follow-up	3-6 month post release from prison. Attrition rates in SS 15%, in TAU 5%.			
Outcome and effect size	ANCOVA at 3 and 6 months post release. No difference between study groups on PTSD			
	symptoms, SUD or other measures. Women in both conditions showed significant			
	improvements from intake to later time points on all of these outcomes across time.			
Funding	NIDA			
Comments				

3.7.3 Ältere Menschen

Title	Integrated speciality mental health care among older minorities improves access but not outcomes: results of the PRISMe study.		
First Author	Areán, P. A., 2008 Source 18727133		
Level of evidence	1b	Study type	RCT PRISM-E
Study quality	High methodological standard	, well documented study	
Participants	2,022, at-risk drinking: N=559		
Patient characteristics	>65, 1.046 were white, 499 Black, 297 Latino, 112 Asian, and 68 "other", 73% male, 78% less than high school level of education, average age was 73 (SD=6.1). at-risk drinking, (as in PRISM-E defined)		
Intervention	Integrated care: Primary care clinics requiring MH/SA services on-site, including medication management, psychotherapy (group, individual and family), case management and a brief behavioral alcohol intervention based on Harm Reduction and Motivational Interviewing Techniques. If the patient agreed to treatment, the primary care provider prescribed medication to those patients who wanted to be treated with medication, and referred all patients to the MH/ SA provider in the primary care clinic for follow-up, care management, and/or psychotherapy		
Comparison	Brokerage case management: Patients were initially evaluated by the primary care provider, who referred the patient to a nurse or a medical social worker. Patients received an evaluation of patient need and access use barriers. Patients were linked to social services to overcome access barriers; for instance, transportation services for those who cannot drive. MH/SA services were provided in a separate location from the primary care clinics by licensed MH/SA providers, and included medication management, psychotherapy and Alcoholics Anonymous model treatment for heavy drinking. Specialty MH/SA service providers were instructed to coordinate care with the patient's primary care providers to		

	the best of their abilities and to complete on-going documentation of service delivery.
Length of follow-up	6 months
Outcome and effect size	Access: No significant interaction between ethnicity and treatment assignment (p-value for interaction of 0.39), and no main effect for access. Time to first mental health visit: Mean time (in days) from baseline evaluation to first mental health visit was shorter in the integrated than in the referral arm, however, other than in the Asian sample, no differences in time to treatment within ethnic group were found. Overall number of visits: Results of the linear regression model of overall number of visits indicated a statistically significant interaction between treatment assignment and ethnicity (p<0.0001). Whites, Blacks, and Latinos in the integrated arm had a greater number of visits than those in referral arm. Clinical Outcomes: There was no statistically significant treatment effect on mean depression, anxiety, drinking or physical disability within each ethnic group. In both treatment arms, all ethnic groups had relatively similar values at 6 months. Mean post treatment depressive, anxiety and alcohol symptoms remained relatively high. However, there was a nonsignificant trend towards greater improvement following alcohol treatment for Whites. Older adults, with the exception of Asian elderly, are more likely to access and use MH/SA services if services are integrated into primary care medicine than if they are offered in specialty mental health care, even if case managers are on hand to facilitate linkage to community services.
Funding	PRISM-E is a collaborative research study funded by the Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration (SAMH SA), including its three centers: the Center for Mental Health Services (CMHS), the Center for Substance Abuse Treatment (CSAT), and the Center for Substance Abuse and Prevention (CSAP). The Department of Veterans
	Affairs (VA), the Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA), and the Center's for Medicare and Medica id Services (CMS) provide d additional support and funding
Comments	

Title	Satisfaction With Mental Healtl	h Services in Older Primary Ca	re Patients
First Author	Chen, H., 2006	Source	16582046
Level of evidence	1b	Study type	RCT, Data from PRISM-E
Study quality	Well done study. But no specific	outcome measures for at risk	drinking patients >65
Participants	1,582, at-risk drinking: N=766		
Patient characteristics	24,930 patients >65 were screened 6,430 met criteria for distress or drinking. Of these, 3,205 gave written informed consent to detailed clinical assessment. Subsequently 2,022 patients were enrolled in the study, meeting criteria for a depressive disorder, an anxiety disorder, at-risk drinking of alcohol, or a combination of these conditions. Patients assessed with psychosis or hypo/manic disorder, or in current MH/SA treatment, were excluded. 1,208 (or 1,209, not clear) used the designed services or made the health visit at least once.		
Intervention	IC. At three-month follow up, 87% (N=618 of 709) completed assessments		
Comparison	ESR. At three months 87% (N=434 of 500) of ESR patients completed		
Length of follow-up	3 months		
Outcome and effect size	91% of the study participants ra excellent. Almost 90% believed they wanted, but only 73% thou Nevertheless, the majority was shelp received (94%) and its effect them believed that they definite help again (93.5%) and would reitems of the satisfaction score is Those who were assigned to IC at those in the ESR model. The effect satisfaction with "the amount of deal with emotional problem"),	they had "definitely" or "gene ight the service had met their satisfied or very satisfied both in addressing their emotionally would go back to use the satisfied the service to othe is 3.34, with 3 being "satisfied" generally reported higher satisfiect sizes were modest, and on thelp received from the service	rally" received the service needs. in terms of the amount of all problems (83%). Most of the service if they needed rs (94.5%). The average of all and 4 being "very satisfied." If action on all items than two of the seven items (i.e., ter" and "the service helped"

	Severity of alcohol drinking measured by SMAST-G were not associated
Funding	The federal Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration (SAMH SA) and its
	three centers, the Center for Mental Health Services (CMHS), the Center for Substance
	Abuse Treatment (CSAT), and the Center for Substance Abuse Prevention (CSAP),
	sponsored and participated in this initiative, with CMHS serving as the lead. The
	Department of Veterans Affairs (VA), the Health Resources Services Administration (HRSA),
	and the Center's for Medica re and Medica id Services (CMS)
	provided additional funding, support, and collaboration
Comments	

Title	An evaluation of an integration educating older patien	• •	re physicians in screening and	
First Author	Fink, A., 2005	Source	16274375	
Level of evidence	1b	Study type	RCT	
Study quality	High methodological st	andard, well documented stud	ly	
Participants	N=665			
Patient characteristics	>65, 53% female, mean age 76.6 years old, had consumed at least one alcoholic drink in the previous 3 months			
Intervention	First experimental intervention (combined report): Six physicians and their 198 patients, each of whom received reports of the patients alcohol use, risks, and problems. Patients also received personalized education based on their reports. Second experimental intervention (patient report); Five physicians whose 245 patients received reports, although the physicians did not. Patients also received personalized education. All eligible patients were asked to complete the CARPS at baseline and 12 months later. The CARPS has four components: a self-administered screening survey (the Alcohol-Related Problems Survey), software for scanning patient responses into a database, software for processing the responses and generating printed reports for physicians and patients of patients' drinking risks, and health education			
Comparison	12 physicians and their	12 physicians and their 222 patients. None of them received reports, nor did the patients receive any education during the study		
Length of follow-up	12 months			
Outcome and effect size	The patient report and combined report interventions were each associated with greater odds of lower-risk drinking at follow-up than usual care (OR=51.59 and 1.23, respectively, p<0.05 for each). The patient report intervention significantly reduced harmful drinking at follow-up from an expected 21% in usual care to 16%, eliminating an estimated 23% ((21%– 16%/21%) of harmful drinking. The patient report intervention also increased nonhazardous drinking from the 52% expected in usual care to 58%. Relative to usual care, patients in the combined report intervention decreased their consumption of alcohol by 1.14 drinks per week (p<0.05). There was no statistically significant evidence (p>0.05) that participants in the patient report intervention differed from usual care in their changes in drinking from baseline to follow-up. These results suggest that older primary care patients can effectively reduce alcohol consumption and alcohol use patterns when given personalized information about their drinking and health. Providing analogous information to physicians, as was done in the combined report intervention, is effective in decreasing total alcohol consumption, but it is no more effective at decreasing the associated risk (as measured by drinking classification) than reports only to patients.			
Funding	Unknown. The study used CARPS (Computerized Alcohol Related Problems Survey), of which copyright is owned by "Arlene Fink Associates". The other author s denied financial interests.			
Comments				

Title	Harm reduction among at-risk elderly drinkers: a site-specific analysis from the multi-site Primary Care Research in Substance Abuse and Mental Health for Elderly (PRISM-E) study		
First Author	e, H. S., 2009 Source 18613283		
Level of evidence	1b	Study type	RCT, site study of PRISM-E at 1 site of 10

Study quality	Well done study with small sample, high methodological standard
Participants	34, male: 20, female: 14, mean age: 72,9, White: 17, African American: 12, Other: 5
Patient characteristics	Low income-patients >65, at-risk drinkers →14 drinks per week for men, >12 drinks per week for women, or four or more drinks four or more times during the past 3 months (binge drinking) or use of benzodiazepines or opioids and drinking seven or more drinks per week. Exclusion criteria dementia, severe cognitive impairment, psychosis, acute physical frailty, clients who had receives mental health or substance abuse treatment in the preceding 3 months
Intervention	The substance abuse treatment model was a harm reduction model developed for older adults with alcohol misuse: Motivational Interviewing strategy, three sessions, trained social workers. Alcohol services were provided in a non-profit community-based, non-residential, 8-week, peer-oriented program for adults over age 55, based on the 12-step model of abstinence. Individual and group recovering planning, psychiatric care, addiction education and case management were provided. The program was staffed by staff, faculty, and volunteers from local health and substance abuse clinicians.
Comparison	
Length of follow-up	6 months
Outcome and effect size	Only 20 of the 34 at-risk drinkers (59%) received services by the 6-month time period, significantly more of these individuals were in the integrated care condition (93% vs. 35%, p=0.001). Among at risk drinkers in the integrated care condition, the average number of drinks in the prior week and the average number of binge drinking episodes in the prior 3 months measured at 6 months and at baseline decreased and were significantly different at the two time points (z=2.83 p=0.005, and z=2.98 p=0.003). Among at risk drinkers in the enhanced referral condition, there were no significant differences in any of the clinical outcomes between the two time points. Conclusion: The integration of substance abuse treatment into primary care is a viable method for ensuring older low-income adults access to services.
Funding	PRISM-E is a collaborative research study funded by the Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration (SAMH SA), including its three centers: the Center for Mental Health Services (CMHS), the Center for Substance Abuse Treatment (CSAT), and the Center for Substance Abuse and Prevention (CSAP). The Department of Veterans Affairs (VA), the Health Resources and Services Administration
Comments	
<u> </u>	

Title	PRISM-E: comparison of integrarisk alcohol use.	ted care and enhance	ed specialty referral in managing at-		
First Author	Oslin, D. W., 2006	Oslin, D. W., 2006 Source 16816279			
Level of evidence	1b	Study type	RCT, PRISM-E study, 9 of 10 sites		
Study quality	High methodological standard, v	vell documented stud	У		
Participants	N=560				
Patient characteristics	>65, at-risk drinking: >14 drinks per week for men, >12 drinks per week for women, or four or more drinks four or more times during the past 3 months (binge drinking) ore use of benzodiazepines or opioids and drinking seven or more drinks per week (n=6). 513 (92%) were white, mean age was 72.0 years. 146 (26%) had concurrent depression or anxiety.				
Intervention	Integrated Care Model (IC): N=280. Standardized intervention to include three 20-to 30-minute face-to-face brief alcohol intervention counseling sessions				
Comparison	Enhanced Speciality Referral (ES	Enhanced Speciality Referral (ESR) N=280			
Length of follow-up	6 months				
Outcome and effect size	Greater engagement in care in IC (65%), compared with ESR (38%), and a greater number of visits in the IC (p=0.001). In IC 120 participants (43%) received at least one brief alcohol intervention session. Only 24 p. (9%) had the recommended three brief alcohol intervention visits. Overall, drinking measures declined in both models. Average quantity declined by 35% and frequency by 45%. There were no differences in drinking at six months between the two groups. In total, 21% participants reduced their drinking (18% in IC, and 23% in ESR). The average quantity and frequency models show significant time effects, with reduction in drinking by six months for all participants except for those with a dual diagnosis. An important finding from this study is the minimal uptake and				

Comments	
	Administration, and the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services
	Prevention. The Department of Veterans Affairs, the Health Resources and Services
	Services, Center for Substance Abuse Treatment, and Center for Substance Abuse and
	Health Services Administration, including its three centers: Center for Mental Health
Funding	PRISM-E is a collaborative research study funded by the Substance Abuse and Mental
	implementation of the interventions in both study groups.

Title	Predictors of Adherence Within an Intervention Study of the At-Risk Older Drinker: PRISM-E.		
First Author		Caa	47005762
First Author	Zanjani, F., 2006	Source	17085763
Level of evidence	1b	Study type	RCT, PRISM-E
Study quality	<u> </u>	andard, well documented stud	ay
Participants Patient characteristics	N=8367		ppointment during the study period
	(March, 2000 through August, 2001) with 1 of 8 participating primary care clinics (2 in the VA and 6 in non-VA community practices) were eligible for recruitment (n=8367). From the screening pool, 365 (9%) met the screening criteria for alcohol (consuming more than 7 drinks per week and/or 2 binge episodes in the last 3 months) and were invited to participate in the baseline interview. There were 287 (78%) participants who were able to complete the baseline interview, and from this participant pool, 145 (50%) met at-risk drinking criteria (drinking more than 13 drinks per week for men and 11 drinks per week for women, twice the recommended drinking level for older adults, 26 or having 4 or more drinks [binge drinking] 4 or more times during the prior 3 months) and were invited to participate in treatment (phase 2). Participants who agreed to treatment (n=125, 86%) were then randomized to 1 of 2 treatment models (phase 3). After randomization, participants were monitored for their treatment initiation and 3-, 6-, and 12-month follow up research adherence. Because of concerns of crosstolerability and drug—alcohol interactions, any use of a benzodiazepine or opioid medication and drinking 7 or more drinks per week also qualified as at-risk drinking. Four participants were eligible based on		
	this criterion.	_	
Intervention Comparison	Integrated care included (a) MH (mental health)/SA (substance abuse) services co-located in primary care; (b) verbal and/or written communication about the evaluation and treatment plan between the MH/SA clinician and PCP (primary care physician); and (c) the availability of brief alcohol interventions (BAI) designed for at-risk drinking. Enhanced referral care included (a) MH/SA evaluation and treatment occurring in a physically separate location by licensed mental health or substance abuse professionals; (b) coordinated follow-up contacts with the primary care clinic if the participant missed the		
		d (c) assistance with transport	
Length of follow-up	12 months		
Outcome and effect size	screening, 4.000 (48%) to contact, and 932 ine the screening interview SD=6.7; χ2= 98.11, df=1 not associated with bei 46%) and men were mc OR=0.55, 95% CI [0.47] participants who met a randomization; there w to randomization from 60 participants in integ initiated treatment. Treatment groups; how treatment groups. Integ	completed the screening prodligibles based on screening crivatery were younger (M=74.8, SD=5, odds ratio [OR]=0.96, 95% Cong screened, but females more likely to be unable to be concerned to	rticipants randomly selected for cess, with 2.095 refusing, 1.340 unable iteria. Participants who participated in 5.8) than those not screened (M=76.2, Cl [0.95 0.97], p<0.001). Gender was re often refused screening (53% vs ontacted (36% vs 18%; χ 2=57.64, df=1, in Randomization Of the 145 6%) agreed to treatment between participants who consented reatment Initiation Thirty-seven of the e65 participants in referral care (55%) not statistically different across s differentially predicted in the e precontemplative and contemplative templative 72%; contemplative 75%;

(precontemplative 41%; contemplative 45%; action 80%) in referral care (χ2=4.06, df=1,
β =-1.67, p=0.0438). Integrated care participants with no history or a desire/attempt to cut
down on drinking were more likely to initiate treatment (84%), compared with 53% of
integrated participants with such a history, 30% of referral care participants with no
history, and 70% of referral participants with a history of desires/attempts to cut down on
drinking (χ 2=11.79, df=1, β =3.23, p=0.0006). Adherence to Research Follow-Up Adherence
to research follow-up rates was not statistically different across treatment groups. Three-
month research adherence was differentially predicted in treatment groups by binge levels
$(\chi 2=4.12, df=1, \beta=.02, p=0.0423)$. Integrated care participants who completed the 3-month
research interview had the highest binge levels (M=17.4, SD=28.6), compared with
participants who refused (M=14.6, SD=27.6) and participants whom we were unable to
contact (UTC) (M=8.4, SD=18.5). Referral care participants who were UTC at 3 months had
the highest binge levels (M=46.8, SD=41.6), compared with participants who completed
the 3-month research interview (M=13.4, SD=26.3) and participants who refused (M=12.2,
SD=25.4). Participants in the precontemplative and contemplative stage in integrated care
were more likely than those in referral care to initiate treatment. Furthermore, a greater
percentage of integrated care participants with no history, compared with a greater
percentage of referral care participants with a history of desires/attempts to cut down on
drinking, initiated treatment. A comparison between integrated care and referral care
groups suggests that integrated care models may have a better capacity to initiate
treatment in participants who have not yet recognized the need for/or taken action toward
treatment or have a history of experience with alcohol treatment and to enhance research
commitment in heavier drinkers. Individuals in integrated care with the highest treatment
initiation were in the precontemplative and contemplative stage.
However, individuals in referral care with the highest treatment initiation were individuals
in the action stage (recognized the need to change alcohol behaviors and already taking
steps to improve their drinking behaviors) and individuals who had a history improving or
thinking about improving their drinking behaviors. Thus, the integrated model appeared to
overcome individual barriers such as a lack of existing/past actions to improve alcohol
behaviors and more severe drinking symptomology, which is a crucial component for a
successful prevention program.
Furthermore, as compared with referral care, the unique components of the integrated
care model (e.g., location, brief alcohol treatment model) may make it less difficult for at-
risk older individuals to participate in research and treatment.

Title	Longitudinal course of substance treatment benefits in older male veteran at-risk drinkers.				
First Author	Zanjani, F., 2008	Zanjani, F., 2008 Source 18245767			
Level of evidence	1b	Study type	RCT, part of PRISM-E study at 3 sites of 10		
Study quality	High methodological standard, w	vell documented	l study		
Participants	N=258 (51% of the total multisite sample of randomized at-risk drinkers). Mean age: 71.6. White: 65.8%. Two groups: "problem at-risk drinkers" N=111; "nonproblematic at-risk drinkers" N=147				
Patient characteristics	Male, >65, "At-risk drinking" defined as >13 drinks per week (1.5 times the NIAAA recommended drinking level for older adults) or having four or more drinks (binge drinking) on four or more occasions during the previous 3 months. "Problem at risk drinkers (PD)": SMAST-G: 3 or >3 "Nonproblematic at-risk drinkers (ND)": SMAST-G: <3				
Intervention	Integrated Care Model (IC): Mental health and/or substance abuse services collocated within primary care, availability of brief alcohol interventions designed for at-risk drinking.				
Comparison	Enhanced Specialty Referral Model (ESR): Treatment occurring in a separate location by licensed mental health or substance abuse professionals				
Length of follow-up	6 months after 6 month study period				
Outcome and effect size	Treatment engagement: Participants in IC treatment had higher levels of treatment engagement with no PDS (Problem at-risk drinkers) effect at 3 months (p=0.04) and 6 months (p=0.03) but not at 12 months. Drinking scores: PDS effect for binges (p=0.03)				

Funding Comments

	indicating that PD showed greater decline in binges as compared to ND. Despite significant reduction in drinking, mean drinking and binge rates for both nonproblematic and problem at-risk drinkers at 12 months were above NIAAA recommended levels for older adults. Interaction effect: Interaction effect (p=0.03) for at-risk drinking at 3 months indicating that, at this time period, fewer problem drinkers than ND were drinking excessively in IC (22% vs 25%), whereas, conversely, more problem drinkers than ND were at-risk in ESR (43% vs 25%). Longitudinal random effect models examining time, treatment, and PDS effect on drink per week, binge drinking, MCS (mental component score), and PCS (physical component score), indicated trends for reduction in drinking over time irrelevant of treatment care assignment. Whereas there were no effects indicating better outcomes for either treatment model, there was evidence of higher treatment engagement in the IC model. However, participation in treatment improved binge drinking reductions for problem drinkers, but treatment engagement appeared to have minimal effect on reductions in the number of drinks per week, regardless of treatment condition. Furthermore, there was no evidence that the implemented treatment models were successful at improving physical or mental functioning.
	PRISM-E is a collaborative research study funded by the Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration (SAMH SA), including its three centers: the Center for Mental Health Services (CMHS), the Center for Substance Abuse Treatment (CSAT), and the Center for Substance Abuse and Prevention (CSAP). The Department of Veterans Affairs (VA), the Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA), and the Center s for Medicare and Medicaid Services (CMS) provide d additional support and funding. The development of the manuscript was supported by a training grant from the Nation al Institute of Mental Health (NIMH; 5 T32 MH199 31-08A1) awarded to David Oslin.
Comments	

Title	What Works for Whom and Why: A Narrative Systematic Review of Interventions for Reducing Post-Traumatic Stress Disorder and Problematic Substance Use Among Women With Experiences of Interpersonal Violence			
First Author	Bailey, K., 2019	Source	30797400	
Level of evidence	2a	Study type	narrative systematic review and meta-analysis	
Study quality	?			
Participants	N=63 studies women or	nly		
Patient characteristics	N=20 controlled trials w	N=20 controlled trials women only		
Intervention	Subgroups - context - and mechanism of action study			
Comparison				
Length of follow-up				
Outcome and effect size	which may affect treath providers. Whilst there use decrease, there ma women with PTSD, requ included different moda	nent outcomes, re was some eviden y be more than on Jiring a focus on e alities of coping sk plementary studie	riolence were identified as contextual factors equiring attention by researchers and treatment ce that reduced PTSD correlates with substance ne pathway to substance use reduction among amotional regulation. Other 'active mechanisms' kills and support to rebuild connection with self es for trials involving past-focused treatment odels.	
Funding	UK Economics and Socia	al Research Counc	il ref.: (ES/J500057/!)	
Comments				

Title	Intimate Partner Violence Outcomes in Women With PTSD and Substance Use: A Secondary Analysis of NIDA Clinical Trials Network "Women and Trauma" Multi-site Study		
First Author	Cohen, L. R., 2013	Source	23584194
Level of evidence	2b	Study type	Secondary analysis
Study quality	(high)		
Participants	N=288		

Patient characteristics	see original study of Hien et al. 2009
Intervention	Seeking Safety vs Women's Health Education vs TAU
Comparison	Participants reporting IPV in 12 month follow-up and participants not reporting IPV
Length of follow-up	12 months
Outcome and effect size	Significant risk factors associated with IPV during Baseline abstinence associated with \downarrow risk IPV at FU (OR=0.33, p<0.05). FU were: living with someone who has an alcohol problem (OR=3.2), higher total lifetime traumatic exposures (p=0.05), and recent physical/sexual assault (p=0.06). Baseline abstinence associated with \downarrow risk IPV at FU (OR=0.33, p<0.05). TX arm not associated with IPV; interaction between TX and baseline
	abstinence: those abstinent and in SS were less likely (OR=0.24) to experience IPV compared to non-abstinent SS and abstinent WHE.
Funding	Grant from National Drug Abuse Treatment Clinical Trials Networt (CTN) NIDA: U10 DA013035.
Comments	

Title	Combining Seeking Safety With Sertraline for PTSD and Alcohol Use Disorders: A Randomized Controlled Trial			
First Author	Hien, D. A., 2015	Source	25622199	
Level of evidence	1a	Study type	RCT	
Study quality	high			
Participants	N=69			
Patient characteristics	81% female; 59% African American) with primarily childhood sexual (46%) and physical (39%) trauma exposure, and drug dependence in addition to AUD			
Intervention	12 sessions of SS with either ser	12 sessions of SS with either sertralin (N=32) or placebo (N=37)		
Comparison	PTSD symptom reduction and SUD symptom reduction			
Length of follow-up	6 and 12 months			
Outcome and effect size	Both groups demonstrated significant improvement in PTSD symptoms. The SS plus sertraline group exhibited a significantly greater reduction in PTSD symptoms than the SS plus placebo group at end-of-treatment (MD=-16.15, p=0.04, d=0.83), which was sustained at 6- and 12-month follow-up (MD=-13.81, p=0.04, d=0.71, and MD=-12.72, p=0.05, d=0.65, respectively). Both SS groups improved significantly on AUD severity at all posttreatment time points with no significant differences between SS plus sertraline and SS plus placebo.			
Funding	This study was supported by grant R01AA014341 from the National Institute on Alcohol Abuse and Alcoholism (primary investigator: Denise A. Hien).Dr. Levin currently receives medication from US World Med for an ongoing study that is sponsored by the National Institute on Drug Abuse and served as a consultant to GW Pharmaceuticals, Eli Lily, and served on an advisory board to Shire in 2006-2007.			
Comments				

Title	Emotion Dysregulation Moderates the Effect of Cognitive Behavior Therapy With Prolonged Exposure for Co-Occurring PTSD and Substance Use Disorders			
First Author	Hien, D. A., 2017	lien, D. A., 2017 Source 29049902		
Level of evidence	2b	Study type	Secondary analysis	
Study quality	high			
Participants	n=110			
Patient characteristics	see original study of Ruglass et al. (2017)			
Intervention	see original study of Ruglass et al. (2017)			
Comparison	We examined the moderating impact of overall Emotional Dysregulation (ED) at baseline			
	(DERS total score) on within-treatment change in PTSD symptom severity and days of			
	primary substance use.			
Length of follow-up	see Ruglass et al. (2017)			
Outcome and effect size	Baseline ED severity moderated treatment outcomes such that high ED was associated			
	with greater reduction in PTSD severity among those who received COPE relative to RPT			
	and AMCG. In contrast, low ED v	was associated with	greater reduction in substance use	

Comments	R01DA10843; PI: Denise A. Hien, Ph.D.);
Funding	This study was supported by a grant from the National Institute on Drug Abuse (NIDA;
	individualize and optimize treatment pathways for PTSD+SUD.
	taking difficulties in emotion regulation into consideration can facilitate efforts to
	among those in RPT relative to COPE and AMCG. Our secondary analysis suggests that

Title	Effectiveness of Seeking Safety for Co-Occurring Posttraumatic Stress Disorder and Substance Use			
First Author	Lenz, S. A., 2016 Source			
Level of evidence	2b	Study type	Meta-analysis	
Study quality				
Participants	N=1.997, 12 between group stud	dies		
Patient characteristics	see details in 12 original studies			
Intervention	"Research questions: (a) To what degree is Seeking Safety effective for decreasing the primary symptoms of PTSD? (b) To what degree is Seeking Safety effective for treating the symptoms of co-occurring substance use among individuals with PTSD? and (c) What are the relationships between mean sample age, ethnic identity, and reported trauma-type moderators and aggregated effect size?"			
Comparison Length of follow-up	N=846 participants receiving seeking safety treatment, N=955 received an alternative treatment modality, and N=196 received no treatment or were assigned to a wait-list condition vs. other treatments vs. waiting lists.			
Outcome and effect size	Depending on studies This metal applying of studies evaluating the effectiveness of Seeking Safety for reducing			
Outcome and effect size	This meta-analysis of studies evaluating the effectiveness of Seeking Safety for reducing the severity of PTSD and co-occurring substance use symptoms yielded mixed, yet promising findings. Among the 12 studies identified, mean effect sizes related to PTSD symptom reduction were robust across comparison group types (i.e., wait list or alternative treatments). On the client level, aspects of client background may mediate treatment effects when compared with alternative treatments - mainly race (and cultural background) and multiple types of trauma vs. one type. Evaluation of mean effect sizes for Seeking Safety interventions for decreasing frequency of substance use yielded no defensible estimations of treatment effect.			
Funding	not reported			
Comments				

Title	A Randomized Controlled Trial of Treatments for Co-Occurring Substance Use Disorders and Post-Traumatic Stress Disorder		
First Author	McGovern, M. P., 2015	Source	25846251
Level of evidence	1a	Study type	RCT
Study quality	High		
Participants	N=221		
Patient characteristics	59% female, 41% male, mean ag	e 35.3 years, white 95&, alcoh	nol abuse 61%.
Intervention	We report on a randomized controlled trial comparing the effect of Integrated Cognitive Behavioral Therapy (ICBT) plus standard care, individual addiction counseling plus standard		
	care, and standard care alone or	n substance use and PTSD sym	ptoms.
Comparison	Three-group, multi-site randomized controlled trial. ICBT plus standard care (SC) (n=73);		
	Individual Addiction Counseling (IAC) plus SC (n=75), or SC only (n=73).		
Length of follow-up	3 and 6 months		
Outcome and effect size	Primary outcomes: PTSD severity and substance use severity at 6-months. Secondary outcomes: Therapy retention. Findings: PTSD symptoms reduced in all conditions with no difference between them. In analyses of covariance, ICBT produced more favorable outcomes on toxicology than IAC or SC (comparison with IAC: Parameter estimate=1.10, 95% CI [0.17 2.04]; comparison with SC: Parameter estimate=1.13, 95% CI [0.18 2.08]) and had greater reduction in reported drug use than SC (Parameter estimate=-9.92, 95% CI [-18.14 -1.70). ICBT patients had better therapy continuation versus IAC (p<0.001). There		

	were no unexpected or study related adverse events.	
Funding	not reported	
Comments		

Title	Integrated exposure-based therapy for co-occurring posttraumatic stress disorder and substance dependence: a randomized controlled trial.		
First Author	Mills, K. L., 2012	Source	22893166
Level of evidence	1a	Study type	RCT
Study quality	High		
Participants	N=103		
Patient characteristics	62% women, mean age 33.7 yea 12% and high percentage of mix	•	iginals 6%, alcohol abuse
Intervention	To determine whether an integrated treatment for PTSD and substance dependence, Concurrent Treatment of PTSD and Substance Use Disorders Using Prolonged Exposure (COPE), can achieve greater reductions in PTSD and substance dependence symptom severity compared with usual treatment for substance dependence.		
Comparison	Participants were randomized to receive COPE plus usual treatment (n=55) or usual treatment alone (control) (n=48). COPE consists of 13 individual 90-minute sessions (i.e. 19.5 hours) with a clinical psychologist.		
Length of follow-up	6 weeks to 9 months		
Outcome and effect size	"From baseline to 9-month follow-up, significant reductions in PTSD symptom severity were found for both the treatment group (MD=-38.24, 95% CI [47.93 -28.54]) and the control group (MD=-22.14, 95% CI [-30.33 -13.95]); however, the treatment group demonstrated a significantly greater reduction in PTSD symptom severity (MD=-16.09, 95% CI [-29.00 -3.19]).No significant between-group difference was found in relation to improvement in severity of substance dependence (0.43 vs 0.52; incidence rate ratio: 0.85, 95% CI [0.60 1.21]), nor were there any significant between-group differences in relation to changes in substance use, depression, or anxiety."		
Funding	This study was funded by Australian National Health and Medical Research Council (NHMRC) project grant 455209. (For more details see Conflict of Interest)		
Comments	7, 17. 17. 17. 17. 17. 17. 17. 17. 17. 17.	,	

Title	Efficacy of Integrated Exposure Therapy vs Integrated Coping Skills Therapy for Comorbid Posttraumatic Stress Disorder and Alcohol Use Disorder: A Randomized Clinical Trial				
First Author	Norman, S. B., 2019 Source 31017639				
Level of evidence	1a	Study type	RCT		
Study quality	High				
Participants	119 veterans				
Patient characteristics	"A total of 119 veterans (mean randomized."	SD] age, 41.6 [12.6] years; 10	7 [89.9%] male) were		
Intervention	"Veterans underwent I-PE (Cond	current Treatment of PTSD an	d Substance Use Disorder		
	Using Prolonged Exposure) or I-CS (Seeking Safety) therapy."				
Comparison	3 and 6 months follow-up				
Length of follow-up	Linear mixture models found that PTSD symptoms decreased in both conditions, with a				
	significantly greater decrease for I-PE treatment compared with I-CS treatment (treatment				
	× time interaction, -2.83; F _{3,233.1} =4.92; Cohen d=0.41; p=0.002). The percentage of heavy				
	drinking days improved in both conditions but was not statistically different between I-PE				
	and I-CS treatment (treatment >				
	p=0.91).The I-PE arm had a grea				
	comparable drinking decreases.				
	more efficacious in treating PTSD than a more commonly available integrated treatment				
	without exposure for comorbid PTSD and AUD.				
Outcome and effect size	"This study was supported by VA Clinical Science Research and Development Merit Grant				
	1101CX000756 (Dr. S. Norman, principal investigator). Other funding support included				
	training fellowships through the	VA Ottice of Academic Affilia	tion (Drs. Haller and		

	Colvonen) and T32 fellowship T32AA013525 through the National Institute on Alcohol Abuse and Alcoholism (Dr. Myers and Mr. Lyons)."	
Funding		
Comments		

Title	Psychological interventions for post-traumatic stress disorder and comorbid substance use disorder: A systematic review and meta-analysis			
First Author	Roberts, N. P., 2015	Source	25792193	
Level of evidence	1a	Study type	Systematic review and meta-analysis	
Study quality	14 RCTs of good methodological	quality		
Participants	N=14 RCT-studies, N=1.506 part	icipants		
Patient characteristics	In RCTs number of women varied between 34% and 100%. Measurement: (1) Pre-post PTSD severity, (2) drug and alcohol use, (3) treatment completion. Post measurement: 5-7 months after regular end of treatment.			
Intervention	Seeking safety, individual trauma-focused cognitive-behavioural interventions and non-trauma-focused interventions aimed at reducing traumatic stress symptoms, SUD symptoms or both.			
Comparison				
Length of follow-up	5-7 months (and longer, depending on studies)			
Outcome and effect size	We found evidence to suggest that psychological intervention that includes a trauma- focused component alongside intervention for SUD can help reduce PTSD symptom severity for individuals with PTSD and comorbid SUD. These results need to be interpreted with caution. Treatment effects were small and mostly for PTSD. () We found little evidence to support the use of non-trauma-focused group-based interventions.			
Funding	This study was not directly funded but was undertaken whilst Dr. N. Roberts was in receipt of a National Institute of Social Care and Health Research — Academic Health Science Committee (NISCHR AHSC) Clinical Research Fellowship and was supported by the Institute of Psychological Medicine and Clinical Neurosciences, Cardiff University School of Medicine and Cardiff & Vale University Health Board.			
Comments				

Title	Helping Alliance, Retention, and Treatment Outcomes: A Secondary Analysis From the NIDA Clinical Trials Network Women and Trauma Study					
First Author	Ruglass, L. M., 2012	Ruglass, L. M., 2012 Source 22475068				
Level of evidence	2b	Study type	Secondary analysis			
Study quality	(high)					
Participants	N=223					
Patient characteristics	see study of Hien et al., 2009	see study of Hien et al., 2009				
Intervention	Seeking Safety vs. Women's Health Education vs. TAU					
Comparison	therapeutic alliance					
Length of follow-up	12 months					
Outcome and effect size	SS had higher alliance than WHE at week 2 (p=0.01); difference was small (SS M=5.33, WHEM=5.15 on 6-point scale, findings at week 6 similar. • ↑ alliance at week 2 was associated with ↓ PTSD severity post-TX (p<0.001) for SS and WHE; this weakened over time. • Alliance at week 2 was associated with # of TX sessions attended (p=0.05) for SS and WHE. • Alliance was not associated with substance use (p=0.59).					
Funding	Not reported					
Comments						

Title	Concurrent treatment with prolonged exposure for co-occurring full or subthreshold posttraumatic stress disorder and substance use disorders: A randomized clinical trial			
First Author	Ruglass, L. M., 2017 Source 28490022			
Level of evidence	1a	Study type	RCT	
Study quality	high			

Participants	N=110
Patient characteristics	Participants: N=110; 64% males; 59% African Americans, who met DSM-IV-TR criteria for
	full or subthreshold PTSD and SUD.
Intervention	Participants were randomly assigned to COPE (N=39), RPT (N=43), or AMCG (N=28).
Comparison	Reduction of PTSD-Symptoms and Reduction of SUD Symptoms.
Length of follow-up	3 months
Outcome and effect size	At end-of-treatment, COPE and RPT demonstrated greater reduction in PTSD symptom severity relative to AMCG (COPE-AMCG=-34.06, p<0.001; RPT-AMCG=-22.58, p=0.002). Although the difference between COPE and RPT was not significant in the complete sample, the subset of participants with full (versus subthreshold) PTSD demonstrated significantly greater reduction of PTSD severity in COPE relative to RPT. Both treatments were superior to AMCG in reducing days of primary substance use (COPE-AMCG=-0.97, p=0.01; RPT-AMCG=-2.07, p<0.001). Relative to COPE, RPT showed significantly more improvement in SUD outcome at end-of-treatment (RPT-COPE=-1.10, p=0.047). At 3-month follow-up, COPE and RPT maintained their treatment gains and were not significantly different in PTSD severity or days of primary substance use.
Funding	This study was supported by a grant from the National Institute on Drug Abuse (NIDA; R01DA10843; PI: Denise A. Hien, Ph.D.).
Comments	

Title	A Multisite Randomized Controlled Trial of Seeking Safety vs. Relapse Prevention Training for Women With Co-Occurring Posttraumatic Stress Disorder and Substance Use Disorders		
First Author	Schäfer, I., 2019	Source	30815234
Level of evidence	1a	Study type	RCT
Study quality	High		
Participants	N=343		
Patient characteristics	100% women, mean age 40.9 years, means years in school: 10, 78% unemployed etc. Main substance use disorders: alcohol (85%), sedatives (31%), cannabis (49%), other illicit drugs (ca. 25%). Prior in substance abuse treatment: 66%.		
Intervention	Seeking Safety; N=111, 16 session	ns a 90 minutes	
Comparison	Relapse Prevention Treatment N=115, TAU N=117		
Length of follow-up	3 and 6 months		
Outcome and effect size	ITT analysis showed similar decreases in PTSD severity among the three conditions. Seeking Safety + TAU showed superior efficacy to TAU alone and equal efficacy to RPT + TAU on depression and emotion regulation.		
Funding	German Federal Ministry of Education and Research (BMBF) Nr01KR1203A.		
Comments			

3.8 Medizinische Rehabilitation

Title	A double- blind, placebo- controlled trial to assess the efficacy of quetiapine fumarate XR in very heavy- drinking alcohol- dependent patients.				
First Author	Litten, R.Z., 2012 Source 21950727				
Level of evidence	1b	Study type	RCT		
Study quality	High: individual RCT; double-blir	nd intervention; follow-up			
Participants	N=224				
Patient characteristics	Inpatients				
Intervention	quetiapine vs. placebo and Medical Management behavioral intervention; MM includes assessment of medication side effects, subject education about excessive drinking, abstinence advice, enhancement of adherence to the study medication regimen, support for recovery, and encouragement to attend mutual self- help groups such as Alcoholics Anonymous				
Comparison	quetiapine vs. placebo and Medical Management behavioral intervention				
Length of follow-up	4-week follow-up				
Outcome measures	percentage heavy-drinking days, percentage days abstinent, drinks per drinking day, drinks per day, percentage very heavy-drinking days, percentage subjects abstinent, and percentage subjects with no heavy-drinking days, craving, depression, anxiety, poor sleep, and quality of life				
Outcome and effect size	No differences between the quetiapine and placebo groups in percentage of heavy-drinking days, or other drinking outcomes. Patients who reduced their drinking prior to randomization had significantly better drinking outcomes during the maintenance phase (p<0.001). Statistically significant adverse events that were more common with quetiapine versus placebo include dizziness (14 vs. 4%), dry mouth (32 vs. 9%), dyspepsia (13 vs. 2%), increased appetite (11 vs. 1%), sedation (15 vs. 3%), and somnolence (34 vs. 9%)				
Comments					

Title	Meta-analysis of supplement al treatment for depressive and anxiety disorders in patients being treated for alcohol dependence.		
First Author	Hobbs, .J.D., 2011	Source	21679263
Level of evidence	1a	Study type	Meta-analysis (15 RCTs)
Study quality	High: Meta-analysis of 15 RCTs		
Participants			
Patient characteristics	AUD		
Intervention	AUD treatment with a psychiatric treatment for co- occurring internalizing disorder		
Comparison	CBT vs. medication		
Length of follow-up			
Outcome measures	Anxiety outcomes, alcohol-related outcomes		
Outcome and effect size	CBT intervention had a pooled estimate of effect size of d=0.66, while medication yielded a smaller estimate pooled effect size of d=0.24. Studies in which anxiety was treated also demonstrated significantly greater pooled effects sizes for the internalizing outcome (d=0.52) than was true for studies in which depression was treated (d=0.21). Trend (p=0.09) for better alcohol outcomes in studies with high vs. low effect sizes on the internalizing outcomes.		
Comments			

Title	The efficacy of disulfiram for the treatment of alcohol use disorder.				
First Author	Jørgensen, C.H., 2011	ørgensen, C.H., 2011 Source 21615426			
Level of evidence	1a	Study type	Meta-analysis (11 RCTs)		
Study quality	High: large sample size, meta-analysis of 11 RCTs				
Participants	N=1.527				
Patient characteristics	Men & women with AUD (India, USA, Finland, Italy, Austria, DK)				
Intervention	Disulfiram treatment vs. placebo, none or other abstinence-supportive treatments				

Comparison	Disulfiram treatment vs. placebo, none or other abstinence-supportive treatments
Length of follow-up	Up to 12- month follow-up
Outcome and effect size	Disulfiram Versus Other Pharmalogical Abstinence-Supportive Drugs: Four out of six studies reported significantly more abstinent patients among those treated with Disulfiram (respectively, 86 vs. 44%, 88 vs. 46%, 90 vs. 56%, and 79 vs. 52%). Disulfiram Versus Placebo: significantly increased number of abstinent patients among the disulfiram treated (54 vs. 15%). Disulfiram Versus No Treatment: The results revealed in 1 case a significantly increased abstinence in patients treated with disulfiram as all 20 patients treated remained abstinent during the 3 weeks they received the medicine, whereas the control
	group continued to drink as they used to. Disulfiram had a significantly better effect on abstinence when compared with placebo, none, or other treatment in 6 of the 10 studies.
Comments	

Title	Speeches, strangers, and alcohol use: the role of context in social stress response dampening		
First Author	Ham, L.S., 2011	Source	21596011
Level of evidence	2a	Study type	RCT
Study quality	Low: small sample size; students	i	
Participants	N=68		
Patient characteristics	undergraduates		
Intervention	consume of alcoholic (target BAC=.08%; n=22, consume of vodka), placebo (n=20, participants instructed that they were consuming vodka with juice mixer but actually consumed a drink with little alcohol), or nonalcoholic control (n= 20, participants knowingly consumed a nonalcoholic juice mixture) beverage followed by the anxiety- inducing social tasks (performance-based (a speech) and an interaction- based (a conversation) social situation)		
Comparison	three beverage conditions: alcoh	nol vs. placebo vs. control	
Length of follow-up	/		
Outcome measures	Social Interaction Anxiety Scale (SIAS), Social Phobia Scale (SPS), Rutgers Alcohol Problem Inventory (RAPI), Subjective Units of Discomfort Scale (SUDS), Breath alcohol		
Outcome and effect size	Significant alcohol condition x social task condition x measurement point three-way interaction (F(6, 110)=2.54, p=0.02, hp 2=.12), significant two-way interaction between alcohol condition and measurement point (F(6, 110)=3.62, p=0.003, hp 2=.17), main effects of social task condition (F(1, 57)=5.24, p=0.03, hp 2=.08); For the alcohol (F(3, 17)=4.20, p=0.02, hp 2=.43) and placebo conditions (F(3, 15)=6.14, p=0.006, hp 2=.55), SUDS increased;		
Comments			

Title	Internet therapy versus internet self-help versus no treatment for problematic alcohol use: A randomized controlled trial.		
First Author	Blankers, M., 2011	Source	21534652
Level of evidence	1b	Study type	RCT
Study quality	High: RCT; follow-up		
Participants	N= 205		
Patient characteristics	Male/female, mean age: 42 years		
Intervention	Internet- based therapy (therapy alcohol online; TAO) vs. Internet- based self- help (self-		
	help alcohol online; SAO) vs. untreated waiting-list control group (WL)		
Comparison	Three groups: TAO, SAO, WL		
Length of follow-up	3-month follow-up, 6-month follow-up		
Outcome measures	Primary outcome measures were alcohol consumption and treatment response. Secondary		
	outcome measures included measures of quality- of-life.		
Outcome and effect size	Significant effects for TAO versus WL (p=0.002) and for SAO versus WL (p=0.03) on alcohol		
	consumption at 3 months post randomization. Differences between TAO and SAO were not		
	significant at 3 months post randomization (p=0.11) but were significant at 6 months post		
	randomization (p =.03), with larger effects obtained for TAO		

	1
Camananaha	1
Comments	1

Title	Alcohol and depression.			
First Author	Boden, J.M., 2011	Source	21382111	
Level of evidence	2c	Study type	Meta-analysis	
Study quality	Moderate: studies of literature; 2010	no experiment al design; Stud	ies of literature from 1980 to	
Participants	/			
Patient characteristics				
Intervention				
Comparison	/	/		
Length of follow-up				
Outcome measures				
Outcome and effect size	The presence of either disorder doubled the risk of the second disorder, causal linkage			
	between AUD and major depression			
Comments				

Title	Effects of cue- exposure treatment on neural cue reactivity in alcohol dependence: a randomized trial.			
First Author	Vollstädt- Klein, S., 2011	Source	21292243	
Level of evidence	1b	Study type	RCT	
Study quality	Moderate: low sample size; no for	ollow-up		
Participants	N=30			
Patient characteristics	abstinent alcohol- dependent pa	tients after detoxification trea	atment	
Intervention	All patients underwent an extension health		·	
	supervised detoxification, health education, and supportive therapy. The CET patients additionally received nine CET sessions over 3 weeks, exposing the patient to his/her			
	preferred alcoholic beverage.			
Comparison	Cue- exposure based extinction training (CET)-group vs. control			
Length of follow-up	Pre/post (2 weeks)			
Outcome measures	Cue-induced fMRI activation to a	alcohol cues was measured at	pretreatment and	
	posttreatment			
Outcome and effect size	There were no brain regions with	_		
	relative to the CET group, even with a liberal threshold of p<0.005. Lower brain activation			
	in the left ventral striatum in the CET group compared with the control group in the second			
	fMRI session ([x,y,z]=[-20,12,-8], t=2.58, p<0.048 FWE-SV- corrected). For the whole patient			
	sample (n=30), a decrease in fMRI brain activation after treatment compared with			
	pretreatment measurement was prominent in the left dorsal striatum ([x,y,z]=[- 8, 0,14],			
	t=3.60, p=0.009 FWE-SV-corrected)			
Comments				

Title	Does family history of alcoholism moderate naltrexone's effects on alcohol use?		
First Author	Capone, C., 2011	Source	21138720
Level of evidence	2a	Study type	RCT
Study quality	High: Study used multilevel modelling to investigate family history of alcoholism (FHA) based on first-degree relatives and gender as moderators of naltrexone's effects		
Participants	N=603		
Patient characteristics	COMBINE data set		
Intervention	Participants randomized to receive active medication or placebo plus medical management. Three drinking outcomes: percentage of days abstinent, drinks per drinking day, and percentage of heavy drinking days.		
Comparison	FHA vs. no FHA		

Length of follow-up	
Outcome measures	
Outcome and effect size	Main effect of FHA on drinks per drinking day (B=2.01, SE=0.91, p=0.03) No other main
	effects of FHA were observed on drinking outcomes. A significant Naltrexone × Time
	interaction was observed for percentage of heavy drinking days (B=- 1.61, SE=0.69,
	p=0.02). No significant Naltrexone × FHA interactions were observed for any of the three
	outcomes. Gender did not modify these results. Greater FHA was associated with greater
	alcohol use per drinking occasion.
Comments	

Title	Brief alcohol intervention s for mandated college students: comparison of face-to-face counseling and computer- delivered interventions.			
First Author	Carey, K.B., 2011	Source		21059184
Level of evidence	1b	Study type		RCT
Study quality	Moderate: students			
Participants	N= 667			
Patient characteristics	Students			
Intervention	BMI: interventionists provided a personalize d feedback sheet that summarized (a) drinking patterns (contrasted with gender- specific national and local norms), (b) typical and peak BAC, (c) alcohol- related negative consequences and associated risk behaviors; interventionists also (d) prompted personalize d goal setting for risk reduction, and (e) provided tips for safer drinking. Alcohol 101 Plus™: interactive CD-ROM program set on a "virtual campus." Students engage in social decision making at a virtual party, learn about factors affecting their own BAC in a virtual bar, and test their knowledge about alcohol in a game show. Alcohol Edu for Sanctions: five chapters, with quiz questions, interactive exercises, and journaling opportunities			
Comparison	BMI (brief motivational interviewing) vs. Alcohol 101 Plus™ vs. Alcohol Edu for Sanctions vs. delayed control			
Length of follow-up	1-month follow-up, 6- month	follow-up, 12-month	follow-up	
Outcome measures	Consumption (drinks per heaviest and typical week, heavy drinking frequency, peak and typical blood alcohol concentration), alcohol problems, recidivism			
Outcome and effect size	Piecewise latent growth models characterize d short-term (1-month) and longer-term (1-12 months) change. Female but not male students reduced drinking and problems in the control condition. Males reduced drinking and problems after all interventions relative to control, but did not maintain these gains. Females reduced drinking to a greater extent after a BMI than after either CDI, and maintained reductions relative to baseline across the follow-up year. No differences in recidivism were found			
Comments				

Title	Impact of functional social support for abstinence after inpatient detoxification.			
First Author	Mutschler, J., 2010	Source	20605007	
Level of evidence	2b	Study type	Prospective study	
Study quality	Moderate: follow-up			
Participants	N=132			
Patient characteristics	alcohol- dependent inpatients a	alcohol- dependent inpatients after detoxification		
Intervention				
Comparison				
Length of follow-up	Pre/post (12 weeks)			
Outcome measures	Functional social support (FSS) was measured with the Medical Outcome Study (MOS)			
	Social Support Survey at baseline and at the end of the study. Impact of FSS on different			
	alcohol-dependence related variables; FSS levels, perceived practical social support,			
	number of previous inpatient detoxifications			
Outcome and effect size	Significantly higher FSS levels in patients with a current partnership; negative correlation			
	between perceived practical social support and number of previous inpatient			

	detoxifications.
Comments	

Title	Depression, craving, and substance use following a randomized trial of mindfulness-based relapse prevention.				
First Author	Witkiewitz, K., 2010	Nitkiewitz, K., 2010 Source 20515211			
Level of evidence	1b	Study type	RCT		
Study quality	High: follow-up study for MBRP				
Participants	N=168				
Patient characteristics	Individuals with substance use d	isorders after intensive stabili	zation		
Intervention	MBRP: skills in cognitive behavioral relapse prevention (e.g., identifying high-risk situations,				
	coping skills training) and mindfulness meditation				
Comparison	Mindfulness-Based Relapse Prevention (MBRP) vs. treatment-as-usual control group				
Length of follow-up	2-month follow-up, 4-month follow-up				
Outcome measures	Substance Use, Alcohol and Drug Craving, Depression				
Outcome and effect size	Craving mediated the relation between depressive symptoms (BDI) and substance use (Time Line Follow Back) among the treatment-as-usual group, but not among MBRP participants. Specifically, MBRP attenuated the relation between post intervention depressive symptoms and craving (Penn Alcohol Craving Scale) two months following the intervention (f2=0.21). This moderation effect predicted substance use four-months following the intervention (f2=0.18).				
Comments		•			

Title	The effects of current subsyndr alcohol dependence treatment		
First Author	Mason, B.J., 2010	Source	
Level of evidence	1b	Study type	Meta-analysis
Study quality	moderate: secondary analysis		
Participants	N=601		
Patient characteristics	Inpatients/outpatients		
Intervention			
Comparison	Acamprosate vs. placebo		
Length of follow-up	/		
Outcome measures	Current psychiatric symptoms were assessed using Hamilton Anxiety and Depression (HAM-A, HAM-D) rating scales. Predictors of good response, defined as abstinence for ≥90% of trial duration, were identified using logistic regression. Response rates, rates of controlled drinking, percent days abstinent, percent days controlled drinking		
Outcome and effect size	Two significant independent negative predictors of good response were identified: (1) The "Anxious Mood" item from the 31-item SIGH-AD (odds ratio [OR]=0.61, 95% CI [0.40 0.91], p=0.016); and (2) having at least 1 psychiatric antecedent (OR=0.41, 95% CI [0.20 0.84], p=0.015). The same significant negative predictors were found for other tested outcomes: rates of controlled drinking, percent days abstinent, and percent days controlled drinking. Three independent significant positive predictors of good response were identified: (1) baseline motivation to be abstinent (OR=4.13, 95% CI [2.72 6.26], p<0.001); (2) lower pretreatment drinking intensity (OR=3.04, 95% CI [1.35 6.81], p=0.007); and (3) treatment with acamprosate (OR=1.63, 95% CI [1.07 2.48], p=0.022).		
Comments	Secondary analysis of the first U	.S. acamprosate trial	

Title	Effects of pretreatment and posttreatment depressive symptoms on alcohol consumption following treatment in Project MATCH.		
First Author	Samble, S.A., 2010 Source 20105416		
Level of evidence	1b	Study type	Meta- Analysis
Study quality	High: large sample size, follow- up, Project MATCH		

Participants	N=1726
Patient characteristics	Project MATCH
Intervention	three treatments conducted over a 3-month period: 4 sessions of motivational
	enhancement therapy vs. 12 sessions of cognitive-behavioral therapy vs. 12 sessions of
	twelve-step facilitation
Comparison	motivational enhancement therapy vs. cognitive-behavioral therapy vs. twelve-step
	facilitation
Length of follow-up	6-month follow-up, 12-month follow-up
Outcome measures	Pretreatment and post treatment depression symptoms (BDI), average DDD and PDA to
	quantify drinking intensity and frequency
Outcome and effect size	Patients with greater baseline depressive symptoms drank more frequently and intensely
	in the year following treatment than those with fewer baseline depressive symptoms.
	Patients who experienced greater depressive symptoms in the year following treatment
	reported fewer days abstinent and consumed more drinks on those nonabstinent days
	than those with fewer depressive symptoms
Comments	

Title	Cost- effectiveness of home visits in the outpatient treatment of patients with alcohol dependence.			
First Author	Moraes, E., 2010	Moraes, E., 2010 Source 20029212		
Level of evidence	2b	Study type	RCT	
Study quality	Moderate: no follow-up			
Participants	N=120			
Patient characteristics	Brazilian outpatients			
Intervention	conventional outpatient treatment for alcoholic patients (CT) vs. conventional treatment			
	plus home visits (HV)			
Comparison				
Length of follow-up				
Outcome measures	Identification of resources utilized by each intervention, as well as the cost according to			
	National Health System (SUS), Brazilian Medical Association (AMB) tables of fees, and			
	others based on 2005 data. incremental cost- effectiveness ratio (ICER)			
Outcome and effect size	51.8% abstinent cases for HV and 43.1% for CT, a clinically relevant finding. Other outcome			
	measures, such as quality of life, also showed significant improvements that favored HV.			
	The baseline scenario presented an ICER of USD 1,852. Sensitivity analysis showed an ICER			
	of USD 689 (scenario favoring HV) and USD 2.334 (scenario favoring CT).			
Comments				

Title	The role of ethnic matching between patient and provider on the effectiveness of brief alcohol intervention s with Hispanics			
First Author	Field, C., 2010 Source 19951297			
Level of evidence	2b	Study type	RCT	
Study quality	High; HLM was used to model the effects of treatment, ethnicity and covariates of interest on change in drinking outcomes from baseline to the 6 and 12-month follow-up. Analyses controlled for age, gender, employment status, marital status, education, baseline alcohol use, prior alcohol treatment, type of injury, and injury severity.			
Participants	N=537			
Patient characteristics	Outpatients, Hispanics			
Intervention	Brief motivational intervention (Brief motivational intervention (BMI)		
Comparison	Brief motivational intervention vs. TAU			
Length of follow-up	6-month follow-up; 12-month follow-up			
Outcome measures	Drinking outcomes including volume per week, maximum amount, and frequency of 5 or more drinks per occasion.			
Outcome and effect size	Hispanics who received BMI drank significantly less on average in comparison to Hispanics who did not receive BMI (d12=0.13). In addition, Hispanics who were less acculturated drank significantly less on average at 6- and 12-month follow-up than highly acculturated			

	Hispanics (p6=0.02 and p12=0.004, respectively). Hispanics who received BMI drank 5 or
	more per occasion significantly less often than Hispanics who did not receive brief
	intervention (d12=0.23). Hispanics who were less acculturated also drank 5 or more drinks
	per occasion less frequently at 12 months than highly acculturated Hispanics. Match
	between patient and provider resulted in a significant reduction in drinking outcomes at
	12-month follow-up. In addition, there was a tendency for ethnic match to be most
	beneficial to foreign-born Hispanics and less acculturated Hispanics
Comments	

Title	Web-based treatment for rural women with alcohol problems: preliminary findings.			
First Author	Finfgeld- Connett, D., 2011	Finfgeld- Connett, D., 2011 Source 19901570		
Level of evidence	2c	Study type	Field-study	
Study quality	Low: small sample size; no gene	ralizability		
Participants	N=46			
Patient characteristics	Outpatients, women			
Intervention	90-day web-based treatment program			
Comparison	Web-based treatment vs. standard care			
Length of follow-up	Pre/post			
Outcome measures	Demographic and participant satisfaction data			
Outcome and effect size	Participants indicated satisfaction with the program, and 83% noted that they would			
	recommend it to a friend			
Comments	Descriptive results			

Title	Individualized assessment and treatment program for alcohol dependence: results of an initial study to train coping skills.		
First Author	Litt, M.D., 2009	Source	19712124
Level of evidence	1b	Study type	RCT
Study quality	Moderate: initial study		
Participants	N=110		
Patient characteristics	Outpatients, <18 years, alcohol-	abuse or -dependence	
Intervention	packaged CBT program (PCBT): based on cognitive-behavioral principles and designed to remediate deficits in skills for coping with interpersonal (e.g., social pressure, conflict with others) and intrapersonal (e.g., craving, anger) antecedents to drinking; Individualized Assessment and Treatment Program (IATP): experience sampling via cellphone to assess coping skills prior to treatment, sessions focused on training four basic coping skills sets in each situation: Avoidance, Escape, Environmental Modification, and Personal Coping		
Comparison	IATP vs. CBT program (PCBT)		
Length of follow-up	Pre/post (12 weeks)		
Outcome measures	Form-90 (drinking data), Drinker Inventory of Consequences (problems related to drinking), Coping Strategies Scale (CSS), Experience Sampling (ES) of situations and coping via Interactive Voice Response (IVR)		
	PDA was higher for IATP patient d=0.40]; higher rate of abstinent significant [χ 2(1)=1.28]; PDH yields	ce in the IATP condition (30% v	v. 17%), but this was not
Comments	.		

Title	Engagement and retention in outpatient alcoholism treatment for women		
First Author	Graff, F.S., 2009	Source	19444731
Level of evidence	2c	Study type	Field study
Study quality	Low: filed- study		
Participants	N=102		

Patient characteristics	Women and their partners; outpatients
Intervention	couples were randomly assigned to either Alcohol Behavioral Individual Treatment (ABIT)
	or Alcohol Behavioral Couples Treatment (ABCT)
Comparison	Individual vs. couples treatment
Length of follow-up	Pre/post
Outcome measures	Drinking behaviour, readiness for change, homework record, treatment retention
Outcome and effect size	Women in the individual treatment condition attended significantly more sessions than
	women in the couples condition (t(100)=-1.98; p=0.05).
Comments	

Title	Alcohol treatment effects on se COMBINE study.	condary nondrinking outcom	es and quality of life: the
First Author	LoCastro, J.S., 2009	Source	19261230
Level of evidence	1b	Study type	RCT
Study quality	High: large sample size		
Participants	N=1226		
Patient characteristics	COMBINE study		
Intervention	eight treatment combination s ir the participants; half of the subjeassigned to receive a moderate- behavioral intervention	ects from each medication gro	oup were also randomly
Comparison	Naltrexone vs. placebo, acampro medical management alone vs. r		-
Length of follow-up	26-week follow-up, 52-week foll	ow-up	
Outcome measures	primary alcohol consumption outcomes: (1) percentage of days abstinent (PDA), (2) percentage of heavy drinking days (PHDD), and (3) drinks per drinking day (DDD); secondary nondrinking outcome dimensions: physical health, psychologic al health, social relationship s, and environment, craving, mutual-help group attendance, percentage of days paid for work, physical health, mental health		
Outcome and effect size Comments	At baseline, a greater number of variable (ranging from r=0.08, p-variables. At the16-week, 26-we outcome variables are significan higher PHDD, more DDD, and low more psychiatric symptoms, per all secondary outcomes; for most and/or 52-week follow-up time pintervention group (M [SE]=52.1 drug placebo group with no comadjusted; M [SD]=53.1 [0.48] unanltrexone/no combined behavi [SD]=51.0 [0.48] unadjusted) or groups (M [SD]=51.0 [0.46] adjusted, together, combined behavi impact than either one alone for	co.01, to r=0.25, p<0.001) that ek, and 52-week assessments tly correlated with the second wer PDA are related to lower occived stress. Significant post ot, these changes were maintated behavioral intervention adjusted) reported higher phyoral intervention (M [SD]=51.0 the combined behavioral intersted; M [SD]=51.0 the combined behavioral intersted; M [SD]=51.0 [0.46] unad oral intervention and naltrexcention and naltrexcention and naltrexcents.	all three of the drinking ary outcome variables, e.g. a quality-of-life measures, treatment improvements on ined over the 26-week plus combined behavioral [0.46] unadjusted) and the (M [SD]=53.1 [0.48] sical health than the 0 [0.48] adjusted; M vention/ drug placebo justed). This finding suggests one treatment have a greater

Title	Characteristics of first- time alcohol treatment seekers: the COMBINE Study.					
First Author	Locastro, J.S., 2008	ocastro, J.S., 2008 Source 18925347				
Level of evidence	1b	Study type	Meta- analysis			
Study quality	High: large sample size	High: large sample size				
Participants	N=1.362	N=1.362				
Patient characteristics	COMBINE study; inclusion	COMBINE study; inclusion eligibility criteria: (1) Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental				
	Disorders, Fourth Edition (Disorders, Fourth Edition (DSM-IV; American Psychiatric Association, 1994) criteria for				

	alcohol dependence; (2) a minimum of 4 days and a maximum of 21 days of abstinence immediately before time of randomization; and (3) more than 14 drinks (females) or 21 drinks (males) per week, with at least 2 heavy drinking days ≤4 drinks/day for females and ≤5 drinks/day for males) during a consecutive 30-day period within the 90 days before baseline evaluation
Intervention	Three prior-treatment groups: (1) treatment naive (n=691, 50.73%), (2) one to two prior treatments (n=380, 27.90%), or (3) three or more prior treatments (n= 291,21.37%)
Comparison	treatment naive vs. one to two prior treatments vs. three or more prior treatments
Length of follow-up	/
Outcome measures	multiple drinking and psychosocial variables
Outcome and effect size	The three treatment groups differed significantly (at p<0.001) from each other on nearly all alcohol consumption and severity measures. The group with three or more prior treatments reported a greater number of drinks per drinking day and drinks per day; participants reporting no prior treatment had the oldest age at onset of problem drinking (mean age=33.58 [16.20]), compared with those who had one-to two prior treatments (mean age=30.92 [13.04]) or those who had three or more prior treatments (mean age=28.86 [14.52]) (p<0.001); being female was found to have the greatest association with the treatment-naive group (p<0.0001)
Comments	

Title	Effectiveness of sequential comin preventing relapse in alcohol	-	on with treatment as usual
First Author	Neto, D., 2008	Source	18852481
Level of evidence	1b	Study type	RCT
Study quality	Moderate: follow-up		
Participants	N=209		
Patient characteristics	Outpatient alcohol- dependent	patients	
Intervention	Sequential combined treatment (SCT) vs. treatment as usual (TU); SCT: combined family, normative and stepped approach that seeks to maximize the family and social reinforcement for abstinence. Involves another adult person, significant in the life of the alcoholic patient, in affective and logistic terms, preferably living with him. Each patient is followed-up by only one therapist, usually a physician. TU: psychiatrist as the sole therapist		
Comparison	TU vs. SCT		
Length of follow-up	180 days follow-up		
Outcome measures	Primary outcome measure: time to first relapse, defined as the consumption of any amount of alcohol during the 180 days of follow-up. Secondary outcome measures: maximum duration of continuous abstinence (MDCA), cumulative abstinence duration (CAD), quality of life (ARPQ) and blood test markers of alcohol consumption		
Outcome and effect size	The SCT approach was more effective than TU. The Kaplan– Meier abstinent proportion at the end of the 180 days was 78% for the SCT group and 59% for the TU group (p<0.01). The mean time to first relapse was 150 days for SCT and 123 days for TU (p<0.01). The relative risk reduction of relapse was 62% for SCT after adjustment in multiple Cox regression (p<0.01). SCT had more MDCA (p<0.05) and more CAD (p<0.05)		
Comments			

Title	A double-blind, placebo-controlled study of sertraline with naltrexone for alcohol dependence.				
First Author	Farren, C.K., 2009 Source 18644685				
Level of evidence	Lb Study type RCT				
Study quality	High: double-blind intervention	High: double-blind intervention			
Participants	N=113	N=113			
Patient characteristics	Alcohol-dependent patients, abstinent from alcohol between 5 and 30 days				
Intervention	Relapse prevention psychother	apy on a weekly basi	s + one of	the two conditions	

Comparison	Combined use of naltrexone + sertraline vs. naltrexone + placebo sertraline
Length of follow-up	
Outcome measures	Time to first drink, time to first relapse to heavy drinking, percent days abstinent, number of drinks per drinking day for drinkers, change in Obsessive Compulsive Drinking Scale total scores, medication compliance
Outcome and effect size	No significant difference between groups in time to first relapse to heavy drinking (p=0.13), time to second drink (p=0.13), or in percent days abstinent (p=0.19)
Comments	

Title	Evidence- based treatments in the inpatient rehabilitation of alcoholics.		
First Author	Schmidt, P., 2008	Source	18256969
Level of evidence	2b	Study type	Field-study
Study quality	Moderate: no experimental inte	rvention	
Participants	N=5.504		
Patient characteristics	inpatient alcohol rehabilitation,	clinic for drug addiction in 200	14
Intervention	Examination of analogy of both treatment elements used in inpatient rehabilitation of alcohol dependents and the published knowledge concerning the effectiveness of therapy elements.		
Comparison	/		
Length of follow-up	/		
Outcome measures	/		
Outcome and effect size	Highest utilization rate resulted. The utilization rate of "cognitive training" 79.1%. Low utilization is "nutrient schooling" (28.9%), "not therapy" (14.8%), and the thera of the patients received services the average, patients took part if of 9 (SD=2.1) from a total of 14 expressions.	behavioral treatment" was 85 rates resulted for "relapse pre- on- smoker training" (17.3%), py element "groups of mental from the therapy element "ps n 18 treatment offers (SD=6.8)	6.4%, and of "soft skill vention" with 29.6%, "motivational enhancement comorbidity" (11.2%). 39.5% sychoanalytic therapy". On). This were treatment offers
Comments	Only descriptive results		

Title	Social networks and their influence on drinking behaviors: differences related to cognitive impairment in clients receiving alcoholism treatment			
First Author	Buckman, J.F., 2007 Source 17690808			
Level of evidence	2a	Study type	Meta- analysis	
Study quality	High: meta- analysis, follow-ups			
Participants	N=1.726			
Patient characteristics	Outpatients, aftercare clients			
Intervention				
Comparison				
Length of follow-up	3-, 6-, 9-,12-, and 15-month follo	ow-ups		
Outcome measures	Social network support: nine variables were derived from the raw data and dichotomized; 9 variables of social support; amount of alcohol consumed, alcohol-related problems, Social network support			
Outcome and effect size	Three independent social support classes (frequent positive, limited positive and negative) were identified. In the outpatient sample, the frequent positive support class had greater cognitive impairment at treatment entry versus other classes, and extent of impairment significantly predicted improved drinking outcomes in this class. In the aftercare sample, the frequent positive and negative support classes had heightened impairment, yet cognitive impairment significantly predicted relatively poorer drinking outcomes in the negative support class only.			
Comments				

Title	Naltrexone and cognitive behavioral coping skills therapy for the treatment of alcohol drinking and eating disorder features in alcohol- dependent women: a randomized controlled trial.			
First Author	O'Malley, S.S., 2007	Source		17374042
Level of evidence	1b	Study type		RCT
Study quality	Moderate: only women			
Participants	N=103			
Patient characteristics	Alcohol-dependent women; outpatients			
Intervention	Naltrexone in combination with Cognitive Behavioral Coping Skills Therapy (CBCST)			
Comparison	CBCST + placebo			
Length of follow-up	Pre/post (12 weeks)			
Outcome measures	Time to first drinking day, time to first day of heavy drinking			
Outcome and effect size	Naltrexone significantly delayed the time to the second (chi2=5.37, p=0.02) and third (χ 2=4.35, p=0.04) drinking days among subjects who did not maintain abstinence from			
	alcohol			
Comments				

Title	Anxiety sensitivity as a prospective predictor of alcohol use disorders.					
First Author	Schmidt, N.B., 2007	Schmidt, N.B., 2007 Source 17307935				
Level of evidence	2b	Study type	Prospective study			
Study quality	Low: non clinical setting; yo	Low: non clinical setting; young adults				
Participants	N=404	N=404				
Patient characteristics	nonclinical sample of young adults					
Intervention						
Comparison						
Length of follow-up	2-year follow-up	2-year follow-up				
Outcome measures	Anxiety Sensitivity Index					
Outcome and effect size	AS was uniquely associated with the later development of alcohol use disorder diagnoses					
Comments						

Title	Ear acupuncture for alcohol withdrawal in comparison with aromatherapy: a randomized controlled trial.				
First Author	Kunz, S., 2007 Source 17295728				
Level of evidence	2c	Study type	RCT		
Study quality	Low: pure results				
Participants	needle acupuncture (n=55) vs. a	romatherapy (n=54)			
Patient characteristics	Inpatients undergoing alcohol w	ithdrawal			
Intervention	Both therapies were applied dail	y during the first 5 consecutiv	e treatment days.		
Comparison	ear acupuncture vs. aromathera	ру			
Length of follow-up	Pre/post				
Outcome measures	The rating scale for the assessment of the alcohol-withdrawal syndrome (AWS scale) served as the main dependent variable and was applied daily during the first 5 days of the withdrawal. Further measures included a subjective visual analogue scale of craving and the Self-Assessment Manikin (SAM).				
Outcome and effect size	The groups differed in their initial self- reported arousal, which then served as a covariate in the further analyses. Neither the extent of craving nor of withdrawal symptoms differed between groups over the observation period. Self- rated arousal decreased in response to both treatments from days 1 to 2 (p<0.001) and within single days (p<0.001), and we found a significant interaction between pretreatment versus posttreatment and days (p<0.001). Neither the extent of craving nor of withdrawal symptoms differed between groups over the observation period. Self- rated arousal decreased in response to both treatments				
Comments		·			

Title	Viewing videotape of themselves while experiencing delirium tremens could reduce the relapse rate in alcohol- dependent patients.					
First Author	Mihai, A., 2006	Mihai, A., 2006 Source 17222276				
Level of evidence	2b	Study type	ı	RCT		
Study quality	Low: small sample size					
Participants	N=60					
Patient characteristics	patients with DT and a minim	num of 3 years of seve	re alcohol de	ependence		
Intervention	Patients were videotaped du	ring the acute phase o	f Delirium Ti	remens; individual exposure		
	to videotape and an explanation of the symptoms by a psychiatrist					
Comparison	viewing videotape vs. no videotape experience					
Length of follow-up	6 months					
Outcome measures	relapse, drinking days per week, number of drinks per drinking day					
Outcome and effect size	Patients with videotape experience had a significantly lower relapse rate after the first month (0% versus 20%), 2 months (13.33% versus 46.67%) and 3 months (26.67% versus 53.33%). Patients with videotape experience had less severe relapses and consumed fewer units of alcohol than controls.					
Comments		·				

Title	Exploration of the relationship between drinking intensity and quality of life.				
First Author	Stewart, S.H., 2006	Stewart, S.H., 2006 Source 16966191			
Level of evidence	1b	Study type			
Study quality	moderate				
Participants					
Patient characteristics	Project MATCH sample	Project MATCH sample			
Intervention					
Comparison					
Length of follow-up					
Outcome measures	quality of life, drinks per o	Irinking day (DDD)			
Outcome and effect size	Each quality of life indicator improved with decreased DDD. Gender and ethnicity modified				
	the DDD effect for some outcomes, with DDD exerting a greater influence on quality of life				
	in women and non-Hispanic whites.				
Comments					

Title	Antidepressant efficacy and hormonal effects of Sudarshana Kriya Yoga (SKY) in alcohol dependent individuals.			
First Author	Vedamurthachar, A., 2006	Source	16740317	
Level of evidence	2c	Study type	RCT	
Study quality	Low: small sample size			
Participants	N=60			
Patient characteristics	inpatients	inpatients		
Intervention	SKY vs. TAU; SKY therapy included alternate day practice of specified breathing exercise			
	under supervision of a trained therapist.			
Comparison	TAU (no SKY)			
Length of follow-up	Before and after the two weeks of intervention; no further follow-up			
Outcome measures	Subjects completed the Beck Depression Inventory (BDI) before and after the two weeks of this intervention. Morning plasma cortisol, ACTH and prolactin too were measured before and at the end of two weeks.			
Outcome and effect size	BDI scores significantly dropped at post- assessment and the drop was more in the SKY group. Drop also occurred in the plasma cortisol as well as ACTH levels differentially; being more in SKY group. Cortisol values dropped in all SKY individuals and in only 22 of the controls (χ 2=9.2, df=1; p=0.005). Percent drop in BDI correlated positively with that of ACTH (r=0.53, p=0.001) an also that of cortisol (r=0.52, p=0.001). Percentage drop in ACTH			

Comments	
	correlated with that in cortisol in SKY but not in control group.
	fell after two weeks but significantly more so in SKY group. Reduction in BDI scores
	significantly more so in SKY group. Likewise, in both groups plasma cortisol as well as ACTH
	p=0.003) for the SKY group only. In both groups reductions in BDI scores occurred but
	correlation between percentage drop in BDI and that in cortisol was significant (r=0.52,
	and cortisol too were correlated (r=0.35, p=0.14). When examined separately the

Title	Combined pharmacotherapies and behavioral interventions for alcohol dependence: the COMBINE study: a randomized controlled trial.					
First Author	Anton, R.F., 2006	Anton, R.F., 2006 Source				
Level of evidence	1b	Study type	Meta-analysis			
Study quality	High: large sample size					
Participants	N=1.383					
Patient characteristics	COMBINE study, alcohol abstine	ent participants who met criter	ria of alcohol- dependence			
Intervention	Eight groups of patients received medical management with 16 weeks of naltrexone (100mg/d) or acamprosate (3g/d), both, and/or both placebos, with or without a combined behavioral intervention (CBI)					
Comparison	combined CBI and naltrexone vs. combined CBI and acamprosate					
Length of follow-up	12-month follow-up					
Outcome measures	Percent days abstinent from alcohol and time to first heavy drinking day					
Outcome and effect size	Patients receiving naltrexone plus medical management, CBI plus medical management and placebos, or both naltrexone and CBI plus medical management had higher percent days abstinent (80.6, 79.2, and 77.1, respectively) than the 75.1 in those receiving placebos and medical management only; significant naltrexone * behavioral intervention interaction (p=.009); Naltrexone also reduced risk of a heavy drinking day (hazard ratio, 0.72; 97.5% CI [0.53 0.98]; p=0.02); those receiving CBI without pills or medical management had lower percent days abstinent (66.6) than those receiving placebo plus medical management alone or placebo plus medical management and CBI (73.8 and 79.8, respectively; p<0.001).					
Comments		·				

Title	The efficacy of compliance therapy in pharmacotherapy for alcohol dependence: a randomized controlled trial.				
First Author	Reid, S.C., 2005 Source 16459945				
Level of evidence	2b	Study type	RCT		
Study quality	Moderate: small sample size				
Participants	N=40				
Patient characteristics	Outpatients, men and women, 1	.8- 65 years old, diagnosis of a	lcohol dependence		
Intervention	All subjects were prescribed acamprosate (Campral) for 4 months. All subjects received usual medical care consisting of seven medical reviews (duration=15 minutes) over 4 months. Compliance therapy consisted of four to six individual sessions (duration=60 minutes) in which beliefs about medication, side effects, ambivalence, the benefits of treatment, treatment maintenance and relapse prevention were addressed and explored with motivational interviewing and cognitive behavior therapy techniques				
Comparison	Usual medical care (n=20) vs. usual medical care plus compliance therapy (n=20).				
Length of follow-up	4 month follow-up				
Outcome measures	Outcome Variables: number of days taking acamprosate, days to first drink, days to first relapse (more than five drinks) and days to first extended relapse (greater than 2 consecutive days of more than five drinks).				
Outcome and effect size	participation in three or more conumber of days participants too extended relapse (3 or more day significant difference between the to relapse (Table 2). Post hoc po	k acamprosate (Figure 1) and to was of more than five drinks; Fig the two groups in the number of	the number of days to cure 2). There was no of days to first drink or days		

	each of the per-protocol survival analyses suggested that with 50% of the CT group and 25% of the UC group taking acamprosate at 4 months, there was 45% power to declare this size of effect significant. Regarding time to first drink and time to relapse, 15% of the UC and 17% of the CT group had not drunk or relapsed by the end of treatment; there was 1% power to detect this difference. For time to extended relapse, 15% of the UC and 42% of the CT group had not drunk more than five drinks for 3 consecutive days by the end of treatment. The power to detect this difference was 58%.
Comments	

Title	Does contingency management affect motivation to change substance use?				
First Author	Ledgerwood, D.M., 2006 Source 16310974				
Level of evidence	2a	Study type	RCT		
Study quality	Moderate: follow-up				
Participants	N=115				
Patient characteristics	outpatients				
Intervention	Standard treatment was group based and consisted of relapse prevention and coping skills training, AIDS education and 12-step oriented therapy. Contingency management patients were provided with ST, but did not receive the additional educational components. CMpatients earned vouchers or prizes for providing negative breath and urine specimens. Each time a patient provided urine and breath samples that were negative for cocaine, opiates and alcohol, they were eligible for reinforcement (either a voucher or chance to win a prize depending on treatment condition).				
Comparison	three groups: standard treatment (ST), ST plus voucher CM and ST plus prize CM				
Length of follow-up	3-month follow-up				
Outcome measures					
Outcome and effect size	Patients receiving CM were significantly more likely than ST patients to achieve more than 8 consecutive weeks of abstinence during treatment, $\chi 2$ (N=142)=9.13, p<0.01. In total, 43.3% (N=45) of CM patients achieved a LDA of more than 8 weeks, compared with 15.7% (N=6) of patients who received only ST. Patients receiving CM were significantly more likely than ST patients to achieve longer abstinence.				
Comments					

Title	Effectiveness of treatment for alcohol problems: findings of the randomized UK alcohol treatment trial (UKATT).				
First Author	UKATT Research Team, 2005 Source 16150764				
Level of evidence	1b	Study type	RCT		
Study quality	High, effectiveness study				
Participants	N=742				
Patient characteristics	Outpatients; clients who would normally receive an offer of treatment from British treatment sites for alcohol problems				
Intervention	Social behavior and network therapy comprises cognitive and behavioral strategies to help clients build social networks supportive of change (8 sessions over 8-12 weeks); motivational enhancement therapy comprised three 50 minute sessions over eight to 12 weeks; combined counselling in the motivational style with objective feedback				
Comparison	social behavior and network therapy vs. motivational enhancement therapy				
Length of follow-up	3-month follow-up, 12-month follow-up				
Outcome measures	Changes in alcohol consumption, alcohol dependence, and alcohol related problems over 12 months.				
Outcome and effect size	only significant difference we found was that after three months the adjusted mean physical component score of the SF-36 for clients in the social network group exceeded that of the clients in the motivational group by 1.31 (95% CI [0.05 2.57]); Clients in both groups reported that total alcohol consumption had decreased by 48% at three months and by 45% at 12 months and that alcohol related problems had decreased by 44% at three months and by 50% at 12 months.				

Comments	

Title	Effects of music therapy on change readiness and craving in patients on a detoxification unit		
First Author	Silverman, M. J., 2011 Source 22506302		
Level of evidence	2b	Study type	Randomized three-group design. posttest only design
Study quality			
Participants	N=141		
Patient characteristics	Alcohol (n=77), Heroin	(n=32), pres	cribed drugs (n=13), others (n=18), no response (n=1)
Intervention			Condition B: verbal therapy (n=43), Condition C:
	recreational music ther		
Comparison	Verbal therapy, or recre	eational mus	sic therapy condition
Length of follow-up	Posttest only design		
Outcome measures			
Outcome and effect size	level • Between-group differ subjects effects were si Action [F(2, 128)=3.77, • Concerning Contemp mean than participants (p<0.007) • Participants in the tw from one another (p>0 • Although participants cravings (Condition A: I (M=7.19), there were n • Participant means of	rences were ignificant for p<0.027,η2: lation, particle in Condition on music there808) in both music sin both music sin both music sin both music significant post treatments.	significant, F(4, 256)=4.43, p<0.003, η2=0.065. Between-contemplation [F(2, 28)=8,89, p<0.001, η2=0.122] and e0.052]. Significants in Condition A had a significantly higher (p<.001) in B. Participants in Condition C had a significantly higher rapy conditions (A and C) were not significantly different sic therapy conditions tended to have slightly lower dition C: M=5.20) than participants in Condition B differences between groups. ent motivation, enjoyment, and helpfulness tended to be rapy conditions than in Condition B (Table 3).
Funding	No information		· · ,
Comments			

Title	Effects of Live and Educational Music Therapy on Working Alliance and Trust With Patients on Detoxification Unit: A Four-Group Cluster-Randomized Trial					
First Author	Silverman, M. J., 2016a	Silverman, M. J., 2016a Source 27487408				
Level of evidence	2b	Study type	Four-Group Cluster-Randomized Trial.			
			single-session posttest-only design			
Study quality						
Participants	N=130					
Patient characteristics	Alcohol (n=61), Crystal methamp	hetamine (n=	2), Heroin (n=28), Marijuana (n=2),			
	Prescription drug (n=35), no resp	oonse (n=2)				
Intervention	Condition A: Live educational mu	usic therapy (n	=37)			
Comparison	Condition B: recorded educational music therapy (n=30), Condition C: education without					
	music (n=30), Condition D: recreational music therapy (n=33)					
Length of follow-up	no follow up					
Outcome measures						
Outcome and effect size	No significant between-group difference in any of the dependent measures. No difference					
	in live versus recorded lyric analy	ysis conditions	or educational and recreational			
	interventions.					
			ce means (depicted in Table 2) tended to be			
	slightly higher during the live ed	ucational musi	ic therapy condition. Important for this			
	target group and in the practice, "as it may relate to treatment engagement, motivation,					
	and treatment readiness".					
Funding	The author reports no conflicts of interest. The author alone is responsible for the content					
	and writing of the article.					

Comments	

Title	Effects of lyric analysis interventions on treatment motivation in patients on a detoxification unit: a randomized effectiveness study			
First Author	Silverman, M. J., 2015	Silverman, M. J., 2015 Source 25701046		
Level of evidence	2b	Study type	Randomized Effectiveness Study, randomized controlled design	
Study quality				
Participants	N=104			
Patient characteristics	Alcohol (n=64), prescription drugs (n=22), heroin (n=15), Crack (n=1) Marihuana (n=1)			
Intervention	Lyric analysis treatment (n=51)			
Comparison	Wait list control group (n=53)	Wait list control group (n=53)		
Length of follow-up	No follow up			
Outcome measures				
Outcome and effect size	Results indicated that participants in the experimental condition had significantly higher problem recognition (F=5.49 p=0.021, η 2=0.053, M=-2.86), desire for help (F=4.51 p=0.036, η 2=0.044, M=-1.82) and treatment readiness (F=9.72, p=0.002, η 2=0.089, M=-2.70) mean scores than participants in the control condition (see Table 3). Relevant for the practice.			
Comments				

Title	Treating addiction with tunes: a systematic review of music therapy for the treatment of patients with addictions		
First Author	Mays, K. L., 2008	Source	19042198
Level of evidence	2a	Study type	Systematic review
Study quality			
Participants	N=5		
Patient characteristics	Sucht, verschiedene Mittel, 3 Stu	udien klinisch und ambulant	
Intervention	Musiktherapie additional zu anderen Therapien		
Comparison			
Length of follow-up	No follow up		
Outcome measures			
Outcome and effect size	 No consensus in the published literature regarding the effects of music therapy on outcomes for patients with addictions. No study investigated reduction of drug or alcohol consumption or the ability of music therapy to maintain abstinence for sober individuals. It is clear that a need exists to conduct controlled studies in which the goal is to show that music therapy has an independent effect on outcomes of patients with addictions. 		
Comments			

Title	Effects of Group Songwriting on Motivation and Readiness for Treatment on Patients in Detoxification: a randomized wait-list effectiveness study			
First Author	Silverman, M. J., 2012	Silverman, M. J., 2012 Source 23705345		
Level of evidence	2b	Study type	Randomized wait-list effectiveness study	
Study quality				
Participants	N=99			
Patient characteristics	Alcohol (n=63), Prescription drugs (n=14), Heroin (n=17), Cocaine /Crack (n=3)			
Intervention	Single group songwriting session (n=48)			
Comparison	Wait list control group (n=51)			
Length of follow-up	No follow up			
Outcome measures				
Outcome and effect size	Significant between-group differences were found in motivation (M=1.79, p=0.013) and readiness for treatment (M=3.96, p=0.001) scales. In both the motivation and readiness for treatment scales, the music therapy condition had higher means than the control condition.			

1	
C	
Comments	

Title	Effects of a Single Lyric Analysis Intervention on Withdrawal and Craving With Inpatients on a Detoxification Unit: A Cluster-Randomized Effectiveness Study		
First Author	Silverman, M. J., 2016b	Source	26800444
Level of evidence	2b	Study type	Cluster-randomized effectiveness study
Study quality	(N=144)		
Participants			
Patient characteristics	Alcohol (n=63), cocaine/crack (n	=2), heroin (n=6	0), prescription drugs (n=14), other (n=1)
Intervention	Group-based lyric analysis interventions (n=60)		
Comparison	Wait list control group (n=84)		
Length of follow-up	No follow up		
Outcome measures			
Outcome and effect size	Participants in the experimental condition tended to have lower withdrawal (F(1, 141) =3.75, p=0.055, partial η 2=0.026, MD=-9.74, 95% CI [-19.69 0.21]) and craving F(1,136)=3.00, p=0.085, partial η 2=0.022, MD=-0.093, 95% CI [-1.99 0.13]) means than participants in the control condition. These results, while not statistically significant, are clinically relevant due to the importance of these negative symptoms within the context of the detoxification setting.		
Funding	The author reports no conflicts of interest.		
Comments			

Title	Can music therapy engage patients in group cognitive behaviour therapy for substance abuse treatment?		
First Author	Dingle, G. A., 2008	Source	18264881
Level of evidence	2b/3b	Study type	Clinical trial
Study quality			
Participants	N=52		
Patient characteristics	Alcohol (n=13), cannabis (n=3) o	r injecting/polydrug use (n=7)	, age 17-52 years
Intervention	7-week trial of music therapy as	an adjunct to group cognitive	behaviour therapy with.
Comparison	No control group		
Length of follow-up	No follow up		
Outcome measures			
Outcome and effect size	 Average attendance rate of 75% across the 7-week trial. Attendance rates were generally high from the second session onwards. Ratings of motivation to participate in the music therapy session were uniformly high, with an overall mean of 4.0 (out of 5), SD=1.20. Self-rated enjoyment in the session was high, with an overall average of 4.25 (out of 5), SD=0.74. 83.5% of the sample rating their experience as 'enjoy- able' or 'extremely enjoyable' Would participate in another music therapy session, 83% of the participants said 'yes'. Music therapy provides a flexible and enjoyable approach to learning and is able to engage younger, drug-abusing patients equally well as older, alcohol- dependent patients. 		
Funding	No funding		
Comments	Only 24 surveys were analysed, men (n=10), women (n=14). Erste Therapie wurde nicht besucht, erst nach Aufklärung durch den MT in Einzeltherapie kamen Patienten. Daten der ersten Messung wurden mitgenommen.		

I ITIA	Effects of music therapy and music-based interventions in the treatment of substance use disorders: A systematic review		
First Author	Hohmann, L., 2017 Source 29141012		
Level of evidence	b Study type Systematic review		
Study quality			

Participants	34 quantitative and six qualitative studies		
Patient characteristics	Patients with SUD (substance use disorder)		
Intervention	Music therapy, Music Based interventions or MP		
Comparison	Control group		
Length of follow-up			
Outcome measures			
Outcome and effect size	Descriptive data only, due to the diversity of the quantitative studies, effect sizes were not computed. Benefits for MT/MBI for variable locus of control (67% positive effects compared to CG). The variable helpfulness of the intervention, half of the RCTs reported higher values for MT compared to CG. Variables motivation and enjoyment were inconsistent results. Half of the studies of high level evidence of efficacy did not identify statistically significant improvement for MT/ MBI participants. Regarding depression, withdrawal/ craving, participation, and coping skills none of the RCTs reported benefits for MT. Studies examining anxiety, medical symptoms, anger, sadness, and stress were all of low level evidence of efficacy () and results can only serve as a base for further research.		
Comments	Due to the diversity of the quantitative studies, effect sizes were not computed. We used a descriptive approach to summarize the efficacy evidence of quantitative studies.		

Title	The effect of a lyric analysis intervention on withdrawal symptoms and locus of control in patients on a detoxification unit: A randomized effectiveness study			
First Author	Silverman, M. J., 2010	Source		
Level of evidence	2b	Study type	randomized effectiveness study	
Study quality				
Participants	N=118			
Patient characteristics	Patients in detoxification facil	ity, women (n=56), i	men (n=57), no response (n=5)	
Intervention	Music therapy: lyric analysis (n=64)			
Comparison	verbal psychotherapy (n=54)			
Length of follow-up	No follow up			
Outcome measures				
Outcome and effect size	Between-group results were not significant. However, the participants in the music therapy condition (M=48.52, SD=34.08) tended to have slightly lower withdrawal scores (p>0.87) compared to CG (M=49.56, SD=37.68). Not significant (p>0.51), MT has slightly higher external locus of control (M=9.75, SD=4.86) than participants in the verbal therapy condition (M=8.38, SD=4.69). Participants from the experimental group made more comments categorized into the "positive change" category.			
Comments		·		

Title	Songwriting to Target State Shame, Guilt, and Pride in Adults with Substance Use Disorder on a Detoxification Unit: A Cluster-Randomized Study				
First Author	Silverman, M. J., 2019 Source 30831049				
Level of evidence	2b Study type A cluster-randomized study				
Study quality					
Participants	N=118				
Patient characteristics	Alcohol (n=52), heroin (n=59), prescription (n=10), methamphetamine (n=1); women (n=52), men (n=64)				
Intervention	Experimental (n=58), participants received a group-based blues songwriting protocol targeting state shame, guilt, and pride and then completed the questionnaire.				
Comparison	Control (n=60), control participants completed the questionnaire before receiving an intervention.				
Length of follow-up	No follow up				
Outcome measures					
Outcome and effect size	No significant between-group difference in state shame or guilt, p>0.05. Slightly less state shame and guilt mean scores in experimental group than participants in the control condition.				

Significant between-group difference in state pride (p<0.012) experimental participar		
	having higher state pride than control participants. ES was small (partial η2=0.053)	
Funding	No conflict of interest	
Comments		

Title	The use of art and music t	herapy in substance abu	se treatment programs
First Author	Aletraris, L., 2014	Source	25514689
Level of evidence	2c	Study type	Quantitative study
Study quality			
Participants	N=299		
Patient characteristics	U.S. substance abuse treat	ment programs	
Intervention	Art and music therapy		
Comparison			
Length of follow-up			
Outcome measures			
Outcome and effect size	36.8% of programs used art therapy and 14.7% used music therapy, with 11.7% using both. Programs with a higher percentage of female patients were significantly more likely to offer art therapy (OR=1.011). The percentage of adolescent clients was also positively associated with the use of art therapy but this did not reach standard level significance (OR=1.010). Programs that used MET were significantly more likely to offer art therapy (OR=1.682).		
Funding	No conflict of interest		
Comments			

Title	Effects of music therapy on drug avoidance self-efficacy in patients on a detoxification unit: a three-group randomized effectiveness study			
First Author	Silverman, M. J., 2014	Source	25514686	
Level of evidence	2b	Study type	a three-group randomized effectiveness study	
Study quality				
Participants	N=131			
Patient characteristics	Patients on a detoxification unit, women (n=59), man (n=70), no response (n=2), Alcohol (n=75), Crack/Cocaine (n=2), Heroin (n=22), prescription drugs (n=31), No response (n=1)			
Intervention	Music therapy-group lyric analysis intervention.			
Comparison	Verbal therapy-group talk therapy session, wait-list-control			
Length of follow-up	No follow up			
Outcome measures				
Outcome and effect size	Concerning drug avoidance self-efficacy and eagerness for treatment, participants in the music therapy condition tended to have higher means (drug avoidance self-efficacy: M=73.04, SD=19.36, eagerness for treatment: M=5.82 SD=1.57) whereas participants in the wait-list control condition (drug avoidance self-efficacy: M=66.38, SD=12.67, eagerness for treatment: M=4.96 SD=2.51) tended to have the lowest means. No significant betweengroup differences in motivation, treatment eagerness, or drug avoidance self-efficacy.			
Comments	Single session		·	

Title	Effectiveness of Psychoanalytic-Interactional Group Therapy vs. Behavioral Group Therapy in Routine Outpatient Treatment of Alcohol-Dependent Patients				
First Author	Nyhuis, P. W., 2018	Source	29016275		
Level of evidence	1b	Study type	RCT "quasi-randomisiert"		
Study quality	High-medium				
Participants	N=215				
Patient characteristics	F10.2				
Intervention	nach 10-tägiger stationärer Entzugsbehandlung folgte eine 6monatige ambulante				

	Entwöhnungstherapie mit zusätzlichem "clinical care package", alle 4-6 Wochen ein "Arztgespräch"
Comparison	"quasi-randomisiert": psychoanalytisch interaktionellen Therapie gegenüber der kombinierten behavioralen Intervention. Die eine Gruppe (n=105) erhielt Combined Behavioral Intervention (CBI) (Miller et al., 2004), die andere Gruppe (n=110) erhielt Psychoanalytic Interactional Therapy (PIT) (Heigl-Evers & Ott, 2002)
Length of follow-up	6 Monate
Outcome and effect size	PIT zeigte ein signifikant besseres Ergebnis bezüglich der Rückfallquote (33,6% bei PIT vs. 49,5% bei CBI; p=0,018) / Haltequote (retention rate) bei PIT (81,8%) auch besser als bei CBI (66,7%); Die Abbruchquote war bei der behavioralen Intervention (n=35) signifikant erhöht im Vergleich zu der psychoanalytisch interaktionellen Intervention (n=20, p=0,008).
Funding	Professor N. Scherbaum received honoraria for the participation in Advisory Boards and for holding lectures by the companies AbbVie, Sanofi-Aventis, Mundipharma, Indivior (formerly Reckitt-Benckiser) and Lundbeck in the past three years. Professor F. Schifano is a member of the ACMD UK as well as of the EMA Psychiatry Advisory Board; his brother is an employee of Astra Zeneca Italy. Professor U. Bonnet, Dr. P. W. Nyhuis, Dr. M. Specka, E. Niederhofer, N. Dembski, A. Niederhofer and M. Tenbergen have nothing to declare.
Comments	

Tabellenband

DELBI-Bewertung der internationalen Quell-Leitlinien (Übernommen aus der Ersterstellung)

1. DELBI-Bewertung der internationalen Quell-Leitlinien

DELBI-Domäne 3 Alcohol-use disorders: Diagnosis, assessment and management of harmful of		trifft vollständig zu
(NICE, 2011)	3	,
8. Systematische Anwendung von Methoden bei Evidenzsuche		4
9. Auswahlkriterien für Evidenz klar beschrieben		4
10. Formulierung der für Empfehlungen verwendeten Methoden klar beschrieben		4
11. Nutzen, Nebenwirkungen & Risiken berücksichtigt		4
12. Verbindung von Empfehlung und Evidenz		4
13. Externe Begutachtung		4
14. Verfahren zur Aktualisierung der LL angegeben	1	
Summe	25	
Standardisierter Domänenwert	0,9	
Alcohol-use disorders: Preventing the development of harmful drinking an	d alcohol dependence (PH 24)	(NICE, 2010)
8. Systematische Anwendung von Methoden bei Evidenzsuche		4
9. Auswahlkriterien für Evidenz klar beschrieben		4
10. Formulierung der für Empfehlungen verwendeten Methoden klar beschrieben		4
11. Nutzen, Nebenwirkungen & Risiken berücksichtigt 12. Verbindung von Empfehlung und Evidenz		4 1
13. Externe Begutachtung		΄ Δ
14. Verfahren zur Aktualisierung der LL angegeben		4
<u> </u>	24	-
Standardisierter Domänenwert	0,9	

	1	2	3	4
DELBI-Domäne 3				trifft vollständig zu
Alcohol-use disorders: Preventing the development of harmful drinking and	alcohol deper	ndence ((PH 24)	(NICE, 2010)
Systematische Anwendung von Methoden bei Evidenzsuche			3	
9. Auswahlkriterien für Evidenz klar beschrieben			3	
10. Formulierung der für Empfehlungen verwendeten Methoden klar beschrieben			3	
11. Nutzen, Nebenwirkungen & Risiken berücksichtigt			3	
12. Verbindung von Empfehlung und Evidenz			3	
13. Externe Begutachtung				4
14. Verfahren zur Aktualisierung der LL angegeben				4
Summe	23			
Standardisierter Domänenwert	0,8			
Alcohol use and pregnancy consensus clinical guideline (2010)				
8. Systematische Anwendung von Methoden bei Evidenzsuche			3	
9. Auswahlkriterien für Evidenz klar beschrieben		2		
10. Formulierung der für Empfehlungen verwendeten Methoden klar beschrieben	1			
11. Nutzen, Nebenwirkungen & Risiken berücksichtigt	1			
12. Verbindung von Empfehlung und Evidenz		2		
13. Externe Begutachtung				4
14. Verfahren zur Aktualisierung der LL angegeben	1			
Summe	14			
Standardisierter Domänenwert	0,5			

DELBI-Domäne 3	trifft überhaupt nicht zu	2	3	4 trifft vollständig zu
Australian Guidelines to reduce health risks from drinking (2010)				
Systematische Anwendung von Methoden bei Evidenzsuche				4
9. Auswahlkriterien für Evidenz klar beschrieben				4
10. Formulierung der für Empfehlungen verwendeten Methoden klar beschrieben				4
11. Nutzen, Nebenwirkungen & Risiken berücksichtigt				4
12. Verbindung von Empfehlung und Evidenz			3	
13. Externe Begutachtung				4
14. Verfahren zur Aktualisierung der LL angegeben				4
Summe	27			
Standardisierter Domänenwert	1,0			
VA/DoD clinical practice guideline for management of substance use disord	ers (2008, 20	10)		
Systematische Anwendung von Methoden bei Evidenzsuche				4
Systematische Artwertading von Wethoden bei Evidenzsderie Auswahlkriterien für Evidenz klar beschrieben				1
10. Formulierung der für Empfehlungen verwendeten Methoden klar beschrieben				1
11. Nutzen, Nebenwirkungen & Risiken berücksichtigt				4
12. Verbindung von Empfehlung und Evidenz				4
13. Externe Begutachtung				4
14. Verfahren zur Aktualisierung der LL angegeben				4
Summe	28			
Standardisierter Domänenwert	1,0			

	1 2 3	4
DELBI-Domäne 3	trifft überhaupt nicht zu	trifft vollständig zu
Care of HIV-infected substance users (2009)		<u> </u>
Systematische Anwendung von Methoden bei Evidenzsuche	1	
9. Auswahlkriterien für Evidenz klar beschrieben	1	
10. Formulierung der für Empfehlungen verwendeten Methoden klar beschrieben	1	
11. Nutzen, Nebenwirkungen & Risiken berücksichtigt	1	
12. Verbindung von Empfehlung und Evidenz	1	
13. Externe Begutachtung	1	
14. Verfahren zur Aktualisierung der LL angegeben	1	
Summe	7	
Standardisierter Domänenwert	0,3	
Incorporating alcohol pharmacotherapies into medical practice (2009)		
Systematische Anwendung von Methoden bei Evidenzsuche	1	
9. Auswahlkriterien für Evidenz klar beschrieben	1	
10. Formulierung der für Empfehlungen verwendeten Methoden klar beschrieben	1	
11. Nutzen, Nebenwirkungen & Risiken berücksichtigt		4
12. Verbindung von Empfehlung und Evidenz	1	
13. Externe Begutachtung		4
14. Verfahren zur Aktualisierung der LL angegeben		4
Summe	16	
Standardisierter Domänenwert	0,6	

DELBI-Domäne 3	trifft überhaupt nicht zu	2 3	4 trifft vollständig zu
Medical care of HIV-infected substance-using women (2009)			
Systematische Anwendung von Methoden bei Evidenzsuche	1		
9. Auswahlkriterien für Evidenz klar beschrieben	1		
10. Formulierung der für Empfehlungen verwendeten Methoden klar beschrieben	1		
11. Nutzen, Nebenwirkungen & Risiken berücksichtigt		2	
12. Verbindung von Empfehlung und Evidenz	1		
13. Externe Begutachtung	1		
14. Verfahren zur Aktualisierung der LL angegeben	1		
Summe	8		
Standardisierter Domänenwert	0,3		
Clinical management of alcohol use and abuse in HIV-infected patients (200	8)		
8. Systematische Anwendung von Methoden bei Evidenzsuche	1		
9. Auswahlkriterien für Evidenz klar beschrieben	1		
10. Formulierung der für Empfehlungen verwendeten Methoden klar beschrieben	1		
11. Nutzen, Nebenwirkungen & Risiken berücksichtigt		2	
12. Verbindung von Empfehlung und Evidenz		2	
13. Externe Begutachtung	1		
14. Verfahren zur Aktualisierung der LL angegeben	1		
Summe	9		
Standardisierter Domänenwert	0,3		

	1	2	3	4
DELBI-Domäne 3	trifft überhaupt nicht zu			trifft vollständig zu
Preventive services for adults (2007; 2010)				
Systematische Anwendung von Methoden bei Evidenzsuche			3	
9. Auswahlkriterien für Evidenz klar beschrieben	1			
10. Formulierung der für Empfehlungen verwendeten Methoden klar beschrieben				4
11. Nutzen, Nebenwirkungen & Risiken berücksichtigt		2		
12. Verbindung von Empfehlung und Evidenz		2		
13. Externe Begutachtung	1			
14. Verfahren zur Aktualisierung der LL angegeben				4
Summe	17			
Standardisierter Domänenwert	0,6			
Counseling about proper use of motor vehicle occupant restraints and avoid	dance of alcoh	ol use w	hile dri	ving (2007)
8. Systematische Anwendung von Methoden bei Evidenzsuche				4
9. Auswahlkriterien für Evidenz klar beschrieben				4
10. Formulierung der für Empfehlungen verwendeten Methoden klar beschrieben				4
11. Nutzen, Nebenwirkungen & Risiken berücksichtigt				4
12. Verbindung von Empfehlung und Evidenz				4
13. Externe Begutachtung				4
14. Verfahren zur Aktualisierung der LL angegeben	1			
Summe	25			
Standardisierter Domänenwert	0,9			

		4
DELBI-Domäne 3	trifft überhaupt nicht zu	trifft vollständig zu
Treatment of patients with substance use disorders (2006)		
8. Systematische Anwendung von Methoden bei Evidenzsuche		4
9. Auswahlkriterien für Evidenz klar beschrieben		4
10. Formulierung der für Empfehlungen verwendeten Methoden klar beschrieben		4
11. Nutzen, Nebenwirkungen & Risiken berücksichtigt		4
12. Verbindung von Empfehlung und Evidenz		4
13. Externe Begutachtung		4
14. Verfahren zur Aktualisierung der LL angegeben]1	
Summe	25	
Standardisierter Domänenwert	0,9	
Substance misuse and alcohol use disorders. In: Evidence-based geriatric n	nursing protocols for best prac	tice (2009)
8. Systematische Anwendung von Methoden bei Evidenzsuche	2	
9. Auswahlkriterien für Evidenz klar beschrieben	1	
	2	
10. Formulierung der für Empfehlungen verwendeten Methoden klar beschrieben	_	
10. Formulierung der für Empfehlungen verwendeten Methoden klar beschrieben11. Nutzen, Nebenwirkungen & Risiken berücksichtigt	1	
	1 1	
11. Nutzen, Nebenwirkungen & Risiken berücksichtigt	1	4
11. Nutzen, Nebenwirkungen & Risiken berücksichtigt12. Verbindung von Empfehlung und Evidenz	1 1 1	4
11. Nutzen, Nebenwirkungen & Risiken berücksichtigt12. Verbindung von Empfehlung und Evidenz13. Externe Begutachtung	1 1 1 12	4

Tabellenband

Leitlinien-Synopsen

(Übernommen aus der Ersterstellung)

Kapitel "2. Screening und Diagnostik"

Klinische Fragestellung:

"Welche Screening-Instrumente sind jeweils am besten geeignet, um mit einer möglichst hohen Sensitivität (chronischer Alkoholkonsum) und Spezifität (akuter Alkoholkonsum, Abstinenz-Kontrolle) in unterschiedlichen klinischem Umfeld (z.B. Hausarztpraxis, stationäre Aufnahme, Notaufnahme, präoperatives Screening, Intensivstation) Alkoholkonsum nachzuweisen?"

Fragebogen

Guideline	Alcohol-use disorders: Diagnosis, assessment and management of harmful drinking and alcohol dependence (CG115)	Australian guidelines to reduce health risks from drinking alcohol (2009)	Australian guidelines to reduce health risks from drinking alcohol (2009)	Deutsche Rentenversicherung: Reha- Therapie-standards Alkohol- abhängigkeit – Leitlinie für die medizinische Rehabilitation der RV
Recommendation	Harmful drinking and alcohol dependence (chapter 1.2.1.4 or 5.26.1.4): Use formal assessment tools to assess the nature and severity of alcohol misuse, including the - AUDIT for identification and as a routine outcome measure - SADQ or LDQ for severity of dependence - Clinical Institute Withdrawal Assessment of Alcohol Scale, revised (CIWA-Ar) for severity of withdrawal - APQ for the nature and extent of the problems arising from alcohol misuse	Statement 3.40 Questionnaire-based screening is accurate, minimally intrusive and has been found to be acceptable to recipients. It is also considerably cheaper than using physiological tests to detect alcohol-related problems (Wallace 2001). Evidence statement 5.5 Only a limited amount of evidence could be identified relating to the performance of alcohol screening questionnaires in hospital settings. The 'Five-shot questionnaire' was shown to detect alcohol misuse in adult male inpatients at a cut-off of greater than or equal to 2.5 (one [++] Belgium). AUDIT was effective in screening UK male and female adult general medical admissions for hazardous and harmful alcohol consumption (one [+] UK). AUDIT was also reported to perform effectively among general hospital inpatients (one [++] systematic review).)	3.5 Quantity–frequency estimates is the recommended way to detect levels of consumption in excess of the NHMRC 2009 guidelines in the general population	

Reference	NICE 2011		Australian Government Department of Health and Ageing (2009)	Deutsche Rentenversicherung (2011)
Strength of recommendation / Evidence	Long version: 5.20.2 Evidence summary: Tools are feasible and appropriate to use in a NHS. For case identification and initial assessment of problem severity. narrative review, no systematic reporting of evidence		(D), (IV) No general statements regarding the use of questionnaires	-
		Evidence statement 5.6 Evidence was identified for the use of alcohol screening questionnaires among adults in emergency care settings. One study found that the CAGE questionnaire was effective in screening for a lifetime diagnosis of alcohol dependence in trauma centre patients ([++] USA). AUDIT- C was shown to effectively identify hazardous drinking among male and female adult traffic casualties in an emergency department (one [+] Spain). FAST displayed good screening properties in the identification of alcohol problems among males and females presenting to an A&E setting in the UK (literature review). The 'Paddington alcohol test' has been shown to be rapid, feasible to use, be UK-specific and to have reasonably good screening properties for the detection of alcohol misuse when implemented in response to clinical 'trigger' conditions in A&E care. These are listed as follows: fall; collapse; head injury; assault; accident; unwell; non-specific gastrointestinal conditions; psychiatric; cardiac; repeat attender (three [++] UK).)		

Alcohol-use disorders identification test (AUDIT)

Guideline	Alcohol-use disorders: Diagnosis, assessment and management of harmful drinking and alcohol dependence (CG115)	Alcohol-use disorders: preventing the development of hazardous and harmful drinking - public health guidance (PH 24)	reduce health risks from	Deutsche Rentenversicherung: Reha- Therapie-standards Alkohol- abhängigkeit – Leitlinie für die medizinische Rehabilitation der RV
Recommentation	Harmful drinking and alcohol dependence (chapter 1.2.1.4 or 5.26.1.4 full version): Use formal assessment tools to assess the nature and severity of alcohol misuse, including the: - AUDIT for identification and as a routine outcome measure - SADQ or LDQ for severity of dependence - Clinical Institute Withdrawal Assessment of Alcohol Scale, revised (CIWA-Ar) for severity of withdrawal - APQ for the nature and extent of the problems arising from alcohol misuse.	Evidence statement 5.1 The AUDIT is effective in the identification of hazardous and harmful drinking in adults in primary care (three [++] systematic reviews, one [++] Finland, one [++] UK and one literature review [not graded]). The use of lower thresholds in conjunction with alcohol screening questionnaires was recommended for women (one [++] Finland, one [++] Belgium, one [++] systematic review and one literature review [not graded]). Optimal screening thresholds for the detection of hazardous or harmful drinking using AUDIT appeared to be >=7 or 8 among men (two [++] systematic reviews) and >=6 to 8 among women (one [++] systematic review, one [++] Finland and one literature review [not graded]). Optimal screening thresholds for identifying binge drinking using AUDIT were >= 7 or 8 for adult males (no data available for females) (one [++] Finland). Primary studies included in a systematic review (++) recommended higher AUDIT thresholds for males (5 to 8) than females (2 to 6). 5.5: AUDIT was effective in screening UK male and female adult general medical admissions for hazardous and harmful alcohol consumption (one [+] UK). AUDIT was also reported to perform effectively among general hospital inpatients (one [++] systematic review). Evidence statement 3.41: The AUDIT has been validated in a number of health and social care settings and across a range of drinking	Chapter 3.6 AUDIT is the most sensitive of the currently available screening tools and is recommended for use in the general population.	

cultures (Reinert and Allen 2007). ..lt asks about drinking frequency and intensity and covers experience of alcohol-related problems and signs of possible dependence. AUDIT can detect 92% of genuinely hazardous and harmful drinkers and excludes 93% of those who are not. It is regarded as the 'gold standard' screening questionnaire for detecting hazardous and harmful drinking.

Evidence statement 3.41:

...In addition, categories of risk in relation to alcohol consumption may be defined by scores used in the AUDIT. These are as follows: 1–7: low-risk drinking; 8–15: hazardous drinking; 16–19: harmful drinking; 20+: possible dependence....

What action should they take?

... Complete a validated alcohol questionnaire with the adults being screened. Alternatively, if they are competent enough, ask them to fill one in themselves. Use AUDIT to decide whether to offer them a brief intervention (and, if so, what type) or whether to make a referral. If time is limited, use an abbreviated version (such as AUDIT-C, AUDIT-PC, SASQ or FAST). Screening tools should be appropriate to the setting. For instance, in an emergency department FAST or PAT would be most appropriate. ... Use professional judgment as to whether to revise the AUDIT scores downwards when screening: women, including those who are, or are planning to become pregnant, younger people (under the age of 18). people aged 65 and over, people from some black and minority ethnic groups.

recommendation / Evidence	Long version: 5.20.2 Evidence summary: feasible and appropriate to use in a NHS. For case identification and initial assessment of problem severity. No strength of recommendation, no level of evidence (narrative reporting)	A, 1	A, 1	
Reference				

AUDIT-C

Guideline	assessment and management of	Alcohol-use disorders: preventing the development of hazardous and harmful drinking - public health guidance (PH 24)	Australian guidelines to reduce health risks from drinking alcohol (2009)	Deutsche Rentenversicherung: Reha- Therapie-standards Alkohol- abhängigkeit – Leitlinie für die medizinische Rehabilitation der RV
Recommentation	monitoring (addressing assessment- The AUDIT-C (Bush et al., 1998) is a three-item version of the AUDIT which measures only alcohol consumption; that is, frequency of drinking, quantity consumed on a typical occasion and the frequency of heavy episodic drinking (six or more standard drinks on a single occasion). Bush and colleagues (1998) reported that the AUDIT-C performed better than the full AUDIT in detecting heavy drinking and was just as effective as the full AUDIT in identifying active alcohol misuse or dependence. The study also found that using a cut-off of 3 out of a possible 12 points, the AUDIT-C correctly identified 90% of active alcohol abuse/dependence, and 98% of patients	Other findings drawn from primary care were more cautious of the utility of the shorter forms of this questionnaire (one [++] systematic review). The optimal screening threshold for the detection of hazardous drinking using AUDIT-C was greater than or equal to three among men and women (one [++] systematic review and one [++] USA). However, thresholds of greater than or equal to five for the detection of heavy drinking among females and greater than or equal to six for identifying bingeing moderate and heavy drinking men were also recommended (one [++] Finland). Primary		

AUDIT oder AUDIT-C allen Patienten in allen Settings

Guideline	assessment and management of	Alcohol-use disorders: preventing the development of hazardous and harmful drinking - public health guidance (PH 24)	Australian guidelines to reduce health risks from drinking alcohol (2009)	Deutsche Rentenversicherung: Reha-Therapie-standards Alkohol- abhängigkeit – Leitlinie für die medizinische Rehabilitation der RV
Recommendation	to assess the nature and severity of alcohol misuse, including: AUDIT for identification and as a routine outcome measure SADQ or LDQ for severity of dependence Clinical Institute Withdrawal Assessment of Alcohol Scale, revised (CIWA-Ar) for severity of withdrawal APQ for the nature and extent of the problems arising from alcohol misuse.	Evidence statement 5.2: The evidence for the effectiveness of shorter versions of AUDIT in adults in primary care was variable. Some authors of cross-sectional diagnostic evaluations observed comparable performance between the full AUDIT and shorter versions (two [++] Finland, one [++] Belgium and one [++] USA). Other findings drawn from primary care were more cautious of the utility of the shorter forms of this questionnaire (one [++] systematic review). The optimal screening threshold for the detection of hazardous drinking using AUDIT-C was greater than or equal to three among men and women (one [++] systematic review and one[++] USA). However, thresholds of greater than or equal to five for the detection of heavy drinking among females and greater than or equal to six for identifying bingeing moderate and heavy drinking men were also recommended (one [++] Finland). Primary studies included in a systematic review recommended higher AUDIT-C thresholds for males (three to six) than females (two to five) (one [++]). FAST was described, within a literature review (not graded), as being effective in the detection of alcohol problems at a cut-off point of greater than or equal		

		to one in males and females in a primary care setting in the UK. Statement 3.43 Even with just 10 questions, the full AUDIT questionnaire has been considered too lengthy for use in routine practice. Thus several shorter versions have been developed (for details see www.ncl.ac.uk/ihs/assets/pdfs/hmitm/screeningtools.pdf). These comprise between one and four questions. Generally, they are less accurate than the full AUDIT and do not clearly differentiate between hazardous, harmful and possibly dependent drinking.		
Strength of recommendation / Evidence	AUDIT. 5.20.2 Evidence summary (full version): Feasible and appropriate to use in a national health system for case identification and initial assessment of problem severity.		1 No statement regarding the short version of AUDIT	
Reference				

Akuter Alkoholkonsum und Zustandsparameter wie EtG oder EtS in Serum und Urin

Guideline	Alcohol-udisorders: Diagnosis, assessment and management of harmful drinking and alcohol dependence (CG115)	Alcohol-use disorders: preventing the development of hazardous and harmful drinking - public health guidance (PH 24)	Australian guidelines to reduce health risks from drinking alcohol (2009)	Deutsche Rentenversicherung: Reha- Therapie-standards Alkohol-abhängigkeit – Leitlinie für die medizinische Rehabilitation der RV
Recommendation	Harmful drinking and alcohol dependence: Evidence statement 1.2.2.10: Consider measuring breath alcohol as part of the management of assisted withdrawal. However, breath alcohol should not usually be measured for routine assessment and monitoring in alcohol treatment programs. Evidence statement 1.2.2.9: Consider blood tests to help identify physical health needs, but do not use blood tests routinely for the identification and diagnosis of alcohol use disorders.	Evidence statement 5.10: Laboratory markers are of limited value in the detection of alcohol misuse when compared with alcohol screening questionnaires (two [++] UK, one [++] Belgium and one [+] Germany). However, the use of blood-alcohol concentration testing may complement the use of later questionnaire screening in the identification of alcohol misuse among patients treated in the emergency department resuscitation room (one [++] UK).	Evidence statement 3.8: Direct measures of alcohol in breath and/or blood can be useful markers of recent use and in the assessment of intoxication.	
Strength of recommendation / Evidence	No strength of recommendation, no level of evidence (narrative reporting)	No strength of recommendation, no level of evidence (narrative reporting) markers not mentioned	Level of evidence 2, markers not mentioned	
Reference				

Chronischer Alkoholkonsum und Zustandsmarker (EtG in Haaren und PEth im Blut)

Guideline	Alcohol-use disorders: Diagnosis,	Alcohol-use disorders: preventing the	Australian guidelines to reduce	Deutsche Rentenversicherung: Reha-	
	assessment and management of	development of hazardous and harmful	health risks from drinking alcohol	Therapie-standards Alkohol-abhängigkeit	
	harmful drinking and alcohol	drinking - public health guidance (PH	(2009)	– Leitlinie für die medizinische	
	dependence (CG115)	24)		Rehabilitation der RV	
Recommendation	Harmful drinking and alcohol	Evidence statement 5.10:	Evidence statement 3.8:		
	dependence:	Laboratory markers are of limited value	Direct measures of alcohol in breath		
		in the detection of alcohol misuse when	and/or blood can be useful markers		
	Evidence statement 1.2.2.10:	compared with alcohol screening	of recent use an in the assessment of	:	
	Consider blood tests to help identify	questionnaires (two [++] UK, one [++]	intoxication.		
	physical health needs, but do not use	Belgium and one [+] Germany).			
	blood tests routinely for the	However, the use of blood-alcohol			
	identification and diagnosis of AUD.	concentration testing may complement			
		the use of later questionnaire screening			
	Evidence statement 5.25.6:	in the identification of alcohol misuse			
	Methods of physical investigation:	among patients treated in the			
	Breath /blood alcohol level	emergency department resuscitation			
	Blood/breath alcohol concentration	room (one [++] UK).			
	may be a useful part of the clinical				
	assessment in the following areas:				
	Although self- report has been found				
	to be a reliable indicator of levels of				
	alcohol consumption in treatment				
	seeking populations, patients with				
	alcohol in their system at the time of				
	assessment are more likely to				
	underestimate their levels of alcohol				
	consumption (Sobell & Sobell, 2003).				
	Clinicians have a responsibility to				
	discuss drink driving concerns with				
	patients and hair and sweat				
	analysis.				

Reference				
Evidence	Markers not mentioned	Markers not mentioned		
Strength of recommendation /	No strength of recommendation, no level of evidence (narrative reporting)	_	Strength of recommendation: D Markers not mentioned	
	Balikova, 2006)			
	routine clinical care (Pragst &			
	evidence to recommend their use in			
	but there is currently a lack of			
	focus on hair and skin sweat analysis,			
	alcohol consumption. Studies to date			
	growing interest by manufacturers in the design biomarkers for recent			
	monitoring purposes and so there is a			
	This is less useful for regulatory			
	Sobell, 2003).			
	measurement is self- report (Sobell &			
	past, and the mainstay of outcome			
	alcohol consumption in the recent			
	reliable or accurate way of measuring			
	the body, there is currently no			
	As alcohol is rapidly excreted from			

Chronischer Alkoholkonsum Kombination von indirekten Zustandsmarkern (z.B. GGT&MCV&CDT, Antilla Index, AlcIndex)

Guideline	Alcohol-use disorders: Diagnosis,	Alcohol-use disorders: preventing the	Australian guidelines to reduce	Deutsche Rentenversicherung: Reha	
	assessment and management of harmful	development of hazardous and	health risks from drinking alcohol	Therapie-standards Alkohol- abhängigkeit – Leitlinie für die	
	drinking and alcohol dependence (CG115)	harmful drinking - public health	(2009)		
		guidance (PH 24)		medizinische Rehabilitation der RV	
Recommendation	Harmful drinking and alcohol dependence:	Evidence statement 5.10: Laboratory	Carbohydrate-deficient transferrin		
		markers are of limited value in the	should only be used as an adjunct		
	Evidence statement 1.2.2.10: Consider	detection of alcohol misuse when	to other screening measures as		
	blood tests to help identify physical health	compared with alcohol screening	they have lower sensitivity and		
	needs, but do not use blood tests routinely	questionnaires (two [++] UK, one [++]	specificity in detecting at-risk		
	for the identification and diagnosis of	Belgium and one [+] Germany).	people than structured		
	alcohol use disorders.	However, the use of blood-alcohol	questionnaire approaches (such as		
		concentration testing may	AUDIT).		
	Evidence statement 5.25.6 (full version):	complement the use of later			
	Methods of physical investigation There are	questionnaire screening in the			
	a number of biomarkers suggested to be	identification of alcohol misuse among			
	clinically useful in the assessment of alcohol	patients treated in the emergency			
	related physical harm (Allen et al., 2003),	department resuscitation room (one			
	monitoring of clinical outcome, and as a	[++] UK).			
	motivational enhancement strategy (Miller				
	et al., 1992). However, in people who are				
	seeking treatment for alcohol misuse,				
	biomarkers do not offer any advantage over				
	self- report measures in terms of accuracy of				
	assessing alcohol consumption (Allen et al.,				
	2003; Sobell & Sobell, 2003), and are less				
	sensitive and specific than the AUDIT in				
	screening for alcohol misuse (Drummond &				
	Ghodse, 1999). Advantages of blood				
	investigations as part of the initial				
	a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a				

	screening for alcohol related physical			
	conditions that may need further			
	investigation and onward referral			
	Provide baseline measures of alcohol			
	related damage (in some patients)			
	against which to measure			
	improvement and act as motivational			
	enhancement strategy. Objective			
	measurement of outcome,			
	particularly when combined (e.g. CDT			
	and GGT; Allen et al., 2003) and in			
	conjunction with other structured			
	outcome measures (Drummond et al.,			
	2007).			
Strength of	No strength of recommendation, no	No strength of recommendation, no	Strength of recommendation: A	
_	_	level of evidence		
Evidence				
Reference				

AUDIT und eine geeignete Kombination von indirekten Zustandsmarkern

Guideline		Alcohol-use disorders: preventing the development of hazardous and harmful drinking - public health guidance (PH 24)	Australian guidelines to reduce health risks from drinking alcohol (2009)	Deutsche Rentenversicherung: Reha- Therapie-standards Alkohol-abhängigkeit – Leitlinie für die medizinische Rehabilitation der RV
Recommendation	harmful drinking and alcohol dependence: Evidence statement 1.2.2.10: Consider blood tests to help identify physical health needs, but do not use blood tests routinely for the identification and diagnosis of alcohol use disorders.	Evidence statement 5.10: Laboratory markers are of limited value in the detection of alcohol misuse when compared with alcohol screening questionnaires (two [++] UK, one [++] Belgium and one [+] Germany). However, the use of blood-alcohol concentration testing may complement the use of later questionnaire screening in the identification of alcohol misuse among patients treated in the emergency department resuscitation room (one [++] UK).	Carbohydrate-deficient transferrin) should only be used as an adjunct to other screening measures as they have lower sensitivity and specificity in detecting at-risk people than structured questionnaire approaches (such as AUDIT).	
Strength of recommendation / Evidence	No strength of recommendation, no level of evidence (narrative reporting)	No strength of recommendation, no level of evidence (narrative reporting)	1a, A	
Reference				

Erheben des Alkoholkonsums

Guideline	Alcohol-use disorders: Diagnosis, assessment and management of harmful drinking and alcohol dependence (CG115)	Alcohol-use disorders: preventing the development of hazardous and harmful drinking - public health guidance (PH 24)	Australian guidelines to reduce health risks from drinking alcohol (2009)	Deutsche Rentenversicherung: Reha- Therapie-standards Alkohol-abhängigkeit – Leitlinie für die medizinische Rehabilitation der RV
Recommendation	Table 16: Assessment tools excluded from narrative review: Quantity—Frequency (QF) Methods, Timeline Follow Back (TLFB) (Sobell and colleagues, 1979)		Quantity-frequency index (QFI) and Retrospective Diary: A comparison of a 30-day QFI with a 7d retrospective diary and item 3 on AUDIT showed that the QF question was comparable to the AUDIT item in detecting binge drinking (95 percent positive predictive value). All 3 methods were administered using a computer. The retrospective diary requires patients to identify the type and quantity of alcoholic beverage consumed beginning with the previous day and work back through each day of the week. It was less sensitive than the QFI (ranging from 23.1 percent to 36.7 percent) (Shakeshaft et al. 1999). The QF question asked respondents to indicate the number of occasions during the previous 30 days on which they had consumed four different levels of standard drinks (defined by the NHMRC (2001) as 10g of ethanol). Item 3 (AUDIT-3) asks "how often do you have six or more drinks on one occasion?" Possible responses are "never",	

			"less than monthly", "monthly", "weekly", and "daily or almost daily". Although the retrospective diary took longer to administer than the QFI (mean completion times of three min, 38 sec and one min, 41 sec respectively) it provides two important pieces of information: weekly and binge consumption. Further, although the retrospective diary was inferior in detecting binge drinking, the QFI underestimated overall drinking relative to the retrospective diary (Shakeshaft 1999).	
Strength of recommendation / Evidence	No strength of recommendation, no level of evidence	No strength of recommendation, no level of evidence	No strength of recommendation, no level of evidence (narrative reporting)	
Reference				

Klassifikationsschemata der International Classification of Diseases (ICD)

Guideline	Alcohol-use disorders: Diagnosis, assessment and management of harmful drinking and alcohol dependence (CG115)	Alcohol-use disorders: preventing the development of hazardous and harmful drinking - public health guidance (PH 24)	_	Deutsche Rentenversicherung: Reha Therapie-standards Alkohol- abhängigkeit – Leitlinie für die medizinische Rehabilitation der RV
Recommendation	Harmful drinking and alcohol dependence: Introduction:alcohol dependence is defined in ICD-10 and DSM-IV in categorical alcohol dependence terms for diagnostic and statistical purposes as being either present or absent, in reality dependence exists on a continuum of severity. However, it is helpful from a clinical perspective to subdivide dependence into categories of mild, moderate and severe. People with mild dependence (those scoring 15 or less on the Severity of Alcohol Dependence Questionnaire; SADQ) usually do not need assisted alcohol withdrawal.	Evidence statement 3.42: 'Hazardous' and 'harmful' drinking are medically defined terms that have been used extensively in the scientific literature and in many recommended tools. 'Harmful use of a psychoactive substance' is an official term in the (WHO's ICD 10th revision. 'Hazardous use of a psychoactive substance', while not an alcohol-use disorder in itself, is included in WHO's 'Lexicon of alcohol and drug terms' (1994).	Evidence statement 3.10: Assessment should include patient interview, structured questionnaires, physical examination, clinical investigations and collateral history. The length of the assessment should be balanced against the need to keep the patient in treatment and address immediate concerns (SoR D, LoE: IV) Evidence statement 3.13: Assessment of the patient's alcohol-related problems, diagnosis and severity of dependence should be recorded. (SoR: S) Evidence statement 3.15: Assessment for mental health problems, such as anxiety, depressive symptoms and suicidal risk, should be routine, including mental stage examination. Referral for further specialist assessment may be needed if significant mental problems are suspected. (SoR: S) The Composite International Diagnostic Interview (CIDI) is a standardised and comprehensive interview designed to assess psychological disorders against the International Classification of	

ecommendation / Evidence Reference	No strength of recommendation, no level of evidence	No strength of recommendation, no level of evidence		No strength of recommendation, no level of evidence
Strength of	Clinical practice	Clinical practice		Clinical practice
			Diseases (ICD) and DSM-IV diagnoses (World Health Organisation 1990). It must be administered or supervised by a fully trained mental health professional who has undertaken recognised CIDI training. As well as substance use disorders, it covers eating disorders, organic mental disorders, schizophrenic disorders, paranoid disorders, affective disorders, anxiety disorders, somatisation disorders, dissociative disorders, and psychosexual disorders. WHO also recently produced the World Mental Health (WMH) Survey Initiative version (Kessler and Ustun 2004). However, one study found that CIDI performed poorly, especially in diagnosing social phobia and post-traumatic stress disorder, compared to clinical assessment (Komiti et al. 2001). The CIDI, the Schedules for Clinical Assessment in Neuropsychiatry (SCAN) and the Alcohol Use Disorder and Associated Disabilities Interview Schedule-Alcohol/Drug- Revised (AUDADIS-ADR) all have reasonable test-retest reliability and diagnostic concordance for alcohol dependence, but not for risky alcohol use or abuse.	

Kapitel "3.1 Kurzinterventionen"

Klinische Fragestellung:

"Ist allgemein im kontrollierten Vergleich von einer Wirksamkeit verschiedener Kurzinterventionen auszugehen?"

Guideline	NICE CG115 (2011)	NICE CG100 (2010)	(2010)	VA/DoD clinical practice guideline (2009)	Incorporating pharmacotherap ies into medical practice (2009)	Preventive services for adults (revised 2010)
Recommentation			Twenty seven systematic reviews and meta-analyses have been included in the review of reviews of the effectiveness of brief interventions for alcohol misuse. The quality of reviews was generally of a high standard in terms of study design characteristics and clarity of reporting. Evidence has been identified for the positive impact of brief interventions for alcohol misuse on alcohol consumption, mortality, morbidity, alcohol-related injuries, alcohol- related social consequences, and healthcare resource use. [1; p. 9]	The evidence for the efficacy of brief alcohol counselling has been summarized in a Cochrane review (Kaner et al., 2007), and a USPSTF Review (Whitlock et al., 2004), as well as 7 other meta- analyses and reviews (Ballesteros et al., 2004; Bertholet et al., 2005; Bien et al., 1993; Kahan et al., 1995; Moyer et al., 2002; Poikolainen, 1999; Wilk et al., 1997). While none of these reviews were restricted to VA or DoD patients, and no trial has included VA or DoD patients, there is no reason to expect that VA patients would respond differently than other patients to brief intervention given the robust international findings, including studies of older patients (Fleming et al., 1999).		

			A negative review (Beich et al., 2002) made assumptions that recruitment for screening in the real world would be similar to low participation rates in RCTs. In fact, high rates of alcohol screening have been achieved in VA clinical settings (Bradley et al., 2006).		
Strength of recommendation / Evidence	[++]		A/Ia		
Reference	[2, 3-6]	·	[3, 5, 7, 8-10]	·	

Guideline	use of motor vehicle occupant	Treatment of Patients with substance use disorders (APA, 2006)	DRV- Leitlinien	S1-Leitlinie Alkoholdelir	S1-Leitlinie Psychische und Verhaltens- störungen durch psycho- trope Sub- stanzen	S2-Leitlinie Therapeu- tische Maß- nahmen bei aggres- sivem Verhalten in der Psychiatrie und Psycho- therapie	S2-Leitlinie Riskanter, schädlicher und abhängiger Alkoholkon sum: Screening, Diagnostik und Kurzinterve ntion	behand-
Recommendation							Erfolg minimaler bzw. kurzer Interventione n in Settings der medizinische n oder psycho- sozialen Basisversorg ung bzw. spezifischen Zielgruppen nach- gewiesen	
Strength of recommendation / Evidence							l b	
Reference							Acht Studien zwischen 1988 und 2000 [11]	

"Ist bei Riskantem Konsum im kontrollierten Vergleich von einer Wirksamkeit verschiedener Kurzinterventionen auszugehen?"

Guideline	NICE CG115 (2011)	 (2010)	Australian guidelines to reduce health risks from drinking alcohol (2009)	practice guideline (2009)	Incorporating pharmaco- therapies into medical practice (2009)	Preventive services for adults (revised 2010)
Recommendation		Die unter 1.1 beschriebene Evidenz bezieht sich in der weit überwiegenden Zahl auf riskanten Alkoholkonsum.		Die unter 1.1 beschriebene Evidenz bezieht sich in der weit überwiegenden Zahl auf riskanten Alkoholkonsum.		
Strength of recommendation / Evidence		[++]	-	A, la		
Reference		s. 1.1	-	s. 1.1		

Guideline	Counselling	Treatment of	DRV-	S1-Leitlinie	S1-Leitlinie	S2-Leitlinie	S2-Leitlinie Akut-	S2-Leitlinie Riskanter,	S2-Leitlinie
	about proper use	Patients with	Leitlinien	Alkoholdelir	Psychische und	Therapeu- tische	behandlung	schädlicher und	Postakut-
	of motor vehicle	substance use			Verhaltens-	Maß- nahmen bei	alkohol-	abhängiger	behandlung
	occupant	disorders (APA,			störungen durch	aggres- sivem	bezogener	Alkoholkons um:	alkohol-
	restraints and	2006)			psycho- trope Sub-	Verhalten in der	Störungen	Screening, Diagnostik	bezogener
	avoidance of				stanzen	Psychiatrie und		und Kurzinterven tion	Störungen
	alcohol use while					Psycho- therapie			
	driving (2007)								
Recommendation								Die unter 1.1	
								beschriebene Evidenz	
								bezieht sich in der	
								weit überwiegende n	
								Zahl auf riskanten	
								Alkoholkonsum	

Strength of				la	
recommendation /					
Evidence					
Reference				(s. 1.1)	

"Ist bei Rauschtrinken im kontrollierten Vergleich von einer Wirksamkeit verschiedener Kurzinterventionen auszugehen?"

Guideline	NICE CG115 (2011)	NICE CG100 (2010)	NICE PH 24 (2010)	Australian guidelines to reduce health risks from drinking alcohol (2009)	practice guideline	pharmacotherapi es	Preventive services for adults (revised 2010)
Recommendation	Keine Angabe	Keine Angabe	Keine spezifischen Empfehlungen zur Wirksamkeit bei Rauschtrinken	Keine Angabe	Keine spezifischen Empfehlungen zur Wirksamkeit bei Rauschtrinken	Keine Angabe	Keine Angabe
Strength of recommendation / Evidence Reference							

	Counselling	Treatment of	DRV-	S1-Leitlinie	S1-Leitlinie	S2-Leitlinie	S2-Leitlinie	S2-Leitlinie	S2-Leitlinie
Guideline	about proper use	Patients with	Leitlinien	Alkoholdelir	Psychische und	Therapeu-tische	Akut-	Riskanter,	Postakut-
	of motor vehicle	substance use			Verhaltens-	Maß-nahmen bei	behandlung	schädlicher und	behandlung
	occupant	disorders (APA,			störungen durch	aggres- sivem	alkohol-	abhängiger	alkohol-
	restraints and	2006)			psycho- trope Sub-	Verhalten in der	bezogener	Alkoholkon sum:	bezogener
	avoidance of				stanzen	Psychiatrie und	Störungen	Screening,	Störungen
	alcohol use					Psycho-therapie		Diagnostik und	
	while driving							Kurzinterve ntion	
Recommendation								Keine spezifischen	
								Empfehlungen zur	
								Wirksamkeit bei	
								Rauschtrinken	
Strength of									
recommendation /									
Evidence									
Reference									

"Ist bei Abhängigkeit im kontrollierten Vergleich von einer Wirksamkeit verschiedener Kurzinterventionen auszugehen?"

Guideline	NICE CG115 (2011)	NICE CG100 (2010)	NICE PH 24 (2010)	Australian guidelines to reduce health risks from drinking alcohol	practice guideline	Incorporating pharmacotherapi es into medical practice	Preventive services for adults (revised 2010)
Recommendation			Keine spezifischen Empfehlungen zur Wirksamkeit bei Abhängigen. "The relationship between the level of alcohol dependence and the effectiveness of brief interventions was unclear"	(2009)	Keine spezifischen Empfehlungen zur Wirksamkeit bei Abhängigen.	(2009)	
Strength of recommendation / Evidence							
Reference			[1, S.9)].				

Guideline	about proper use of motor vehicle occupant	Patients with	S1-Leitlinie Alkoholdelir	Psychische und Verhaltens- störungen durch psycho-	S2-Leitlinie Therapeu- tische Maß- nahmen bei aggres- sivem Verhalten in der Psychiatrie und Psycho- therapie	S2-Leitlinie Akut- behandlung alkohol- bezogener Störungen	S2-Leitlinie Riskanter, schädlicher und abhängiger Alkoholkon sum: Screening, Diagnostik und Kurzinterve ntion	S2-Leitlinie Postakut- behand- lung alkohol- bezogener Störungen
Recommendation							"Es fehlen Studien mit Pat. in späteren Stadien der Abhängigkeit. Gegenwärtig keine Schlussfolgerung en hinsichtlich des Zusammenhangs zwischen Schweregrad der Symptomatik einerseits und Erfolg der Intervention andererseits möglich" [11, S.11]	
Strength of recommendation / Evidence								
Reference								

"Ist bei Frauen und Männern im kontrollierten Vergleich von einer Wirksamkeit verschiedener Kurzinterventionen auszugehen?"

Guideline	NICE CG115 (2011)	NICE CG100 (2010)		Australian guidelines to reduce health risks from drinking alcohol (2009)	practice guideline		Preventive services for adults (revised 2010)
Recommendation			"Brief interventions are effective in reducing alcohol consumption in both men and women." [1, S.19]				
Strength of recommendation / Evidence	-	-	++	-	-	-	-
Reference	-	-	[3, 5, 7, 9, 12-14].	-	-	-	-

	Counselling	Treatment of	DRV-	S1-Leitlinie	S1-Leitlinie	S2-Leitlinie	S2-Leitlinie Akut-	S2-Leitlinie	S2-Leitlinie
Guideline	about proper use	Patients with	Leitlinien	Alkoholdelir	Psychische und	Therapeu- tische	behandlung	Riskanter,	Postakut-
	of motor vehicle	substance use			Verhaltens-	Maß- nahmen	alkohol-	schädlicher und	behand- lung
	occupant	disorders (APA,			störungen durch	bei aggres- sivem	bezogener	abhängiger	alkohol-
	restraints and	2006)			psycho- trope	Verhalten in der	Störungen	Alkoholkon sum:	bezogener
	avoidance of				Sub- stanzen	Psychiatrie und		Screening,	Störungen
	alcohol use					Psycho-therapie		Diagnostik und	
	while driving							Kurzinterve	
	(2007)							ntion	
Recommendation								Wider-	
								sprüchliche	
								Ergebnisse zum	
								Einfluss des	
								Geschlechts	
Strength of								I a und Ib	
recommendation /									
Evidence									

Reference				Fünf Studien	
				zwischen 1997	
				und 2002	
				[11, S.10]	

"Ist bei Menschen im höheren Alter im kontrollierten Vergleich von einer Wirksamkeit verschiedener Kurzinterventionen auszugehen?"

delines VA/DoD clinical Incorporating pharmacotherapi es into medical practice (2009) Preventive services for adults (revised 2010)
(the robust international findings, including studies of older patients (Fleming et al., 1999) no specific clinical recommendation
-

	Counselling	Treatment of	DRV-	S1-Leitlinie	S1-Leitlinie	S2-Leitlinie	S2-Leitlinie Akut-	S2-Leitlinie	S2-Leitlinie
Guideline	about proper use	Patients with	Leitlinien	Alkoholdelir	Psychische und	Therapeu- tische	behandlung	Riskanter,	Postakut-
	of motor vehicle	substance use			Verhaltens-	Maß- nahmen	alkohol-	schädlicher und	behand- lung
	occupant	disorders (APA,			störungen durch	bei aggres- sivem	bezogener	abhängiger	alkohol-
	restraints and	2006)			psycho- trope	Verhalten in der	Störungen	Alkoholkon sum:	bezogener
	avoidance of				Sub- stanzen	Psychiatrie und		Screening,	Störungen
	alcohol use					Psycho- therapie		Diagnostik und	
	while driving							Kurzinterve	
	(2007)							ntion	
Recommendation								Ja	
Strength of								l b	-
recommendation /									
Evidence									
Reference								[15]	-

"Ist bei Menschen mit komorbiden psychiatrischen Störungen im kontrollierten Vergleich von einer Wirksamkeit verschiedener Kurzinterventionen auszugehen?"

Guideline	NICE CG115 (2011)	NICE CG100 (2010)	(2010)	Australian guidelines to reduce health risks from drinking alcohol (2009)	practice guideline (2009)	•	Preventive services for adults (revised 2010)
Recommendation							
Strength of recommendation / Evidence							
Reference							

	Counselling	Treatment of	DRV-	S1-Leitlinie	S1-Leitlinie	S2-Leitlinie	S2-Leitlinie Akut-	S2-Leitlinie	S2-Leitlinie
Guideline	about proper use	Patients with	Leitlinien	Alkoholdelir	Psychische und	Therapeu- tische	behandlung	Riskanter,	Postakut-
	of motor vehicle	substance use			Verhaltens-	Maß- nahmen	alkohol-	schädlicher und	behand- lung
	occupant	disorders (APA,			störungen durch	bei aggres- sivem	bezogener	abhängiger	alkohol-
	restraints and	2006)			psycho- trope	Verhalten in der	Störungen	Alkoholkon sum:	bezogener
	avoidance of				Sub- stanzen	Psychiatrie und		Screening,	Störungen
	alcohol use					Psycho- therapie		Diagnostik und	
	while driving							Kurzinterve	
	(2007)							ntion	
Recommendation									
Strength of									
recommendation /									
Evidence									
Reference									

"Ist im Setting der primärmedizinischen Versorgung im kontrollierten Vergleich von einer Wirksamkeit verschiedener Kurzinterventionen auszugehen?"

Guideline	NICE CG115 (2011)	NICE CG100 (2010)		Australian guidelines to reduce health risks from drinking alcohol (2009)	practice guideline (2009)	Incorporating pharmacotherapi es into medical practice (2009)	Preventive services for adults (revised 2010)
Recommendation			"The majority of included studies were also conducted in primary care." [1, S. 18]		Die erwähnte Evidenz stammt zum weit überwiegenden Teil aus der primär- medizinischen Versorgung		
Strength of recommendation / Evidence Reference			[++] s. 1.1		A/Ia s. 1.1		

	Counselling	Treatment of	DRV-	S1-Leitlinie	S1-Leitlinie	S2-Leitlinie	S2-Leitlinie Akut-	S2-Leitlinie	S2-Leitlinie
Guideline	about proper use	Patients with	Leitlinien	Alkoholdelir	Psychische und	Therapeu- tische	behandlung	Riskanter,	Postakut-
	of motor vehicle	substance use			Verhaltens-	Maß- nahmen	alkohol-	schädlicher und	behand- lung
	occupant	disorders (APA,			störungen durch	bei aggres- sivem	bezogener	abhängiger	alkohol-
	restraints and	2006)			psycho- trope	Verhalten in der	Störungen	Alkoholkon sum:	bezogener
	avoidance of				Sub- stanzen	Psychiatrie und		Screening,	Störungen
	alcohol use					Psycho- therapie		Diagnostik und	
	while driving							Kurzinterve	
Recommendation		Ja						Ja	
Strength of		A / Ia						la	
recommendation /									
Evidence									
Reference		s. 1.1						s. 1.1	

"Ist am Arbeitsplatz im kontrollierten Vergleich von einer Wirksamkeit verschiedener Kurzinterventionen auszugehen?"

Guideline	NICE CG115 (2011)	NICE CG100 (2010)	(2010)	practice guideline (2009)	 Preventive services for adults (revised 2010)
Recommendation			A systematic review of brief interventions for alcohol misuse in the workplace presented limited and inconclusive findings for the effectiveness of interventions in this setting. [1, S.18]		
Strength of recommendation / Evidence			-		
Reference			[16]		

	Counselling	Treatment of	DRV-	S1-Leitlinie	S1-Leitlinie	S2-Leitlinie	S2-Leitlinie Akut-	S2-Leitlinie	S2-Leitlinie
Guideline	about proper	Patients with	Leitlinien	Alkoholdelir	Psychische und	Therapeu- tische	behandlung	Riskanter,	Postakut-
	use of motor	substance use			Verhaltens-	Maß- nahmen	alkohol-	schädlicher und	behand- lung
	vehicle occupant	disorders (APA,			störungen durch	bei aggres-	bezogener	abhängiger	alkohol-
	restraints and	2006)			psycho- trope	sivem Verhalten	Störungen	Alkoholkon sum:	bezogener
	avoidance of				Sub- stanzen	in der		Screening,	Störungen
	alcohol use					Psychiatrie und		Diagnostik und	
	while driving					Psycho- therapie		Kurzintervention	
Recommendation									
Strength of									
recommendation /									
Evidence									
Reference									

"Für welche Verfahren ist, ebenfalls im kontrollierten Vergleich, eine fehlende Wirksamkeit belegt?"

Guideline	NICE CG115 (2011)	NICE CG100 (2010)	(2010)	Australian guidelines to reduce health risks from drinking alcohol (2009)	practice guideline (2009)	Incorporating pharmacotherapi es into medical practice (2009)	*
Recommendation							
Strength of recommendation / Evidence							
Reference							

	Counselling	Treatment of	DRV-	S1-Leitlinie	S1-Leitlinie	S2-Leitlinie	S2-Leitlinie	S2-Leitlinie	S2-Leitlinie
Guideline	about proper	Patients with	Leitlinien	Alkoholdelir	Psychische und	Therapeu- tische	Akut-	Riskanter,	Postakut-
	use of motor	substance use			Verhaltens-	Maß- nahmen	behandlung	schädlicher und	behand- lung
	vehicle occupant	disorders (APA,			störungen durch	bei aggres-	alkohol-	abhängiger	alkohol-
	restraints and	2006)			psycho- trope	sivem Verhalten	bezogener	Alkoholkon sum:	bezogener
	avoidance of				Sub- stanzen	in der	Störungen	Screening,	Störungen
	alcohol use					Psychiatrie und		Diagnostik und	
	while driving					Psycho- therapie		Kurzintervention	
Recommendation	Reduktion des								
	Alkoholkonsum s								
	ist nicht								
	Gegenstand der								
	Empfehlungen								
Strength of									
recommendation /									
Evidence									
Reference									

"Für welche Verfahren ist, ebenfalls im kontrollierten Vergleich, eine unerwünschte Wirksamkeit belegt?"

Guildeline	NICE CG115 (2011)	NICE CG100 (2010)	NICE PH 24 (2010)	·	HIV-infected patients, USA, (2008)
Recommendation					
Strength of recommendation / Evidence					
Reference					

	Counselling	Treatment of	DRV-	S1-Leitlinie	S1-Leitlinie	S2-Leitlinie	S2-Leitlinie Akut-	S2-Leitlinie	S2-Leitlinie
Guideline	about proper	Patients with	Leitlinien	Alkoholdelir	Psychische und	Therapeu- tische	behandlung	Riskanter,	Postakut-
	use of motor	substance use			Verhaltens-	Maß- nahmen bei	alkohol-	schädlicher und	behand- lung
	vehicle occupant	disorders (APA,			störungen durch	aggres- sivem	bezogener	abhängiger	alkohol-
	restraints and	2006)			psycho trope Sub-	Verhalten in der	Störungen	Alkoholkon sum:	bezogener
	avoidance of				stanzen	Psychiatrie und		Screening,	Störungen
	alcohol use					Psycho- therapie		Diagnostik und	
	while driving							Kurzintervention	
	(2007)								
Recommendation									
Strength of									
recommendation /									
Evidence									
Reference									

Kapitel "3.2. Körperliche Entgiftung"

Klinische Fragestellung

"Bei welchen Patientengruppen (schädlicher Gebrauch, Abhängigkeit) ist die Durchführung einer körperlichen Entgiftung wirksam und indiziert?"

A) Abhängigkeit

Guildeline	NICE CG115 (2011)	NICE CG100 (2010)	NICE PH 24 (2010)	VA/DoD (SUD), USA, Veterans (2009)	Treatment of Patients with SUD, USA, APA (2006)	HIV-infected patients, USA, (2008)
Recommendation		 Bei Entzugserscheinun gen können Benzodiazepine, Carbamazepin, Clomethiazol die Alkoholentzugssym ptome inkl. Entzugsanfälle verhindern bzw. verringern Sie Wirksamkeit zeigt eine Abhängigkeit/steht in Beziehung zur Entzugs- symptomatik (auch in Relation zum Zeitpunkt des letzten Alkoholkonsums und zur Blutalkoholkonzentr ation) und zum individuellen Risiko von Entzugsanfällen bzw. Delirien 			As described in DSM-IV-TR and elsewhere (972, 973), <5% of individuals with alcohol withdrawal develop severe symptoms and <3% develop grand mal seizures. In the past, the mortality rate for patients experiencing alcohol with drawal delirium was as high as 20%; currently, it is closer to 1% because of improved diagnosis and medical treatment (972). The presence of a co-occurring medical disorder may also increase the likelihood of a complicated withdrawal syndrome (974–976).	
Strength of recommendation / Evidence		1++				
Reference		26 (Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews. 2005; CD005063) bis 39 und ff. des Literaturverzeichnis				

Guideline	S2 Leitlinie "Akutbehandlung Alkoholbezogener Störungen"	S2 Leitlinie "Screening, Diagnostik, Kurzintervention"	S2 Leitlinie "Alkoholdelir"	S2 Leitlinie "Aggressives Verhalten"	S2 Leitlinie "Postakutbehandlung Alkoholbezogener Störungen"	S1 Leitlinie "Kindesalter"	DRV Leitlinien
Recommendation	wirksam				Wirksamkeit: - die Behandlung alkoholbezogener Störungen ist effektiver als die Nichtbehandlung,		
Strength of recommendation / Evidence	C/IV				A/Ia		
Reference	Fleischmann 2002				Miller et al 1995, Mirin et al 1995, Hox et al 1998		

"Bei welchen Patientengruppen (schädlicher Gebrauch, Abhängigkeit) ist die Durchführung einer körperlichen Entgiftung wirksam und indiziert?"

B) Schädlicher Gebrauch

Guildeline	NICE CG115 (2011)	NICE CG100 (2010)	NICE PH 24 (2010)	VA/DoD (SUD), USA, Veterans (2009)	Treatment of Patients with SUD, USA, APA (2006)	HIV-infected patients, USA, (2008)
Recommendation		S.32: 2.1.7				
		Recommendation:				
		R1 For people in acute alcohol withdrawal with,				
		or who are assessed to be at high risk of				
		developing, alcohol withdrawal seizures or				
		delirium tremens, offer admission to hospital				
		for medically assisted alcohol withdrawal.				
		R2 For young people under 16 years who are in				
		acute alcohol withdrawal, offer admission to				
		hospital for physical and psychosocial				
		assessment, in addition to medically assisted				
		alcohol withdrawal. R3 For certain				
		vulnerable people who are in acute alcohol				
		withdrawal (for example, those who are frail,				
		have cognitive impairment or multiple				
		comorbidities, lack social support, have learning				
		difficulties or are 16 or 17 years), consider a				
		lower threshold for admission to hospital for				
		medically assisted alcohol withdrawal.				
		R4 For people who are alcohol dependent but				
		not admitted to hospital, offer advice to avoid a				
		sudden reduction in alcohol intake and				
		information about how to contact local alcohol				
		support services.				

Strength of			
recommendation /			
Level of evidence			
Reference			

"Inwieweit hängt die Effektivität der körperlichen Entgiftung von folgenden Faktoren ab:

A) Behandlungskomponenten

Guildeline	NICE CG115 (2011)	NICE CG100 (2010)	NICE PH 24 (2010)	VA/DoD (SUD), USA, Veterans (2009)	Treatment of Patients with SUD, USA, APA (2006)	HIV-infected patients, USA, (2008)
Recommendation		 1 Es gibt keine Studien zum Effektivitäts-vergleich einer Notfallaufnahme vs. einer geplanten Entgiftung. *Die niedrigschwellige Notaufnahme ist daher unverzichtbar bei plötzlich einsetzenden Entzugserscheinungen und Entzugskomplikationen *Die geplante Aufnahme hat vor allem Vorteile wegen der höheren Motivation und der Möglichkeit der gezielten Weiterleitung in eine Langzeittherapie. 2 **Adäquater Gebrauch von Entzugsskalen (CIWA) bei Symptom getriggerten Entzug wichtig 3 v.a. beim ambulanten und teilstationären Entzug von dem Vorhandensein und der Zugänglichkeit eines 24h Notdienst 4. von der Form (symptomorientiert vs fixes Medikamentenschema): symptomorientierte Medikamentenverabreichung ist der fixen Schemadosierung 		- Multiple randomized, controlled trials demonstrate the use of less medication as well as shorter duration of treatment in symptom-triggered detoxification protocols (998, 1001–1003).	,	
		überlegen bezogen auf Behandlungszeit und Gesamtdosis der verabreichten Mediakmente (Benzodiazepine) bzw. S. 52: Overall, symptom-triggered dosing was associated with significantly lower doses of benzodiazepines than fixed-dosing and with a shorter treatment duration and importantly without an increase in the incidence of seizures or delirium tremens 5. vom eingesetzten Medikament: gleich wirksam sind Benzodiazepine, Carbamazepine, Clomethiazol				

Strength of recommendation	1 Expertenmeinung 2 ** 3		
/ Level of evidence	3 ?? B/III		
	1+/Level 3		
Reference	Referenz: 26, 28-38		

	"Akutbehandlung	S2 Leitlinie "Screening, Diagnostik, Kurzintervention"	S2 Leitlinie "Alkoholdelir"	"Aggressives Verhalten"	S2 Leitlinie "Postakutbehandlung Alkoholbezogener Störungen"	S1 Leitlinie "Kindesalter"	DRV Leitlinien
Recommendation							
Strength of recommendation / Level of evidence							
Reference							

"Inwieweit hängt die Effektivität der körperlichen Entgiftung von folgenden Faktoren ab:"

B) Behandlungsort

Guildeline	NICE CG115	NICE CG100 (2010)	NICE PH 24	VA/DoD (SUD),	Treatment of Patients with	HIV-infected patients, USA,
	(2011)		(2010)	USA, Veterans (2009)	SUD, USA, APA (2006)	(2008)
Recommendation		1 Die Datenlage reicht nicht aus für eine eindeutige/generelle Empfehlung, in welchem Setting		Consider ambulatory medically supervised alcohol withdrawal,		ambulant: - mild to moderate symptoms (mild tremors, mild anxiety,
		behandelt werden sollte! (Seite 42). - Ambulante Behandlungsregime sollten eine über 24h erreichbare/ zugänglich e Versorgungsstruktur anbieten bzw. die Möglichkeit einer stationären Aufnahme bei Komplikationen beinhalten. Stationärer Behandlung für Menschen mit drohenden oder akuten Alkoholentzugssyndro men mit der Möglichkeit eines medikamentengestützt en Entzuges und psychosozialer Unterstützung sowie Motivationsarbeit wird empfohlen für: - Patienten mit hohem Risiko für Entzugskrampfanfälle oder ein Delir - Patienten mit somatischen Komorbiditäten, mit schlechtem Allgemeinzustand, mit fehlender oder geringer sozialer Unterstützung, mit kognitiven Störungen, mit geistigen Behinderungen (33) - Junge Patienten bzw. Patienten unter 16 Jahren		when indicated	der Frage ab, was für den Patienten die effektivste aber auch sicherste Behandlungsform darstellt [I]. - Generell muß die Möglichkeit des Übergangs von einer weniger intensiven zur intensiveren Behandlungsform sichergestellt werden [I]. - Die Behandlungsform hängt auch von der Kooperationsfähigkeit und dem Ausmaß der Hochrisikoverhaltens wie vom Ausmaß der benötigten sozialen und strukturellen Unterstützung ab.[I] Ambulant möglich wenn: - geringes Risiko für Entzugskomplikationen klinische Situation	headache, diaphoresis, palpitations, anorexia, and gastrointestinal upset) für nichtpharmakologische oder Benzo-Therapie wenn tgl. Kontakt mit Arzt und/oder Unterstützung durch Familie/Freunde Stationär bei: - Severe withdrawal symptoms - History of withdrawal seizures or complications - Delirium tremens or history of delirium tremens - Depression with suicidal ideation

(S.32: 2.1.7 RECOMMENDATION S	und Umfeld/ Umgebung
R1 For people in acute alcohol	keine intensivere
withdrawal with, or who are assessed	Behandlung erfordert [I]
	- a variety of
to be at high risk of developing,	•
alcohol withdrawal seizures or	psychotherapeutic and
delirium tremens, offer admission to	pharmacological
hospital for medically assisted alcohol	interventions along with
withdrawal.	behavioral monitoring can
R2 For young people under 16 years	be offered [I]
who are in acute alcohol withdrawal,	in a setting that
offer admission to hospital for	provides frequent clinical
physical and psychosocial	assessment and any
assessment, in addition to medically	necessary treatments [I].
assisted alcohol withdrawal.	
R3 For certain vulnerable people who	
are in acute alcohol withdrawal (for	
example, those who are frail, have	
cognitive impairment or multiple	
comorbidities, lack social support,	
have learning difficulties or are 16 or	
17 years), consider a lower threshold	
for admission to hospital for	
medically assisted alcohol	
withdrawal.R4 For people who are	
alcohol dependent but not admitted	
to hospital, offer advice to avoid a	
sudden reduction in alcohol intake	
and information about how to	
contact local alcohol support services.	
2. Benzodiazepine u. Carbamazepin	
sind ambulant oder stationär	
wirksam, Clomethiazol sollte wegen	
seiner Eigenschaften/NW nur	
stationär eingesetzt werden	

Strength of recommendation / Level of evidence	 kein Evidenzgrad angegeben, Expertenmeinung/ Kon sens Expertenkonsens 	I Good Subst A	1	Expert Opinion (?)
Reference	Referenz: 26 ff	Hayashida et al., 1989 Mayo-Smith, 1997	966. Rychtarik RG, Connors GJ, Whitney RB, McGillicuddy NB, Fitterling JM, Wirtz PW: Treatment settings for persons with alcoholism: evidence for matching clients to inpatient versus outpatient care. J Consult Clin Psychol 2000; 68:277–289 [A–] 967. Fiellin DA, Reid MC, O'Connor PG: Outpatient management of patients with alcohol problems. Ann Intern Med 2000; 133:815-827 [G]	41. Kosten TR, O'Connor PG. Management of drug and alcohol withdrawal. <i>N Engl J Med</i> 2003;348:1786-1795. 42. Blondell RD. Ambulatory detoxification of patients with alcohol dependence. <i>Am Fam Physician</i> 2005;71:495-502.

Guideline	S2 Leitlinie "Akutbehandlung Alkoholbezogener Störungen"	S2 Leitlinie "Screening, Diagnostik, Kurzintervention"	S2 Leitlinie "Alkoholdelir"	S2 Leitlinie "Aggressives Verhalten"	S2 Leitlinie "Postakutbehandlung Alkoholbezogener Störungen"	S1 Leitlinie "Kindesalter"	DRV Leitlinien
Recommendation	1. Im Vergleich des ambulanten und stat Settings wurde bei milder/moderater Alkoholabhängigkeit nach 6 Monaten kein Unterschied bez. der Abstinenz gefunden Bei lebens- bedrohlichen Delirien, die kompliziert sind durch kardiale und pulmonale Komplikationen und schwere Bewusstseinsstörungen, ist die Behandlung auf der Intensivstation durchzuführen. Es gelten die Regeln der Intensivtherapie. Da eine orale Therapie unzureichend ist, wird als Anti-Delir- Therapie empfohlen: Diazepam 120-240 mg i.v. pro Tag (kontinuierlich oder als Boli) plus Haloperidol 6 x 10 mg i.v. pro Tag (oder plus Dihydrobenzperidol bis 200 mg i.v. pro Tag) oder Midazolam i.v. bis 20 mg pro Stunde, nach Wirkung, plus Dihydrobenzperidol bis 200 2. mg i.v. pro Tag und fakultativ zusätzlich Clonidin initial 0,025 mg i.v. pro Stunde gegeben werden (wobei die Dosis bei Bedarf erhöht werden kann).						
Strength of recommendation / Level of evidence	A/I C/IV						
Reference	 Hayashida et al 1989 AWMF online Leitlinie Neurologie: Alkoholdelir 						

"Inwieweit hängt die Effektivität der körperlichen Entgiftung von folgenden Faktoren ab…?"

C) Behandlungsdauer

Guildeline	NICE CG115 (2011)	NICE CG100 (2010)	NICE PH 24 (2010)	VA/DoD (SUD),	Treatment of Patients with SUD,	HIV-infected patients,
				USA, Veterans (2009)	USA, APA (2006)	USA, (2008)
Recommendation		Behandlungsdauer in			- severe alcohol withdrawal	
		Abhängigkeit von			syndrome occurs especially within	
		Dauer der			the first several days after	
		Entzugssymptome			cessation or reduction of heavy,	
		variable			prolonged ingestion of alcohol	
					- Patients in severe withdrawal	
					and those with a history of	
					withdrawal-related symptoms	
					may require up to 10 days of	
					treatment before benzodiazepines	
					can be completely withdrawn.	
Strength of						
recommendation / Level						
of evidence						
Reference						

Guideline	S2 Leitlinie "Akutbehandlung Alkoholbezogener Störungen"	S2 Leitlinie "Screening, Diagnostik, Kurzintervention"	S2 Leitlinie "Alkoholdelir"	S2 Leitlinie "Aggressives Verhalten"	S2 Leitlinie "Postakutbehandlung Alkoholbezogener Störungen"	"Kindesalter"	DRV Leitlinien
Recommendation	Für die Behandlung von chronisch mehrfach beeinträchtigen Alkoholabhängigen (CMA) gilt, daß die besten Ergebnisse nach einer individuell angepaßten Behandlungsdauer von 2-6 Monaten erzielt werden (wonach unter Berücksichtigung ökonomischer Interessen der Kostenträger ein "therapeutisches Zeitfenster" von 30-60 Tagen optimal zu sein scheint [Fleischmann 2002]).						
Strength of recommendation / evidence	C/IV						
Reference	Fleischmann 2002						

"Welche Risiken zeigen sich bei einer Behandlung ohne körperliche Entgiftung im Vergleich zu einer Behandlung mit körperlicher Entgiftung?"

Guildeline	NICE CG115 (2011)	NICE CG100 (2010)	NICE PH 24 (2010)	VA/DoD (SUD), USA, Veterans (2009)	Treatment of Patients with SUD, USA, APA (2006)	HIV-infected patients, USA, (2008)
Recommendation		- das Auftreten von schweren Entzugskompli-kationen wie Entzugskrampfanfällen bzw. eines Delirs ist erhöht			- For approximately 67% of the patients with mild to moderate withdrawal symptoms, generalized support, reassurance, and frequent monitoring are sufficient treatment (980), although the effectiveness of supportive treatment for these patients relative to pharmacotherapy is not well established (981, 982). - The syndrome of severe alcohol withdrawal occurs especially within the first several days after cessation or reduction of heavy, prolonged ingestion of alcohol; the syndrome includes signs and symptoms such as clouding of consciousness, difficulty in sustaining attention, disorientation, generalized tonic-clonic seizures (grand mal) seizures, respiratory alkalosis, and fever (969–971) <5% of individuals with alcohol withdrawal develop severe symptoms and <3% develop grand mal seizures (972, 973). - In the past, the mortality rate for patients experiencing alcohol withdrawal delirium was as high as 20%; currently, it is closer to 1% because of improved diagnosis and medical treatment (972). - The presence of a co-occurring medical disorder may also increase the likelihood of a complicated withdrawal syndrome (974–976). - there is increasing evidence that repeated episodes of (non-treated) alcohol withdrawal episodes ("alcohol withdrawal kindling or sensitization effect").	

			Thus individuals with multiple previous withdrawals may require more aggressive treatment (977). - patients with hallucinations require pharmacological treatment.	
Strength of	1++			
recommendation /				
Reference	Referenz: 26 ff			

Guideline	"Akutbehandlung Alkoholbezogener	S2 Leitlinie "Screening, Diagnostik, Kurzintervention"	"Alkoholdelir"	"Aggressives Verhalten"	S2 Leitlinie "Postakutbehandlung Alkoholbezogener Störungen"	S1 Leitlinie "Kindesalter"	DRV Leitlinien
Recommendation							
Strength of recommendation / Level of evidence							
Reference							

"Gibt es auch Hinweise auf eine fehlende oder sogar unerwünschte Wirksamkeit der körperlichen Entgiftung?"

Guildeline	NICE CG115 (2011)	` '	(2010)		HIV-infected patients, USA, (2008)
Recommendation		 Inappropriate use of symptom- triggered therapy Clomethiazol sollte wegen seiner Eigenschaften/NW nur stationär eingesetzt werden Nebenwirkungen insbes. bei Enzephalopathie, Atemwegs- bzw. Lebererkrankungen 			
Strength of recommendation / Level of evidence		1. 3 2. Expertenkonsens 3. 1++			
Reference		Referenz: 26ff			

Guideline	S2 Leitlinie	S2 Leitlinie	S2 Leitlinie	S2 Leitlinie	S2 Leitlinie	S1 Leitlinie	DRV Leitlinien
	"Akutbehandlung Alkoholbezogener	"Screening,	"Alkoholdelir"	"Aggressives	"Postakutbehandlung	"Kindesalter"	
	Störungen"	Diagnostik,		Verhalten"	Alkoholbezogener		
		Kurzintervention"			Störungen"		
Recommendation	Die Eigenschaft von Carbamazepin,						
	die Leukozyten zu vermindern, kann						
	ein zusätzliches Infektionsrisiko für						
	einzelne Patienten darstellen						
Strength of	C/IV						
recommendation / Level							
of evidence							
Reference	APA 1995						

Kapitel "3.3. Qualifizierte Entzugsbehandlung"

Klinische Fragestellung

"Welche Wirksamkeit (z.B. Abstinenzrate, Abstinenzzeit, Rückfälle, Vermittlung in Langzeittherapie, stationäre Wiederaufnahme) zeigt eine qualifizierte Entzugsbehandlung im kontrollierten Vergleich mit einer körperlichen Entgiftung bei verschiedenen Patientengruppen?"

A) Abstinenzrate/Rückfallquote

Guildeline	NICE CG115 (2011)	NICE CG100 (2010)	Veterans (2009)	Treatment of Patients with SUD, USA, APA (2006)	HIV-infected patients, USA, (2008)
Recommendation					
Strength of recommendation / Level of evidence					
Reference					

	S2 Leitlinie "Akutbehandlung Alkoholbezogener Störungen"	S2 Leitlinie "Screening, Diagnostik, Kurzintervention"	S2 Leitlinie "Alkoholdelir"	"Aggressives Verhalten"	S2 Leitlinie "Postakutbehandlung Alkoholbezogener Störungen"	S1 Leitlinie "Kindesalter"	DRV Leitlinien
Recommendation							
Strength of recommendation / Level of evidence							
Reference							

"Welche Wirksamkeit (z.B. Abstinenzrate, Abstinenzzeit, Rückfälle, Vermittlung in Langzeittherapie, stationäre Wiederaufnahme) zeigt eine qualifizierte Entzugsbehandlung im kontrollierten Vergleich mit einer körperlichen Entgiftung bei verschiedenen Patientengruppen?"

B) Abstinenzzeit

Guildeline	NICE CG115 (2011)	NICE CG100 (2010)		HIV-infected patients, USA, (2008)
Recommendation				
Strength of recommendation /				
Level of evidence				
Reference				

Guideline	S2 Leitlinie "Akutbehandlung Alkoholbezogener Störungen"	"Alkoholdelir"	"Aggressives Verhalten"	S2 Leitlinie "Postakutbehandlung Alkoholbezogener Störungen"	S1 Leitlinie "Kindesalter"	DRV Leitlinien
Recommendation						
Strength of recommendation / Level of evidence						
Reference						

"Welche Wirksamkeit (z.B. Abstinenzrate, Abstinenzzeit, Rückfälle, Vermittlung in Langzeittherapie, stationäre Wiederaufnahme) zeigt eine qualifizierte Entzugsbehandlung im kontrollierten Vergleich mit einer körperlichen Entgiftung bei verschiedenen Patientengruppen?"

C) Wiederaufnahme

Guildeline	NICE CG115 (2011)	NICE CG100 (2010)	•	VA/DoD (SUD), USA, Veterans (2009)	HIV-infected patients, USA, (2008)
Recommendation					
Strength of recommendation /					
Level of evidence					
Reference					

	S2 Leitlinie "Akutbehandlung Alkoholbezogener Störungen"	S2 Leitlinie "Screening, Diagnostik, Kurzintervention"	"Alkoholdelir"	"Aggressives	S2 Leitlinie "Postakutbehandlung Alkoholbezogener Störungen"	S1 Leitlinie "Kindesalter"	DRV Leitlinien
Recommendation							
Strength of recommendation							
/ Level of evidence							
Reference							

"Welche Wirksamkeit (z.B. Abstinenzrate, Abstinenzzeit, Rückfälle, Vermittlung in Langzeittherapie, stationäre Wiederaufnahme) zeigt eine qualifizierte Entzugsbehandlung im kontrollierten Vergleich mit einer körperlichen Entgiftung bei verschiedenen Patientengruppen?"

D) Vermittlung in Langzeit/weiterführende Therapie

Guildeline	NICE CG115 (2011)	NICE CG100 (2010)	NICE PH 24 (2010)	, , ,,	Treatment of Patients with SUD, USA, APA (2006)	HIV-infected patients, USA, (2008)
Recommendation						
Strength of recommendation / Level of evidence						
Reference						

Guideline	 S2 Leitlinie "Screening, Diagnostik,		S2 Leitlinie "Aggressives	S2 Leitlinie "Postakutbehandlung	S1 Leitlinie "Kindesalter"	DRV Leitlinien
	 Kurzintervention"	**	Verhalten"	Alkoholbezogener Störungen"	"Killuesaitei	
Recommendation						
Strength of recommendation / Level of evidence						
Reference						

"Welche Wirksamkeit (z.B. langfristige Abstinenz, Trinkmengenreduktion) zeigt eine qualifizierte Entgiftung im kontrollierten Vergleich mit Langzeittherapien (stationär, ambulant, etc.) bei verschiedenen Patientengruppen?"

Guildeline	NICE CG115 (2011)	NICE CG100 (2010)	' ' ' '	HIV-infected patients, USA, (2008)
Recommendation				
Strength of recommendation /				
Level of evidence				
Reference				

	"Akutbehandlung	S2 Leitlinie "Screening, Diagnostik, Kurzintervention"	"Alkoholdelir"	"Aggressives Verhalten"	S2 Leitlinie "Postakutbehandlung Alkoholbezogener Störungen"	S1 Leitlinie "Kindesalter"	DRV Leitlinien
Recommendation							
Strength of recommendation / Level of evidence							
Reference							

"Gibt es auch Hinweise auf eine fehlende oder sogar unerwünschte Wirksamkeit der qualifizierten Entzugsbehandlung?"

Guildeline	NICE CG115 (2011)	NICE CG100 (2010)	*	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	HIV-infected patients, USA, (2008)
Recommendation					
Strength of recommendation / Level of evidence					
Reference					

	"Akutbehandlung Alkoholbezogener	S2 Leitlinie "Screening, Diagnostik, Kurzintervention"	"Alkoholdelir"	"Aggressives Verhalten"	S2 Leitlinie "Postakutbehandlung Alkoholbezogener Störungen"	S1 Leitlinie "Kindesalter"	DRV Leitlinien
Recommendation							
Strength of							
recommendation / Level							
of evidence							
Reference							

"Anderes: Was sollte eine Alkoholentgiftung beinhalten?!

Guildeline	NICE CG115 (2011)	 NICE PH 24 (2010)		HIV-infected patients, USA, (2008)
Recommendation			The treatment of alcohol withdrawal has two major goals: 1) help the patient achieve detoxification in a manner that is as safe and comfortable as possible and 2) enhance the patient's motivation for abstinence and recovery (968).	
Strength of recommendation / Level of evidence				
Reference				

Guideline	"Akutbehandlung Alkoholbezogener	S2 Leitlinie "Screening, Diagnostik, Kurzintervention"	"Alkoholdelir"	"Aggressives Verhalten"	S2 Leitlinie "Postakutbehandlung Alkoholbezogener Störungen"	S1 Leitlinie "Kindesalter"	DRV Leitlinien
Recommendation							
Strength of recommendation / Level of evidence							
Reference							

Kapitel "3.4. Arzneimittel zur Entzugsbehandlung"

Klinische Fragestellung

3.4.1 "Welche Wirksamkeit (positive, fehlende, unerwünschte) zeigen Arzneimittel im kontrollierten Vergleich, wenn sie bei verschiedenen Patientengruppen (z.B. mit Teilaspekten des Entzuges wie Hypertonus, Tremor, Schlafstörungen, Unruhe / mit speziellen Komplikationen wie Entzugskrampfanfällen, Delir / mit Polytoxikomanie) in verschiedenen Settings (z.B. Intensivmedizin) eingesetzt werden?"

A) Benzos

Guildeline	NICE CG115 (2011)	NICE CG100 (2010)	NICE PH 24 (2010)	VA/DoD (SUD), USA, Veterans (2009)		HIV-infected patients, USA, (2008)
Recommendation		▶ Benzodiazepines versus placebo Alcohol withdrawal seizures: - A meta-analysis of three studies (Chlordiazepoxide N=2, Lorazepam N=1) found that benzodiazepines were significantly more effective than placebo (RR: 0.16 [95% CI: 0.04 to 0.69] p=0.01). 26. Level 1++ There were no significant differences between benzodiazepines and placebo for therapeutic success, mortality, side effects, discontinuation due to side effects . Level 1++		Use benzodiazepines over nonbenzodiazepine sedativehypnotics for inpatient alcohol withdrawal management. - documented efficacy, and a greater margin of safety. - reduce withdrawal severity, incidence of delirium, and seizures vs. placebo (seizures (risk reduction, 7.7 seizures per 100 patients treated; P=0.003; delirium (risk reduction, 4.9 cases of delirium per 100 patients treated=0.04)	seizures and delirium	

	▶ Benzodiazepines versus benzodiazepines - no differences for alcohol withdrawal seizures, therapeutic success, mortality, side effects, life threatening side effects, discontinuation due to side effects, alcohol withdrawal delirium, Clinical Institute Withdrawal Assessment for Alcohol (CIWA-Ar) score (change from baseline) at 48 hours. CIWA-Ar score (change from baseline) at end of treatment. Level 1++		(50 mg every 2-4 hours),diazepam (10 mg every 2-4 hours), oxazepam (60 mg q2h), and lorazepam (1 mg q2h) (982,998).
	Consider offering a benzodiazepine or carbamazepine. Delir: - no papers found in evidence review recommendations are based on experience and consensus benzodiazepine (Lorazepam) plus Neuroleptikum (Haloperidol, Olanzapin)		
	Anfälle R11: In people with alcohol withdrawal seizures, consider offering a quickacting benzodiazepine (such as lorazepamj) to reduce the likelihood of further seizures		
Strength of recommendation / Level of evidence	- 1++ - Für Abschlußempfehlung keine Evidenz angegeben für Delir (Expertenmeinung, Konsensus) Für Anfall: 1+	I Good Subst A	

Reference	Ntais C, Pakos E, Kyzas P et al.	Mayo	o-Smith, 1997 Ntais et F	Referenz 991, 992,	
	Benzodiazepines for alcohol withdrawal.	al., 20	2005	995, 982, 998	
	Cochrane Database of Systematic				
	Reviews.				
	2005;CD005063 Produktinfo				

Guideline	S2 Leitlinie "Akutbehandlung Alkoholbezogener Störungen"	S2 Leitlinie "Screening, Diagnostik, Kurzintervention"	S2 Leitlinie "Alkoholdelir"	S2 Leitlinie "Aggressives Verhalten"	S2 Leitlinie "Postakutbehandlung Alkoholbezogener Störungen"	S1 Leitlinie "Kindesalter "	DRV Leitlinien
Recommendation	Delirsymptome (Halluzinationen, Wahnsymptome oder Agitation) können auch durch die Kombination von Antipsychotika vom Butyrophenon-Typ (z.B. Haloperidol) mit Benzo-diazepinen behandelt werden. - Diazepam wird in Dosierungen von 10-60mg/Tag empfohlen		Benzodiazepine (Diazepam, Lorazepam, Chlordiazepoxid) sind wirksam beim Alkoholentzugsdeli				
Strength of recommendation / Level of evidence	A/I		A/Ia				
Reference	Mayo-Smith et al. 1997; APA 1995, AkdÄ 2002; Auch: Bonnet, Schäfer et al Antikonvulsiva in der Behandlung der Alkoholabhängigkeit, Fortschr Neurol Psychiat 2009; 77: 192–2		Bonnet, Schäfer et al Antikonvulsiva in der Behandlung der Alkoholabhängigke it, Fortschr Neurol Psychiat 2009; 77-192–20:				

3.4.1 "Welche Wirksamkeit (positive, fehlende, unerwünschte) zeigen Arzneimittel im kontrollierten Vergleich, wenn sie bei verschiedenen Patientengruppen (z.B. mit Teilaspekten des Entzuges wie Hypertonus, Tremor, Schlafstörungen, Unruhe / mit speziellen Komplikationen wie Entzugskrampfanfällen, Delir / mit Polytoxikomanie) in verschiedenen Settings (z.B. Intensivmedizin) eingesetzt werden?"

B) Clomethiazol (Distraneurin)

Guildeline	NICE CG115 (2011)	NICE CG100 (2010)	NICE PH 24 (2010)	VA/DoD (SUD), USA, Veterans (2009)	Treatment of Patients with SUD, USA, APA (2006)	HIV-infected patients, USA, (2008)
Recommendation		Benzodiazepines versus clomethiazole There were non-significant differences when benzodiazepines was compared with clo-methiazole for: - alcohol withdrawal seizures - therapeutic success - mortality - side effects - life threatening side effects - discontinuation due to side effects. Level 1++ Clomethiazole versus placebo There were no results reported in the Cochrane systematic review for the outcomes specified 26. Level 1++ - Clomethiazole may be offered as an alternative to a benzo-diazepine or carbamazepine. However, it should be used with caution, in inpatient settings only and according to the summary of product characteristics.				
Strength of recommendation / Level of evidence	1	- 1++ - für Abschluß-empfehlung keine Evidenz angegeben				
Reference		Ntais C, Pakos E, Kyzas P et al. Benzodiazepines for alcohol withdrawal. Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews. 2005;CD005063; 42-46				

3.4.1 "Welche Wirksamkeit (positive, fehlende, unerwünschte) zeigen Arzneimittel im kontrollierten Vergleich, wenn sie bei verschiedenen Patientengruppen (z.B. mit Teilaspekten des Entzuges wie Hypertonus, Tremor, Schlafstörungen, Unruhe / mit speziellen Komplikationen wie Entzugskrampfanfällen, Delir / mit Polytoxikomanie) in verschiedenen Settings (z.B. Intensivmedizin) eingesetzt werden?"

C) Clomethiazol (Distraneurin)

Guideline	S2 Leitlinie "Akutbehandlung Alkoholbezogener Störungen"	S2 Leitlinie "Screening, Diagnostik, Kurzintervention"	"Alkoholdelir"	S2 Leitlinie "Aggressives Verhalten"	S2 Leitlinie "Postakutbehandlung Alkoholbezogener Störungen"	S1 Leitlinie "Kindesalter"	DRV Leitlinien
Recommendation			Clomethiazol ist wirksam beim Alkoholentzugsdelir				
Strength of recommendation / Level of evidence			A/la				
Reference			Bonnet, Schäfer et al Antikonvulsiva in der Behandlung der Alkoholabhängigkeit, Fortschr Neurol Psychiat 2009; 77:				

3.4.1 "Welche Wirksamkeit (positive, fehlende, unerwünschte) zeigen Arzneimittel im kontrollierten Vergleich, wenn sie bei verschiedenen Patientengruppen (z.B. mit Teilaspekten des Entzuges wie Hypertonus, Tremor, Schlafstörungen, Unruhe / mit speziellen Komplikationen wie Entzugskrampfanfällen, Delir / mit Polytoxikomanie) in verschiedenen Settings (z.B. Intensivmedizin) eingesetzt werden?"

D) Antiepileptika

Guildeline	NICE CG115 (2011)	NICE CG100 (2010)	NICE PH 24 (2010)	VA/DoD (SUD), USA, Veterans (2009)	Treatment of Patients with SUD, USA, APA (2006)	HIV-infected patients, USA, (2008)
Recommendation		Benzodiazepines versus carbamazepine: - There were no significant differences when benzodiazepines were compared with anticonvulsants for: alcohol withdrawal seizures, mortality, side effects, discontinuation due to side effects, alcohol withdrawal delirium, CIWA-Ar score (change from baseline) at 48 hours CIWA-Ar score (change from baseline) at end of treatment Carbamazepine versus placebo - No relevant papers were identified. Consider offering a benzodiazepine or carbamazepine (für Abschlußempfehlung keine Evidenz angegeben)		and vaolproic acid can be used as an effective alternative to benzodiazepines for mild to moderate withdrawal. [B] - They may be considered in patients that cannot use benzodiazepines (e.g., abuse	Carbamazepine diminish the severity of alcohol withdrawal symptoms but has not been proven to prevent delirium or seizures - carbamazepine can be used adjunctively but not as monotherapy (992) Anticonvulsants and benzodiazepines appear to have comparable efficacy in preventing seizures during alcohol withdrawal - other withdrawal symptoms may also be diminished by anticonvulsants particularly in patients with mild to moderate withdrawal, although the evidence for this is mixed (987) and small sample sizes of studies making meta- analysis problematic (1025).	
		Anfälle: R13: Do not offer phenytoin to treat alcohol withdrawal seizures				
Strength of recommendation / Level of evidence		1++ Anfälle: 1+		I Fair Subst B		

Reference	Ntais C, Pakos E, Kyzas P et al. Benzodia-	Mayo-Smith, 1997	
	zepines for alcohol withdrawal. Cochrane	Polycarpou et al., 2005	
	Database of Systematic Reviews.	Reoux, 2001	
	2005;CD005063		

3.4.1 "Welche Wirksamkeit (positive, fehlende, unerwünschte) zeigen Arzneimittel im kontrollierten Vergleich, wenn sie bei verschiedenen Patientengruppen (z.B. mit Teilaspekten des Entzuges wie Hypertonus, Tremor, Schlafstörungen, Unruhe / mit speziellen Komplikationen wie Entzugskrampfanfällen, Delir / mit Polytoxikomanie) in verschiedenen Settings (z.B. Intensivmedizin) eingesetzt werden?"

E) Antiepileptika

Guideline	S2 Leitlinie	S2 Leitlinie	S2 Leitlinie	S2 Leitlinie	S2 Leitlinie	S1 Leitlinie	DRV
	"Akutbehandlung	"Screening,	"Alkoholdelir"	"Aggressives	"Postakutbehandlung	"Kindesalter"	Leitlinien
	Alkoholbezogener Störungen"	Diagnostik,		Verhalten"	Alkoholbezogener		
		Kurzintervention"			Störungen"		
Recommendation	Mittels Carbamazepin kann eine						
	milde bis mäßige						
	Entzugssymptomatik vermindert						
	werden.						
	- Carbamazepin sollte in den						
	ersten 48 Stunden auf 400-900						
	mg/täglich in unretardierter						
	Tablettenform oder als Liquidum						
	aufdosiert werden; danach kann						
	diese Dosis täglich um 200 mg						
	heruntertitriert werden						
	- Auch zur Anfallsprophylaxe						
	kann Carbamazepin eingesetzt						
	werden.						
Strength of	C/IV						
recommendation /							
Level of evidence							
Reference	Mayo-Smith et al. 1997; AkdÄ						
	2002						

3.4.1 "Welche Wirksamkeit (positive, fehlende, unerwünschte) zeigen Arzneimittel im kontrollierten Vergleich, wenn sie bei verschiedenen Patientengruppen (z.B. mit Teilaspekten des Entzuges wie Hypertonus, Tremor, Schlafstörungen, Unruhe / mit speziellen Komplikationen wie Entzugskrampfanfällen, Delir / mit Polytoxikomanie) in verschiedenen Settings (z.B. Intensivmedizin) eingesetzt werden?"

F) andere

Guildeline	NICE CG115 (2011)	NICE CG100 (2010)	NICE PH 24 (2010)	VA/DoD (SUD), USA, Veterans (2009)	Treatment of Patients with SUD, USA, APA (2006)	HIV-infected patients, USA, (2008)
Recommendation	Delirsymptome (Halluzinationen, Wahnsymptome oder Agitation) können auch durch die Kombination von Antipsychotika vom Butyrophenon-Typ (z.B. Haloperidol) mit Benzo- diazepinen behandelt werden. - Dabei sollte Haloperidol in einer Dosis von 0,5- 2 mg oral, i.m. oder i.v. alle 2 Stunden solange notwendig verabreicht werden, wobei die Gesamtdosis meist unter 10 mg pro 24 Stunden, in einigen Fällen aber auch mehr (10-40 mg/Tag und darüber) betragen kann.	Delir: - no papers found in evidence review - recommendations are based on experience and consensus benzodiazepine (Lorazepam) plus Neuroleptikum (Haloperidol, Olanzapin)		are generally not considered as appropriate monotherapy for alcohol withdrawal, [D] but may be considered in conjunction with benzodiazepines in certain patients. [C] - Use of alcohol as an agent for medically supervised withdrawal is contraindicated. [D]	diaphoresis) and, at higher doses, arrhythmias (1012–1014) - has been shown to reduce tremor, heart rate, and blood pressure (1016, 1017) - beta-blockers and clonidine diminish the severity of alcohol withdrawal symptoms but have not been proven to prevent delirium or seizures - beta-blockers, clonidine and neuroleptics can be used adjunctively but not as monotherapy (992). - Neuroleptics particularly haloperidol are recommended for patients with delirium, delusions, or hallucinations - Because antipsychotic agents are not effective for treating the underlying withdrawal state (992), they should be used as an adjunct to benzodiazepines. the use of intravenous ethanol is not supported by	
Strength of recommendation /	A/I	Delir: Expertenmeinung, Konsensus		C,D	the current published data (1034, 1035). Keine Angabe	

Reference	Mayo-Smith et al. 1997; APA			
	1995, AkdÄ 2002; Auch:			
	Bonnet, Schäfer et al			
	Antikonvulsiva in der			

3.4.1 "Welche Wirksamkeit (positive, fehlende, unerwünschte) zeigen Arzneimittel im kontrollierten Vergleich, wenn sie bei verschiedenen Patientengruppen (z.B. mit Teilaspekten des Entzuges wie Hypertonus, Tremor, Schlafstörungen, Unruhe / mit speziellen Komplikationen wie Entzugskrampfanfällen, Delir / mit Polytoxikomanie) in verschiedenen Settings (z.B. Intensivmedizin) eingesetzt werden?"

G) andere

e, andere							1
Guideline	S2 Leitlinie	S2 Leitlinie	S2 Leitlinie	S2 Leitlinie	S2 Leitlinie	S1 Leitlinie	DRV Leitlinien
	"Akutbehandlung	"Screening,	"Alkoholdelir"	"Aggressives	"Postakutbehandlung	"Kindesalter"	
	Alkoholbezogener	Diagnostik,		Verhalten"	Alkoholbezogener		
	Störungen"	Kurzintervention"			Störungen"		
Recommendation							
Strength of							
recommendation /							
Level of evidence							
Reference							

"Welche Hinweise auf eine differentielle Indikation gibt es (z.B. bei akuter Alkoholintoxikation, Mischintoxikation, Erregungszuständen, eingeschränkter Leberfunktion, eingeschränkter Nierenfunktion, eingeschränkter Lungenfunktion, chronisch mehrfach Abhängigen, stark reduziertem Allgemeinzustand; Schwangerschaft)?"

Benzodiazepine

Guildeline	NICE CG115 (2011)	NICE CG100 (2010)	NICE PH 24 (2010)	VA/DoD (SUD), USA, Veterans (2009)	Treatment of Patients with SUD, USA, APA (2006)	HIV-infected patients, USA, (2008)
Recommendation		 Keine Daten zu Komorbiditäten, älteren Patienten, Leberfunktionseinschränkungen, Kognitive Störungen, Enzephalopathie. Behandlung durch Erfahrenen bei eigeschränkter Leberfunktion Bevorzugung von Benzodiazepinen mit kurzer Halbwertszeit z.B. Oxazepam bzw. Lorazepam (keine Verstoffwechselung über Leber) "People with decompensated liver disease who are being treated for acute alcohol withdrawal should be offered advice from a healthcare professional experienced in the management of patients with liver disease." 		Benzodiazepines without active metabolites such as lorazepam or oxazepam may be preferred in patients with liver impairment. [A] Dose and withdrawal scales should be individualized for each patient. Geriatric patients should start with lower doses of benzodiazepines than younger adults. [A]	For patients who have severe hepatic disease, are elderly, or have delirium, dementia, or another cognitive disorder, short-acting benzodiazepines such as oxazepam or lorazepam are preferred by some clinicians and appear to be efficacious. - Lorazepam also has the advantage of being able to be administered parenterally.	
Strength of recommendation / Level of evidence		Expertenmeinung		A		
Reference					Referenz: 1004, 1005	

"Welche Hinweise auf eine differentielle Indikation gibt es (z.B. bei akuter Alkoholintoxikation, Mischintoxikation, Erregungszuständen, eingeschränkter Leberfunktion, eingeschränkter Nierenfunktion, eingeschränkter Lungenfunktion, chronisch mehrfach Abhängigen, stark reduziertem Allgemeinzustand; Schwangerschaft)?"

Benzodiazepine

Guideline	S2 Leitlinie "Akutbehandlung Alkoholbezogener Störungen"	S2 Leitlinie "Screening, Diagnostik, Kurzintervention"	S2 Leitlinie "Alkoholdelir"	S2 Leitlinie "Aggressives Verhalten"	S2 Leitlinie "Postakutbehandlung Alkoholbezogener Störungen"	S1 Leitlinie "Kindesalter"	DRV Leitlinien
Recommendation	- Bei Patienten mit schweren Leberschäden, älteren Patienten, Patienten mit organischen psychischen Störungen (Delir, Demenz) sollten kurzwirksame BZD wie Oxazepam oder Lorazepam bevorzugt warden - Bei Patienten mit relevanten körperlichen Erkrankungen sollten Pharmaka allerdings auch bei milden bis moderaten Entzugssyndromen eingesetzt werden						
Strength of recommendation / Level of evidence	C/IV						
Reference	APA 1995 Mayo-Smith et al. 1997						

"Welche Hinweise auf eine differentielle Indikation gibt es (z.B. bei akuter Alkoholintoxikation, Mischintoxikation, Erregungszuständen, eingeschränkter Leberfunktion, eingeschränkter Nierenfunktion, eingeschränkter Lungenfunktion, chronisch mehrfach Abhängigen, stark reduziertem Allgemeinzustand; Schwangerschaft)?"

Clomethiazol

Guildeline	NICE CG115 (2011)	NICE CG100 (2010)	•	Treatment of Patients with SUD, USA, APA (2006)	HIV-infected patients, USA, (2008)
Recommendation		Keine Daten zu Komorbiditäten, älteren Patienten, Leberfunktionseinschränkungen, Kognitive Störungen, Enzephalopathie Bisher nur Empfehlung von kurzwirksamen Benzodiazepinen (Expertenempfehlung) Hinweise auf Kontraindikationen			
Strength of recommendation / Level of evidence		Expertenempfehlung			
Reference					

Klinische Fragestellung

"Welche Hinweise auf eine differentielle Indikation gibt es (z.B. bei akuter Alkoholintoxikation, Mischintoxikation, Erregungszuständen, eingeschränkter Leberfunktion, eingeschränkter Lungenfunktion, chronisch mehrfach Abhängigen, stark reduziertem Allgemeinzustand; Schwangerschaft)?"

Clomethiazol

Guideline	"Akutbehandlung Alkoholbezogener	"Screening,	"Alkoholdelir"	"Aggressives Verhalten"	S2 Leitlinie "Postakutbehandlung Alkoholbezogener Störungen"	S1 Leitlinie "Kindesalter"	DRV Leitlinien
Recommendation							
Strength of recommendation / Level of evidence							
Reference							

"Welche Hinweise auf eine differentielle Indikation gibt es (z.B. bei akuter Alkoholintoxikation, Mischintoxikation, Erregungszuständen, eingeschränkter Leberfunktion, eingeschränkter Nierenfunktion, eingeschränkter Lungenfunktion, chronisch mehrfach Abhängigen, stark reduziertem Allgemeinzustand; Schwangerschaft)?"

Antiepileptika

Guildeline	NICE CG115 (2011)			Treatment of Patients with SUD, USA, APA (2006)	HIV-infected patients, USA, (2008)
Recommendation		Keine Daten zu Komorbiditäten, älteren Patienten, Leberfunktionseinschränkungen, Kognitive Störungen, Enzephalopathie. - Bisher nur Empfehlung von kurzwirksamen Benzodiazepinen (Expertenempfehlung).			
Strength of recommendation / Level of evidence					
Reference					

"Welche Hinweise auf eine differentielle Indikation gibt es (z.B. bei akuter Alkoholintoxikation, Mischintoxikation, Erregungszuständen, eingeschränkter Leberfunktion, eingeschränkter Nierenfunktion, eingeschränkter Lungenfunktion, chronisch mehrfach Abhängigen, stark reduziertem Allgemeinzustand; Schwangerschaft)?"

Antiepileptika

	S2 Leitlinie "Akutbehandlung Alkoholbezogener Störungen"	S2 Leitlinie "Screening, Diagnostik, Kurzintervention"	"Alkoholdelir"	"Aggressives Verhalten"	S2 Leitlinie "Postakutbehandlung Alkoholbezogener Störungen"	S1 Leitlinie "Kindesalter"	DRV Leitlinien
Recommendation							
Strength of recommendation / Level of evidence							
Reference							

Klinische Fragestellung

"Welche Hinweise auf eine differentielle Indikation gibt es (z.B. bei akuter Alkoholintoxikation, Mischintoxikation, Erregungszuständen, eingeschränkter Leberfunktion, eingeschränkter Lungenfunktion, chronisch mehrfach Abhängigen, stark reduziertem Allgemeinzustand; Schwangerschaft)?"

andere

Guildeline	NICE CG115 (2011)		NICE PH 24 (2010)		HIV-infected patients, USA, (2008)
Recommendation		 Keine Daten zu Komorbiditäten, älteren Patienten, Leberfunktionseinschränkungen, Kognitive Störungen, Enzephalopathie. Bisher nur Empfehlung von kurzwirksamen Benzodiazepinen (Expertenempfehlung). 			
Strength of recommendation / Level of evidence					
Reference					

"Welche Hinweise auf eine differentielle Indikation gibt es (z.B. bei akuter Alkoholintoxikation, Mischintoxikation, Erregungszuständen, eingeschränkter Leberfunktion, eingeschränkter Nierenfunktion, eingeschränkter Lungenfunktion, chronisch mehrfach Abhängigen, stark reduziertem Allgemeinzustand; Schwangerschaft)?"

andere

	S2 Leitlinie "Screening, Diagnostik, Kurzintervention"	"Alkoholdelir"	"Aggressives Verhalten"	S2 Leitlinie "Postakutbehandlung Alkoholbezogener Störungen"	S1 Leitlinie "Kindesalter"	DRV Leitlinien
Recommendation						
Strength of recommendation						
/ Level of evidence						
Reference						

"Anderes"

Symptomgetriggert vs. Fixdosis

Guildeline	NICE CG115 (2011)	NICE CG100 (2010)	NICE PH 24 (2010)	VA/DoD (SUD), USA, Veterans (2009)	Treatment of Patients with SUD, USA, APA (2006)	HIV-ir patie (2008
Recommendation		- Nicht ausrechende Evidenz für das "Frontloading". - Symptom-triggered dosing regimen of benzodiazepines is associated with significantly lower doses of benzodiazepines (31) and shorter treatment duration compared to a fixed-dosing regimen (28-30). Symptom-triggered dosing regimen improve patients' physical functioning compared to the fixed-dosing regimen (p<0.01) (28) and it is cost-effective. Eine Empfehlung eines bestimmten Vorgehens erfolgt nicht da es keine gesicherte Überlegenheit gibt. Für alle Entzugsformen, insbesondere aber für die Symptomgetriggerte Behandlung ist ein Fachpersonal mit spezifischen Kenntnissen wichtig Follow a symptom- triggered regime for drug treatment for people in acute alcohol withdrawal who are: in hospital or in other settings where 24-hour assessment and monitoring are available		Use symptom-triggered therapy or gradual dose tapering over several days for alcohol withdrawal management. A. Symptom-triggered therapy where patients are given medication only when signs or symptoms of withdrawal appear (e.g., PRN dosing) [A] B) A pre-determined fixed medication dose with gradual tapering over several days may be considered for some patients, although it is inferior to symptom-triggered therapy. [B]		
Strength of recommendation / Level of evidence		A/1 bis B/3		I Good Subst A		
Reference		Referenz: 28-33		APA, 1995; CSAT, 1995; Hayashida et al., 1989 Mayo-Smith, 1997 Saitz et al., 1994		

"Anderes"

Symptomgetriggert vs. Fixdosis

	"Akutbehandlung Alkoholbezogener	S2 Leitlinie "Screening, Diagnostik, Kurzintervention"	S2 Leitlinie "Alkoholdelir"	"Aggressives Verhalten"	S1 Leitlinie "Kindesalter"	DRV Leitlinien
Recommendation						
Strength of recommendation / Level of evidence						
Reference						

Kapitel "3.7.1 Kinder und Jugendliche"

Psychotherapeutic treatment

Guideline	Evidence level
	(Varying: see near left)
Statement	

6.22 SPECIAL POPULATIONS – CHILDREN AND YOUNG PEOPLE

Individual or group CBT:

Three reviews. Evidence level Ia. A number of studies which assess the use of individual or group based psychological therapies have been identified and reviewed (Waldron & Kaminer 2004; Perepletchikova et al. 2008; Tripodi et al. 2010). Tripodi and colleagues (2010) conducted a meta-analysis of 16 experimental studies (including 14 RCTs) evaluating interventions both in individual and group format based interventions (brief interventions, CBT [cognitive-behavioural therapy], family-based therapies, multicomponent therapies) with a focus on reducing alcohol misuse. However, only few trials included samples of children or young people identified with harmful or dependent drinking, the specific focal point of this guideline: studies with individuals who did not meet criteria for harmful drinking or alcohol dependence (n=1); with participants diagnosed with a significant co-morbid psychiatric disorder (n=2); and in a large fraction of studies, the focus was not specifically on alcohol misuse, but rather on substance misuse more generally (n=7). The results of this meta-analyses showed a significantly large effect in drinking reduction for individual interventions (effect size = -0.75; 95% CI, -1.10 to -0.40). However, the meta-analyses did not distinguish between different types of individual interventions in pooled analyses therefore other reviews which focused on specific interventions were considered (cf. p.346).

Brief interventions and motivational interviewing (cf. p.346 et seq.):

Both the NICE prevention of alcohol related problems in adults and young people (NICE 2010a) and also the NICE public health guidance on community interventions for vulnerable young adults consider the evidence for brief motivational techniques. The evidence for this is from the adult literature though there is an emerging albeit still limited literature for adolescents where modifications of motivational interviewing or motivational enhancement techniques [MET] for adolescents have shown promise for both evaluation and treatment (Colby et al. 1998; Monti et al. 1999). However, a review by Perepletchikova and colleagues (2008) reported that, in alcohol use disorders, evidence suggests that motivational techniques are more effective when combined with CBT, for example in the Cannabis Youth Trial (CYT; Dennis et al. 2004), although this population were predominately diagnosed as dependent on cannabis.

Cognitive behavioural therapy: Waldron and Kaminer (2004), in a review of CBT approaches to substance use disorders (more broad than just alcohol misuse), concluded that individual CBT treatment may be effective in reducing substance misuse as well as other related problems. Interventions with the adolescent alone (for example, CBT or CBT plus MET) have been reported as effective (Dennis et al. 2004; Kaminer & Burleson 1999; Kaminer et al. 1998). However, much of the evidence base is from approaches dealing with co- morbidity such as conduct disorders, and anxiety and affective disorders where information on the extent and severity of alcohol misuse specifically is lacking. Perepletchikova and colleagues (2008) considered 5 studies looking at the effectiveness of CBT in the reduction of alcohol use disorders, three of which were of CBT alone, one evaluated an integrated family and group CBT approach and one looked at efficacy of CBT on reduction of substance use in those with co- morbid conduct disorder. Again it appears that the data is primary concerned with children and young people who did not have a high severity of alcohol misuse. Kaminer and colleagues (2002) in one of the few studies that had a more substantial proportion of participants with alcohol dependence randomized participants to CBT or a psycho- educational

therapy. Of 88 included participants, 12.5% (n=11) had an alcohol use disorder only and 60% (n=53) had an alcohol disorder as well as a marijuana use disorder. Of these 64 participants with an alcohol use disorder, 58% met criteria for abuse and 42% for dependence (DSM III-R; American Psychiatric Association, 1987). The authors reported that there were reductions across both therapies in alcohol use. At 3-month-FU, alcohol use had improved significantly, and showed continued improvement at 9- month-FU. Substance use also showed a positive trend towards improvement. Kaminer and colleagues (2008) only included participants who meet DSM–IV criteria for alcohol dependence, although 81.8% of the sample also used marijuana. Although the primary focus of co- morbidity has been on individuals with conduct disorder, a few studies have also examined the problems presented by co- occurring common mental health disorders, such as depression and anxiety. One study evaluated the efficacy of an integrated 20-week programme of CBT with case management in a population of substance abusing young people (aged between 15 and 25 years). Sixty-three percent of the sample met criteria for alcohol dependence. Treatment resulted in a significant improvement in abstinence rates as well as a reduction in the number or participants meeting diagnostic thresholds for dependence. These positive effects were also observed at 44 week follow-up. This study (like others) evaluates the effectiveness of psychological interventions for young people include participants whom are over the age of 18 years. However, this age-range makes interpretation of data sets such as this difficult.

Twelve Step Facilitation (TSF): No formal evaluations in alcohol dependent adolescents were identified for TSF.

6.22.7 Multi-component psychological interventions (cf. p.348 et seq.). Meta-analysis. Evidence level la Effectiveness of multi-component interventions Meta-analytic results (p.350) "showed that family interventions are more effective than control for reducing both behavioural problems (SMD -0.75; -1.19 to - 0.30) and offending (RR -0.67; 0.42 to 1.07). Furthermore, 10 trials on multi-systemic therapy that met the inclusion criteria for the review were analysed. There was significant heterogeneity for most outcomes; however, there was consistent evidence of a medium effect on reduction in offending outcomes including number of arrests (SMD -0.44; - 0.82 to -0.06) and being arrested (RR 0.65; 0.42 to 1.00). In a recent meta-analysis, Tripodi and colleagues (2010) evaluated six trials of multi-component and family-based interventions in the systematic review. However, none of these trials were focused specifically on alcohol misuse, and in two of the trials, only approximately 50% of the sample met criteria for alcohol dependence and harmful alcohol use. The overall findings were in line with the NICE ASPD guideline (NICE, 2009). The review did however reports that that multi- component family therapies were effective in reducing drinking in adolescents (Hedges g = - 0.46, 95% CI, - 0.66 to -0.26). Perepletchikova and colleagues (2008) reviewed the evidence of family therapies specifically on alcohol use, although some of the family therapies did include substance use disorders. The types of family therapies

Further references

Colby S. M., Monti P. M., Barnett, N. P., Rohsenow, D. J., Weissman, K., Spirito, A., Wollard, R. H. & Lewander, W. J. (1998). Brief motivational interviewing in a hospital setting for adolescent smoking: a preliminary study. Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology, 66:574–578.

Dennis, M., Godley, S. H., Diamond, G., Tims, F. M., Babor, T., Donaldson, J., Liddle, H., Titus, J. C., Kaminer, Y., Webb, C., Hamilton, N., & Funk, R. (2004). The Cannabis Youth Treatment (CYT) study: Main findings from two randomized trials. Journal of Substance Abuse Treatment, 27: 197-213.

Kaminer, Y., & Burleson, J. A. (1999). Psychotherapies for adolescent substance abusers: 15-month follow-up of a pilot study. American Journal on Addictions, 8: 114–119.

Kaminer, Y., Burleson, J. A., Blitz, C., Sussman, J., & Rounsaville, B. J. (1998). Psychotherapies for adolescent substance abusers: A pilot study. Journal of Nervous and Mental Disease, 186: 684–690.

Kaminer, Y., Burleson, J. A., & Goldberger, R. (2002). Cognitive- behavioral coping skills and psychoeducation therapies for adolescent substance abuse. Journal of Nervous and Mental Disease, 190:737–745.

Kaminer, Y., Burleson, J. A., & Burke, R. H. (2008). Efficacy of outpatient aftercare for adolescents with alcohol use disorders: A randomized controlled study. Journal of the American Academy of Child & Adolescent Psychiatry, 47(12):1405-1412.

Monti, P. M., Colby, S. M., Barnett, N. P., Spirito, A., Rohsenow, D. J., Myers, M., Woolard, R. & Lewander, W. (1999). Brief intervention for harm reduction with alcohol-positive older adolescents in a hospital emergency department. Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology, 67(6):989-994.

Perepletchikova, F., Krystal, J. H., & Kaufman, J. (2008). Practitioner Review: Adolescent alcohol use disorders: assessment and treatment issues. Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry, 49(11):1131-1154.

Tripodi, S. J., Bender, K., Litschge, C., & Vaughn, M. G. (2010). Interventions for reducing adolescent alcohol abuse a meta- analytic review. Archives of Pediatrics & Adolescent Medicine, 164:85–91.

Waldron, H. B., & Kaminer Y. (2004). On the learning curve: Cognitive- behavioral therapies for adolescent substance abuse. Addiction, 99:93–105.

Guideline	Evidence level
13 (American Psychiatric Association, 2006)	(Varying, see near left) [] = references not relevant for these guidelines and not
	given in "Further references" near right
Statement	Further references

F. PSYCHOSOCIAL TREATMENTS (p.39) Evidence level lb

Social Skills training has been successfully used as an adjunct to a more comprehensive treatment plan and can be delivered in a wide variety of outpatient treatment settings. It may be particularly useful in certain dually diagnosed populations, such as patients with schizophrenia [...] and adolescents at risk for beginning substance abuse (Griffin, Botvin, Nichols, Doyle 2003).

8. Family therapies (p.43) Evidence level Ib and IIa Controlled studies have shown positive outcomes of involving non-alcohol-abusing family members in the treatment of an alcohol-abusing individual [...]. More recent studies have demonstrated the effectiveness of family involvement in substance use disorder treatment for both women and men [...], including patients on methadone maintenance [...]. Family therapy, often in combination with other approaches, has also been studied extensively and has shown good evidence for efficacy in adolescents (Liddle, Rowe, Dakof, Ungaro, Henderson 2004; Santisteban, Coatsworth, Perez- Vidal, Kurtines, Schwartz, LaPerriere, Szapocznik 2003; Waldron, Slesnick, Brody, Turner, Peterson 2001). There is also some evidence that these

approaches can improve the psychosocial functioning and decrease the likelihood of substance use in children living with a parent abusing alcohol or other substances [...].

10. Brief therapies (p.44) Evidence level III

The efficacy of brief interventions has been studied mostly in connection with alcohol use disorders. The interventions were initially designed to facilitate the

treatment of alcohol abuse or dependence in a setting other than a substance abuse treatment facility (e.g., primary care clinic, mental health clinic, EAP) [...]. More recent evidence suggests that brief interventions are also effective with other substance use disorders, including cannabis [...], opioid [...], and nicotine [...] dependence and in special populations such as adolescents (Tevyaw, Monti 2004), patients with co-occurring psychiatric and substance use disorders [...], and patients in the military [...].

CLINICAL FEATURES INFLUENCING TREATMENT

Section 6: Age. a) Children and adolescents (p.66) Evidence level III and Ib Although research data establishing the efficacy of specific treatment modalities for adolescent substance use disorders are sparse, program outcomes for adolescents appear to be enhanced by the availability of treatment that is developmentally appropriate and peer oriented and includes educational, vocational, and recreational services. Corrective experiences in family interaction should be part of the treatment plan (Catalano, Hawkins, Wells, Miller, Brewer 1991). Family therapy also appears to have benefit (Santisteban, Coatsworth, Perez-Vidal, Kurtines, Schwartz, LaPerriere, Szapocznik 2003; Waldron, Slesnick, Brody, Turner, Peterson 2001; Coatsworth, Santisteban, McBride, Szapocznik 2001). Residential facilities are very effective in reducing substance use, but gains are lost when aftercare is not well coordinated (Dasinger, Shane, Martinovich 2004).

Further references

Catalano, R. F., Hawkins, J. D., Wells, E. A., Miller, J., & Brewer, D. (1991). Evaluation of the effectiveness of adolescent drug abuse treatment, assessment of risks for relapse, and promising approaches for relapse prevention. The International Journal of the Addictions, 25(9A & IOA), 1085-1140.

Coatsworth, J. D., Santisteban, D. A., McBride, C. K., & Szapocznik, J. (2001). Effectiveness of Brief Structural/Strategic Family Therapy: Engagement, retention, and treatment effects. Manuscript submitted for publication, Center for Family Studies- University of Miami.

Dasinger, L. K., Shane, P. A. & Martinovich, Z. (2004). Assessing the effectiveness of community-based substance abuse treatment for adolescents. Journal of Psychoactive Drugs, 36(1):27–33.

Griffin, K. W., Botvin, G. J., Nichols, T. R., & Doyle, M. M. (2003). Effectiveness of a universal drug abuse prevention approach for youth at high risk for substance use initiation. Preventive Medicine, 36, 1–7.

Liddle, H. A., Rowe, C. L., Dakof, G. A., Ungaro, R. A. & Henderson, C., (2004). Early intervention for adolescent substance abuse: Pretreatment to posttreatment outcomes of a randomized controlled trial comparing multidimensional family therapy and peer group treatment. Journal of Psychoactive Drugs, 36(1): 2–37.

Santisteban, D. A., Coatsworth, J. D., Perez-Vidal, A., Kurtines, W. M., Schwartz, S. J., LaPerriere, A., & Szapocznik, J. (2003). Efficacy of brief strategic family therapy in modifying Hispanic adolescent behavior problems and substance use. Journal of Family Psychology, 17(1):121-133.

Waldron, H. B., Slesnick, N. M., Brody, J. L., Turner, C. W., & Peterson, T. R. (2001). Treatment outcomes for adolescent substance abuse at 4- and 7-month assessments. Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology, 69: 802–813.

Tevyaw, T. & Monti, P. M. (2004) Motivational enhancement and other brief interventions for adolescent substance abuse: foundations, applications, and evaluations. Addiction, 99(2):63–75.

Guideline	Evidence level
14 (Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration U.S., 2006)	n/a
Statement	Further references
Chapter 6: Family-Based Services (pp.93–113)	
Evidence level la	

In 1997, Stanton and Shadish conducted a meta-analysis that compared the effectiveness of family education, family therapy, and other forms of family intervention for people with substance use disorders. Stanton et al. suggested in their meta- analysis (1997) that family therapy is more effective than family education groups and other family services for people with substance use disorders.

Chapter 9: Adapting Intensive Outpatient Treatment for Specific Populations (p.172) Family involvement Because outpatient family therapy may offer benefits superior to other outpatient treatments (Williams et al. 2000), IOT [Intensive Outpatient Treatment] providers are encouraged to work with the family as much as possible.

Chapter 9: Adapting Intensive Outpatient Treatment for Specific Populations (p.173).

Evidence level Ib Treatment of the family

Family-oriented interventions have long been used to treat adolescents who abuse substances. Szapocznik and colleagues (1983; 1986) helped establish the effectiveness of family therapy in treating adolescents. The premise of family therapy is that the family plays a role in creating conditions leading to adolescent drug use and that family elements help adolescents recover (Liddle et al. 2001). Evidence shows that youth who receive family therapy have less drug use at treatment completion than those who receive peer group therapy or whose families participate in parent education or a multifamily intervention (Liddle et al. 2001). Family cognitive-behavioral therapy integrates traditional family systems theory with techniques of cognitive-behavioral therapy. This approach considers adolescent substance abuse as a conditioned behavior that is reinforced by cues and contingencies within the family (Latimer et al. 2003).

Chapter 9: Adapting Intensive Outpatient Treatment for Specific Populations Exhibit 9-3 (cf. p.174)

The Family Intervention Program (Fishman & Andes 2001) partners a family therapist with a community resource specialist. The specialist helps the family establish healthy community networks. Working as a team, the therapist and specialist conduct five family therapy sessions and perform the following:

- Assess the family system; explore the family's resources, concerns, and goals; and create a treatment plan.
- Explore relationships among family members, identify areas of difficulty and stress, and determine the effect on the family system.
- Determine the effect of other systems, such as schools, on the family.
- Focus on the family's concerns and goals and include others who can help resolve problems.

Work on how the family can resolve issues without staff help and develop a follow-up plan.

Further references

Fishman, H. C. & Andes, F. (2001). Enhancing family therapy: The addition of a community resource specialist. Journal of Marital and Family Therapy, 27(1):111–116.

Latimer, W. W., Winters, K. C., D'Zurilla, T. & Nichols, M. (2003). Integrated family and cognitive- behavioral therapy for adolescent substance abusers: A stage I efficacy study. Drug and Alcohol Dependence, 71(3):303–317.

Liddle, H. A., Dakof, G. A., Parker, K., Diamond, G. S., Barrett, K., & Tejeda, M. (2001). Multidimensional family therapy for adolescent drug abuse: Results of a randomized clinical trial. American Journal of Drug and Alcohol Abuse 27(4):651–688.

Stanton, M. D., & Shadish, W. R. (1997). Outcome, attrition, and family-couples treatment for drug abuse: A meta- analysis and review of the controlled, comparative studies. Psychological Bulletin, 122(2):170–191.

Szapocznik, J., Kurtines, W. M., Foote, F. H., Perez-Vidal, A., & Hervis, O. (1983). Conjoint versus one-person family therapy: Some evidence for the effectiveness of conducting family therapy through one person. Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology, 51:881–889.

Szapocznik, J., Kurtines, W. M., Foote, F. H., Perez-Vidal, A., & Hervis, O. (1986). Conjoint versus one-person family therapy: Further evidence for the effectiveness of

conducting family therapy through one person with drug-abusing adolescents. Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology, 54:395–397. Williams, R. J., Chang, S. Y., & Addiction Centre Adolescent Research Group. (2000). A comprehensive and comparative review of adolescent substance abuse treatment outcome. Clinical Psychology: Science and Practice, 7:138–166.

Medical treatment

Guideline	Evidence level
1 (NICE CG115, 2011)	(See near left)

Statement

7.1.1 Current practice (p.366) n/a

In particular, many drugs will not have a license for use in adolescents/children or in the elderly but this does not mean they necessarily lack efficacy or are unsafe.

Nevertheless, when prescribing in these populations due care must be taken in terms of dosage and monitoring of side effects, as well as potential interactions with other medications or physical morbidity.

7.12 CHILDREN AND YOUNG PEOPLE (p.422 et seq.)

Evidence level Ib

Studies considered

The GDG [Guideline Development Group] were able to identify only three small pilot RCTs in this area for children and young people (Niederhofer, & Staffen, 2003a; Niederhofer et al., 2003b; Niederhofer, & Staffen, 2003c).

Evidence summary

Niederhofer and Staffen (2003a) conducted a double blind placebo controlled study with 26 participants with a DSM–IV diagnosis of chronic or episode alcohol dependence. Participants ranged in age from 16 to 19 years. The participants were randomly allocated to treatment with acamprosate (1332 mg daily) or placebo for 90 days. Participants were assessed at start of treatment, and at 30 and 90 days. Results revealed that the acamprosate group had a significantly higher proportion of days abstinent throughout the 90 days of treatment (p<0.001), as well as a higher duration of mean cumulative abstinence (p<0.01). There were no significant differences between the two groups with regards to side effects, and diarrhoea was the only reported side effect.

Niederhofer and colleagues (2003b) assessed naltrexone compared with a placebo in a double blind placebo controlled study, with 30 participants ranging in age from 15 to 19 years with a DSM–IV diagnosis of chronic or episodic alcohol dependence. All participants received 50 mg of naltrexone daily and were assessed at the start of treatment and at 30 and 90 days. At the 90 day assessment point, sixty of ninety participants completed treatment. Participants remained abstinent longer than those in the placebo group during 90 days of treatment (p<0.01) and had a longer duration of mean cumulative abstinence (69.8 days) than the placebo arm (22.8 days) (p<0.01).

Lastly, Niederhofer and Staffen (2003c) compared disulfiram and placebo in a double blind placebo controlled trial with 26 adolescents (age range: 16 to 19 years) with DSM–IV chronic or episodic alcohol dependence. Participants received 200 mg of disulfiram daily and were assessed at the start of treatment, 30 and 90 days. Results indicated that on day 90 of treatment, 2 of the placebo treated patients compared with 7 disulfiram treated patients had been continuously abstinent (p=0.0063).

Additionally, the duration of mean cumulative abstinence was

Further references

Niederhofer, H., & Staffen, W. (2003a). Acamprosate and its efficacy in treating alcohol dependent adolescents. European Child, & Adolescent Psychiatry, 12:144–148. Niederhofer, H., Staffen, W., & Mair, A. (2003b). Comparison of naltrexone and placebo in treatment of alcohol dependence of adolescents. Alcoholism Treatment Quarterly, 21(2):87-95.

Niederhofer, H., & Staffen, W. (2003c). Comparison of disulfiram and placebo in treatment of alcohol dependence of adolescents. Drug and Alcohol Review, 22, 295–297.

Guideline	Evidence level
8 (TIP 49; U.S. Department of Health und Human Services, SAMHSA,	(See near left)
2009)	
c	

Statement

Acamprosate Cautions (p.13). Currently not evidence-based.

Patient Condition or Treatment

Circumstance Recommendation Moderate renal impairment Reduce dosage to (creatinine clearance 30–50 one 333 mg tablet mL/min) daily

Pregnant or nursing women Avoid using

acamprosate unless potential benefits outweigh risks (Acamprosate is FDA pregnancy category C; it is unknown whether acamprosate is

excreted in human milk.)

Age 65 or older Because of a higher risk of diminished renal function in persons 65 or older, perform baseline and frequent renal function tests; acamprosate

has not been evaluated for safety or efficacy in geriatric populations

Children or adolescents Prescribe with

caution; acamprosate has not been evaluated for safety or efficacy in pediatric or adolescent populations

Disulfiram Cautions (p.22)

Patient Condition or Circumstance	Treatment Recommendation
History of cardiac disease, diabetes mellitus,	Use with caution. No evidence exists that patients with pre-existing liver disease are more likely to
hypothyroidism, epilepsy, cerebral damage, chronic or	suffer severe hepatotoxicity from disulfiram therapy.
acute nephritis, hepatic cirrhosis, or hepatic insufficiency	
Patients with hepatitis C	According to current available evidence, if baseline transaminase levels are normal or only moderately
	elevated (less than five times the upper limit of normal), use with careful monitoring of liver function
	been determined. One study indicates that disulfiram can be safe and effective with adolescents
	(Niederhofer, & Staffen, 2003c). Administer with caution.

How Is Oral Naltrexone Used? Side Effects, Contraindications, and Cautions (p.30).

Evidence level III

The results of a recent small, open-label pilot study suggest that naltrexone is well tolerated in adolescents seeking treatment and may reduce alcohol consumption and

craving (Deas, May, Randall, Johnson, & Anton 2005). Oral Naltrexone Dosages (p.30)

How Is Extended-Release Injectable Naltrexone Used? Side Effects, Contraindications, and Cautions (p.40)

Injectable naltrexone carries the same contraindications as oral naltrexone (see Exhibit 4-3 on page 31) plus those listed in Exhibit 5-2. There are no data on use of naltrexone in children or adolescents; treatment of these populations with naltrexone is not recommended.

Appendix C— Excerpts From Quick Guide for Clinicians Based on TIP 45*Considerations for Specific Populations (p.81)

Adolescents are more likely to drink large quantities of alcohol in a short period of time, making it important that staff be alert to escalating blood alcohol levels. Adolescents are more likely to use drugs they cannot identify, to combine multiple substances with alcohol, to ingest unidentified substances, and to be unwilling to disclose drug use.

Asking open-ended questions and using street terminology for drugs can be helpful in both establishing rapport and obtaining an accurate substance use history.

References

Deas, D., May, K., Randall, C. L., Johnson, N. A., & Anton, R. F. (2005). Naltrexone treatment of adolescent alcoholics: An open label pilot study. Journal of Child, & Adolescent Psychopharmacology, 15:723–728.

Psycho-social treatment

Guideline	Evidence level
1 (NICE CG115, 2011)	(Varying; see topic near left)

Statement

2.12.2 Current service provision for children and young people (p.35) Evidence level IV

Treatment variety

Given the co-morbidity noted above, many adolescents having alcohol treatment are often seen in specialist services, such as Youth Offending Teams, or specialist services for young people with conduct disorders, such as the newly-developed multi-systemic therapy teams (Department of Health, 2007), although identification and treatment of their dependence and/or harmful use may not be fully explored. In the US, adolescents with substance- use disorders receive treatment in a variety of settings including community, residential and criminal justice settings, and home-based treatment. However, there is little research evaluating the differences between these settings. As a consequence, there is little clear evidence to determine the most appropriate treatment environments. The American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry (2001) recommend that factors affecting the choice of setting should include: the need to provide a safe environment; motivation of the adolescent and his/her family to cooperate with treatment; the need for structure and limit-setting; the presence of additional medical or psychiatric conditions and the associated risks; the availability of specific types of treatment settings for adolescents; preferences for treatment in a particular setting; and past treatment failure in a less restrictive/intensive setting.

4 EXPERIENCE OF CARE

4.1 INTRODUCTION (p.65) Evidence level IV

As the guideline also aims to address support needs for families/carers, a thematic analysis was conducted using transcripts from people with parents who misuse alcohol. These were accessed from the National Association for Children of Alcoholics (NACOA) website (www.nacoa.org.uk). NACOA provides information and support to people (whether still in childhood or in adulthood) of parents who misuse alcohol and the website includes personal experiences from such people in narrative form. However, there were some limitations to the thematic analysis. Because the review team relied only on transcripts submitted to NACOA, information on other issues that could be

particularly pertinent for children with parents who misuse alcohol may not have been identified. Moreover, people who have visited the NACOA website to submit their accounts may over- represent a help-seeking population. Finally, while some accounts are based on experiences which occurred recently, others occurred a long time ago; therefore there may be differences in attitudes, information and services available. For these reasons this analysis was not included in Chapter 4, but it can be found in Appendix 14.

4.2.9 Carer experiences (p.75) Evidence level III-IV

Another qualitative study (Gance- Cleveland, 2004) investigated the benefit of a school-based support group for children with parents who misuse alcohol and found that the group helped them to identify commonalities with each other, feel that they were understood, support and challenge each other, and share coping strategies. The children who took part also felt that the group was a trusted and safe place in which they could reveal secrets and feel less isolated and lonely, that it enabled them to be more aware of the impact of addiction on family dynamics, and helped them increase resilience and do better at school (Gance- Cleveland, 2004). In conclusion, talking to others (especially with those who have had similar experiences) was found to be helpful in terms of coping, making friendships and understanding more about alcohol misuse.

4.4 RECOMMENDATIONS (p.81) Evidence level IV

- 4.4.1.4 When families and carers are involved in supporting a person who misuses alcohol, discuss concerns about the impact of alcohol misuse on themselves and other family members, and: provide written and verbal information on alcohol misuse and its management, including how families and carers can support the service user offer a carer's assessment where necessary negotiate with the service user and their family or carer about the family or carer's involvement in their care and the sharing of information; make sure the service user's, family's and carer's right to confidentiality is respected.
- 4.4.1.5 All staff in contact with parents who misuse alcohol and who have care of or regular contact with their children, should: take account of the impact of the parent's drinking on the parent-child relationship and the child's development, education, mental and physical health, own alcohol use, safety and social network be aware of and comply with the requirements of the Children Act (2004).

Thematic analysis of people with parents who have alcohol problems (pp.547-554)

Evidence IV (narrative study) Introduction: A qualitative analysis was conducted using transcripts from people with parents who have alcohol problems, accessed from the NACOA website.

Methods

Using all the personal experiences available from NACOA submitted from 2004 onwards, the review team analysed 46 48 [sic] accounts from people with parents who misuse alcohol, the large majority of whom were female. All accounts have been published on the website in their original form. The majority are written by people from the UK but there are also some from other countries, such as the US and Australia. Poems and letters were excluded from the analysis. Each transcript was read and reread and sections of the text were collected under different headings using a qualitative software program (NVivo). Initially the text from the transcripts was divided into three broad headings that emerged from the data: impact of the parent's alcohol problems on the child's behaviour, thoughts and feelings; impact of the parent's alcohol problems on the child's psychological state/mental health; and support and services for the family and the child. Under these broad headings specific emergent themes identified separately by two researchers were extracted and regrouped under the subsections below.

Support and services for the family and children of parents who misuse alcohol (p.554 et seq.)

Evidence level IV (narrative study) Summary of thematic analysis (p.557)

There are some overarching themes experienced in childhood by people with parents who misuse alcohol. A dominant theme was that of avoidance and hiding the truth,

which stemmed primarily from shame, fear and wanting a sense of normality. Concealing feelings and thoughts made approaching other people or services for support difficult, when most people just wanted to talk to somebody. This may have been exacerbated by feelings of anxiety and worry, in addition to a sense of guilt, self- blame and heightened responsibility towards the parent. When they did seek help on behalf of their parent, it seemed to occur in quite desperate circumstances, such as getting their parent sectioned. This suggests that children of parents who misuse alcohol do not, or cannot, access the services and support they need easily. There were also overarching themes experienced in adulthood which seemed to originate from childhood experience. Many people struggled to form stable relationships which was often put down to lack of trust and self-isolation, which impacted on work, social life and the ability to maintain a successful relationship with a partner. Such problems could have originated from not being able to form "normal" friendships in childhood. Depression, and to some extent anxiety, emerged as longstanding psychological problems attributed to various childhood experiences as well as personal traits such as low self- esteem. Development of own drinking problem was also a theme, in which alcohol was used to block out negative thoughts and experiences, or even used in an attempt to identify with the parent. There were also a range of common life choices which emerged, predominantly an impact on relationship choices and parenting skills. Some people also reported overcoming adversity by transferring the negative behaviours, thoughts and feelings into the positive ones. There are some limitations to the qualitative analysis for this guideline. As the review team relied only on transcripts submitted to NACOA, information on other issues that could be particularly pertinent for children with parents who misuse alcohol may not have been identified. Moreover, people who have visited the NACOA we

Further references

Guideline	vidence level
14 (Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration U.S., 2006) (See	See near left)

Statement

Chapter 6: Family-Based Services (p.94)

Evidence level la

Family involvement in treatment seems to work equally well for adults and adolescents (Stanton, & Shadish 1997)

[No evidence level is given for all of the following]

Chapter 6: Family-Based Services (p.100) Incorporating multifamily groups into IOT [intensive outpatient treatment] has been shown to increase the length of treatment for female clients, increase completion rates for men, and improve family functioning and children's behavior (Boylin, & Doucette, 1997; Meezan, & O'Keefe, 1998).

Chapter 6: Family-Based Services (p.100) Treatment providers report that having more than one generation present in the group can help institute a family's commitment to abstinence and recovery (Conner et al., 1998).

Chapter 9: Adapting Intensive Outpatient Treatment for Specific Populations (p.173) The adolescent community reinforcement approach focuses on teaching adolescents coping skills and changing environmental influences related to continued substance use (Godley et al., 2001).

Chapter 9: Adapting Intensive Outpatient Treatment for Specific Populations (p.173) The family support network intervention increases parental support of an adolescent's

recovery through developing a support group for parents, provides home therapy sessions combined with group sessions, and can be used with any standard adolescent treatment approach (Hamilton et al. 2001).

Chapter 9: Adapting Intensive Outpatient Treatment for Specific Populations (p.174)

Exhibit 9-3

The Family Intervention Program (Fishman, & Andes, 2001.) This approach partners a family therapist with a community resource specialist. The specialist helps the family establish healthy community networks. Working as a team, the therapist and specialist conduct five family therapy sessions and perform the following:

Assess the family system; explore the family's resources, concerns, and goals; and create a treatment plan.

Explore relationships among family members, identify areas of difficulty and stress, and determine the effect on the family system.

Determine the effect of other systems, such as schools, on the family.

Focus on the family's concerns and goals and include others who can help resolve problems.

Work on how the family can resolve issues without staff help and develop a follow-up plan.

Further references

Boylin, W. M., & Doucette, J. (1997). Multifamily therapy in substance abuse treatment with women. American Journal of Family Therapy 25(1):39-47.

Conner, K. R., Shea, R. R., McDermott, M. P., Grolling, R., Tocco, R. V., & Baciewicz, G. (1998). The role of multifamily therapy in promoting retention in treatment of alcohol and cocaine dependence. American Journal on Addictions, 7(1):61–73.

Fishman, H. C., & Andes, F. (2001). Enhancing family therapy: The addition of a community resource specialist. Journal of Marital and Family Therapy 27(1):111-116.) Godley, S. H., Meyers, R.J., Smith, J. E., Karvinen, T., Titus, J. C., Godley, M. D., Dent, G., Passetti, L., & Kelberg, P. (2001). The adolescent community reinforcement approach for adolescent cannabis users. Cannabis Youth Treatment Series, Volume 4. DHHS Publication No. (SMA) 01-3489. Rockville, MD: Center for Substance Abuse Treatment, Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration.

Hamilton, N. L., Brantley, L. B., Tims, F. M., Angelovich, N., & McDougall, B. (2001) Family Support Network for Adolescent Cannabis Users. Cannabis Youth Treatment Series, Volume 3. DHHS Publication No. (SMA) 01-3488. Rockville, MD: Center for Substance Abuse Treatment, Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration.

Meezan, W., & O'Keefe, M. (1998). Multifamily group therapy: Impact on family functioning and child behavior. Families in Society, 79(1):32–44.

Stanton, M. D., & Shadish, W. R. (1997). Outcome, attrition, and family-couples treatment for drug abuse: A meta-analysis and review of the controlled, comparative studies. Psychological Bulletin, 122(2):170–191.

Differential indication

Guideline	Evidence level
1 (NICE CG115, 2011)	Currently not evidence-based.
Chalamant	

Statement

EVALUATING THE ORGANISATION OF CARE FOR PEOPLE WHO MISUSE ALCOHOL

Clinical evidence for case management (p.100) No studies identified which evaluated the efficacy of case management for children and adolescents or older people and met inclusion criteria.

ASSERTIVE COMMUNITY TREATMENT (p.101) No studies identified which evaluated the efficacy of case management for children and adolescents or older people and met inclusion criteria.

STEPPED CARE (p.105) No studies identified which evaluated the efficacy of case management for children and young people or older people and meeting inclusion criteria were identified.

Residential and community settings for the delivery of interventions for alcohol misuse (p.230) No clinical evidence of different settings in the treatment of alcohol misuse was identified for children, young people or older populations.

Further references

n/a

Guideline	Evidence level
2 (NICE, CG100, 2010)	Currently not evidence-based.

Statement

2.1.6 FROM EVIDENCE TO RECOMMENDATIONS (p.32; no evidence level)

All of the studies reviewed were in adult populations although age was not restricted when undertaking the literature search. As such, the GDG [Guideline Development Group] agreed that while the presentation of a young person with alcohol withdrawal is rare, it is associated with a unique set of problems and management should always include addressing any underlying long- term psychosocial issues. The GDG agreed that this population is particularly vulnerable and that admission should be considered at a lower threshold in those under 18 and advised in those under 16 years. The GDG recognizes that intoxication is a more common problem than withdrawal in this age group.

2.1.7 RECOMMENDATIONS (p.32; no evidence level)

For young people under 16 years who are in acute alcohol withdrawal, offer admission to hospital for physical and psychosocial assessment, in addition to medically assisted alcohol withdrawal.

(p.41) The GDG noted that study sizes were small and heterogeneous with respect to inclusion/ exclusion criteria, and none included young people or older adults in their samples. Therefore, the study populations may not be representative of those presenting to clinical practice, especially as patients with a history of substance misuse or a concurrent medical or psychiatric condition were excluded.

(p.70) None of the evidence reviewed included people from the young adult and older adult populations.

Further references

Guideline	Evidence level
13 (American Psychiatric Association, 2006)	Levels III and Ib (see near left)
Statement	Further references

1. Commonly available treatment settings and services (p.26) Evidence level III

The benefit of expanded availability of social services has been demonstrated for adult substance users of both sexes. Community residential facilities show more variability in substance use outcomes for youth and adolescents (Weiner, Abraham, Lyons, 2001); this may be related to inadequate matching of services to individual needs.

2. Commonly available treatment settings and services (p.27) Evidence level Ib

Nevertheless, studies show that case management interventions are effective for individuals with an alcohol use disorder (p.79) or co- occurring psychiatric and substance use disorders (p.80) and for adolescents with substance use disorders (Godley, Godley, Dennis, Funk, Passetti, 2002).

Further references

Weiner, D. A., Abraham, M. E., & Lyons, J. S. (2001). Clinical characteristics of youth with substance use problems and implications for residential treatment. Psychiatric Services, 52: 793-799.

Godley, M. D., Godley, S. H., Dennis, M. L., Funk, R., & Passetti, L. L. (2002). Preliminary outcomes from the assertive continuing care experiment for adolescents discharged from residential treatment. Journal of Substance Abuse Treatment, 23(1): 21-32

Kapitel "3.7.2 Schwangere und erwachsene Frauen"

Guideline	Evidence level	
1 (NICE CG115, 2011)	Currently not evidence- based.	
Statement		
Experience of care: The studies focusing on women and alcohol problems emphasize that a non-judgemental atmosphere in primary care is necessary in order to foster openness and willingness to change with regard to their alcohol problems.		
Further references		
n/a		

Guideline	Evidence level
3 (NICE, PH 24, 2010)	Seven studies graded ++ [highest quality appraisal]
Statement	
Evidence statement 6.3 (p. 81): Brief interventions are effective in reducing alcohol consumption in both men and women.	
Further references	
Not further specified	

Guideline	Evidence level
4 (Medical Specialty Society, 2010)	Currently not evidence- based.

Statement

Brief interventions are effective and should be provided by health care providers for women with at-risk drinking. (II- 2B). If a woman continues to use alcohol during pregnancy, harm reduction/treatment strategies should be encouraged. (II-2B) Pregnant women should be given priority access to withdrawal management and treatment. (III-A)

Further references

Chang, G. (2004). Screening and brief interventions in prenatal care settings. Alcohol Research and Health 28(2), 80.

Stade, B. C., Bailey, C., Dzendoletas, D., Sgro, M., Dowswell, T., & Bennett, D. (2009). Psychological and/or educational interventions for reducing alcohol consumption in pregnant women and women planning pregnancy. Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews, 2.

Reynolds, K. D., Coombs, W., Lowe, J. B., Peterson, P. L., & Gayoso, (1995). Evaluation of a self-help program to reduce alcohol consumption among pregnant women. Substance Use & Misuse, 30(4), 427-443.

Handmaker, N. S., Miller, W. R., & Manicke, M. (1999). Findings of a pilot study of motivational interviewing with pregnant drinkers. Journal of Studies on Alcohol and Drugs, 60(2), 285.

Jones-Webb, R., McKiver, M., Pirie, P., & Miner, K. (1999). Relationships between physician advice and tobacco and alcohol use during pregnancy. American Journal of Preventive Medicine, 16(3), 244-247.

Chang, G., McNamara, T. K., Orav, E. J., Koby, D., Lavigne, A., Ludman, B., & Wilkins-Haug, L. (2005). Brief intervention for prenatal alcohol use: a randomized trial. Obstetrics and Gynecology, 105(5 Pt 1), 991.

O'Connor, M. J., & Whaley, S. E. (2007). Brief intervention for alcohol use by pregnant women. American Journal of Public Health, 97(2).

Boyd, S. C., & Marcellus, L. (Eds.) (2007). With Child: Substance use during pregnancy. A woman-centred approach. Halifax, NS: Fernwood Publishing, pp 91–104.

British Columbia Ministry of Health (2005). Harm reduction: a British Columbia community action guide. Victoria, BC: Government of British Columbia.

National Institute on Alcohol Abuse and Alcoholism (NIAAA) (rev. 2008). Alcohol: A women's health issue. U.S. Department of Health and Social Services. Rockville, MD: NIAAA. Available at: http://pubs.niaaa.nih.gov/pu blications/brochurewomen/ Woman English.pdf Accessed May 2014.

Guideline	Evidence level
6 (Veterans Health Administration, 2009)	Currently not evidence- based.

Statement

Recommendation: Contraindications for any alcohol use include: Pregnancy or trying to conceive. Discussion/ Recommendation: "Assessing women, teenagers, older adults, and other vulnerable individuals for victimization by another member of the household also is important. Patients should be linked with prenatal and primary healthcare for domestic violence. Ideally, linkage to these programs includes more than a phone number; and should assist patients in scheduling initial appointments and arranging for transportation" (p.49).

Further references

n/a

Guideline	Evidence level
8 (TIP 49; U.S. Department of Health und Human Services, SAMHSA, 2009)	Currently not evidence- based.

Statement

Caution with medications when women are pregnant or plan to become pregnant or are breastfeeding.

Certain conditions warrant advising a patient to abstain from rather than reduce drinking. As noted in the NIAAA (2006) clinician's guide, these conditions include when drinkers: Are or may become pregnant.

Further references

n/a

Guideline	Evidence level
9 (New York State Department of Health, 2005, revised 2009)	Currently not evidence- based.
Statement	

Recommendations: Clinicians should recommend inpatient or outpatient treatment for alcohol dependent pregnant women. Pregnant women who are physically dependent on alcohol should undergo medically supervised detoxification prior to initiating longer-term abstinence-based treatment.

Recommendation: Clinicians should screen all substance-using women for trauma and physical and/or sexual abuse, which may trigger or exacerbate substance use in female patients. Initial assessments of new female patients should include questions that document whether a woman has a history of past or current physical or sexual abuse.

Further references

Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration (2004). Results from the 2003 National Survey on Drug Use and Health: National Findings. Rockville, MD: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. Available at: http://oas.samhsa.gov/nhsd a/2k3nsduh/2k3ResultsW.pdf

Najavits, L. M. (2002). Seeking safety: A treatment manual for PTSD and substance abuse. New York, NY: Guilford Press.

Najavits, L. M., Weiss, R. D., & Shaw, S. R. (1997). The link between substance abuse and posttraumatic stress disorder in women. The American Journal on Addictions, 6(4), 273-283.

Guideline	Evidence level
13a (American Psychiatric Association, 2006)	Pregnancy: Qualititative review [F], other [G] (see near right)

Statement

Pregnancy:Goals for treatment of pregnant, substance-using women include (1) providing appropriate treatment for substance-use disorder (2) treating co-occurring medical or psychiatric disorders, 3) monitoring the safety of patient behaviors during pregnancy as well as during the postpartum period, 4) facilitating competent parenting behaviors, and 5) motivating the patient to remain abstinent after childbirth. The optimal therapeutic approach is non-punitive and maintains patient confidentiality.

Further references

Pregnancy:

Suchman, N., Mayes, L., Conti, J., Slade, A., & Rounsaville, B. (2004). Rethinking parenting interventions for drug-dependent mothers: from behavior management to fostering emotional bonds. Journal of Substance Abuse Treatment, 27(3), 179-185. [F]

Finnegan, L. P., & Kendall, S. R. (1992). Maternal and neonatal effects of alcohol and drugs, in substance abuse: A comprehensive textbook (2nd ed.). Edited by Lowenstein, J. H., Ruiz, P., & Millman, R. B. Baltimore, MD: Williams & Wilkins, pp 628–656. [G]

Guideline	Evidence level		
13b (American Psychiatric Association, 2006)	Family therapies: Randomized trial [A–], qualititative review [F] (see near right)		
Chahamanh			

Family therapies:

More recent studies have demonstrated the effectiveness of family involvement in substance use disorder treatment for both women and men. The support for behavioral couples treatment is particularly strong.

Further references

Family therapies: Winters, J., Fals-Stewart, W., O'Farrell, T. J., Birchler, G. R., & Kelley, M. L. (2002). Behavioral couples therapy for female substance-abusing patients: Effects on substance use and relationship adjustment. Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology, 70(2), 344. [A–]

O'Farrell, T. J., & Fals- Stewart, W. (2002). Behavioral couples and family therapy for substance abusers. Current Psychiatry Reports, 4(5), 371-376. [F]

Guideline	Evidence level		
13c (American Psychiatric Association, 2006)	PTSD: Randomized trial [A–], quantitative review [F], other [G] (see near right)		

Statement

PTSD:

PTSD is common among individuals with a substance use disorder (about 20%), with women having about twice the rate of co-occurring PTSD as men. Specific integrated psychotherapies for PTSD co- occurring with a substance use disorder have been developed and evaluated. "One study of 107 women were randomly assigned [sic] to receive Seeking Safety treatment, a manual-guided relapse prevention therapy, or standard community treatment found that women receiving Seeking Safety or relapse prevention therapy had significant reductions in substance use, PTSD, and psychiatric symptoms over the 3-month treatment period, whereas the symptoms of women who received standard community treatment worsened; furthermore, the Seeking Safety and relapse prevention groups maintained the greater improvements in substance use and PTSD symptoms at the 6- and 9- month follow-ups. Outcomes did not differ between the Seeking Safety and the relapse prevention groups" (p.59).

Further references

PTSD:

Hien, D. A., Cohen, L. R., Miele, G. M., Litt, L. C., & Capstick, C. (2004). Promising treatments for women with comorbid PTSD and substance use disorders. American Journal of Psychiatry, 161(8), 1426-1432. [A–]

Najavits, L.M. (2002). Clinicians' views on treating posttraumatic stress disorder and substance use disorder. Journal of Substance Abuse Treatment, 22, 79–85. [G]

Brady, K. T. (2001). Comorbid posttraumatic stress disorder and substance use disorders. Psychiatric Annuals, 31, 313–319. [G]

Blume, S. B. (1991). Sexuality and stigma: The alcoholic woman. Alcohol Health & Research World, 15:139–146. [G]

Winfield, I., George, L. K., Swartz, M., & Blazer, D. G. (1990). Sexual assault and psychiatric disorders among a community sample of women. American Journal of Psychiatry, 147(3), 335-341. [E]

Ladwig, G. B., & Andersen, M. D. (1989). Substance Abuse in Women: Relationship Between Chemical Dependency of Women and Fast Reports of Physical and/or Sexual Abuse. Substance Use & Misuse, 24(8), 739-754. [G]

Stevens, S., Arbiter, N., & Glider, P. (1989). Women residents: Expanding their role to increase treatment effectiveness in substance abuse programs. Substance Use & Misuse, 24(5), 425-434. [G]

Kapitel "3.7.3 Ältere Menschen"

Guideline	Evidence level
1 (NICE CG115, 2011)	LoE V

Statement

Lower threshold for admission in inpatient assisted withdrawal :

As older people are more likely to have comorbid physical and mental health problems and be socially isolated, a lower threshold for admission for assisted alcohol withdrawal may be required (Dar, 2006) (page 38)

As noted earlier, older people can have higher levels of physical comorbidity, cognitive impairment, a lower capacity to metabolise alcohol and medications, and be in receipt of a larger number of medications than younger people. In addition, older people can be more frail and prone to accidents and falls. Therefore it is prudent to have a lower threshold for admission for inpatient assisted alcohol withdrawal in older people who misuse alcohol.(page 202)

Age appropriate treatment: No clinical evidence evaluating the efficacy of different settings for the treatment of alcohol misuse were identified for children, young people or older populations. (page 224)

Further references

Dar K. (2006) Alcohol use disorders in elderly people: fact or fiction? Advances in Psychiatric Treatment 12: 173-181

Guideline	Evidence level
13 (American Psychiatric Association, 2006)	LoE V; LoE Ib

Statement

Age appropriate treatment:

There is a paucity of empirical data on the treatment of substance use disorders in the elderly population; it is generally accepted that empirically supported treatments of adult substance use disorders can be effectively applied to the treatment of elderly patients. Some modifications, such as slowing the pace of therapy, placing follow-up outreach calls, and providing patients with written information, improve the effectiveness of some therapies (page 67)

LoE V

Kofoed et al. reported that VA patients age 54 years or older who received specialized services for elderly patients as part of a treatment program were four times more likely to complete the program and remained in treatment longer than those who received conventional services, although posttreatment relapse rates were comparable in the two group (page 67)

LoE V

interventions in Primary Care:

A large multisite study (PRISM-E) has also shown that primary care patients screening positive for a substance use disorder prefer to be treated within the medical system, with integrated psychiatric and substance abuse services, rather than to have facilitated referral to outside treatment (31(A-)). (page 67)

LoE 1b

Further references

Kofoed LL, Tolson RL, Atkinson RM, Toth RL, Turner JA. (1987) Treatment Compliance of older Alcoholics: Elder-Specific Approach is Superior to "Mainstreaming". Journal of Studies on Alcohol. 48(1): 47-51

Kirchner JE, Leykoff S (2006). PRISM-E: comparison of integrated care and enhanced speciality referral in managing at-risk alcohol use.. Psychiatric Services 57: 954-958

Guideline	Evidence level		
14 (Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration U.S., 2006)	LoE V		

Statement

Age appropriate treatment:

Oslin and colleagues (2002) find that older adults had greater attendance and lower incidence of relapse than younger adults in treatment and conclude that older adults can be treated successfully in mixed-age groups, provided that they receive age-appropriate individual treatment. (chapter 10)

Further references

Oslin DW, Pettinati H, Volpicelli JR. (2002) Alcoholism treatment adherence: Older age predicts better adherence and drinking outcome. Am J Geriatr Psychiatry. 10 (6) 740-747

"Welche Bedeutung haben körperliche Erkrankungen (z.B. Des Magens, der Bauchspeicheldrüse, der Leber, Tumore, Polyneuropathie, epileptische Anfälle, Demenz) für das Management einer Alkoholkonsumstörung?"

Guidelines	Australien (2009)	NICE (2010)	VA DOD (2009)	BAP (2004)	WFSBP 2008
Recommendation	Comorbidity Abstinence	Delir, seizures, Liver			
Strength of recommendation /	Comorbidity: A I Abstinence indicated:	Delir, seizures: 2++ Liver 1b			
Evidence	D IV				
Reference	Gossop et al 2007 Addict Biol 12(2): 190-196. Cargiulo, T 2007, Understanding the health impact of alcohol dependence.	Schuckit MA, Tipp JE, Reich T et al. The histories of withdrawal convulsions and delirium tremens in 1648 alcohol dependent subjects. <i>Addiction</i> . 1995; 90(10):1335-1347.			
	American Health-Syst Pharmacy 64: S5-S11.	Wetterling T, Driessen M, Kanitz RD et al. The severity of alcohol withdrawal is not age dependent. <i>Alcohol & Alcoholism</i> . 2001; 36(1):75-78.			
		Elphick DA, Dube AK, McFarlane E et al. Spectrum of liver histology in presumed decompensated alcoholic liver disease. <i>American Journal of Gastroenterology.</i> 2007 102(4):780-788.			

Klinische Fragestellung:

"Soll gleichzeitig oder in einer bestimmten Reihenfolge behandelt werden?"

Guideline	Australien (2009)	NICE UK 2010)	VA DOD (2009)	BAP (2004)	WFSBP (2008)
Recommendation			Gleichzeitige Behandlung som. Störungen		
Strength of recommendation / Evidence			AI		

Reference	Willenbring ML, Olson DH. A randomized trial of integrated	
	outpatient treatment for medically ill alcoholic men. Arch	
	Intern Med 1999 Sep;159(16):1946-52.	
	Willenbring ML, Olson DH, Bielinski J B. Integrated outpatient	
	treatment for medically ill alcoholic men: results from a	
	quasi-experimental study. J Stud Alcohol 1995 May;56(3):337-	
	43.	

"Welche Bedeutung haben psychische Störungen (z.B. Schizophrenie, Depression, bipolare affektive Störung, Angststörung, Posttraumatische Belastungsstörung, Persönlichkeitsstörungen, Essstörungen, Nikotinabhängigkeit, andere Substanzstörungen oder substanzunabhängige Verhaltenssüchte wie Pathologisches Glücksspiel oder Onlinesucht, ADHS) für das Management einer Alkoholkonsumstörung?"

Guideline	Australien (2009)	NICE (2010)	VA DOD (2009)	BAP (2004)	WFSBP (2008)	NICE (2011)
Recommendation	Diagnosis, Interventions		Intervention for persons at risk, Med. Supervised			There is some evidence to suggest that active treatment of comorbid mental health problems may improve drug and alcohol substance misuses outcomes
Strength of recommendation / evidence	Diagnosis: A, 1b; More interventions: B, 1		Intervention or persons at risk: III I Med. Supervised wd: III C	В		

Reference	Schneider, U, Altmann A,	Hirschfeld RM, Russell JM.	Lingford-Hughes AR,	Sullivan et al.	Charney, A. A., Paraherakis, A.
	Baumann M et al. 2001,	Assessment and treatment of	Welch S, Nutt DJ;	2004	M. & Gill, K. J. (2001)
	Comorbid anxiety and	suicidal patients. N Engl J Med	British Association for		Integrated treatment of
	affective disorder in	1997 Sep 25; 337(13):910-5.	Psychopharmacolo gy		comorbid depression and
	alcohol- dependent		Evidence-based		substance use disorders.
	patients seeking		guidelines for the		Journal of Clinical Psychiatry,
	treatment: the first		pharmacological		<i>62,</i> 672–677.
	Multicentre Study in		management of		
	Germany. Alcohol Alcohol		substance misuse,		Hesse, M. (2004) Achieving
	36(3): 219-223.		addiction and		abstinence by treating
			comorbidity:		depression in the presence of
	Project MATCH Research		recommendations from		substance- use disorders.
	Group 1997, Matching		the British Association		Addictive Behaviors, 29,
	alcoholism treatments to		for Psychopharmacolo		1137– 1141.
	client heterogeneity:		gy. J Psychopharmacol.		
	Project MATCH		2004 Sep;18(3):293-		Watkins, K. E., Paddock, S. M.,
	posttreatment drinking		335.		Zhang, L., et al. (2006)
	outcomes. J Stud Alcohol				Improving care for depression
	58: 7- 29.				in patients with comorbid
					substance misuse. American
					Journal of Psychiatry, 163,
					125–132.

"Bei welchen komorbiden Störungen soll in welcher Reihenfolge und Intensität behandelt werden?"

Guideline	Australien	NICE	VA DOD (2009)	BAP (2004)	WFSBP	NICE (2011)
	(2009)	(2010)			(2008)	
Recommendation			Prioritize and address other medical and	Erst Alkohol, dann		<u>Depression</u> or <u>anxiety disorder</u> , treat
			psychiatric co-occurring conditions.	komorbide Störung		alcohol misuse first. Assess after 3-4
			2. Recommend and offer cessation treatment			weeks of abstinence.
			to patients with nicotine dependence.			PTSD Treatment for individual PTSD
			3. Treat concurrent psychiatric disorders			can improve substance misuse. Treat
			consistent with VA/DoD clinical practice			dependence before trauma- focused
			guidelines (e.g., Major Depressive Disorder,			treatment. (NCCMH 2005)
			Bipolar Disorder, Post Traumatic Stress,			PTSD-treatment may be important to
			Psychoses) including concurrent			optimize Outcomes for PTSD + alcohol
			pharmacotherapy.			dependence (Back et.al.2006).
			4. Provide or arrange treatment via referral for			Sertraline for pat. with PTSD (Brady
			medical conditions (e.g. management of			et.al. 2002+200)
			diabetes, chronic heart failure, management of			<u>ADHD</u>
			unexplained medical symptoms). (See other			Alcohol Use disorder+ ADHD
			VA/DoD Clinical Practice Guidelines at:			=>improved ADHD symptoms from
			www.healthquality.va.gov			Atomoxetine vs. placebo reduced cum.
			5. Provide multiple services in the most			number of heavy drinking days but not
			accessible setting to promote engagement and			increased time to relapse of heavy
			coordination of care.			drinking. (Wilens et.al. 2008)
			6. Monitor and address deferred problems and			Alcohol+Opioids => actively treat both
			emerging needs through ongoing treatment			Alcohol+Stimula nts, Cannabis or
			plan updates.			Benzodiazepine s=> actively treat both
			7. Coordinate care with other providers			
Strength of			Alle I B; sonst III I	Depression= B		
recommenddation /				Angst = S		
evidence				Psychose = D		

Reference	Friedmann PD, Hendrickson JC, Gerstein DR,	Lingford- Hughes AR,	
The second secon			
	Zhang Z. The effect of matching comprehensive	Welch S, Nutt DJ;	
	services to patient's needs on drug use	British Association	
	improvements in addiction treatment. Addiction	for Psychophar	
	2004 Aug;99(8):962-72.	macology Evidence-	
		based guidelines for	
	McLellan AT, Grissom GR, Zanis D, Randall M,	the pharmacolog ical	
	Brill P, O'Brien CP. Problem- service 'Matching'	management of	
	in addiction treatment. Arch Gen Psychiatry	substance misuse,	
	1997 Aug;54(8):730-5.	addiction and	
		comorbidity:	
		recommenda tions	
		from the British	
		Association for	
		Psychophar	
		macology. J	
		Psychophar macol.	
		2004 Sep;18(3):29 3-	
		335.	

"Welches sind wirkungsvolle Verfahren für die Therapie der einzelnen Komorbiditäten? Depression, Angst, Bipolar, Schizophrenie?"

Guideline	Australien (2009)	NICE (2010)	VA DOD (2009)	BAP (2004)	WFSBP (2008)	
Recommendation Strength of recommenddation / evidence	Psychosocial Depression Anxiety: Concurrent CBT, B II CBT, BT, IPT B, I "mood disorders, Psychosis": Integrated psychosocial treatment D IV Pharmakotherapie Depression/Angst, BZD nicht empfohlen für Angstbehandlung S SSRI nicht empfohlen für AD Behandlung B II					Depression SSRI B, TCA > SSRI B; Bipolar, Valproat D Angst: Paroxetin D Buspiron B Schizo, 2nd generation antispychotics D FGA vs. SGA: Level C
Reference	Psychotherapie, psychosoz. Behandlung Horsefall et al 2009; Tiet and Mausbach 2007, Hesse 2009 Personality: Nielsen et al 2007 Schizophrenia Graeber et al 2003, Pharmakotherapie SSRI Nunes and Levin 2004 Torrens et al 2005					Depression SSRI/TCA: Nunes and Levin 2004 ALC + Bipolar, Valproat, Salloum et al 2005 Angst: Randall et al. 2001, Malec et al. 1996 Schizophrenie Soyka et al 2008; Potvin et al 2006

Kapitel "3.8 Medizinische Rehabilitation und andere Formen der Postakutbehandlung"

Klinische Fragestellung

1. Welche Wirksamkeit (positive, fehlende, unerwünschte) weisen postakute Interventionsformen im kontrollierten Vergleich bei der Behandlung des Alkoholabhängigkeitssyndroms auf?

Guideline	NICE (CG115), UK, National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellende (NICE), 2011.	NICE (CG 100), UK, National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence (NICE), 2010.	VA/DoD USA, Department of Defense, 2009.	Incorporating alcohol pharmacotherapies into medical practice. USA, Department of Health and Human Services, 2009.	Treatment of Patients with Substance Use Disorders. USA, American Psychiatric Association, 2006.
Aussage ja/nein	ja	nein	nein	ja	ja
Evidenz (levels of	a) /			a) /	a) /
evidence)	b) la			b) la	b) la
Empfehlungsgrad	a) KKP			a) KKP	a) /
(A, B, 0, KKP)	b) A			b) A	b) A

Aussage inhaltlich a) Psychologische, psychosoziale und pharmakologische Interventionen sind wirksam bei AUD: Positive Effekte mit guter Evidenzbasis für: CBT, VT allg., Paartherapie; psychodynamische Kurzzeittherapie (nur 1 Studie); Moderate Evidenz für: Motivierungsansätze und Kurzinterventionen, Counselling (integriert in and. Formen); moderate Evidenz, aber ohne spez. Wirkung: 12 Schritte Therapieziele: - "In the initial assessment in specialist alcohol services of all people who misuse alcohol, agree the goal of treatment with the service user. Abstinence is the appropriate goal for most people with alcohol dependence, and people who misuse alcohol and have significant psychiatric or physical comorbidity (for example, depression or alcohol- related liver disease)." (p. 173)	a) Pharmakologische Intervention bei AUD mit Acamprosat, Disulfiram und Naltrexon ist wirksam. b) Therapieziele: "If a patient with an AUD is unwilling to be completely abstinent, he or she may be willing to cut down on alcohol use." (p. 56) Behandlungsformen sind für sich wirksam. Allerdings keine Aussagen zu Kombination und Dominanz von Pharmakotherapie. (Ist literaturbasiert bis Febr. 2005 genannt (Die APA bezeichnet die Leitlinie selbst nicht mehr als aktuell gültig, da entgegen der eigenen Qualitätsstandards älter als 2 Jahre).) b) Erstes Ziel ist Abstinenz, jedoch auch harm- reduction bei reduziertem Trinkverhalten wird a erreichbares Ziel angegeben. The ideal outcome most individuals with substance use disorders is total cessation of substance use. S. 17. For exam reduction of high-risk behaviors associated with substance use may be achievable goals when abstinence is initially unobtainable (12, 13). S. 1 For optimal outcome, the treatment of a substa use disorder may also include strategies that tar repair of damages or losses that resulted from t individual's substance use; aid in developing effective interpersonal, vocational, and proactiv coping skills; and enhance familial and interpers relations that will	als e for ance 7. ance rget he
--	--	--------------------------------

	"For harmful drinking or mild dependence, without significant comorbidity, and if there is adequate social support, consider a moderate level of drinking as the goal of treatment unless the service user prefers abstinence or there are other reasons for advising abstinence." (p. 174)		support an abstinent life- style. It is particularly important to provide comprehensive treatments when individuals have co-occurring psychiatric or general medical conditions that significantly influence relapse risk (e.g., chronic pain, depression, anxiety, impaired cognition, and impulse control disorders) (22–24). S. 17. The long-term goals of treatment for patients with an alcohol use disorder are identical to those for patients with any type of substance use disorder and include abstinence (or reduction in use and effects), relapse prevention, and rehabilitation. S. 89. However, abstinence is the optimal goal that achieves the best long-term overall functioning (9). S. 89.
Relevante Literatur aus Leitlinie			

Von welchen der folgenden Bedingungen ist die Wirksamkeit abhängig?

Patientengruppen (z.B. Co- und Multimorbidität, Geschlecht, Alter, sozioökonomischer Status, Migrationshintergrund)

	National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellende (NICE), 2011.		2009.	pharmacotherapies	Treatment of Patients with Substance Use Disorders. USA, American Psychiatric Association, 2006.
Aussage ja/nein	ja	nein	ja	ja	ja
Evidenz (levels of evidence)	/		/	/	/
Empfehlungsgrad (A, B, O, KKP)	KKP		KKP	KKP	KKP

Aussage inhaltlich	Bei Comorb.: i.d.R. Erst	Die Effizienz bei integrierter	Bei einzelnen	Bei einzelnen Interventionen existieren
	Beh. Alk, dann zeitlich	Behandlung von psychisch-	Interventionen	Analysen hierzu. Auf dieser Basis
	versetzte	comorbiden Patienten ist noch unklar.	existieren sec.	substanzübergreifend Aussagen zu
	Mitbehandlung; keine	Disease-specific treatment has been	Analysen hierzu.	Komorbidität. Insgesamt dominieren
	Evidenz für Geschlecht;	shown to efficacious for patients		leichte Fälle ohne Komorbidität bzw.
	jung/alt	diagnosed with SUD or other		homogene Stichproben. Other evidence
		psychiatric disorders alone. While		suggests that the association between
		there have been a number of theories		treatment setting and outcome may be a
		about how to treat COD among patients		complex one that is influenced by the
		with SUD, there has been little data to		characteristics and treatment needs of
		support the best approach. In the simplest		the individual patient. Magura et al. (965)
		sense, existing efficacious treatment that		studied a cohort of 248 patients who
		successfully reduces psychiatric symptoms		were newly admitted to inpatient
		in patients with such symptoms alone should also reduce psychiatric symptoms		rehabilitation or intensive or regular
		in patients with both psychiatric CODs		outpatient care and determined whether
		and SUD. A review of 59 studies (36		they were naturalistically matched or
		RCTs evaluating treatment of dual		mismatched to care according to ASAM
		diagnosis) concluded that although no		patient placement criteria. At 3 months
		treatment was identified as efficacious		after intake, individuals who received
		for both psychiatric disorders and		regular outpatient care when intensive
		substance- related disorder, the		outpatient care would have been
		author found: 1) existing efficacious		recommended as more appropriate had
		treatments for reducing psychiatric		poorer drinking out- comes. In individuals
		symptoms also tend to work in dual-		who received residential as compared
		diagnosis patients,		with intensive outpatient treatment,
		diagnosis patients,		there also was a trend for a better
				outcome.
1				

2) existing efficacious treatments for	Rychtarik et al. (966) also examined
reducing substance-use also decrease	individual factors that might determine the
substance use in dually diagnosed	appropriateness of a given treatment
patients, 3) the efficacy of integrated	setting for an individual patient. They
treatment is still unclear (Tiet &	found that individuals with a high level of
Mausbach, 2007).	involvement with alcohol and lower
	cognitive abilities had better outcomes
	when treated in inpatient settings,
	whereas those with lower levels of alcohol
	involvement did better in outpatient
	settings. S. 147 Anmerkung zu ASAM: To
	appropriately match patients and
	treatment settings, many clinicians, health
	insurers, hospitals, and treatment agencies
	use the American Society of Addiction
	Medicine (ASAM) patient placement
	criteria (39). S. 22
	There is consensus (e.g., ASAM patient
	placement criteria) that individuals in
	one or more of the following
	categories may require hospital-level
	care:
	1. Individuals with drug overdoses who
	cannot be safely treated in an outpatient
	or emergency department setting (e.g.,
	individuals with severe respiratory
	depression, individuals in a coma)
	Individuals in withdrawal who are at
	risk for a severe or complicated
	withdrawalsyndrome (e.g., individuals
	dependent on multiple substances,
	individuals with a history of delirium
	tremens) or cannot receive the
	necessary medical assessment,
	monitoring, and treatment in a less
	intensive setting
	3. Individuals with acute or chronic
	general medical conditions that make
	detoxification in a residential or 366
	ambulatory setting unsafe (e.g.,
	individuals with severe cardiac disease)

	4. Individuals with marked psychiatric comorbidity who are an acute danger to themselves or others (e.g., individuals who have depression with suicidal thoughts, acute psychosis) 5. Individuals manifesting substance use or other behaviors who are an acute danger to themselves or others 6. Individuals who have not responded to less intensive treatment efforts and whose substance use disorder(s) poses an ongoing threat to their physical and mental health
Relevante Literatur aus Leitlinie	22. Mee-Lee D, Shulman GD, Fishman M, Gastfriend DR, Griffith JH (eds): ASAM Patient Placement Criteria for the Treatment of Substance-Related Disorders, 2nd ed., revised. Chevy Chase, Md, American Society of Addiction Medicine, 2001 [G]; 965. Magura S, Staines G, Kosanke N, Rosenblum A, Foote J, DeLuca A, Bali P: Predictive validity of the ASAM Patient Placement Criteria for naturalistically matched vs mis- matched alcoholism patients. Am J Addict 2003; 12:386–397 [C]; 966. Rychtarik RG, Connors GJ, Whitney RB, McGillicuddy NB, Fitterling JM, Wirtz PW: Treatment settings for persons with alcoholism: evidence for matching clients to inpatient versus outpatient care. J Consult Clin Psychol 2000; 68:277–289

Von welchen der folgenden Bedingungen ist die Wirksamkeit abhängig?

Setting (ambulant, ganztägig ambulant, stationär)

Guideline	NICE (CG115), UK, National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellende (NICE), 2011.	NICE (CG 100), UK, National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence (NICE), 2010.	VA/DoD USA, Department of Defense, 2009.	Incorporating alcohol pharmacotherapies into medical practice. USA, Department of Health and Human Services, 2009.	Treatment of Patients with Substance Use Disorders. USA, American Psychiatric Association, 2006.
Aussage ja/nein	ja	ja	ja	ja	ja
Evidenz (levels of evidence)	/	/	/	/	/
Empfehlungsgrad (A, B, 0, KKP)	KKP	KKP	KKP	KKP	KKP
Aussage inhaltlich	Ansatz stepped care ++, gemeinde- orientierte Ansätze bei sehr starker Abh. und bei schädl. Konsum sind wirksam.	Komplikationsrisi ko bei häufigeren früheren Entzugsbehandlu ngen.	recommendations for specific levels of care. In that regard, there is now a fair amount of research that indicates patients with greater substance use severity and co-occurring problems such as psychiatric disorders and housing problems will do better in more intensive forms of treatment. Conversely, those with lower severity levels will do as well of better in less intensive forms of treatment. However, there is little controlled evidence to support the validity of the ASAM criteria. When both the patient and provider agree on what is to be accomplished and how this is to be done, the chances of achieving a good outcome are enhanced (Putnam et al., 1994; Sanchez-Craig & Lei, 1986).	Initial tw. Stationär, Verlaufsbehand lung immer ambulant	Dominanz ambulanter Behandlung. Bessere Ergebnisse postakuter Interventionen bei vorangegangener Entzugsbehandlung. Nur wenige Vergleichsstudien. Daher keine evidenzbasierte Aussagen. In addition, the optimal treatment setting and subsequent treatment outcome are likely to vary depending on the characteristics of the individual patient (965, 966). S. 90

Von welchen der folgenden Bedingungen ist die Wirksamkeit abhängig?

Behandlungsdauer

Guideline	NICE (CG115), UK, National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellende (NICE), 2011.	NICE (CG 100), UK, National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence (NICE), 2010.	VA/DoD USA, Department of Defense, 2009.	Incorporating alcohol pharmacotherapies into medical practice. USA, Department of Health and Human Services, 2009.	Treatment of Patients with Substance Use Disorders. USA, American Psychiatric Association, 2006.
Aussage ja/nein	ja	nein	ja	ja	ja
Evidenz (levels of evidence)	/		/	/	/
Empfehlungsgrad (A, B, O, KKP)	KKP		KKP	KKP	KKP
Aussage inhaltlich	1-12 Wochen		Längere Behandlungszeiten für schwer erkrankte Suchtpatienten führen zu besserem Outcome. Leichtere Fälle sollten von der Grundversorgung behandelt werden.	3-12 Monate Behandlungszeitraum	Keine Empfehlung da unklare Ergebnislage da keine systematischen Vergleichsstudien. 28 Tage als Untergrenze. Residential treatment of ≥ 3 months is associated with better long-term outcome in such patients (II=Recommended with moderate clinical confidence). S. 11 Some evidence suggests that longer treatment stays and treatment completion may be associated with better outcomes (959, 1304) S. 147
Relevante Literatur aus Leitlinie					959. Moos RH, Finney JW, Cronkite RC: Alcoholism Treatment: Context, Process, and Outcome. New York, F]; 1304. McKay JR, Alterman AI, McLellan AT, Snider EC: Treatment goals, continuity of care, and outcome in a day hospital substance abuse rehabilitation program. Am J Psychiatry 1994; 151:254–259 [B];Oxford University Press, 1990

Von welchen der folgenden Bedingungen ist die Wirksamkeit abhängig?" Interventionskompenenten

Guideline	NICE (CG115), UK, National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellende (NICE), 2011.	NICE (CG 100), UK, National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence (NICE), 2010.	Department of	Incorporating alcohol pharmacotherapies into medical practice. USA, Department of Health and Human Services, 2009.	Treatment of Patients with Substance Use Disorders. USA, American Psychiatric Association, 2006.
Aussage ja/nein	ja	nein	nein	ja	ja
Evidenz (levels of evidence)	la, lb, llb			la, lb	la, lb
Empfehlungsgrad (A, B, O, KKP)	А, В			В, О	В, О

Aussage inhaltlich	Motivationale Techniken: - "One computerised session of MET (drinker's check up) was significantly better than control in reducing average drinks per day at 1-month follow-up (moderate effect size)." (p. 243) Effekt: -0.67, CI: 95% - "MET (with relapse prevention) (ROSENBLUM2005b) was significantly more effective than control at reducing heavy alcohol use when assessed at 5- month followup (moderate effect size)." (p. 243) Effekt: -0.70, CI: 95% "[] favoured MET over control in the number of people who drank excessively and frequently (ten or more drinks, six or more times) at 6-month follow-up (large effect	"Acamprosate significantly more effective than placebo in reducing drinking days, increasing complete abstinence, and lengthening time to relapse." (p.10) "Compared with using placebo, short- term treatment (less than or equal to 12 weeks) with naltrexone significantly improved relapse rates during active treatment and a medication-free followup period." (p. 28) "Studies concluding that	"For promoting abstinence and preventing relapse in patients with substance use disorders, certain medications may be useful. Examples of such medications are disulfiram, naltrexone, and acamprosate for alcohol use disorders and bupropion for nicotine dependence." (p. 35)
	heavy alcohol use when assessed at 5-month followup (moderate effect size)." (p. 243) Effekt: -0.70, CI: 95% "[] favoured MET over control in the number of people who drank excessively and frequently (ten or more drinks, six or more	with naltrexone significantly improved relapse rates during active treatment and a medication-free followup period." (p. 28)	

	gnitive Verhaltenstherapie:
] resulting in a moderate effect size,
	ognitive behavioural therapies were
	gnificantly better than treatment as usual
	reducing the number of participants who
	psed and relapsed when assessed at 6-
	onth follow- up." (p. 262); Effekt: 0.75, CI:
9	
l ·] cognitive behavioural therapies were
	nd to be more effective at maintaining
	tinence/light days when assessed up to
	month follow-up []." (p. 262) Effekt: -
	4, CI: 95% "For maintaining abstinence, an
	ividual assessment treatment programme
	s significantly more effective than a
I I	kaged CBT program when assessed post-
	atment (moderate effect size, based on a
	gle study)." (p. 263) Effekt: 0.39, CI: 95%
	More intensive coping skills was
	gnificantly better than standard coping
	ills at maintaining abstinent/light drinking
	12-month follow- up
	noderate effect size) []." (p. 267); Effekt: -
	65, CI: 95%
	ndividual CBT was significantly more
	fective than group CBT in reducing the
	umber of heavy drinkers at 15-month
	llow- up." (p. 267); Effekte: 0.37, CI: 95%
	erhaltenstherapie: - "[] behavioural
	perapies were more effective than
	ontrol in reducing the amount of
	cohol consumed (SMD = - 0.97, large
	fect size) and maintaining controlled

drinking (SMD = - 0.60, medium effect size)		
when assessed post-treatment." (CI: 95%), (p.		
273) - "[]one study (SITHARTHAN1997)		
showed a medium effect size favouring cue		
exposure over CBT in reducing drinks per		
occasion at 6-month follow- up." (p. 274);		
Effekt: -0.66, CI: 95%		
- "The clinical evidence indicates that		
there was no significant difference		
between cue exposure and BSCT in		
maintaining abstinence post-treatment		
or at 6-month followup." (p. 275)		
of at 0-month followup. (p. 275)		
- Kantinganamanagamanti		
Kontingenzmanagement:		
- "The review evidence indicated that		
contingency management (with network		
support) was more effective at maintaining		
abstinence than control post-treatment		
(large effect		
size) and up to 15-month follow-up (medium		
effect size). []Contingency management		
(with network support) was more effective		
than control (low to medium effect size) at		
reducing drinking quantity when assessed at		
6-, 9- and 21- month follow-up." (p. 281)		
PDA post-treatment: Effekt: - 0.80, Cl: 95%;		
15-month follow-up: Effekt: -0.50, CI: 95%;		
Drinking quantity: 6- month follow-up:		
Effekt: - 0.66, CI: 95%, 9-month follow-up:		
Effekt: -0.38, CI: 95%, 21-month follow-up: Effekt: -0.53, CI: 95%		
"[] the addition of contingency		
management to standard care was beneficial		
in reducing the number of participants who		
relapsed to heavy drinking. Furthermore, the		
addition of contingency management to		
standard care was beneficial in reducing		
attrition rates." (p. 281-283); Number		
relapsed to heavy drinking: Effekt: 0.43, CI:		
95%; Attrition (dropout): Effekt: 0.19, CI:		
org		

- "The addition of contingency management to network support was not beneficial in maintaining abstinence both post- treatment and up to 9-month follow-up." (p. 284)

- "The clinical evidence showed that social

Angehörigenarbeit:

network and environment-based therapies were significantly better than control at maintaining abstinence (moderate effect size) when assessed post-treatment and at 6-, 9-, 12-, 15- and 24- month follow-up." (p. 288); PDA posttreatment: Effekt: -0.76, CI: 95%; 6-month follow-up: Effekt: -0.75, CI: 95%; 9-month follow-up: Effekt: - 0.70, CI: 95%; 12month follow-up: Effekt: -0.59, CI: 95%; 15-month follow-up: Effekt: -0.68, CI: 95%; 24-month follow-up: Effekt: - 0.49, CI: 95% "The clinical evidence did not reveal any significant difference between social network and environment- based therapies and other active interventions in maintaining abstinence, reducing the quantity of alcohol consumed, reducing the number of drinking days and attrition." (p. 290)

Paartherapie:

"[...] over longer periods, couples therapy was significantly more effective than other therapies in maintaining abstinence and/or light drinking (moderate effect size) when assessed up to 12-month follow-up.

[...]Couples therapy was significantly more effective than other active interventions in reducing heavy drinking episodes when assessed up to 12-month follow-up." (p. 296); PDA/light (no alcohol or one to three drinks) at 12- month follow-up: Effekt: - 0.54, CI: 95%;

				7	
	- Percentage of days heavy drinking (more				
	than drinks per day) at 12- month follow-up:				
	Effekt: - 0.71, CI: 95%				
	"No significant difference was observed				
	between BCT and other forms of couples				
	therapy in maintaining abstinence when				
	assessed post-treatment and up to 24- month				
	follow-up. Similarly, no difference between these groups was observed in reducing heavy				
	drinking and attrition rates post-				
	•				
	treatment, and up to 12-month follow-				
	up." (p. 296)				
	- "[] no significant benefit of more				
	intensive couples therapy over brief				
	couples therapy in reducing heavy				
	drinking was observed up to 18-month				
	follow-up." (p. 300)				
	- "The addition of parental skills training				
	to BCT did not significant improve				
	abstinence rates both post-treatment				
	and up to 12-month follow- up." (p. 300)				
	-				
	Psychodynamische Kurzzeittherapie:				
	- "At 15-month follow-up, short-term				
	psychodynamic therapy was significantly				
	more effective than other therapies (in				
	this case, cognitive behavioural relapse				
	prevention)				
	in maintaining abstinence, although the				
	effect size was moderate." (p. 312);				
	Effekt: - 0.64, CI: 95%				
	, i				
	Patientengruppen:				
	- "Guided self-help was significantly				
	more effective than non-guided self-help				
	in reducing the quantity of drinks				
	consumed per week when assessed at 9-				
	month follow- up." (p. 318); Effekt: -0.54,				
	CI: 95				
	CI. 33				375
<u> </u>				l	

MI: Hester (2005),Rosenblum (2005b),			Bouza, Magro, Muñoz, & Amate	
Sellman (2001), Davidson			(2004), Brewer, Meyers, & Johnsen	
(2007), Match (1997), Shakeshaft			(2000), Kristenson (1995)	
(2002), Sobell (2002), UKATT (2005)				
CBT: Burtscheidt (2001), Monti (1993),				
Rosenblum (2005b), Connors (2001),				
Davidson (2007), Easton (2007), Eriksen				
(1986b), Lam (2009), Litt (2003), Match				
(1997), Morgenstern (2007), Sandahl				
(1998), Shakeshaft (2002), Sitharthan				
(1997), Vedel (2008), Walitzer (2009),				
Marques (2001), Monti (1990),				
Rosenblum (2005a), kog. VT mit				
Kontingenz-management: Litt (2007),				
Alessi (2007), Petry (2000) kog. VT ohne				
Kontingenzmanagement: Alden (1988),				
Monti (1993), Kavanagh (2006),				
Sitharthani (1997), Walitzer (2004),				
Heather (2000) Angehörigenarbeit: Litt				
(2007), Leigh (2009), UKATT (2005)				
Paartherapie: Falsstewart (2005, 2006),				
Lam (2009), Ofarrel (1992), Sobell				
(2000), Vedel (2008), Walitzer (2004),				
Zweben (1988) Psychodynamische				
Kurzzeittherapie: Sandahl (1998);				
Patientengruppen:				
Andreasson (2002)				
	Sellman (2001), Davidson (2007), Match (1997), Shakeshaft (2002), Sobell (2002), UKATT (2005) CBT: Burtscheidt (2001), Monti (1993), Rosenblum (2005b), Connors (2001), Davidson (2007), Easton (2007), Eriksen (1986b), Lam (2009), Litt (2003), Match (1997), Morgenstern (2007), Sandahl (1998), Shakeshaft (2002), Sitharthan (1997), Vedel (2008), Walitzer (2009), Marques (2001), Monti (1990), Rosenblum (2005a), kog. VT mit Kontingenz-management: Litt (2007), Alessi (2007), Petry (2000) kog. VT ohne Kontingenzmanagement: Alden (1988), Monti (1993), Kavanagh (2006), Sitharthani (1997), Walitzer (2004), Heather (2000) Angehörigenarbeit: Litt (2007), Leigh (2009), UKATT (2005) Paartherapie: Falsstewart (2005, 2006), Lam (2009), Ofarrel (1992), Sobell (2000), Vedel (2008), Walitzer (2004), Zweben (1988) Psychodynamische Kurzzeittherapie: Sandahl (1998); Patientengruppen:	Sellman (2001), Davidson (2007), Match (1997), Shakeshaft (2002), Sobell (2002), UKATT (2005) CBT: Burtscheidt (2001), Monti (1993), Rosenblum (2005b), Connors (2001), Davidson (2007), Easton (2007), Eriksen (1986b), Lam (2009), Litt (2003), Match (1997), Morgenstern (2007), Sandahl (1998), Shakeshaft (2002), Sitharthan (1997), Vedel (2008), Walitzer (2009), Marques (2001), Monti (1990), Rosenblum (2005a), kog. VT mit Kontingenz-management: Litt (2007), Alessi (2007), Petry (2000) kog. VT ohne Kontingenzmanagement: Alden (1988), Monti (1993), Kavanagh (2006), Sitharthani (1997), Walitzer (2004), Heather (2000) Angehörigenarbeit: Litt (2007), Leigh (2009), UKATT (2005) Paartherapie: Falsstewart (2005, 2006), Lam (2009), Ofarrel (1992), Sobell (2000), Vedel (2008), Walitzer (2004), Zweben (1988) Psychodynamische Kurzzeittherapie: Sandahl (1998); Patientengruppen:	Sellman (2001), Davidson (2007), Match (1997), Shakeshaft (2002), Sobell (2002), UKATT (2005) CBT: Burtscheidt (2001), Monti (1993), Rosenblum (2005b), Connors (2001), Davidson (2007), Easton (2007), Eriksen (1986b), Lam (2009), Litt (2003), Match (1997), Morgenstern (2007), Sandahl (1998), Shakeshaft (2002), Sitharthan (1997), Vedel (2008), Walitzer (2009), Marques (2001), Monti (1990), Rosenblum (2005a), kog. VT mit Kontingenz-management: Litt (2007), Alessi (2007), Petry (2000) kog. VT ohne Kontingenzmanagement: Alden (1988), Monti (1993), Kavanagh (2006), Sitharthani (1997), Walitzer (2004), Heather (2000) Angehörigenarbeit: Litt (2007), Leigh (2009), UKATT (2005) Paartherapie: Falsstewart (2005, 2006), Lam (2009), Ofarrel (1992), Sobell (2000), Vedel (2008), Walitzer (2004), Zweben (1988) Psychodynamische Kurzzeittherapie: Sandahl (1998); Patientengruppen:	Sellman (2001), Davidson (2007), Match (1997), Shakeshaft (2002), Sobell (2002), UKATT (2005) (CBT: Burtscheidt (2001), Monti (1993), Rosenblum (2005b), Connors (2001), Davidson (2007), Easton (2007), Eriksen (1986b), Lam (2009), Litt (2003), Match (1997), Morgenstern (2007), Sandahl (1998), Shakeshaft (2002), Sitharthan (1997), Vedel (2008), Walitzer (2009), Marques (2001), Monti (1990), Rosenblum (2005a), kog. VT mit Kontingenz-management: Litt (2007), Alessi (2007), Petry (2000) kog. VT ohne Kontingenzmanagement: Alden (1988), Monti (1993), Kavanagh (2006), Sitharthani (1997), Walitzer (2004), Heather (2000) Angehörigenarbeit: Litt (2007), Leigh (2009), UKATT (2005) Paartherapie: Falsstewart (2005, 2006), Lam (2009), Ofarrel (1992), Sobell (2000), Vedel (2008), Walitzer (2004), Zweben (1988) Psychodynamische Kurzzeittherapie: Sandahl (1998); Patientengruppen:

Welche Ergebnismaße (z.B. Abstinenz, Konsumreduktion, Rückfallraten, Mortalität, berufliche (Re-)Integration, Lebenszufriedenheit) sollen berücksichtigt werden?

Guideline	NICE (CG115), UK, National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellende (NICE), 2011.	NICE (CG 100), UK, National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence (NICE),	VA/DoD USA, Department of Defense, 2009.	Incorporating alcohol pharmacotherapies into medical practice. USA, Department of Health and Human Services, 2009.	Treatment of Patients with Substance Use Disorders. USA, American Psychiatric Association, 2006.
Aussage ja/nein	ja	nein	nein	ja	nein
Evidenz (levels of evidence)	/			/	
Empfehlungsgrad (A, B, 0, KKP)	ККР			KKP	
Aussage inhaltlich	Abstinenz, Konsumreduktion, Ergebnis- und Prozessevalu- ation, Katamnesen 1 Monat bis 5 Jahre			Standardisierte Outcomemaße: time to relapse, time to first drink, drinks/day, Cumulative abstinence duration, Craving (OCDS, VAS)	
Relevante Literatur aus Leitlinie					

Klinische Fragestellung

Welche Wirksamkeit (positive, fehlende, unerwünschte) weisen postakute Interventionsformen im kontrollierten Vergleich bei der Behandlung des Alkoholabhängigkeitssyndroms auf?

Guideline	Reha-Therapiestandards Alkoholabhängigkeit - Leitlinie	Leitlinie zur sozialmedizinischen Beurteil-	AWMF S2-Leitlinie: Postakutbehandlung
	für die medizinische Rehabilitation der	ung bei Abhängigkeits-erkrankungen	alkoholbezogener Störungen (Geyer et al.,
	Rentenversicherung (Deutsche Rentenversicherung, 2011)	(Deutsche Rentenversicherung, 2010)	2003)
Aussage ja/nein	ja	nein	ja
Evidenz (levels of	/		/
evidence)			
Empfehlungsgrad	KKP		KKP
(A, B, O, KKP)			

	Wirksamkeit von KVT eingebettet in multimodales Therapieprogramm; Vermeidung von Rückfällen durch SKT, Gemeindeprogramme, Verhaltensverträge, Motivationsförderung, Familien-/Paartherapie (S. 25)	a) Behandlung alkoholbezogener Störungen effektiver als Nicht- Behandlung und soll empfohlen werden. (S. 4) b) Effektivität der stationären Postakutbehandlung auch im internat. Vergleich (S. 5)
Relevante Literatur aus Leitlinie		

Von welchen der folgenden Bedingungen ist die Wirksamkeit abhängig?

Patientengruppen (z.B. Co- und Multimorbidität, Geschlecht, Alter, sozioökonomischer Status, Migrationshintergrund)

	Reha-Therapiestandards Alkoholabhängigkeit - Leitlinie für die medizinische Rehabilitation der Rentenversicherung (Deutsche Rentenversicherung, 2011)	Leitlinie zur sozialmedizinischen Beurteilung bei Abhängigkeitserkrankungen (Deutsche Rentenversicherung, 2010)	AWMF S2-Leitlinie: Postakutbehandlung alkoholbezogener Störungen (Geyer et al., 2003)
Aussage ja/nein	ja	nein	ja
Evidenz (levels of evidence)	/		/
Empfehlungsgrad (A, B, O, KKP)	KKP		KKP KKP
Aussage inhaltlich	Bislang gibt es keine eindeutigen Hinweise, welche Patienten mit welchen Merkmalen von welcher Therapiemethode am besten profitieren (Ia). Alkoholabhängige Patienten, die obdachlos bzw. arbeitslos sind oder an einer komorbiden psychiatrischen Störung leiden, bedürfen gleichermaßen der Unterstützung und Behandlung dieser zusätzlichen Problembereiche (Ia). (S. 29)		a) höhere Erfolgschancen bei Erwerbstätigkeit (S. 5)b) Komorbide Störungen mitbehandeln (S. 9)
Relevante Literatur aus Leitlinie			

Von welchen der folgenden Bedingungen ist die Wirksamkeit abhängig?

Setting (ambulant, ganztägig ambulant, stationär)

Guideline	Reha-Therapiestandards Alkoholabhängigkeit - Leitlinie für die medizinische Rehabilitation der Rentenversicherung (Deutsche	Leitlinie zur sozialmedizinischen Beurteilung bei Abhängigkeitserkrankungen (Deutsche Rentenversicherung, 2010)	AWMF S2-Leitlinie: Postakutbehandlung alkoholbezogener Störungen (Geyer et al., 2003)
Aussage ja/nein	nein	ja	ja
Evidenz (levels of evidence)			/
Empfehlungsgrad (A, B, 0, KKP)		KKP	KKP
Aussage inhaltlich		keine detaillierte Aussage, welches Setting wirksamer ist, aber Kriterien, die bei der differenzierten Zuweisung berücksichtigt werden sollen (Ausmaß der bio-psycho-sozialen Störungen, Beschaffenheit des sozialen Umfelds des Abhängigkeitskranken hinsichtlich einer unterstützenden Funktion, berufliche Integration des Abhängigkeitskranken, Existenz einer stabilen Wohnsituation, Fähigkeit des Rehabilitanden zur aktiven Mitarbeit, zur regelmäßigen Teilnahme und zur Einhaltung des Therapieplans, Fähigkeit zur Einhaltung der Abstinenz, Dauer und Intensität der Abhängigkeitserkrankung, Einschätzung des Rehabilitanden und der betreuenden Suchtberatungsstelle).	Kriterien für die Zuweisung in ein bestimmtes Setting, Wirksamkeit des Community Reinforcement Approach (S. 5)
Relevante Literatur			
aus Leitlinie			

Klinische Fragestellung

Von welchen der folgenden Bedingungen ist die Wirksamkeit abhängig?

Behandlungsdauer

Guideline	Reha-Therapiestandards Alkoholabhängigkeit - Leitlinie für die	Leitlinie zur sozialmedizinischen	AWMF S2-Leitlinie:
	medizinische Rehabilitation der Rentenversicherung (Deutsche	Beurteilung bei	Postakutbehandlung
	Rentenversicherung, 2011)	Abhängigkeitserkrankungen (Deutsche	alkoholbezogener
		Rentenversicherung, 2010)	Störungen (Geyer et al.,
			2003)

Aussage ja/nein	ja	ja	а
Evidenz (levels of evidence)	/	/	/
Empfehlungsgrad (A, B, 0, KKP)	KKP	KKP	KKP
Aussage inhaltlich	Alkoholabhängigkeit orientieren (je schwerer desto intensiver) (la). Bezüglich optimaler Dauer der Behandlung konnten keine allgemeingültigen Schlussfolgerungen gezogen werden (la). Für Patienten mit weniger stark ausgeprägter Symptomatik sind das Ausmaß und die Dauer anscheinend von geringer Bedeutung. Für diese Patientengruppe scheinen Selbsthilfe-Manuale oder wenige	keine detaillierte Aussage, welche Behandlungsdauer wirksamer ist, aber Empfehlungen zur Dauer (Langzeittherapie stationär 10-16 Wochen, Kurzzeittherapie stationär 8 Wochen, ganztägig ambulante Rehabilitation 12 Wochen, niedrigfrequente ambulante Rehabilitation bis zu 18 Monaten mit maximal 120 Einzel- und Gruppengesprächen und 12 Angehörigengesprächen)	prognostisch ungünstig eingestufte Alkoholabhängige sollten länger als 8 Wochen behandelt werden (S. 5)
Relevante Literatur aus Leitlinie			

Von welchen der folgenden Bedingungen ist die Wirksamkeit abhängig? Interventionskomponenten

Guideline	Reha-Therapiestandards Alkoholabhängigkeit - Leitlinie für die medizinische Rehabilitation der Rentenversicherung (Deutsche Rentenversicherung, 2011)	Leitlinie zur sozialmedizinischen Beurteilung bei Abhängigkeitserkrankungen (Deutsche Rentenversicherung, 2010)	AWMF S2-Leitlinie: Postakutbehandlung alkoholbezogener Störungen (Geyer et al., 2003)
Aussage ja/nein Evidenz (levels of evidence)	ia /	ia /	ja /
Empfehlungsgrad (A, B, O, KKP)	ККР	KKP	KKP
Aussage inhaltlich	Behandlungsmethoden, die Klienten aktiv in den Behandlungsprozess miteinbeziehen, scheinen günstigere Ergebnisse zu liefern. Techniken und Hilfen, die zu einer Stärkung der Ich-Fähigkeiten führen, können als generell wirksam angesehen werden. (S. 29)	Die Einbeziehung von arbeitsbezogenen Maßnah-men wird empfohlen, ohne dass Aussagen über die Wirksamkeit einer Rehabilitation mit oder ohne diese Interventionen genannt werden.	 a) integrierte Behandlung empfohlen, da wirksamer als Einzelmethoden. b) Selbstmanagement wirksam c) 12-Schritte-Programm wirksam d) motivierende Gesprächsführung wirksam e) klassische VT wirksam f) CBT wirksam g) soziales Kompetenztraining wirksam h) Kontingenzmanagement wirksam i) klientenzentr. Gesprächspsychoth. wirksam j) Paar-/ u. Familienth. wirksam k) Ergo-/Arbeitsth. wirksam I)Sozialtherapie wirksam m) Körpertherapie wirksam (S. 4, 6-8)
Relevante Literatur aus Leitlinie			

Welche Ergebnismaße (z.B. Abstinenz, Konsumreduktion, Rückfallraten, Mortalität, berufliche (Re-)Integration, Lebenszufriedenheit) sollen berücksichtigt werden?

Guideline	Reha-Therapiestandards Alkoholabhängigkeit - Leitlinie für die medizinische Rehabilitation der Rentenversicherung (Deutsche Rentenversicherung, 2011)	Leitlinie zur sozialmedizinischen Beurteilung bei Abhängigkeitserkrankungen (Deutsche Rentenversicherung, 2010)	AWMF S2-Leitlinie: Postakut-behandlung alkoholbezogener Störungen (Geyer et al., 2003)
Aussage ja/nein	nein	ja	nein
Evidenz (levels of evidence)		/	
Empfehlungsgrad (A, B, O, KKP)		KKP	
Aussage inhaltlich		unterschiedliche Aspekte von Teilhabe als wesentliches Ziel der	
Relevante Literatur aus Leitlinie			

Versions-Nummer: 3.0

Erstveröffentlichung: 02/2003

Überarbeitung von: 01/2021

Nächste Überprüfung geplant: 12/2024

22.01.2025: Gültigkeit der Leitlinie nach inhaltlicher Überprüfung durch das Leitliniensekretariat verlängert bis 31.12.2025

Die AWMF erfasst und publiziert die Leitlinien der Fachgesellschaften mit größtmöglicher Sorgfalt - dennoch kann die AWMF für die Richtigkeit des Inhalts keine Verantwortung übernehmen. Insbesondere bei Dosierungsangaben sind stets die Angaben der Hersteller zu beachten!